DR FLAVIA V. CASTELINO (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-9862-0299)

DR VICTORIA K. SHANMUGAM (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5882-4884)

Article type : Original Article

Baseline Characteristics of Systemic Sclerosis Patients with Restrictive Lung Disease in a Multi-Center US Based Longitudinal Registry

Flavia V. Castelino, MD¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9862-0299

John M. VanBuren, PhD²

Emily Startup, MS²

Shervin Assassi, MD, MS³ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8059-9978

Elana J. Bernstein, MD, MSc⁴ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5560-6390

Lorinda Chung, MD, MS⁵ https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0072-6939

Chase Correia, MD, MS⁶ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9906-7093

Luke B. Evnin, PhD⁷

Tracy M. Frech, MD, MS⁸ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5472-3840

Jessica K. Gordon, MD, MSc⁹

Faye N. Hant, DO¹⁰

Laura K. Hummers, MD, ScM¹¹

Dinesh Khanna, MD, MSc¹² https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1412-4453

Nora Sandorfi, MD¹³

Ami A. Shah, MD, MHS¹¹ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1139-2009

Victoria K. Shanmugam, MBBS, MRCP¹⁴ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5882-4884

Virginia Steen, MD¹⁵ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6333-0133

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/1756-185X.14253</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- ¹ Division of Rheumatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- ² University of Utah, Department or Pediatrics, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
- ³The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁴ Division of Rheumatology, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

⁵Stanford University and Palo Alto Veterans Affairs Health Care System, Department of Medicine and Dermatology, Division of Rheumatology, Stanford, CA, USA

⁶Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Chicago, IL, USA

⁷Scleroderma Research Foundation, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁸University of Utah and Salt Lake Veterans Affair Medical Center, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

⁹Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA

¹⁰Medical University of South Carolina, Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Charleston, SC, USA

¹¹Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Baltimore, MD, USA

¹²University of Michigan Scleroderma Program, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

¹³Division of Rheumatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

¹⁴Division of Rheumatology, The George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, DC, USA

¹⁵Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC, USA.

Correspondence:

Flavia V. Castelino, M.D.

MGH Rheumatology

55 Fruit St, Yawkey 2C-2100

Boston, MA 02114

fcastelino@mgh.harvard.edu

Keywords: scleroderma, systemic sclerosis, restrictive lung disease, interstitial lung disease, registry

Funding:

CONQUER is supported by funds from the Scleroderma Research Foundation (SRF). The SRF has received financial support for CONQUER from the founding sponsors, Boehringer Ingelheim and Actelion Pharmaceuticals U.S., Inc (a Janssen Pharmaceutical Company of Johnson & Johnson). EJB is supported by NIH NIAMS grant K23AR075112. LC receives funding from the Scleroderma Research Foundation and Boehringer Ingelheim. TMF is supported by NIH NIAMS grant K23AR067889. DK is supported by NIH NIAMS K24AR063120. AS is supported by NIH NIAMS R01 R01AR073284 and the Scleroderma Research Foundation.

Conflict of Interest:

FVC reports consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim. SA reports consulting fees from Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Corbus. EJB has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim. LC has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Genentech, Mitsubishi Tanabe, and Eicos Sciences and served on the Data Safety Monitoring Board for Reata. LBE is Chairman of the Board of the Scleroderma Research Foundation (a volunteer, uncompensated position) and is co-founder and coowner of MPM Capital, which has invested in various biopharmaceutical companies; LBE represents MPM Capital on the Board of Directors for each of Blade Therapeutics, Trishula Therapeutics, Oncorus, Frontier Medicines, Werewolf Therapeutics, TwentyEight-Seven Therapeutics and Umoja Biopharma. LBE owns stock directly in Eicos Sciences, an affiliate of CiVi Biopharma. LKH has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus Pharmaceuticals, CSL Behring. DK reports personal fees from Acceleron, Actelion, Amgen, Bayer, Blade Therapeutics, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Corbus, Galapagos, Genentech/Roche, Horizon, Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis, and United Therapeutics. DK is Chief Medical Officer of Eicos Sciences, Inc, a subsidiary of Civi BioPharma and has stock options. VS has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Eicos Sciences, Inc. Other authors have disclosed no conflicts of interest.

Abstract

Aim: Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is the leading cause of disease-related death in systemic sclerosis (SSc). Here, we assess baseline characteristics of SSc subjects with and without restrictive lung disease (RLD) in a multi-center, US-based registry.

Methods: SSc patients within 5 years of disease onset were enrolled in the Collaborative National Quality and Efficacy Registry (CONQUER), a multi-center US-based registry of SSc study participants (age≥18 years) enrolled at 13 expert centers. All subjects met 2013 ACR/EULAR Criteria. Subjects with a pulmonary function test (PFT) at baseline before April 1, 2020 were included. HRCT chest was not available to characterize ILD for all subjects. RLD was defined as forced vital capacity (FVC) <80% or total lung capacity (TLC) <80% predicted.

Results: 160 (45%) SSc subjects were characterized as RLD. There was no significant difference in age, sex or disease duration. RLD subjects had a mean disease duration from date of first non-Raynaud's symptom of 2.6 years and a mean FVC % predicted of 67% at baseline. In multivariable analysis, non-white race, higher physician global health assessment and modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea scores, were independently associated with RLD. In the subgroup of RLD subjects with ILD, ILD had a negative correlation with RNA polymerase III antibody.

Conclusion: CONQUER is the largest, multi-center, prospective cohort of early SSc patients in the US. Non-white race was independently associated with RLD. In addition, 45% of CONQUER subjects already had RLD, highlighting the importance of screening for SSc-ILD at initial diagnosis.

Introduction

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a systemic autoimmune disease affecting multiple organ systems and is characterized by autoimmunity, vasculopathy and fibrosis. Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is the leading cause of death in SSc.¹ Due to disease

heterogeneity, evaluation and treatment of SSc is a major clinical challenge. ILD occurs in 40–60% of patients with SSc and accounts for 35–60% of mortality.^{2,3} The risk of developing ILD is greatest early in the course of SSc and identifying factors associated with restrictive lung disease (RLD) or ILD are important in the care and evaluation of SSc patients.⁴

Several international studies have evaluated SSc-ILD in their SSc patient populations, however these studies may not reflect the United States (US) SSc population.^{2,5–7} The purpose of this study was to assess baseline characteristics of SSc patients with and without RLD in a US-based multi-center registry. As high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the chest was not available for all subjects we first evaluated RLD in our cohort using pulmonary function testing (PFT) and then evaluated the subgroup of subjects with RLD who also had evidence of ILD by HRCT.

Patients and Methods

The Collaborative National Quality and Efficacy Registry (CONQUER) for SSc is a multi-center US-based registry of adult SSc patients (age ≥ 18 years) who enrolled within 5 years of their first non-Raynaud's symptom.⁸ Participants were recruited from 13 academic medical centers in the US: Columbia University, George Washington University, Georgetown University, Hospital for Special Surgery, Johns Hopkins University, Massachusetts General Hospital, Medical University of South Carolina, Northwestern University, Stanford University, University of Michigan, University of Pennsylvania, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, and University of Utah. All subjects met 2013 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for SSc. The institutional review boards at each of the 13 participating centers approved the study. All participants in CONQUER provided written informed consent, data were collected at the time of a routine clinic appointment and patients completed study questionnaires and clinical measurements at enrollment.

CONQUER subjects with baseline visits between June 6, 2018 and April 1, 2020 were included in this analysis. Data were locked for analyses on February 26, 2021. Subjects were classified as having RLD based on PFT, defined as forced vital capacity (FVC) <80% predicted or total lung capacity (TLC) <80% predicted. Subjects were

classified as having ILD if they had one of the following diagnosed on a HRCT of the chest: ground glass opacities (GGOs), reticular changes, traction bronchiectasis or honeycombing. Three population definitions were examined in this analysis: subjects with known RLD, subjects with RLD plus confirmed ILD (based on HRCT), and subjects with ILD regardless of RLD status.

Statistical Analysis

Subject baseline characteristics were summarized using frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Summaries are presented overall as well as by diagnosis. Variables were compared between disease diagnoses using a Chi-squared test for categorical variables and T-tests with unpooled variance estimates for continuous variables. In cases of small cell counts, Fisher's exact tests were used. Medication use was recorded for each subject at baseline.

Stepwise selection was performed to predict RLD and RLD with ILD. Variables with more than 20% missing in univariable analyses and variables with few occurrences were not considered for multivariable modeling. The associations of subject characteristics to RLD or RLD with ILD at baseline were assessed using stepwise logistic regression with the probability of entry of 0.15 and the probability of removal of 0.20. Multicollinearity of the final model was assessed using Variance Inflation Factors (VIF). For all analyses, likelihood ratio tests were used for p-value calculations. Statistical significance in the models was pre-defined as a two-sided p-value<0.05. All analyses were performed using SAS software v9.4 (Cary, NC).

Results

Three hundred and fifty-seven adult subjects with SSc were enrolled in the CONQUER registry from June 2018 and April 2020. The characteristics of subjects are summarized in Table 1. 160 (45%) SSc subjects were defined as having RLD at baseline and 122 (76.3%) had baseline HRCT imaging available. More subjects with RLD were black or African American (35 subjects (21.9%)) and had diffuse cutaneous disease (112 subjects (70%)) with a mean modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS) of 15.

There were no significant differences in disease duration between groups. Though there were more subjects in CONQUER with a positive Scl-70 antibody compared to centromere antibody, the frequency of Scl-70 antibodies was not different in subjects with and without RLD. Subjects with RLD were less likely to have a positive centromere antibody (7.5% vs. 15.2%, p=0.016), and more likely to have digital pitting scars (28.8% vs. 18.3%, p=0.018) compared to subjects without RLD.

Mean % predicted FVC was 67% in the RLD group compared to 97% in the non-RLD group. Subjects with RLD had worse New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class (II or higher) compared to those without RLD. 122/160 (76.3%) subjects with RLD and 117/197 (59.4%) subjects without RLD had a HRCT. Of those subjects with RLD who underwent HRCT, 43 (35.2%) subjects had a patulous esophagus (increased esophageal diameter as defined by the radiologist) on HRCT compared to 12 (10.3%) subjects without RLD. Importantly, 45 (38.5%) subjects without RLD had evidence of GGOs on HRCT and 28 (23.9%) subjects had reticular changes, suggesting the importance of the HRCT in defining ILD. These subjects, however, may have had additional risk factors for ILD prompting further evaluation by HRCT.

With regards to physician and patient assessments, the physician global assessment was worse in RLD subjects, with a mean score of 4.1 vs. 2.9 in subjects without RLD. Dyspnea measures, including the scleroderma health assessment questionnaire (SHAQ) breathlessness scores, modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) dyspnea scale and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) dyspnea scores were significantly worse in subjects with RLD, as expected. In terms of medication usage, 101 (63.1%) subjects with RLD were treated with mycophenolate mofetil compared to 85 (43.1%) subjects without RLD. 6 (3.8%) RLD subjects were treated with nintedanib and 1 (0.6%) RLD subject was treated with tocilizumab. 108 (67.5%) subjects with RLD were treated with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI) compared to 106 (53.8%) without RLD.

Univariate analyses of characteristics associated with RLD are summarized in Table 2. After stepwise model selection, the final multivariable model (Table 3), contained the following five variables, race, gastrointestinal tract, crackles on exam, physician global health assessment and the mMRC dyspnea scale. Non-white race,

higher physician global health assessment scores and mMRC dyspnea scale were associated with RLD at baseline. Gastrointestinal tract involvement was not significantly associated with RLD.

To better understand those subjects with RLD who had radiographic evidence of ILD, we evaluated RLD subjects who also had a HRCT performed at baseline. Table 4 summarizes the demographics and characteristics of this subgroup of RLD subjects with and without ILD. Overall, there were no significant differences in age, sex, disease duration, smoking history, physician and patient assessments between those with and without ILD. Medication usage was similar between the subject groups. 82 (67%) subjects had evidence of ILD, defined as having one of the following features on HRCT, GGOs, honeycombing, reticular changes or traction bronchiectasis. 43 (52%) subjects had traction bronchiectasis, suggesting more advanced ILD. Of these 43 subjects, 29 subjects had diffuse SSc, 19 subjects had a positive Scl-70 antibody and 1 had a positive centromere antibody. Mean disease duration from date of first non-Raynaud's symptom was 2.95 years and mean mRSS was 12. Additionally, 40 (33%) subjects did not have ILD suggesting other potential etiologies for RLD including extrinsic causes such as limitations in chest wall movement, or neuromuscular involvement affecting the respiratory muscles. Further evaluation of all subjects with and without ILD (regardless of RLD status), did not reveal any stastistically significant differences in body mass index (BMI), MRSS or creatine kinase (CK). (Supplemental Table 1).

Univariate analyses of RLD subjects with and without ILD are summarized in Table 5. In multivariable modeling (Table 6), a positive RNA polymerase III antibody was negatively associated with ILD. Further evaluation of the skin score (MRSS) of subjects with a positive RNA polymerase III antibody and no evidence of ILD were not significantly different between subjects with and without RLD (RLD positive 20.6 vs. RLD negative 21.3, p=0.89, Wilcoxon rank-sum test)

Discussion

In this study, we describe the baseline characteristics of SSc subjects with RLD enrolled in the first 22 months of CONQUER, a multi-center prospective registry of US SSc subjects within 5 years of SSc onset. We found that 45% of subjects already had

RLD with a mean FVC of 67% predicted at entry into the registry, highlighting the importance of screening for ILD at SSc diagnosis. RLD also independently correlated with non-white (African American, Asian or other) race, higher physician global health assessment and mMRC dyspnea scores. Not all subjects with RLD had a HRCT at baseline to evaluate ILD, which resulted in a smaller ILD subgroup for analysis. Here, ILD had a negative correlation with RNA polymerase III antibody, similar to other studies where a positive anti-RNA polymerase III is reported to be less likely associated with SSc-ILD.9

Prior observational studies have demonstrated that race, particularly African American race in SSc is associated with more severe restrictive lung disease. 10–12 While our study evaluated "non-white" race as a group, the majority were African American and the findings here are similar to those in other studies. As we continue to enroll subjects in CONQUER, further study into racial disparities and its impact on SSc-ILD are warranted.

In our cohort, a higher proportion of RLD subjects who underwent HRCT had a patulous esophagus (35.2% vs. 10.3%, p<0.001) compared to subjects without RLD. Prior studies have demonstrated that SSc subjects with more gastrointestinal symptoms had a lower FVC % predicted on PFTs; additionally, increased esophageal diameter on HRCT is associated with more severe radiographic ILD and lower lung volumes in SSc subjects. 13,14 43 (52.4%) RLD subjects with ILD had evidence of traction bronchiectasis, suggesting more advanced ILD. These patients were primarily of the diffuse SSc subtype (n=29, 67%). The extent of traction bronchiectasis is a strong determinant of mortality in connective tissue disease related ILD. 15

In those subjects without RLD, a sizeable percentage (23.9-38.5%) of subjects had evidence of ILD on HRCT. Prior studies have shown considerable variability in ordering HRCTs in screening for SSc-ILD, and PFTs alone are inadequate for assessment of ILD in SSc.^{16–18} Our findings do emphasize the need for careful review of HRCT and utilizing both HRCT and PFTs in the assessment of ILD in SSc subjects, consistent with prior consensus statements recommending all SSc subjects be screened with HRCT.¹⁹ However, we should note that this subset of subjects without RLD who had evidence of ILD on HRCT may have had other risk factors for ILD (i.e.

diffuse skin disease, African-American race, positive Scl-70 antibodies) thereby impacting the decision to order a HRCT. Our study also found that 33% of RLD subjects did not have ILD on HRCT suggesting other potential extrinsic etiologies for RLD that will need to be explored further. Prior studies have found that SSc subjects can exhibit respiratory muscle weakness contributing to reductions in FVC and TLC; overlap conditions such as myositis can additionally contribute to RLD.^{19,20} Evaluation of all subjects with and without ILD, regardless of RLD did not show any differences in creatine kinase (Supplemental Table 1).

Of the patient reported outcomes collected, higher mMRC dyspnea scores were independently associated with RLD. The mMRC dyspnea scale queries dyspnea on five scaled statements of dyspnea in relation to life activities, similar to the NYHA classification.²² The mMRC is validated in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) but not in SSc-ILD.²³ RLD subjects in our cohort demonstrated difficulty with breathlessness as expected compared to those without RLD.

Medication usage in CONQUER was generally similar between subjects with and without RLD, although mycophenolate mofetil and PPIs were used more frequently in RLD subjects. While two new drugs, nintedanib and tocilizumab, were recently FDA approved for the treatment of SSc-ILD, only a few subjects with RLD were treated with these medications at the time of our data collection.^{24–26}

Our study has some limitations. First, due to available PFT data we were primarily able to assess RLD. Historically, FVC has been used to monitor SSc-ILD progression however there is debate on its utility as a surrogate marker for ILD.^{27,28} We defined RLD based on FVC or TLC <80% predicted, similar to many other studies in SSc-ILD but different from American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines which define RLD as TLC <80% predicted. ^{4,29,30} Second, CONQUER is a prospective multi-center registry of patients seen at specialized SSc centers and may be subject to referral bias with potentially sicker SSc patients being captured within 5 years of their disease onset and hence a significant proportion having RLD. All tests and clinical assessments were performed at the discretion of the individual clinician, and PFT or HRCT testing is not an absolute requirement for entry into the registry, nor is the cost of these studies covered by the study. Third, as HRCT results were not available for all participants in

CONQUER, we were only able to evaluate ILD in a subgroup of RLD subjects. For subjects in the ILD subgroup, centralized reading of HRCT scans was not performed and ILD extent in subgroups could not be quantified.

There are notable strengths of our study. CONQUER is the largest, multi-center, prospective cohort of early stage SSc patients in the US and allows for longitudinal collection of data in a multi-center group of SSc subjects seen by scleroderma specialists at expert centers. The criteria for early disease duration of less than 5 years will provide crucial information for the early, active time of the disease. Additionally, we anticipate that with the diverse location of scleroderma centers across the US, the findings we obtain from CONQUER will translate to most SSc patients.

In summary, we found that non-white race was independently associated with RLD. Additionally, 45% of subjects in CONQUER with early disease already had RLD, highlighting the importance of screening for ILD at the time of SSc diagnosis. Ultimately, we hope that data from the CONQUER SSc Registry will allow us to refine care for SSc patients and track patient outcomes that will enable more individualized care for patients with SSc.

References

- Tyndall AJ, Bannert B, Vonk M, et al. Causes and risk factors for death in systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2010;69(10):1809-1815. doi:10.1136/ard.2009.114264
- Steele R, Hudson M, Lo E, Baron M, Canadian Scleroderma Research Group.
 Clinical decision rule to predict the presence of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2012;64(4):519-524.
 doi:10.1002/acr.21583
- 3. McNearney TA, Reveille JD, Fischbach M, et al. Pulmonary involvement in systemic sclerosis: associations with genetic, serologic, sociodemographic, and behavioral factors. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2007;57(2):318-326. doi:10.1002/art.22532

- Steen VD, Conte C, Owens GR, Medsger TA. Severe restrictive lung disease in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1994;37(9):1283-1289. doi:10.1002/art.1780370903
- 5. Sánchez-Cano D, Ortego-Centeno N, Callejas JL, et al. Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: data from the spanish scleroderma study group. *Rheumatol Int*. 2018;38(3):363-374. doi:10.1007/s00296-017-3916-x
- Hoffmann-Vold AM, Allanore Y, Alves M, et al. Progressive interstitial lung disease in patients with systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease in the EUSTAR database. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2021;80(2):219-227. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217455
- 7. Morrisroe K, Stevens W, Sahhar J, et al. The clinical and economic burden of systemic sclerosis related interstitial lung disease. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2020;59(8):1878-1888. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kez532
- Shanmugam VK, Frech TM, Steen VD, et al. Collaborative National Quality and Efficacy Registry (CONQUER) for Scleroderma: outcomes from a multicenter USbased systemic sclerosis registry. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2020;39(1):93-102. doi:10.1007/s10067-019-04792-y
- Liaskos C, Marou E, Simopoulou T, et al. Disease-related autoantibody profile in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Autoimmunity*. 2017;50(7):414-421. doi:10.1080/08916934.2017.1357699
- Steen V, Domsic RT, Lucas M, Fertig N, Medsger TA. A clinical and serologic comparison of African American and Caucasian patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2012;64(9):2986-2994. doi:10.1002/art.34482
- Gelber AC, Manno RL, Shah AA, et al. Race and association with disease manifestations and mortality in scleroderma: a 20-year experience at the Johns Hopkins Scleroderma Center and review of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2013;92(4):191-205. doi:10.1097/MD.0b013e31829be125

- 12. Moore DF, Kramer E, Eltaraboulsi R, Steen VD. Increased Morbidity and Mortality of Scleroderma in African Americans Compared to Non-African Americans. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2019;71(9):1154-1163. doi:10.1002/acr.23861
- Zhang XJ, Bonner A, Hudson M, Canadian Scleroderma Research Group, Baron M, Pope J. Association of gastroesophageal factors and worsening of forced vital capacity in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 2013;40(6):850-858. doi:10.3899/jrheum.120705
- Richardson C, Agrawal R, Lee J, et al. Esophageal dilatation and interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: A cross-sectional study. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2016;46(1):109-114. doi:10.1016/j.semarthrit.2016.02.004
- 15. Walsh SLF, Sverzellati N, Devaraj A, Keir GJ, Wells AU, Hansell DM. Connective tissue disease related fibrotic lung disease: high resolution computed tomographic and pulmonary function indices as prognostic determinants. *Thorax*. 2014;69(3):216-222. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-203843
- Bernstein EJ, Khanna D, Lederer DJ. Screening High-Resolution Computed Tomography of the Chest to Detect Interstitial Lung Disease in Systemic Sclerosis: A Global Survey of Rheumatologists. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2018;70(6):971-972. doi:10.1002/art.40441
- 17. Bernstein EJ, Jaafar S, Assassi S, et al. Performance Characteristics of Pulmonary Function Tests for the Detection of Interstitial Lung Disease in Adults With Early Diffuse Cutaneous Systemic Sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2020;72(11):1892-1896. doi:10.1002/art.41415
- Suliman YA, Dobrota R, Huscher D, et al. Brief Report: Pulmonary Function Tests: High Rate of False-Negative Results in the Early Detection and Screening of Scleroderma-Related Interstitial Lung Disease. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2015;67(12):3256-3261. doi:10.1002/art.39405

- Hoffmann-Vold AM, Maher TM, Philpot EE, et al. The identification and management of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: evidence-based European consensus statements. *The Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020;2(2):e71-e83. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(19)30144-4
- Pugazhenthi M, Cooper D, Ratnakant BS, Postlethwaite A, Carbone L.
 Hypercapnic respiratory failure in systemic sclerosis. *J Clin Rheumatol*.
 2003;9(1):43-46. doi:10.1097/01.RHU.0000049714.86978.77
- 21. Chausow AM, Kane T, Levinson D, Szidon JP. Reversible hypercapnic respiratory insufficiency in scleroderma caused by respiratory muscle weakness. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1984;130(1):142-144. doi:10.1164/arrd.1984.130.1.142
- 22. Papiris SA, Daniil ZD, Malagari K, et al. The Medical Research Council dyspnea scale in the estimation of disease severity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Respir Med*. 2005;99(6):755-761. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2004.10.018
- Manali ED, Lyberopoulos P, Triantafillidou C, et al. MRC chronic Dyspnea Scale: Relationships with cardiopulmonary exercise testing and 6-minute walk test in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients: a prospective study. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2010;10:32. doi:10.1186/1471-2466-10-32
- 24. Distler O, Highland KB, Gahlemann M, et al. Nintedanib for Systemic Sclerosis-Associated Interstitial Lung Disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(26):2518-2528. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1903076
- 25. Khanna D, Lin CJF, Furst DE, et al. Tocilizumab in systemic sclerosis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(10):963-974. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30318-0
- 26. Roofeh D, Lin CJF, Goldin J, et al. Tocilizumab Prevents Progression of Early Systemic Sclerosis Associated Interstitial Lung Disease. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. Published online February 3, 2021:art.41668. doi:10.1002/art.41668

- Wells AU, Hansell DM, Rubens MB, et al. Fibrosing alveolitis in systemic sclerosis: indices of lung function in relation to extent of disease on computed tomography.
 Arthritis Rheum. 1997;40(7):1229-1236. doi:10.1002/1529-0131(199707)40:7<1229::AID-ART6>3.0.CO;2-W
- 28. Tashkin DP, Volkmann ER, Tseng CH, et al. Relationship between quantitative radiographic assessments of interstitial lung disease and physiological and clinical features of systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2016;75(2):374-381. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-206076
- 29. Caron M, Hoa S, Hudson M, Schwartzman K, Steele R. Pulmonary function tests as outcomes for systemic sclerosis interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2018;27(148). doi:10.1183/16000617.0102-2017
- 30. Pellegrino R. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2005;26(5):948-968. doi:10.1183/09031936.05.00035205

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by RLD

		SSc-RLD		
	Overall	Yes	No	
	(N = 357)	(N = 160)	(N = 197)	P-value
Age (years) at baseline visit: N, Mean (SD)	357, 51.7 (13.75)	160, 50.5 (14.15)	197, 52.8 (13.37)	0.128 ¹
Sex: Female	293 (82.1%)	126 (78.8%)	167 (84.8%)	0.140^{2}
BMI (kg/m²): N, Mean (SD)	323, 26.4 (5.54)	148, 26.7 (5.71)	175, 26.0 (5.39)	0.273 ¹
Race				<.001 ³
White	283 (79.3%)	106 (66.3%)	177 (89.8%)	
Black or African American	41 (11.5%)	35 (21.9%)	6 (3.0%)	
Other	29 (8.1%)	18 (11.3%)	11 (5.6%)	
Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino	41 (11.5%)	19 (11.9%)	22 (11.2%)	0.856^{2}
Employment status ⁴				0.0142
Full-time	166 (46.5%)	73 (45.6%)	93 (47.2%)	
Retired	72 (20.2%)	30 (18.8%)	42 (21.3%)	
Disabled	46 (12.9%)	30 (18.8%)	16 (8.1%)	
Other	58 (16.2%)	20 (12.5%)	38 (19.3%)	
Smoking status				0.173 ³
Never	234 (65.5%)	111 (69.4%)	123 (62.4%)	
Former	110 (30.8%)	46 (28.8%)	64 (32.5%)	
Current	13 (3.6%)	3 (1.9%)	10 (5.1%)	
Disease duration (years) from date of first non-Raynauds symptom to baseline visit:	357, 2.6 (1.39)	160, 2.6 (1.33)	197, 2.6 (1.44)	0.939 ¹
N, Mean (SD) Disease duration (years) from date of first Raynauds symptom to baseline visit: N, Mean (SD)	345, 4.7 (6.88)	155, 4.7 (6.94)	190, 4.7 (6.85)	0.939 ¹
SSc subtype at baseline				<.001 ²
Limited cutaneous	142 (39.8%)	48 (30.0%)	94 (47.7%)	
Diffuse cutaneous	215 (60.2%)	112 (70.0%)	103 (52.3%)	
ANA positive	319 (89.4%)	142 (88.8%)	177 (89.8%)	0.384 ³
ANA pattern ⁵				0.009^2

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by RLD

	SSc-RLD at baseline			
	Overall	Yes	No	
	(N = 357)	(N = 160)	(N = 197)	P-value
Centromere	54 (15.1%)	14 (8.8%)	40 (20.3%)	
Nucleolar	56 (15.7%)	30 (18.8%)	26 (13.2%)	
Other	184 (51.5%)	84 (52.5%)	100 (50.8%)	
Anti-centromere positive	42 (11.8%)	12 (7.5%)	30 (15.2%)	0.016 ²
Anti-ScI-70 positive	104 (29.1%)	50 (31.3%)	54 (27.4%)	0.728^{2}
Anti-RNA Polymerase III positive	90 (25.2%)	38 (23.8%)	52 (26.4%)	0.133^{2}
Anti-U1-RNP positive	27 (7.6%)	17 (10.6%)	10 (5.1%)	0.032^{3}
Creatine Kinase (CK) N, Mean (SD)	242, 182.5	113, 190.9	129, 175.2	0.804 ¹
	(510.94)	(283.52)	(648.91)	
Modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS) at	357, 12.8 (10.82)	160, 15.0 (11.28)	197, 11.0 (10.11)	<.001 ¹
baseline: N, Mean (SD)				
Digital pitting scars	82 (23.0%)	46 (28.8%)	36 (18.3%)	0.018 ²
Digital ulcers	18 (5.0%)	11 (6.9%)	7 (3.6%)	0.2233
Gastric antral vascular ectasia ⁶	33 (9.2%)	21 (13.1%)	12 (6.1%)	0.023^{2}
GI Tract: Not normal ⁷	262 (73.4%)	127 (79.4%)	135 (68.5%)	0.023^{2}
Crackles on exam	76 (21.3%)	54 (33.8%)	22 (11.2%)	<.001 ²
New York Heart Association (NYHA)				<.001 ³
functional class at baseline				
Class I	208 (58.3%)	78 (48.8%)	130 (66.0%)	
Class II	116 (32.5%)	59 (36.9%)	57 (28.9%)	
Class III, IV	31 (8.7%)	23 (14.4%)	8 (4.1%)	
Pulmonary and Cardiac Testing				
FVC % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	357, 83.6 (20.17)	160, 67.0 (15.26)	197, 97.0 (11.98)	
FEV1 % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	352, 84.3 (19.38)	156, 69.3 (15.47)	196, 96.1 (12.89)	<.001 ¹
FEV1/FVC (actual): N, Mean (SD)	349, 82.3 (11.18)	154, 84.7 (9.40)	195, 80.4 (12.10)	<.001
TLC % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	244, 86.7 (21.80)	116, 72.1 (18.67)	128, 99.9 (14.93)	
DLCO % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	323, 71.2 (23.87)	144, 57.8 (21.39)	179, 82.0 (20.05)	<.001 ¹
Baseline supplemental oxygen use	15 (4.2%)	11 (6.9%)	4 (2.0%)	0.031 ³
Six Minute Walk Test distance (meters) ⁸	423.5 (197.42)	373.6 (139.78)	497.3 (245.72)	0.036 ¹

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by RLD

		at baseline		
	Overall	Yes	No	_
	(N = 357)	(N = 160)	(N = 197)	P-value
HRCT performed at baseline	239 (66.9%)	122 (76.3%)	117 (59.4%)	<.001 ²
Ground glass opacity	107 (44.8%)	62 (50.8%)	45 (38.5%)	0.116 ²
Reticular changes	69 (28.9%)	41 (33.6%)	28 (23.9%)	0.127^2
Honeycombing	17 (7.1%)	14 (11.5%)	3 (2.6%)	0.010 ³
Traction Bronchiectasis	62 (25.9%)	43 (35.2%)	19 (16.2%)	0.001^{2}
Patulous esophagus	55 (23.0%)	43 (35.2%)	12 (10.3%)	<.001 ²
Assessments ⁹				
Participant global health at baseline	4.0 (2.58)	4.3 (2.47)	3.7 (2.63)	0.026 ¹
Physician global health at baseline	3.4 (2.03)	4.1 (2.09)	2.9 (1.80)	<.001
Physician global damage at baseline	3.9 (6.96)	4.3 (2.18)	3.7 (9.16)	0.389 ¹
SHAQ breathlessness score at baseline	3.3 (11.37)	5.1 (15.01)	1.9 (7.03)	0.017 ¹
mMRC dyspnea scale at baseline				<.001 ²
0	126 (35.3%)	34 (21.3%)	92 (46.7%)	
1	122 (34.2%)	58 (36.3%)	64 (32.5%)	
2-4	66 (18.5%)	44 (27.5%)	22 (11.2%)	
FACIT dyspnea score at baseline	6.5 (6.81)	8.4 (7.52)	5.0 (5.79)	<.001
Medications				
Azathioprine ¹⁰	5 (1.4%)	2 (1.3%)	3 (1.5%)	1.000 ³
Cyclophosphamide	2 (0.6%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.200^{3}
Hydroxychloroquine	75 (21.0%)	33 (20.6%)	42 (21.3%)	0.873^{2}
Methotrexate	32 (9.0%)	13 (8.1%)	19 (9.6%)	0.617^{2}
Mycophenolate Mofetil	186 (52.1%)	101 (63.1%)	85 (43.1%)	<.001 ²
Nintedanib	7 (2.0%)	6 (3.8%)	1 (0.5%)	0.048 ³
Prednisone ¹⁰	72 (20.2%)	40 (25.0%)	32 (16.2%)	0.040^{2}
Rituximab ¹¹	6 (1.7%)	4 (2.5%)	2 (1.0%)	0.414 ³
Tocilizumab	3 (0.8%)	1 (0.6%)	2 (1.0%)	1.000 ³
PPI ¹²	214 (59.9%)	108 (67.5%)	106 (53.8%)	0.009^2

Table 1. Patient Characteristics by RLD

		SSc-RLD at baselin	le
O	verall Y	es 1	No
(N :	= 357) (N =	: 160) (N =	= 197) P-value

RLD is defined by FVC or TLC <80 percent predicted. Sample contains subject with baseline PFT before April 1, 2020 with non-missing FVC or TLC predicted values.

All p-values for categorical variables exclude missings, except where the missingness is informative which is the case for the following variables: ANA, Antibodies, and GAVE.

¹ T-test with unpooled variance estimates.

² Chi-squared test.

³ Fisher's exact test.

⁴ Employment status of 'Other' includes part-time, homemaker, student or unemployed.

⁵ ANA pattern of 'Other' includes speckled, homogenous and mixed pattern

⁶ GAVE displays counts and percent of Yes out of No/Missing with missings assuming no GAVE.

⁷ GI Tract not normal: distal esophageal hypoperistalsis; small bowel abnormal (e.g. reflux, bloating, distension) or antibiotics required for bacterial overgrowth or malabsorption syndrome; episodes of pseudo-obstruction or hyperalimentation required.

⁸ Six minute walk test n=57 (16%) RLD: 34 (21%) No RLD: 23 (12%)

⁹ Participant global assessment n=323 (142 RLD, 181 no RLD) Physician global health n=355 (159 RLD, 196 no RLD) Physician global damage n=355 (159 RLD, 196 no RLD) SHAQ breathlessness score n=326 (144 RLD, 182 no RLD) FACIT dyspnea score n=318 (139 RLD, 179 no RLD)

¹⁰Indications for azathioprine, prednisone: skin, myositis, arthritis, ILD, other.

¹¹Indications for rituximab: skin, arthritis, ILD, other.

¹²PPI (Proton pump inhibitor) includes omeprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, rabeprazole, pantoprazole, dexlansoprazole.

Table 2. Univariable analyses for RLD

		SSc-RLD at ba	seline
	_	Odds ratio	
	% Missing ¹	(95% CI)	P-value
Age (years) at baseline visit	0%	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.125
Sex (Female vs. Male)	0%	0.67 (0.39, 1.14)	0.141
Race (Non-white vs. White)	1%	5.21 (2.92, 9.70)	<.001
Ethnicity (Hispanic or Latino vs. Not Hispanic or Latino)	2%	1.06 (0.55, 2.04)	0.856
Employment status (Not full-time vs. Full-time)	4%	1.06 (0.69, 1.63)	0.783
Ever smoked (Yes vs. No)	0%	0.73 (0.47, 1.14)	0.169
Disease duration (years) from date of first non-Raynaud's	0%	1.01 (0.87, 1.17)	0.939
symptom to baseline visit			
SSc subtype (Diffuse cutaneous vs. Limited cutaneous)	0%	2.13 (1.38, 3.32)	<.001
ANA	0%		0.381
Positive vs. Negative		0.60 (0.24, 1.46)	
Not Assessed vs. Negative		0.41 (0.10, 1.49)	
Centromere	0%		0.006
Positive vs. Negative		0.38 (0.20, 0.70)	
Not Assessed vs. Negative		0.70 (0.41, 1.20)	
Anti-ScI-70	0%		0.729
Positive vs. Negative		1.21 (0.75, 1.94)	
Not Assessed vs. Negative		1.02 (0.52, 2.00)	
Anti-RNA Polymerase III	0%		0.132
Positive vs. Negative		0.72 (0.43, 1.21)	
Not Assessed vs. Negative		0.61 (0.37, 1.01)	
Modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS)	0%	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)	<.001
Digital pitting scars (Yes vs. No)	1%	1.81 (1.10, 3.00)	0.019
Digital ulcers (Yes vs. No)	0%	1.99 (0.77, 5.53)	0.158
Gastric antral vascular ectasia (Yes vs. No/Missing)	0%	2.33 (1.12, 5.03)	0.023
GI Tract (Not normal vs. Normal)	1%	1.76 (1.08, 2.91)	0.022
Crackles on exam (Yes vs. No)	0%	4.09 (2.39, 7.22)	<.001
New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class	1%		<.001
Class II vs. Class I		1.73 (1.09, 2.74)	

Table 2. Univariable analyses for RLD

SSc-RLD at baseline

	 % Missing ¹	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Class III, IV vs. Class I		4.79 (2.12, 11.91)	
Baseline supplemental oxygen use (Yes vs. No)	1%	3.61 (1.21, 13.23)	0.021
Six Minute Walk Test distance (every 50 meters)	84%	0.78 (0.60, 0.95)	0.010
Participant global health	10%	1.10 (1.01, 1.20)	0.027
Physician global health	1%	1.38 (1.23, 1.56)	<.001
Physician global damage	1%	1.01 (0.98, 1.06)	0.428
SHAQ breathlessness score	9%	1.04 (1.01, 1.12)	0.005
mMRC dyspnea scale	12%		<.001
1 vs. 0		2.45 (1.45, 4.20)	
2-4 vs. 0		5.41 (2.87, 10.48)	
FACIT dyspnea score	11%	1.08 (1.04, 1.12)	<.001

Results are based on univariable models.

¹ Rates of missingness are calculated out of the records with a non-missing value for the outcome (RLD). Note that ANA and Antibody variables have 0% missing because this missing is informative and thus included in the model. All variables in this table are considered for multivariable modeling with stepwise regression except Six Minute Walk Test due to missing.

Table 3. Multivariable model for RLD

	SSc-RLD at baseline		
	Odds ratio		
	(95% CI)	P-value	
Race		<.001	
White	Reference		
Non-white	4.29 (2.13, 9.07)		
GI Tract		0.112	
Normal	Reference		
Not normal	1.65 (0.89, 3.12)		
Crackles on exam		<.001	
No	Reference		
Yes	3.31 (1.76, 6.39)		
Physician global health	1.19 (1.04, 1.38)	0.013	
mMRC dyspnea scale		0.021	
0	Reference		
1	1.91 (1.05, 3.47)		
2-4	2.65 (1.26, 5.61)		

N=306

Results are based on a multivariable model, adjusting for each of the predictors in this table.

Table 4. Patient Characteristics by ILD status among those with RLD

		SSc-ILD a	SSc-ILD at baseline		
	Overall	Yes	No	•	
	(N = 122)	(N = 82)	(N = 40)	P-value	
Age (years) at baseline visit: N, Mean (SD)	122, 50.1 (14.07)	82, 51.2 (14.13)	40, 48.0 (13.88)	0.2441	
Sex: Female	95 (77.9%)	64 (78.0%)	31 (77.5%)	1.000 ³	
BMI (kg/m²): N, Mean (SD)	114, 26.4 (5.28)	76, 26.4 (5.11)	38, 26.6 (5.67)	0.845 ¹	
Race				0.536 ³	
White	82 (67.2%)	55 (67.1%)	27 (67.5%)		
Black or African American	23 (18.9%)	17 (20.7%)	6 (15.0%)		
Other	16 (13.1%)	9 (11.0%)	7 (17.5%)		
Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino	15 (12.3%)	10 (12.2%)	5 (12.5%)	1.000 ³	
Employment status				0.017^3	
Full-time	58 (47.5%)	40 (48.8%)	18 (45.0%)		
Retired	21 (17.2%)	18 (22.0%)	3 (7.5%)		
Disabled	22 (18.0%)	9 (11.0%)	13 (32.5%)		
Other	15 (12.3%)	11 (13.4%)	4 (10.0%)		
Smoking status				1.000 ³	
Never	86 (70.5%)	57 (69.5%)	29 (72.5%)		
Former	34 (27.9%)	23 (28.0%)	11 (27.5%)		
Current	2 (1.6%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)		
Disease duration (years) from date of first non-Raynauds symptom to baseline visit:	122, 2.7 (1.33)	82, 2.7 (1.32)	40, 2.6 (1.36)	0.924 ¹	
N, Mean (SD) Disease duration (years) from date of first Raynauds symptom to baseline visit: N, Mean (SD)	119, 5.3 (7.77)	81, 4.9 (7.09)	38, 6.1 (9.10)	0.465 ¹	
SSc subtype at baseline				0.934 ²	
Limited cutaneous	36 (29.5%)	24 (29.3%)	12 (30.0%)		
Diffuse cutaneous	86 (70.5%)	58 (70.7%)	28 (70.0%)		
ANA positive	108 (88.5%)	72 (87.8%)	36 (90.0%)	0.568 ³	
ANA pattern				0.176 ³	

Table 4. Patient Characteristics by ILD status among those with RLD

		SSc-ILD a		
	Overall	Yes	No	
	(N = 122)	(N = 82)	(N = 40)	P-value
Centromere	9 (7.4%)	6 (7.3%)	3 (7.5%)	
Nucleolar	21 (17.2%)	11 (13.4%)	10 (25.0%)	
Other	64 (52.5%)	47 (57.3%)	17 (42.5%)	
Anti-centromere positive	8 (6.6%)	5 (6.1%)	3 (7.5%)	0.887^3
Anti-Scl-70 positive	41 (33.6%)	33 (40.2%)	8 (20.0%)	0.073^{3}
Anti-RNA Polymerase III positive	29 (23.8%)	14 (17.1%)	15 (37.5%)	0.033^{3}
Anti-U1-RNP positive	14 (11.5%)	10 (12.2%)	4 (10.0%)	0.178 ³
Creatine Kinase (CK) N, Mean (SD)	86, 202.6 (312.03)	63, 213.2 (347.83)	23, 173.5 (184.36)	0.498 ¹
Modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS) at	122, 14.4 (10.68)	82, 13.7 (10.24)	40, 15.9 (11.52)	0.321 ¹
baseline: N, Mean (SD)				
Digital pitting scars	37 (30.3%)	24 (29.3%)	13 (32.5%)	0.747^{2}
Digital ulcers	6 (4.9%)	6 (7.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.176 ³
Gastric antral vascular ectasia	11 (9.0%)	7 (8.5%)	4 (10.0%)	0.749^3
GI Tract: Not normal	97 (79.5%)	64 (78.0%)	33 (82.5%)	0.639^3
Crackles on exam	47 (38.5%)	45 (54.9%)	2 (5.0%)	<.001 ³
New York Heart Association (NYHA)				0.191 ³
functional class at baseline				
Class I	59 (48.4%)	35 (42.7%)	24 (60.0%)	
Class II	45 (36.9%)	33 (40.2%)	12 (30.0%)	
Class III, IV	18 (14.8%)	14 (17.1%)	4 (10.0%)	
Pulmonary and Cardiac Testing				
FVC % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	122, 66.2 (15.43)	82, 64.5 (15.55)	40, 69.8 (14.72)	0.070 ¹
FEV1 % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	119, 68.7 (15.28)	80, 68.2 (14.89)	39, 69.5 (16.22)	0.681 ¹
FEV1/FVC (actual): N, Mean (SD)	117, 85.0 (9.79)	79, 86.0 (9.68)	38, 82.9 (9.83)	0.113 ¹
TLC % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	87, 71.0 (18.16)	57, 68.4 (18.80)	30, 76.0 (15.99)	0.052 ¹
DLCO % predicted: N, Mean (SD)	110, 56.4 (21.35)	75, 53.1 (20.78)	35, 63.5 (21.09)	0.018 ¹
Baseline supplemental oxygen use	9 (7.4%)	7 (8.5%)	2 (5.0%)	0.716 ³
Six Minute Walk Test distance (meters) ⁴	379.7 (139.29)	381.7 (144.32)	353.5 (40.31)	0.523 ¹
HRCT performed at baseline ⁵	122 (100.0%)	82 (100.0%)	40 (100.0%)	

Table 4. Patient Characteristics by ILD status among those with RLD

		SSc-ILD a	at baseline	
	Overall	Yes	No	_
	(N = 122)	(N = 82)	(N = 40)	P-value
Ground glass opacity	62 (50.8%)	62 (75.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
Reticular changes	41 (33.6%)	41 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Honeycombing	14 (11.5%)	14 (17.1%)	0 (0.0%)	
Traction Bronchiectasis ⁶	43 (35.2%)	43 (52.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Patulous esophagus	43 (35.2%)	33 (40.2%)	10 (25.0%)	0.137 ³
Assessments ⁷				
Participant global health at baseline	4.3 (2.49)	4.2 (2.61)	4.6 (2.24)	0.4441
Physician global health at baseline	4.0 (2.09)	4.1 (2.08)	3.7 (2.11)	0.308 ¹
Physician global damage at baseline	4.1 (2.12)	4.3 (2.16)	3.7 (1.99)	0.143 ¹
SHAQ breathlessness score at baseline	5.1 (15.02)	5.3 (15.04)	4.8 (15.19)	0.879 ¹
mMRC dyspnea scale at baseline				0.671 ³
0	25 (20.5%)	15 (18.3%)	10 (25.0%)	
1	45 (36.9%)	28 (34.1%)	17 (42.5%)	
2-4	31 (25.4%)	22 (26.8%)	9 (22.5%)	
FACIT dyspnea score at baseline	8.1 (7.19)	7.7 (6.95)	8.7 (7.70)	0.517 ¹
Medications				
Azathioprine	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000 ³
Cyclophosphamide	2 (1.6%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000 ³
Hydroxychloroquine	25 (20.5%)	17 (20.7%)	8 (20.0%)	1.000 ³
Methotrexate	9 (7.4%)	3 (3.7%)	6 (15.0%)	0.058 ³
Mycophenolate Mofetil	80 (65.6%)	54 (65.9%)	26 (65.0%)	0.926^{2}
Nintedanib	5 (4.1%)	5 (6.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0.171 ³
Prednisone	28 (23.0%)	17 (20.7%)	11 (27.5%)	0.404^{2}
Rituximab	4 (3.3%)	4 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0.302 ³
Tocilizumab	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1.000 ³
PPI ⁸	87 (71.3%)	58 (70.7%)	29 (72.5%)	0.839^{2}

Table 4. Patient Characteristics by ILD status among those with RLD

	SSc-ILD a	t baseline	
Overall	Yes	No	
(N = 122)	(N = 82)	(N = 40)	P-value

ILD is defined by a subject having at least one of the following: ground glass opacity (GGO), honeycombing, reticular changes or traction bronchiectasis. Sample contains subjects with RLD and a baseline HRCT recorded.

All p-values for categorical variables exclude missings, except where the missingness is informative which is the case for the following variables: ANA, Antibodies, and GAVE.

¹ T-test with unpooled variance estimates.

² Chi-squared test.

³ Fisher's exact test.

⁴ Six minute walk test n=28 (23%) ILD: 26 (32%) No ILD: 2 (5%)

⁵ P-values are not calculated for GGO, honeycombing, reticular changes, and traction bronchiectasis because those variables were used to derive ILD.

⁶Traction bronchiectasis- diffuse SSc 29 (67%), anti-Scl-70 positive 19 (44%), anti-centromere positive 1 (2%), anti-RNA polymerase III positive 11 (25%), MRSS, mean (SD) 12 (10.24), disease duration from first non-Raynaud's symptom, mean (SD) 2.95 (1.33)

⁷ Participant global assessment n=107 (71 ILD, 36 no ILD) Physician global health n=121 (82 ILD, 39 no ILD) Physician global damage n=121 (82 ILD, 39 no ILD) SHAQ breathlessness score n=108 (72 ILD, 36 no ILD) FACIT dyspnea score n=104 (68 ILD, 36 no ILD)

⁸ PPI (Proton pump inhibitor) includes omeprazole, esomeprazole, lansoprazole, rabeprazole, pantoprazole, dexlansoprazole.

Table 5. Univariable analyses for ILD among patients with RLD

		SSc-ILD at bas	seline
		Odds ratio	
	% Missing ¹	(95% CI)	P-value
Age at baseline visit	0%	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)	0.243
Sex (Female vs. Male)	0%	1.03 (0.40, 2.51)	0.945
Race (Non-white vs. White)	1%	0.98 (0.44, 2.25)	0.965
Ethnicity (Hispanic or Latino vs. Not Hispanic or Latino)	1%	0.99 (0.32, 3.37)	0.981
Employment status (Not full-time vs. Full-time)	5%	0.86 (0.39, 1.86)	0.692
Ever smoked (Yes vs. No)	0%	1.16 (0.51, 2.75)	0.733
Disease duration (years) from date of first non-Raynaud's symptom to baseline visit	0%	1.01 (0.76, 1.35)	0.922
SSc subtype (Diffuse cutaneous vs. Limited cutaneous)	0%	1.04 (0.44, 2.34)	0.934
Anti-ScI-70	0%		0.073
Positive vs. Negative		2.65 (1.10, 6.94)	
Missing vs. Negative		0.90 (0.26, 3.31)	
Anti-RNA Polymerase III	0%		0.035
Positive vs. Negative		0.30 (0.12, 0.74)	
Missing vs. Negative		0.64 (0.24, 1.74)	
Modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS)	0%	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.299
Digital pitting scars (Yes vs. No)	1%	0.87 (0.39, 2.01)	0.748
GI Tract (Not normal vs. Normal)	0%	0.75 (0.27, 1.92)	0.563
Six Minute Walk Test distance (every 50 meters)	77%	1.08 (0.63, 1.85)	0.779
Participant global health	12%	0.94 (0.80, 1.11)	0.461
Physician global health	1%	1.10 (0.92, 1.34)	0.297
Physician global damage	1%	1.15 (0.95, 1.39)	0.147
SHAQ breathlessness score	11%	1.00 (0.98, 1.04)	0.876
mMRC dyspnea scale	17%		0.637
1 vs. 0		1.10 (0.40, 2.99)	

Table 5. Univariable analyses for ILD among patients with RLD

Results are based on univariable models.

¹ Rates of missingness are calculated out of the records with a non-missing value for the outcome (ILD). Note that ANA and Antibody variables have 0% missing because this missing is informative and thus included in the model. All variables in this table are considered for multivariable modeling with stepwise regression except Six Minute Walk Test due to missing.

Table 6. Multivariable model for ILD among patients with RLD

	SSc-ILD at baseline	
	Odds ratio	_
	(95% CI)	P-value
Anti-RNA Polymerase III		0.035
Negative	Reference	
Positive	0.30 (0.12, 0.74)	
Missing	0.64 (0.24, 1.74)	

N=122

Results are based on a multivariable model, adjusting for each of the predictors in this table.