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## ARTICLE TITLE

Bioregions are predominantly climatic for fishes of northern lakes

## RUNNING HEAD

Bioregionalization of inland fishes


#### Abstract

Aim: Recurrent species assemblages integrate important biotic interactions and joint responses to environmental and spatial filters that enable local coexistence. Here, we applied a bipartite (site-species) network approach to develop a natural typology of lakes sharing distinct fish faunas and provide a detailed, hierarchical view of their bioregions. We then compared the roles of key biogeographic factors to evaluate alternative hypotheses about how fish communities are assembled from the regional species pool.

Location: Ontario, Canada and the Upper Midwest, USA. Time period: 1957-2017.

Major taxa studied: Freshwater fishes. Methods: Bipartite modularity analysis was performed on 90 taxa from 10,016 inland lakes in the Southwestern Hudson Bay, Mississippi River, and St. Lawrence River drainages, uncovering


bioregionalization of North American fishes at a large, subcontinental scale. We then used a latent variable approach, pairing non-metric partial least-squares structural equation modelling with multiple logistic regression, to show differences in the biogeographic templates of each community type. Indicators of contemporary and historical connectivity, climate, and habitat constructs were estimated using a geographic information system.

Results: Fish assemblages reflected broad, overlapping patterns of postglacial colonization, climate, and geological setting, but community differentiation was most linked to temperature, precipitation, and, for certain groups, lake area and water quality. Bioregions were also marked by non-native species, showing broad-scale impacts of introductions to the Great Lakes and surrounding basins.

Main conclusions: The dominant effects of climate across broad spatial gradients indicate differing sensitivities of fish communities to rapidly accelerating climate change and opportunities for targeted conservation strategies. By assessing biological variation at the level of recurrent assemblages, we accounted for the non-stationarity of macroecological processes structuring different sets of species on the landscape, and thus offer novel inference on the assembly of inland fish communities.
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## INTRODUCTION

Species distributions, and their assembly into communities, reflect an array of contemporary and historical filters operating at multiple spatial scales (Jackson, Peres-Neto, \& Olden, 2001; Dias et al., 2014; Mantyka-Pringle, Martin, Moffatt, Linker, \& Rhodes, 2014; Ficetola, Mazel, \& Thuiller, 2017). Studies seeking to understand sources of variation across ecological communities have often tested their compositional turnover or aggregate properties, such as species richness (e.g. Legendre \& Legendre, 1984; Mandrak, 1995; Oikonomou, Leprieur, \& Leonardos, 2014). More recently, tools drawn from graph theory have been applied to reveal community typologies and natural boundaries of bioregions (i.e. geographic areas with similar
biota) based on multispecies distribution patterns (e.g. Carstensen \& Olesen, 2009; Bloomfield, Knerr, \& Encinas-Viso, 2018; McGarvey \& Veech, 2018). Because co-occurrence implies broadly shared niche characteristics and colonization history, repeated assemblages provide insight into formative ecological processes and a basis for setting habitat management units for conservation planning and targeted interventions (Brooks et al., 2006; Olden et al. 2010; Wehrly, Breck, Wang, \& Szabo-Kraft, 2012; Montalvo-Mancheno, Ondei, Brook, \& Buettel, 2020). Community differentiation is especially informative for freshwater organisms, such as fishes, which face strong dispersal constraints imposed by the hydrology of the watersheds they inhabit. Freshwater ecosystems exhibit high biodiversity and rates of endemism globally, as well as generally greater threats and species imperilment than terrestrial or marine habitats (Reid et al., 2019). Yet, compared to other realms, inland waters have received little attention paid to delineating recurrent assemblages and their underlying drivers at the broad spatial scales required to develop regional, cross-jurisdictional conservation and management strategies (Brooks et al., 2006; Wehrly et al., 2012; Oikonomou et al., 2014; Heino et al., 2021).

Our ability to predict changes in species diversity is challenged by the multitude of contributing spatial and environmental factors (e.g. Dormann et al., 2013; Mantyka-Pringle et al., 2014; Loewen, Strecker, Gilbert, \& Jackson, 2020). For instance, spatial patterns of northern fish communities may reflect historical imprints of glaciation (Bailey \& Smith, 1981; Legendre \& Legendre, 1984; Dias et al., 2014), as well as present-day dispersal networks and regional habitat availability (e.g. Hitt \& Angermeier, 2008). As most freshwater organisms are ectothermic, they are also intrinsically sensitive to temperature (Magnuson, Crowder, \& Medvick, 1979). Thus, while fish dependence on hydrologic connections to reach new habitats limits their ability to move in response to interannual climate variations, longer-term temperature conditions should constrain the distributional boundaries of species by restricting the fitness of edge populations (Shuter \& Post, 1990; Alofs, Jackson, \& Lester, 2014). Persistence of species arriving at a new location further depends on habitat quantity and quality. Larger waterbodies may offer more space to more species (MacArthur \& Wilson, 1963) and a greater diversity of habitats, including competitive or predatory refugia (Tonn \& Magnuson, 1982). Aspects of lake basin morphometry, along with terrestrial (Heino et al., 2021) and geological setting (Conroy \& Keller, 1976), also drive gradients in surface water quality and biological production (D’Arcy \& Carignan, 1997). Thus, local habitat conditions combine with regional connectivity and climate filters to provide a
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multilayered template for fish species sorting along geographic gradients (Smith \& Powell, 1971).

Our objectives were two-fold. First, we leveraged an unique dataset of fish records across the Laurentian Shield and surrounding Lowlands of Ontario, Canada and the Upper Midwest, USA, to develop a site-species network graph. We then applied bipartite modularity analysis to detect community typology and provide a hierarchical view of freshwater fish bioregionalization. Second, we tested alternative hypotheses about the relative importance of biogeographic factors driving community differentiation, predicting varying roles of connectivity, climate, and habitat filters across groups. For instance, we hypothesized a key role of climate for northern fishes, with contrasting responses to temperature and precipitation (owing to influence on lake thermal, chemical, and hydrological regimes, including timing of ice-out and major run-off events) revealing sensitive regions and community types to target in future climate change adaptation strategies. We expected connectivity to constrain communities both within drainages and in relation to deglaciation patterns, as glacial lakes provided an important means of dispersal and southern sites may have had more time for postglacial colonization. Habitat filters were predicted to be of lesser importance at broad spatial scales, though we anticipated potential differentiation of communities linked to contrasting shield (metamorphic and igneous) and sedimentary lithologies as mediated by their effects on water chemistry (e.g. alkalinity and nutrient levels).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study system

We conducted a large data synthesis to assess fish assemblages and their potential driving factors across a region of inland lakes in northeastern North America spanning over $13.4^{\circ}$ of latitude ( $1,500 \mathrm{~km}$ ), $21.9^{\circ}$ of longitude ( $1,700 \mathrm{~km}$ ), and 580 m in elevation (Figure 1a). Fish occurrence records and associated environmental measurements in portions of the Southwestern Hudson Bay ( $n=3,637$ ), St. Lawrence ( $n=5,757$ ), and Mississippi River ( $n=622$ ) drainages were compiled from existing datasets in Ontario (Aquatic Habitat Inventory; Dodge, Goodchild, Tilt, Waldriff, \& MacRitchie, 1987), Michigan (Status and Trends; Wehrly, Carter, \& Breck, 2021), and Minnesota (Index of Biotic Integrity; Drake \& Pereira, 2002). To facilitate visualization of bioregions and certain other geospatial analyses, major drainage areas were further divided into
secondary (Figure 1b) and smaller tertiary drainages (equivalent to sub-sub-drainages in Canada and hydrologic unit code 8 drainages in USA; Figure 1c).

Fishes were sampled from lakes, ponds, reservoirs, and embayments (herein referred to as lakes or sites) during the open-water season over a 60-year period (1957-2017). Although we were unable to explicitly consider species turnover during this time (e.g., Lynch et al., 2016; Cazelles et al., 2019; Keller, Heneberry, \& Edwards, 2019), we found that community differentiation was robust to minor changes in species occurrence (see our sensitivity analysis for non-native species below) and temporal shifts in climate were generally small compared to spatial differences. For example, the mean annual air temperatures of sampled lakes (averaged across the study period) ranged from -4.1 to $9.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while changes in mean temperatures between the 1950s and 2010s ranged from -0.4 to $1.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ across sites (Wang, Hamann, Spittlehouse, \& Carroll, 2016). While potentially contributing to shifts in distribution or relative abundance for some populations (e.g. Finigan, Mandrak, \& Tufts, 2018), warming is unlikely to have confounded multispecies associations and the delineation of bioregions at our scale of analysis. Samples were collected using a combination of netting, trapping, and electrofishing, and quantitative survey results were collapsed to species presence/absence to reduce biases associated with different methods (Jackson \& Harvey, 1997). Records of 132 fish taxa were initially compiled across 11,112 lakes, but several species and lakes were excluded from analysis. We first removed lakes lacking maximum depth or surface area measurements. We further omitted records for fishes with riverine life histories because they were likely transient when captured and therefore infrequently sampled in lake ecosystems. These undersampled species were identified based on expert opinion informed by comparisons to other sampling programs in the region (e.g. Lester, Marshall, Armstrong, Dunlop, \& Ritchie, 2003; Drake, \& Valley, 2005; Sandstrom, Rawson, \& Lester, 2013) and aspects of organismal biology and encounter rates (relating to sampling methods/design; see Table S1.1 in Supporting Information for list of sampled fishes). Finally, because we were interested in patterns of interacting species, we removed sites with only a single species as well as species with only a single occurrence. Our final dataset included 90 taxa across 10,016 lakes (mean species richness $=8$ ).

## Bipartite network modularity analysis

We modelled an unweighted network graph comprised of lakes and fishes as separate sets of nodes, with edges linking species to the sites at which they were observed. While there are several approaches to grouping communities, most fail to fully exploit the bipartite nature of sitespecies networks to reveal both sites with similar species and species tending to form assemblages. Distance-based methods have conventionally been used to cluster sites based on their species composition and/or spatial configurations (e.g. Legendre \& Legendre, 1984; Oikonomou et al., 2014); however, these procedures flatten bipartite networks, reducing species information to one of many site dissimilarity indices. Modularity analysis of site-species networks has proven an effective alternative to uncovering concise bioregions and their transitional zones (see participation coefficients; Guimerà \& Amaral 2005) across spatial scales (e.g. Bloomfield et al., 2018; McGarvey \& Veech, 2018; Bernardo-Madrid et al. 2019).

We used the DIRTLPAb+ algorithm of Beckett (2016), which is a label propagation approach to identifying groups of sites and species that are linked together more densely than others by maximizing Barber's modularity (see Appendix 1 for further details). Barber's index performs well for defining groups in bipartite networks (Thébault 2013) and classifies both sets of nodes (sites and species) simultaneously. We applied the algorithm using the computeModules function in the 'bipartite' package (Dormann, Gruber, \& Fründ, 2008) with default settings for random initializations (implemented with R 3.6.1; R Development Core Team). This internally repeating procedure was itself replicated ten times (with different seeds) to check consistency and we recorded site and species assignments of the trial with the highest modularity (Table S1.2). Significance of resultant modularity was evaluated by one-sided randomization tests based on 100 null networks obtained from the efficient, sequentially swapping curveball algorithm (Strona, Nappo, Boccacci, Farrorini, \& San-Migeul-Ayanz, 2014). The curveball approach produces uniformly distributed null matrices maintaining row and column sums and was implemented with 1,000 burn-in and 1,000 thinning steps across 20 unique chains using the nullmodel and oecosimu functions in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2020). Simulations were checked for convergence prior to use. Participation coefficients measuring the amongmodule connectivity of each site were calculated using the czvalues function (Dormann et al., 2008). To reveal finer-scale structures, we invoked a second round of analysis restricted to each primary module. This multiscale bioregionalization was summarized spatially as the dominant
primary (or sub) module across tertiary drainages (with ties adopting the most dominant type among neighbours).

## Environmental and spatial factors

We estimated environmental and spatial variables to capture key dimensions of biogeographic constructs (connectivity, climate, and habitat; Table S1.3) hypothesized to determine natural site typology (see statistical analysis section below for details of latent variable approach). A connectivity construct was formed by regional habitat density, river network dispersal distance, glacial lake/marine distance, and time since glaciation. Variables were estimated from remotesensing data using a geographic information system (ArcGIS Pro 2.6.2; Esri, Redlands, California, USA). Regional habitat density was calculated by summarizing all lake, pond, and reservoir features within tertiary drainages and dividing the area of this combined habitat by the total area of the watershed (excluding the Great Lakes; 1:50,000 scale or better). River network dispersal distances estimated the lengths of potential contemporary dispersal routes to secondary drainage features in either the Southwestern Hudson Bay drainage area (Lake Winnipeg or Hudson Bay), St. Lawrence drainage area (Lake Superior, Lake Michigan, Lake Huron, Lake Erie, Lake Ontario, or St. Lawrence River) or Mississippi River (Figure 1d; see Appendix 1 for further details). Historical glacial lake/marine distance was calculated as the geodesic distance from each lake to the nearest glacial lake or marine extent polygon (Figure S1.1). Time since glaciation (i.e. age of lake) was calculated by spatially joining sampling points near the center of each lake with a time-series of ice coverage polygons and determining the most recent overlap. Estimated historical ice, lake, and marine extents were obtained at approximately 500-year resolution and 1:7,000,000 scale (Dyke, 2004).

A climate construct was formed by mean warmest month temperature, mean coldest month temperature, May to September total precipitation, and total precipitation as snow. Climate variables were elevation-adjusted point estimates of average annual conditions at each lake over the entire study period. We extracted and downscaled estimates from moderate resolution Climate Research Unit data (4 km gridded; Mitchell \& Jones, 2005) using ClimateNA v6.40 (Wang et al., 2016; Figure S1.2).

Finally, a habitat construct was formed by surface area, maximum depth, Secchi depth, and proportion shield lithology. Physical size measurements were retrieved from existing records.

Secchi depth, which measures water clarity, provided a proxy for basin productivity given our lack of consistent water chemistry data. Secchi depths were measured at the majority ( $98 \%$ ) of sites. For the 191 sites without direct measures, we estimated Secchi depths from their regional relationships to maximum depth. Here, separate linear regression models were constructed for each secondary drainage area stratified into depth categories ( $0-20 \mathrm{~m}, 20-40 \mathrm{~m}$, and $>40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and used to predict missing values. We estimated proportion shield lithology to capture the dominant geological gradient in our study region (i.e. surface exposed portions of igneous and metamorphic Laurentian Shield contrasting the sedimentary rocks of the Interior and Hudson Bay Lowlands). Surficial weathering of rocks provides a natural source of variation in water quality (e.g. ion chemistry) and biological production, especially between major lithological units (Conroy \& Keller, 1976). Lake polygons were overlain with detailed lithological maps compiled by Harmann \& Moosdoft (2012) at an average scale of 1:3,750,000 and used to calculate the proportions of each basin comprised of either shield or sedimentary rock (Figure S1.3).

## Statistical analysis

To evaluate the roles of spatial and environmental constraints on fish communities, we applied non-metric partial least-squares structural equation modelling (NM-PLS-SEM, also called PLS path modelling) paired with multiple logistic regression (Petrarca, Russolillo, \& Trinchera, 2017). PLS-SEM is a variance-based approach to estimating unobserved latent variables and their relations by a series of simple or multiple ordinary least-squares (OLS) regressions (Sanchez, 2013). A key application of PLS-SEM is for building latent variables as formative constructs using a composite measurement model. Here, latent variables (or constructs) are formed as linear combinations of their manifest variables (or observed indicators) by an iterative process of optimizing weights and paths in the outer measurement and inner structural equation models (Sanchez, 2013). Thus, the algorithm offers an intuitive inferential framework while also applying dimension reduction to develop proxies for multidimensional concepts, such as connectivity, climate, and habitat (e.g. Loewen et al., 2020). PLS methods can also be performed with (non-metric) nominal or ordinal indicators by introducing an optimal scaling step (Petrarca et al., 2017). Here, non-metric variables are assigned values on an interval scale by optimizing additional scaling parameters as part of the iterative PLS-SEM process.

We performed NM-PLS-SEM to assess the roles of biogeographic factors using the 'path scheme' method and formative measurement (mode B) with the plspm function in the 'plspm' package (Sanchez et al., 2017). Indicator variables were natural log- or logit-transformed (for proportional data; adjusting by minimum observed values where necessary) and standardized (centered at zero and scaled to unit variance) prior to analysis. Connectivity, climate, and habitat constructs were formed as linear combinations of their respective numeric indicators and used to predict individual modules. The approach was also applied to multilevel categorical outcomes of our modularity analysis. However, because estimating a binomial response by OLS is less than ideal, even with the optimal scaling algorithm, we used a modified approach (Petrarca et al., 2017) first obtaining latent variable scores from NM-PLS-SEM and then re-estimating inner model path coefficients by multiple logistic regression (generalized linear regression with binomial error distribution and a logit-link function; glm function in base R). The explanatory power of logistic models was measured by Tjur's $R^{2}$ using the RsqGLM function in the 'modEvA' package (Barbosa, Real, Muñoz, \& Brown, 2015). Tjur's coefficient of discrimination is an analogue to the coefficient of determination in linear regression but calculated as the difference in mean fitted values for successful and failed predictions (Tjur, 2009). Finally, because we anticipated potential collinearity among constructs, we used a variation-partitioning framework to reveal their unique, shared, and total explanatory powers. Variation partitioning based on Tjur's $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ from logistic regression was performed using the varPart function (Barbosa et al., 2015) and results were visualized by approximately area-proportional Euler diagrams using the euler function in the 'eulerr' package (Larsson, 2020). To emphasize broad, biogeographic variation in fish assemblages, we limited our statistical analysis to primary modules. To test the influence of non-native species on bioregionalization patterns (Table S1.1), we conducted sensitivity analyses to determine changes to site and species assignments, as well as interpretations of formative processes, when either non-native species were excluded from the species pool or sites with non-natives were excluded from the site pool.

## RESULTS

We identified clear spatial patterns of fish community differentiation, which we summarized by tertiary watershed to identify tractable northern bioregions (Figures 2, S1.4, and S1.5). Sites from module 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=1,258$ lakes; referred to as the southern group) clustered in southern portions of the

St. Lawrence and the Mississippi River drainages. Module $2(\mathrm{n}=3,010$; the trout group) and module 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=1,851$; the central Great Lakes group) were situated in more northern and eastcentral portions of the St. Lawrence drainage, respectively. Module 4 lakes ( $n=3,897$; the northern group) were dominant in northern areas draining into the Southwestern Hudson Bay. However, none of the assemblages was restricted to any single drainage. Primary modules were internally consistent and had significant non-random structure with a modularity value (Q) of 0.332 ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; Table S1.2). Participation coefficients showed that among-module connections were generally denser in the southern half of the study region, specifying a bioregional transition zone stretching from northern portions of the Mississippi River drainage to the centre of the Great Lakes Basin, as well as adjacent to the St. Lawrence River (Figure 2b). Sub-modularity analysis, where procedures were repeated with restricted site and species pools, revealed further variation within primary modules (Figures 2c-f). Submodule assignments were weaker and more variable across trials but significantly non-random ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$; Table S 1.2 ). Module 1 was shown to possess finer-scale north-south and east-west structure (Figure 2c) while module 4 revealed two central community types (in the north-west and south-east portions of the Southwestern Hudson Bay drainage) with greater heterogeneity to the south-west (Figure 2f).

We similarly found groups of associated fishes (Figure 3). Module 1 ( $n=44$; the southern group) contained the most species, including several warmwater fishes with limited occurrence near their northern range limits in our dataset (especially submodule 1.4). Module 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=15$; the trout group) was characterized by several salmonids, i.e. lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush), brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis), round whitefish (Prosopium cylindraceum), and rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), and a subset of leuciscid minnows. Module 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$; the central Great Lakes group) contained species with known associations to the St. Lawrence River and Lake Ontario, such as American eel (Anguilla rostrata) and rainbow smelt (Osmerus mordax), along with certain warm and coolwater sportfishes, including muskellunge (Esox masquinongy), smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieu), and rock bass (Ambloplites rupestris). Module 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 23; the northern group) consisted of several species common to northern lakes, including yellow perch (Perca flavescens), white sucker (Catostomus commersonii), and the sportfishes walleye (Sander vitreus), lake whitefish (Coregonus clupeaformis), cisco (C. artedi), and northern pike (Esox lucius).

Climate was the dominant factor underlying community typology, while the importance of connectivity and habitat filters varied across groups. The influence of biogeographic constructs (modelled as latent variables; see meta-model in Figure 4) on the occurrence of each assemblage and the roles of individual environmental and spatial indicators can be interpreted from the model coefficients presented in Figure 5. Here, indicator weights and loadings describe the contributions and correlations of indicators to their respective latent variables in the outer measurement model (Figure 5a), while logistic path coefficients from the inner structural model show the magnitude and directionality (negative/positive) of relationships between latent variables and each of the primary modules (Figure 5b). For example, connectivity constructs were mostly driven by time since glaciation, which contributed positively to module 1 (the southern group), negatively to module 4 (the northern group), and negligibly to modules 2 and 3 (the trout and central Great Lakes groups). River network dispersal distance had a stronger negative association to the connectivity construct for module 3, but the small path coefficient for connectivity indicated that its effect was negligible. Similarly, error bars were inflated for indicators of connectivity contributing to module 2 because the construct had a minor effect and coefficients flipped between positive and negative across bootstrapped samples. Climate indicators were generally correlated with one another (and time since glaciation; Table S1.4) and their individual contributions varied across modules. Precipitation as snow had a relatively strong negative correlation with module 1 and positive correlation with module 2 , while modules 3 and 4 were more associated with higher and lower temperatures, respectively. Habitat indicators also had variable contributions and were most relevant to modules 2 and 4. Specifically, module 2 involved smaller lakes with greater Secchi depth, while module 4 was linked to greater surface area and proportion shield lithology. Categorical analysis indicated that climate was the principal driver of community differentiation overall (Tables S1.5 and S1.6).

The predominance of climate in the bioregionalization of northern fishes was further supported by our partitioning of latent variable explanatory power (Figure 6). Here, we found that climate was the most predictive construct for each assemblage except module 2 (the trout group), which was driven by lake area and water clarity. Modules 1 and 4 (the southern and northern groups) had the greatest classification success, with strong explanatory overlap between climate and connectivity (the latter associated with time since glaciation). Variation in connectivity and habitat were of lesser importance to module 3 (the Great Lakes group), contributing almost no
additional prediction after accounting for temperature and precipitation effects (see Tables S1.7 and S1.8 for detailed results).

The most frequent non-natives were common carp (Cyprinus carpio; module 1), rainbow trout (module 2), and rainbow smelt (module 3; Table S1.1). We found that only two native species, spoonhead sculpin (Cottus ricei) and white sucker, changed modules when non-native species (n $=11$ ) were excluded from the species pool (both from module 4 to 2 ). Assignments changed for 646 lakes (6.4\%), the majority changing from module 4 to 2 (261) or 3 to 2 (134; Table S1.9). Changes to bioregions were few and mostly in transitional regions of southern Ontario (Figure S1.6b). However, when sites with non-native species were excluded ( $\mathrm{n}=944 ; 474$ from module 1, 243 from module 2, 197 from module 3, and 30 from module 4), assignments changed for nine native species (one from module 1 to 3 , one from module 1 to 4 , and seven from module 3 to 1 ) and 1,766 lakes (19.4\%). Most site differences involved module 3 ( $94 \%$ ), which largely merged with the southern group (Figure S1.6c), but interpretations of how communities were shaped by environmental and spatial processes were generally robust to the inclusion or exclusion of nonnative species (Figures S1.7-S1.10).

## DISCUSSION

We leveraged exceptional sampling effort across our study region to present a detailed view of freshwater bioregions and their underlying drivers at a broad, subcontinental scale. As bioregionalization is inherently taxa- and scale-dependent (McGarvey \& Veech, 2018), we provide a novel classification of northern fish communities and advance understanding of their assembly from the regional species pool. While global maps of fish biogeographical zones have been produced (Abell et al., 2008; Leroy et al., 2019), they have aggregated disparate surveys across larger watersheds and thus have limited use for differentiating local communities or tractable management units. Alternative indices and module detection algorithms also exist (e.g. Guimerà \& Amaral, 2005; Rosvall \& Bergstrom, 2008), which may reveal different patterns (see Thébault, 2013; Bloomfield et al., 2018). Similar to the flow-based Infomap method (Edler, Guedes, Zizka, Rosvall, \& Antonelli, 2017), Beckett's (2016) label propagation identifies groups of highly associated sites and species simultaneously (without unipartite projection). Thus, our application of site-species network analysis builds on existing knowledge, revealing both lakes with similar fish assemblages and fishes tending to co-occur. By partitioning our network
independently of spatial and environmental contexts, we were able to test the relative importance of key formative processes determining different sets of species and generate several hypotheses for future study.

As species sorting along geographic gradients involves multiple, often highly correlated factors, we evaluated drivers using a latent variable approach to capture broadly defined climate, connectivity, and habitat filters (Dormann et al., 2013; Loewen et al., 2020). We discovered that climate had the greatest effect in differentiating fish communities, similar to recent reports for subcontinental patterns of terrestrial fauna (Ficetola et al., 2017). Thermal or hydrological regimes may also account for finer-scale differences, such as the sub-modular structure observed within the southern and northern groups (modules 1 and 4; Figure 2 ) or variation among lakes within tertiary catchments (e.g. Wehrly et al., 2012; Figures S1.4 and S1.5). We found that historical connectivity had a related, albeit lesser, influence on modules 1 and 4 , pointing to differences in postglacial colonization opportunities between lakes in more southern and northern areas of our study region (Bailey \& Smith, 1981; Legendre \& Legendre, 1984; Dias et al., 2014). However, distances to glacial lakes do not necessarily capture dispersal routes and given the degree of overlap between glacial recession and present temperature gradients (Figures S1.1 and S1.2), analysis of shifting recolonization pathways from glacial lakes and their outflows may offer clearer support (e.g. Mandrak \& Crossman, 1992). Although habitat factors are often linked to species sorting at smaller scales (Jackson et al., 2001; Lansac-Tôha et al., 2021), we found evidence of broad-scale filtering by lake surface area, Secchi depth, and shield lithology. For instance, the trout group (module 2) showed affinity to smaller lakes with greater Secchi depth, which serves as an integrative proxy for multiple terrestrial factors (e.g. sediment loading) and internal productivity (Tilzer, 1988). Smaller lakes with greater water clarity may thus provide greater open-water foraging success for salmonids (Ortega, Figueiredo, da Graça, Agostinho, \& Bini, 2020). In contrast, the northern group (module 4) was linked to larger lakes with greater influence of shield lithology as well as those with reduced water clarity (as encountered in areas overlaying glacial lake beds). Here, species such as walleye may experience optimal foraging in habitats with lower light intensity (Lester, Dextrase, Kushneriuk, Rawson, \& Ryan, 2004). Bioregional transition zones also matched southern boundaries of the Laurentian Shield (Figures 2 b and S1.3), showing potential influence of geological setting via effects on water chemistry (Conroy \& Keller, 1976; Neff \& Jackson, 2012).

In addition to site typology, we revealed recurrent groups of fishes, implying broadly shared natural histories and niche space. We highlight several sportfishes of interest to fisheries management but provide only a cursory examination of fish module composition. Future research should test for associations among species within and between groups (e.g. Cordero \& Jackson, 2019), including their functional trait and phylogenetic similarities. For instance, the predominance of climate filters should sort species into thermal guilds (Magnuson et al., 1979), while connectivity constraints may produce assemblages related by their dispersal ability (i.e. swimming proficiency and tolerance to flow conditions) or common ancestry (Comte \& Olden, 2018). Habitat filters should further aggregate species with similar life-history strategies, including requirements for forage, reproduction, and overwintering (e.g. Tonn \& Magnuson, 1982). Explicit consideration of competition and predation among co-occurring species may yield additional mechanistic insights; however, as with habitat filters, we anticipate that species interactions will be most important at smaller scales of inquiry (Jackson et al., 2001).

Our findings have applications in conservation biogeography, revealing opportunities for targeted climate adaptation strategies. Setting conservation priorities for regional planning (e.g. protected areas or resource extraction) requires defining management units and understanding their formative processes (Brooks et al., 2006; Oikonomou et al., 2014; Montalvo-Mancheno et al., 2020). Applying space-for-time substitution, the central roles of temperature and precipitation provide evidence that fish communities are sensitive to climatic changes (Alofs et al., 2014; Lynch et al., 2016), but future impacts will depend on community type. We also found that connectivity does not appear to have been a major factor preventing lacustrine fishes from matching their temperature preferences within catchments. For instance, the southern group (module 1) was much more associated with warmer temperatures and less precipitation as snow than contemporary dispersal indicators, suggesting potential to expand northward as temperatures rise and connectivity permits. Indeed, there is evidence that certain warm and coolwater sportfishes are already expanding their northern ranges via multiple mechanisms (Alofs et al., 2014), including anthropogenic introductions. Coldwater fishes in the trout group (module 2) were linked to cooler summers and more snow, but habitat size and quality had a greater influence. Thus, this group may be relatively sensitive to hydrological changes and increasing water column turbidity or brownification. In contrast, the Great Lakes group (module 3) was clustered in warmer and snowier areas around the Great Lakes in south-central Ontario.

These results suggest that Great Lakes effects create conditions for warm and coolwater fishes that may offer partial buffering against climatic changes, though future climates are uncertain and will be regionally variable (Notaro, Bennington, \& Vavrus, 2015). Finally, the northern group (module 4) was most sensitive to warming (especially in winter months) and potentially more dispersal limited (based on the greater importance of river network dispersal distance), indicating that these communities may require extra attention for climate change adaptation. However, our estimates of dispersal limitation reflect only the natural connectivity of sites within their respective drainages.

Establishment of introduced fishes outside of historical ranges indicates that natural colonization either lags changing conditions or is impeded by other environmental, connectivity, or biotic factors (e.g. Allee effects). Fish movements are constrained by physical barriers (e.g. dams, culverts, and falls) and limited hydrological connections between catchments (especially along continental divides) may induce critical bottlenecks for migration (Jackson et al., 2001; Melles, Chu, Alofs, \& Jackson, 2015). Species also have thermal and depth requirements for dispersal, possibly limiting movement by coldwater species as climates warm. While assemblages generally reflect shared colonization histories of dispersal along lake and river networks, effects of increasing fragmentation on future range shifts and persistence may vary (e.g. Herrera-R et al., 2020). Actions such as human-assisted migration or construction of fishways warrant consideration, weighing potential benefits with risks of unintended consequences from introducing harmful species (Olden et al., 2010), including pathogens.

Human introductions have already impacted the spatial structure of fish communities globally (Olden et al., 2010; Alofs et al., 2014). For instance, regional translocations contribute to biotic homogenization among lakes with higher angling pressure or nearer urban centres (Olden, Kennard, \& Pusey, 2008). Stocking programs and movement of baitfishes may have also contributed to a minor role of connectivity for the trout group (module 2), as introductions obscure how species are naturally filtered from-or serve as additions to-the regional pool (Alofs et al., 2014; Bernardo-Madrid et al., 2019; Cazelles et al., 2019). Although we were unable to account for regional translocations, the small effect of excluding records for non-native species (from outside the study region) on our understanding of community differentiation highlights the robustness of our results to potential sampling errors. While biases associated with
site selection (e.g. targeting lakes with fishes of greater management interest) or imperfect detection can confound species distribution patterns, errors were mitigated by our large sample size and the relative insensitivity of our analytical approach to missing data. However, removing a species record does not remove its community impact, and our sensitivity analysis showed a major role of invaded communities in the differentiation of the southern and Great Lakes groups (modules 1 and 3). Whether driven by species interactions, loss of coverage, or some other aspect of invaded sites, results excluding lakes with non-native species both revealed the imprint of introductions on fish communities in the region and upheld our interpretations of key formative processes and climatic sensitivities. Despite non-native species typically establishing by anthropogenic means (such as shipping, recreational stocking, or escape from aquaculture/aquaria), they contribute to contemporary bioregions and are often management priorities as fisheries or biotic stressors on native communities (e.g. Loewen et al., 2020). Finally, as we focus on natural drivers of community differentiation, important questions remain about how anthropogenic factors overlay observed patterns. For instance, regional land-use can cause changes in downstream water quality and fish community structure (e.g. Mantyka-Pringle et al., 2014). In our study region, most urban and agricultural developments are concentrated at southern latitudes where fishes generally contend with heightened angling pressure (Lester et al., 2003). Many thousands of lakes in central Ontario have also experienced anthropogenic acidification, leaving lasting impacts on aquatic food webs (Keller et al., 2019) and contributing to a suite of waterscape stressors affecting freshwater ecosystems at multiple scales (Heino et al., 2021). Further integration of these and other human activities will be needed to reconcile natural patterns of freshwater biogeography with ongoing global changes and spread of invasive species.

## REFERENCES

Abell, R.A., Thieme, M.L., Revenga, C., Bryer, M., Kottelat, M., Bogutskaya, N., ... Petry, P. (2008). Freshwater ecoregions of the world: a new map of biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. BioScience, 58, 403-414.

Alofs, K.M., Jackson, D.A., \& Lester, N.P. (2014). Ontario freshwater fishes demonstrate differing range-boundary shifts in a warming climate. Diversity and Distributions, 20, 123-136.

Bailey, R.M., \& Smith, G.R. (1981). Origin and geography of the fish fauna of the Laurentian Great Lakes Basin. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 38, 1539-1561.

Barbosa, A.M., Real, R., Muñoz, A.-R., \& Brown, J.A. (2015). New measures for assessing model equilibrium and prediction mismatch in species distribution models. Diversity and Distributions, 19, 1333-1338.

Beckett, S.J. (2016). Improved community detection in weighted bipartite networks. Royal Society Open Science, 3, 140536.

Bernardo-Madrid, R., Calatayud, J., González-Suárez, M., Rosvall, M., Lucas, P.-M., Rueda, M., ... Revlla, E. (2019). Human activity is altering the world's zoogeographical regions. Ecology Letters, 22, 1297-1305.

Bloomfield, N.J., Knerr, N., \& Encinas-Viso, F. (2018). A comparison of network and clustering methods to detect biogeographical regions. Ecography, 41, 1-10.

Brooks, T.M., Mittermeier, R.A., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Gerlach, J., Hoffmann, M., Lamoreux, J.F., ... Rodrigues, A.S.L. (2006). Global biodiversity conservation priorities. Science, 313, 5861.

Carstensen, D.W., \& Olesen, J.M. (2009). Wallacea and its nectarivorous birds: nestedness and modules. Journal of Biogeography, 36, 1540-1550.

Cazelles, K., Bartley, T., Guzzo, M.M., Brice, M.-H., MacDougall, A.S., Bennett, J.R., ... McCann, K.S. (2019). Homogenization of freshwater lakes: recent compositional shifts in fish communities are explained by gamefish movement and not climate change. Global Change Biology, 25, 4222-4233.

Comte, L., \& Olden, J.D. (2018). Evidence for dispersal syndromes in freshwater fishes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 285, 20172214.

Conroy, N., \& Keller, W. (1976). Geological factors affecting biological activity in Precambrian shield lakes. The Canadian Mineralogist, 14, 62-72.

Cordero, R.D., \& Jackson, D.A. (2019). Species-pair associations, null models, and test of mechanisms structuring ecological communities. Ecosphere, 10, e02797.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

D'Arcy, P., \& Carignan, R. (1997). Influence of catchment topography on water chemistry in southeastern Québec Shield lakes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 54, 2215-2227.

Dias, M.S., Oberdorff, T., Hugueny, B., Leprieur, F., Jézéquel, C., Cornu, J.-F., ... Tedesco, P.A. (2014). Global imprint of historical connectivity on freshwater fish biodiversity. Ecology Letters, 17, 1130-1140.

Dodge, D.P., Goodchild, G.A., Tilt, J.C., Waldriff, D.G., \& MacRitchie, I. (1987). Manual of instructions: Aquatic habitat inventory surveys. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Toronto, Canada.

Dormann, C.F., Gruber, B., \& Fründ, J. (2008). Introducing the bipartite package: analysing ecological networks. R News, 8, 8-11.

Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G, ... Lautenbach, S. (2013). Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography, 36, 27-46.

Drake, M.T., \& Pereira, D.L. (2002). Development of a fish-based index of biotic integrity for small inland lakes in Central Minnesota. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 22, 1105-1123.

Drake, M.T., \& Valley, R.D. (2005). Validation and application of a fish-based index of biotic integrity for small central Minnesota lakes. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 25, 1095-1111.

Dyke, A.S. (2004). An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

Edler, D., Guedes, T., Zizka, A., Rosvall, M., \& Antonelli, A. (2017). Infomap bioregions: interactive mapping of biogeographical regions from species distributions. Systematic Biology, 66, 197-204.

Ficetola, G.F., Mazel, F., \& Thuiller, W. (2017). Global determinants of zoogeographical boundaries. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 1, 0089.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Finigan, P.A., Mandrak, N.E., \& Tufts, B.L. (2018). Large-scale changes in the littoral fish communities of lakes in southeastern Ontario, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 96, 753759.

Guimerà, R, \& Amaral, L.A.N. (2005). Functional cartography of complex metabolic networks. Nature, 433, 895-900.

Harmann, J., \& Moosdoft, N. (2012). The new global lithological map database GLiM: a representation of rock properties at the Earth surface. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 13, Q12004.

Heino, J., Alahuhta, J., Bini, L.M., Cai, Y., Heiskanen, A.-S., Hellsten, S., ... Angeler, D.G. (2021). Lakes in the era of global change: moving beyond single-lake thinking in maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. Biological Reviews, 96, 89-106.

Herrera-R, G.A., Oberdorff, T., Anderson, E.P., Brosse, S., Carvajal-Vallejos, F.M., ... Tedesco, P.A. (2020). The combined effects of climate change and river fragmentation on the distribution of Andean Amazon fishes. Global Change Biology, 26, 5509-5523.

Hitt, N.P., \& Angermeier, P.L. (2008). Evidence for fish dispersal from spatial analysis of stream network topology. Journal of the North American Benthological Society, 27, 304-320.

Jackson, D.A., \& Harvey, H.H. (1997). Qualitative and quantitative sampling of lake fish communities. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 54, 2807-2813.

Jackson, D.A., Peres-Neto, P.R., \& Olden, J.D. (2001). What controls who is where in freshwater fish communities-the roles of biotic, abiotic, and spatial factors. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 58, 157-170.

Keller, W.B., Heneberry, J., \& Edwards, B.A. (2019). Recovery of acidified Sudbury, Ontario, Canada, lakes: a multi-decade synthesis and update. Environmental Reviews, 27, 1-16.

Lansac-Tôha, F.M., Bini, L.M., Heino, J., Meira, B.R., Segovia, B.T., Pavanelli, C.S., ... Velho, L.F.M. (2021). Scale-dependent patterns of metacommunity structuring in aquatic organisms across floodplain systems. Journal of Biogeography, 48, 872-885.

Larsson, J. (2020). eulerr: area-proportional Euler and Venn diagrams with ellipses. R package version 6.1.0.

Legendre, P., \& Legendre, V. (1984). Postglacial dispersal of freshwater fishes in the Québec Peninsula. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 41, 1781-1802.

Lester, N.P., Marshall, T.R., Armstrong, K., Dunlop, W.I., \& Ritchie, B. (2003). A broad-scale approach to management of Ontario's recreational fisheries. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 23, 1312-1328.

Lester, N.P., Dextrase, A.J., Kushneriuk, R.S., Rawson, M.R., \& Ryan, P.A. (2004). Light and temperature: key factors affecting walleye abundance and production. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 133, 588-605.

Leroy, B., Dias, M.S., Giraud, E., Hugueny, B., Jézéquel, C., Leprieur, F., Oberdorff, T., \& Tedesco, P.A. (2019). Global biogeographical regions of freshwater fish species. Journal of Biogeography, 46, 2407-2419.

Loewen, C.J.G., Strecker, A.L., Gilbert, B., \& Jackson, D.A. (2020). Climate warming moderates the impacts of introduced sportfish on multiple dimensions of prey biodiversity. Global Change Biology, 26, 4937-4951.

Lynch, A.J., Myers, B.J.E., Chu, C., Eby, L.A., Falke, J.A., Kovach, R.P., ... Whitney, J.E. (2016). Climate change effects on North American inland fish populations and assemblages. Fisheries, 41, 346-361.

MacArthur, R.H., \& Wilson, E.O. (1963). An equilibrium theory of insular zoogeography. Evolution, 17, 373-387.

Magnuson, J.J, Crowder, L.B., \& Medvick, P.A. (1979). Temperature as an ecological resource. American Zoology, 19, 331-343.

Mandrak, N.E. (1995). Biogeographic patterns of fish species richness in Ontario lakes in relation to historical and environmental factors. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 52, 1462-1474.

Mandrak, N.E., \& Crossman, E.J. (1992). Postglacial dispersal of freshwater fishes into Ontario. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 70, 2247-2259.

Mantyka-Pringle, C.S., Martin, T.G., Moffatt, D.B., Linke, S., \& Rhodes, J.R. (2014). Understanding and predicting the combined effects of climate change and land-use change on freshwater macroinvertebrates and fish. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51, 572-581.

McGarvey, D.J., \& Veech, J.A. (2018). Modular structure in fish co-occurrence networks: a comparison across spatial scales and grouping methodologies. PLoS One, 13, e0208720. Melles, S.J., Chu, C., Alofs, K.M., \& Jackson, D.A. (2015). Potential spread of Great Lakes fishes given climate change and proposed dams: an approach using circuit theory to evaluate invasion risk. Landscape Ecology, 30, 919-935.

Mitchell, T.D., \& Jones, P.D. (2005). An improved method of constructing a database of monthly climate observations and associated high-resolution grids. International Journal of Climatology, 25, 693-712.

Montalvo-Mancheno, C.S., Ondei, S., Brook, B.W., \& Buettel, J.C. (2020). Bioregionalization approaches for conservation: methods, biases, and their implications for Australian biodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation, 29, 1-17.

Neff, M.R., \& Jackson, D.A. (2012). Geology as a structuring mechanism of stream fish communities. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 141, 962-974.

Notaro, M., Bennington, V., \& Vavrus, S. (2015). Dynamically downscaled projections of lakeeffect snow in the Great Lakes Basin. Journal of Climate, 28, 1661-1684.

Oikonomou, A., Leprieur, F., \& Leonardos, I.D. (2014). Biogeography of freshwater fishes of the Balkan Peninsula. Hydrobiologia, 738, 205-220.

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., ... Wagner, H. (2020). vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.5-7.

Olden, J.D., Kennard, M.J., \& Pusey, B.J. (2008). Species invasions and the changing biogeography of Australian freshwater fishes. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 17, 25-37.

Olden, J.D., Kennard, M.J., Leprieur, F., Tedesco, P.A., Winemiller, K.O., \& García-Berthou, E. (2010). Conservation biogeography of freshwater fishes: recent progress and future challenges. Diversity and Distributions, 16, 496-513.

Ortega, J.C.G., Figueiredo, B.R.S., da Graça, W.J., Agostinho, A.A., \& Bini, L.M. (2020). Negative effect of turbidity on prey capture for both visual and non-visual aquatic predators. Journal of Animal Ecology, 89, 2427-2439.

Petrarca, F., Russolillo, G., \& Trinchera, L. (2017). Integrating non-metric data in partial least squares path models: methods and application. In H. Latan \& R. Noonan (Eds.), Partial Least Squares Path Modeling (pp. 259-279). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Creed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T.J., ... Cooke, S.J.. (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. Biological Reviews, 94, 849-873.

Rosvall, M., \& Bergstrom, C.T. (2008). Maps of random walks on complex networks reveal community structure. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105, 1118-1123.

Sanchez, G. (2013). PLS Path Modeling with R. Berkeley, CA: Trowchez Editions.
Sanchez, G., Trinchera, L., \& Russolillo, G. (2017). plspm: tools for partial least squares path modeling (PLS-PM). R package version 0.4.9.

Sandstrom, S., Rawson, M., \& Lester, N. P. (2013). Manual of instructions for broad-scale fish community monitoring using North American (NA1) and Ontario small mesh (ON2) gillnets. Peterborough, ON: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

Ministry of Natural Resources.Shuter, B.J., \& Post, J.R. (1990). Climate, population viability, and the zoogeography of temperate fishes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 119, 314-336.

Smith, C.L., \& Powell, C.R. (1971). The summer fish communities of Brier Creek, Marshall County, Oklahoma. No. 2458. New York, NY: American Museum of Natural History.

Strona, G., Nappo, D., Boccacci, F., Fattorini, S., \& San-Miguel-Ayanz, J. (2014). A fast and unbiased procedure to randomize ecological binary matrices with fixed row and column totals. Nature Communications, 5, 4114.

Thébault, E. (2013). Identifying compartments in presence-absence matrices and bipartite networks: insights into modularity measures. Journal of Biogeography, 40, 759-768.

Tilzer, M.M. (1988). Secchi disk - chlorophyll relationships in a lake with highly variable phytoplankton biomass. Hydrobiologia, 162, 163-171.

Tjur, T. (2009). Coefficients of determination in logistic regression models-a new proposal: the coefficient of discrimination. The American Statistician, 63, 366-372.

Tonn, W.M., \& Magnuson, J.J. (1982). Patterns in the species composition and richness of fish assemblages in northern Wisconsin lakes. Ecology, 63, 1149-1166.

Wang, T., Hamann, A., Spittlehouse, D., \& Carroll, C. (2016). Locally downscaled and spatially customizable climate data for historical and future periods for North America. PLoS One, 11, e0156720.

Wehrly, K.E., Breck, J.E., Wang, L. \& Szabo-Kraft, L. (2012). A landscape-based classification of fish assemblages in sampled and unsampled lakes. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 141, 414-425.

Wehrly, K.E., Carter, G.S., \& Breck, J.E. (2021). Standardized sampling methods for the inland lakes status and trends program. Fisheries Special Report. Lansing, MI: Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Processed data (including site and species modules and derived spatial and environmental indicator variables) and code supporting our results are archived in a dedicated GitHub repository (https://github.com/loewenecology/Fish-modularity-and-nmplssem). Raw fish data (with species at risk removed for their protection) are available from the Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.44j0zpcfc). As contractual requirements prevent us from releasing detailed sampling records for Ontario directly, these data are provided with approximate location coordinates. The full set of fish data (including species at risk) are readily
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available for non-commercial purposes from the Ministry of Northern Development, Mines, Natural Resources, and Forestry in Ontario (https://geohub.lio.gov.on.ca/datasets/aquatic-resource-area-polygon-segment-) and Departments of Natural Resources in Michigan (https://www.michigan.gov/dnr/0,4570,7-350-79137_79765_84005_84013---,00.html) and Minnesota (https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/waters/surfacewater_section/lake_ibi/index.html) through their standard data-sharing agreements.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Maps presenting (a) lake sampling locations across Ontario, Minnesota, and Michigan; (b) portions of the major Southwestern Hudson Bay (green), Mississippi River (yellow), and St. Lawrence River (orange) drainage areas and their secondary drainages within our study region; (c) tertiary drainage areas (sub-sub-drainage or United States Geological Survey hydrologic unit code 8) in the Lake Huron watershed showing regional habitat density; and (d) a single tertiary drainage area showing river network dispersal distance between a lake sampling location (green point) and its nearest secondary drainage feature (Lake Huron; red point).

Figure 2. Maps presenting network modularity results for site nodes summarized by tertiary drainages to identify bioregions. Bioregions were assigned to the dominant primary module (a) and submodule (c-f) across sites in each drainage. Participation coefficients measure diversity of connections between primary modules and were assigned to the mean across sites, with greater values indicating bioregional transition zones (b).

Figure 3. Hierarchical edge bundling plot showing network modularity results for fish nodes to identify recurrent assemblages. Connections (edges) denote fish co-occurrences, with darker lines indicating more observations. Around the outside of the plot, node sizes indicate relative frequencies of fish occurrence, node colours indicate fish submodule assignment, and label colours indicate fish primary module assignment. Colours correspond to bioregions in Figure 2.

Figure 4. Non-metric partial least-squares structural equation (NM-PLS-SEM) meta-model. Major biogeographic constructs (connectivity, climate, and habitat) were formed as linear combinations of observed spatial and environmental factors to explain variation in primary site module assignment. Biogeographic constructs and site modules were treated as composite and
single-indicator latent variables (circles), respectively, for the inner structural model. Spatial and environmental factors (and nominal indicators of fish modules) were treated as formative indicators (rectangles) of their respective latent variables.

Figure 5. Results of NM-PLS-SEM and multiple logistic regression of primary site modules on biogeographic constructs. Outer measurement model results (a) are presented as weights (darker bars; representing multiple ordinary least-squares regression coefficients) and loadings (lighter bars; representing Pearson correlation coefficients) for standardized indicators. Inner structural model results (b) are presented as path coefficients from multiple logistic regression for latent variables. Error bars show the lower $2.5^{\text {th }}$ and upper $97.5^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of bootstrap and profile likelihood confidence intervals for outer and inner model coefficients, respectively. Detailed results are available in Supplementary Tables S1.5-S1.8. Colours and model structure correspond to the meta-model presented in Figure 4.

Figure 6. Approximately area-proportional Euler plots showing the total, shared, and unique explanatory power of biogeographic constructs (connectivity, climate, and habitat) in logistic regression. Fractions are expressed as Tjur's R ${ }^{2}$ (coefficients of discrimination) from models of primary site modules (binary responses) predicted by latent variable scores (calculated by NM-PLS-SEM). Colours correspond to the meta-model presented in Figure 4.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.
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