

The Critical Role of Euro-Atlantic Blocking in Promoting Snowfall in Central Greenland

Claire Pettersen^{1,2}, Stephanie A. Henderson³, Kyle S. Mattingly², Ralf Bennartz^{2,4}, and Melissa L. Breeden^{5,6}

¹ Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

² Space Science and Engineering Center, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA

³ Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA

⁴ Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

⁵ NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA

⁶ Cooperative Institute for Research in the Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

Corresponding author: Claire Pettersen (pettersc@umich.edu)

Key Points:

- The vast majority of enhanced snowfall events observed in central Greenland coincide with Euro-Atlantic blocking
- In summer, snowfall is linked to blocking anticyclones over southern Greenland and the advection of warm, moist air from the west
- In autumn, snowfall is linked to blocking anticyclones in the Nordic Seas, which steer storms towards southeast Greenland

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2021JD035776](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035776).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract

The Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) is losing mass at an increasing rate yet mass gain from snowfall still exceeds the loss attributed to surface melt processes on an annual basis. This work assesses the relationship between persistent atmospheric blocking across the Euro-Atlantic region and enhanced precipitation processes over the central GrIS during June-August and September-November. Results show that the vast majority of snowfall events in the central GrIS coincide with Euro-Atlantic blocking. During June-August, snowfall events are produced primarily by mixed-phase clouds (88%) and are linked to a persistent blocking anticyclone over southern Greenland (84%). The blocking anticyclone slowly advects warm, moist air masses into western and southern Greenland, with positive temperature and water vapor anomalies that intensify over the central GrIS. A zonal integrated water vapor transport pattern south of Greenland indicates a southern shift of the North Atlantic storm track associated with the high-latitude blocking. In contrast, snowfall events during September-November are largely produced by ice-phase clouds (85%) and are associated with a blocking anticyclone over the Nordic Seas and blocked flow over northern Europe (78%). The blocking anticyclone deflects the westerly North Atlantic storm track poleward and enables the rapid transport of warm, moist air masses up the steep southeastern edge of the GrIS, with positive temperature and water vapor anomalies to the east and southeast of Greenland. These results emphasize the critical role of Euro-Atlantic blocking in promoting snowfall processes over the central GrIS and the importance of accurate representation of blocking in climate model projections.

Plain Language Summary

The Greenland Ice Sheet gains mass primarily through snowfall processes. However, the large-scale dynamics leading to snowfall atop the high plateau of central Greenland are not well understood. This study demonstrates that blocking of the mean atmospheric flow by persistent and stationary anticyclones in the Euro-Atlantic region is key in the steering and advection of warm, moist air masses towards central Greenland. Euro-Atlantic blocking is associated with 84% of summer snowfall events over central Greenland and 78% of autumn snowfall events. We find that the location of the blocked flow, the routes of the warm, moist air masses, and the snowfall processes are seasonally dependent. These results emphasize the critical role of Euro-Atlantic blocking in promoting snowfall processes over the central GrIS and the importance of accurate representation of blocking in General Circulation Models.

1 Introduction

The Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) is experiencing an accelerating net loss of mass through melt processes and ice dynamics, contributing to increasing global mean sea level (Zwally et al., 2011; van den Brooke, 2016; Mouginot, 2019). Currently the surface component of the overall GrIS mass balance is positive, as annual snowfall accumulation exceeds mass loss from surface melt processes. GrIS surface mass balance is projected to become negative by the mid-21st century as the atmosphere warms, offsetting projected decreases in glacial discharge (Hofer et al., 2020; Noël et al., 2021). However, the accuracy of these surface mass balance estimates and projections is impeded by uncertainty in snowfall accumulation estimates over the GrIS, with as much as 40% disagreement between various climate and reanalysis model outputs (Cullather et al., 2014), as well as differences between satellite and ground-based observations (Bennartz et al., 2019; McIlhatten et al., 2020). An important contributor to this discrepancy is the intermittent nature of precipitation, as individual storm systems are the major drivers of

accumulation in the central GrIS and can be missed by satellite observations which are better suited to capturing snowfall characteristics at longer time scales (Bennartz et al., 2019; McIlhatten et al., 2020; Lenaerts et al., 2020). Additionally, long-term observations of GrIS precipitation are limited, and many ground sites do not directly measure accumulation (Steffen & Box, 2001; van As, 2011; Shupe et al., 2013; Fausto et al., 2021).

The central plateau of the GrIS is at high elevation (>2000 m above sea level), is exceptionally dry and cold (Shupe et al., 2013), and experiences a net gain of mass annually (Krabill et al., 2000). The air mass origins and atmospheric dynamical processes that generate precipitation events in the central GrIS are not fully understood, which further contributes to the difficulty in constraining estimates of the surface mass balance (Thomas, 2000). Previous work utilizing ground-based observations atop the GrIS central plateau at Summit Station suggests that clouds (Neff et al., 2014; Solomon & Shupe, 2019) and precipitation (Castellani et al., 2015; Pettersen et al., 2018) are accompanied by anomalously warm, moist southerly air masses. This indicates that understanding the precipitation processes over the central GrIS requires examination of how air masses are transported and modified by weather systems.

Studies focused on enhanced moisture transport to the Arctic have examined impacts and trends on surface temperatures, cloud processes, and sea and land ice (Neff et al., 2014; Binder et al., 2017). Earlier work examining the GrIS illustrated that increased surface melting and a net loss of ice sheet mass is associated with enhanced water vapor transport towards Greenland (Mattingly et al., 2016; Mattingly et al., 2018). Extratropical cyclones (Dufour et al., 2016; Nygård et al., 2019) as well as Rossby wave breaking (Liu & Barnes, 2015) and the poleward deflection of cyclones (Papritz & Dunn-Sigouin, 2020) are known to transport moisture from the midlatitudes to the Arctic. Additionally, atmospheric rivers, narrow regions of enhanced horizontal water vapor transport that often form along cold fronts within extratropical cyclones, have been found to be critical in the poleward advection of midlatitude moisture to the Arctic (Woods et al., 2013; Liu & Barnes, 2015). Consistent among these studies is the importance of highly amplified waves producing substantial poleward excursions of warm, moist air that can reach the GrIS and affect the surface mass balance.

Atmospheric blocks are persistent anticyclones that can significantly disrupt the mean westerly flow both upstream and downstream of the block (Berggren et al., 1949; Rex, 1950), modifying regional precipitation, temperature, and moisture patterns (Pelly & Hoskins, 2003; Masato et al., 2013; Henderson et al., 2016; Lenggenhager & Martius, 2019). Poleward anomalous warm air advection and moisture transport have been found to occur on the western flank of blocking anticyclones (Masato et al., 2014; Mundhenk et al., 2016; Breeden et al., 2019). Additionally, blocks can shift storm tracks latitudinally and steer cyclones poleward (Booth et al., 2017; Henderson & Maloney, 2018; Benedict et al., 2019; Pasquier et al., 2019; Henderson et al., 2021). Investigations specific to the GrIS have largely focused on “Greenland blocks”, typically defined using the “Greenland Blocking Index” of mean 500 hPa geopotential height over the spatial region of the GrIS (Hanna et al., 2013). Greenland Blocks are associated with anomalously warm surface temperatures (Hanna et al., 2016; McLeod & Mote, 2016), enhanced water vapor transport (Barrett et al., 2020), and changes in cloud fraction and related surface energy fluxes (Ward et al., 2020), which subsequently affect the surface mass balance of the GrIS. However, studies using the Greenland Blocking Index require the location of the blocking anticyclone to be over Greenland, neglecting impacts from blocking anticyclones over other portions of the Euro-Atlantic region that may also affect the GrIS.

There is currently a need for a comprehensive examination of the relationship between atmospheric blocking over the full Euro-Atlantic region, the poleward advection of anomalous warm, moist air masses, and their subsequent influence on precipitation processes over the GrIS, a critical component of the surface mass balance. General Circulation Models tend to underestimate blocking frequency in the broader Euro-Atlantic region (Masato et al., 2014), and subsequently may misrepresent precipitation processes over Greenland connected to atmospheric blocking. This work examines the relationship between atmospheric blocking over the North Atlantic and Europe and precipitation in the central GrIS during boreal summer and autumn. We find that enhanced precipitation over the central GrIS is associated with atmospheric blocking located over southern Greenland in June, July, August (JJA) and the Nordic Seas in September, October, November (SON). In both seasons, blocking facilitates the northward advection of anomalous warm, moist air masses to the central GrIS.

2 Data and Methods

This work uses observations from the Integrated Characterization of Energy, Clouds, Atmospheric State and Precipitation at Summit (ICECAPS) instrument suite located at Summit Station, Greenland (72°36'N, 38°25'W at 3216 m above sea level; Shupe et al., 2013). Precipitation events for this study were determined using observations and methodology from Pettersen et al. (2018). This dataset encompasses observations from June 2010 through February 2017 and has 1-min temporal resolution. Enhanced precipitation events were identified using two key criteria: precipitation must be present for at least 30 minutes of a given hour (with no requirement that these 30 minutes are consecutive) and the precipitation rates must be in the uppermost 50% compared to seasonal distributions. The precipitation events are defined by the day and time of onset. Ground-based observations of precipitation occurrence and rate are used to ensure a minimum of 6 hours between the end of an enhanced event and the beginning of another. Methodology from Pettersen et al. (2016; 2018) is used to calculate the associated mean precipitation rate and identify the cloud type for the precipitation events.

The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts ERA5 reanalysis products (Hersbach et al., 2020) are used to examine hourly values of 500 hPa winds and geopotential height, 2 m air temperature, 10 m surface wind, and total column water vapor anomaly patterns associated with snowfall events. Additionally, we use the ERA5 vertical integral of eastward water vapor flux and vertical integral of northward water vapor flux to obtain an integrated water vapor transport (IVT) magnitude. Anomalies are calculated by subtracting the historical monthly means (1979 – 2019) from the respective environmental variable for each individual JJA and SON snowfall event.

Atmospheric blocking is examined for JJA and SON over the North Atlantic and western European region (60°W – 40°E) using the ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011). Blocking frequency is defined following Henderson et al. (2016) and Henderson & Maloney (2018), based on methodology in Masato et al. (2013). This methodology is appropriate as blocking in the Euro-Atlantic region is dominated by dipole-like Rex blocks (e.g., Sousa et al., 2021). A longitude i is considered instantaneously blocked if the integrated 500 hPa geopotential height (Z) north of ϕ_0 latitude is greater than the integrated height to its south, such that $B_i > 0$:

$$B_i = \frac{2}{\Delta\phi} \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi_0 + \frac{\Delta\phi}{2}} Z_i \partial\phi - \frac{2}{\Delta\phi} \int_{\phi_0 - \frac{\Delta\phi}{2}}^{\phi_0} Z_i \partial\phi \quad . \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

Integrations are computed from $\phi_0 = 40^\circ\text{N} - 70^\circ\text{N}$, where $\Delta\Phi = 30^\circ$ latitude. See Henderson et al. (2016) for more details. Only large-scale persistent blocking events are examined here, defined when at least 15° of consecutive longitudes are blocked for a minimum of 5 days.

Precipitation events are associated with an atmospheric block if blocking was detected in the defined Atlantic-European region between Day -2 and Day $+1$ relative to the day of onset of the precipitation event. Day $+1$ is included since the precipitation event detection method is at hourly resolution while the blocking detection is daily; including Day $+1$ ensures cases influenced by blocking but with precipitation onset occurring later in the day are included. Additionally, we include Day $+1$ as the circulation may not quite yet meet the criteria of a large-scale block (e.g., a developing block), but would still impact the circulation that leads to the precipitation event.

A difference of means test was used to determine significance for the precipitation rates associated with and without blocking present and tested at the 95% level. The number of independent events used in calculating significance was determined by events a minimum of 10 days apart to account for the temporal persistence of blocking. A Student's t-test was used to determine regions where geopotential height and temperature anomalies are significantly different from zero at the 95% level. Significance testing of the blocking anomalies was determined using a block bootstrap test as in Henderson et al. (2016). Additionally, the NOAA CPC index of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) was used and no significant relationship to the precipitation events was found for either season.

3 Results

3.1 Seasonal Precipitation and Blocking Characteristics

Ground-based observations are leveraged from the ICECAPS instrument suite to examine precipitation processes over the central GrIS (Shupe et al., 2013; Pettersen et al., 2016; Pettersen et al., 2018). Currently, precipitation in the central GrIS (>2000 m ASL) is snowfall (Lenaerts et al., 2020), with the exception of the 14 August 2021 rain event (<http://nsidc.org/greenland-today/2021/08/rain-at-the-summit-of-greenland/>), and is most frequent and intense during the late boreal summer (JJA) with peak accumulation in August and slowly tapering off throughout autumn (SON) and into winter (Bennartz et al., 2019; McIlhatten et al., 2020; Castellani et al., 2015; Pettersen et al., 2018). In this study we examine enhanced precipitation events, defined as the uppermost 50% snowfall by precipitation rate from 2010 through 2016, and identified 72 events in JJA (Table S.1) and 60 events in SON (Table S.2).

Using the methodology outlined in Henderson et al. (2016), we track the presence of large-scale ($\geq 15^\circ$ longitude), persistent (≥ 5 days) atmospheric blocking throughout a large region of the North Atlantic and Western Europe (blocking maxima between 60°W to 40°E and 35°N to 70°N) for JJA and SON. The mean blocking frequency (1979-2016) in the Euro-Atlantic region illustrates a maximum frequency on the southeast coast of Greenland and into the Denmark Strait for both seasons, though with more frequent blocking in JJA, and a secondary maximum extending into northern Europe (Figure 1). The blocking frequency index used here defines a “block” as the blocked region on the southern flank of a persistent large-scale anticyclone (i.e., a “blocking anticyclone”), where the associated anomalous easterlies oppose the mean westerlies, thereby blocking the mean zonal flow.




Fig. 1. Seasonal climatological blocking frequency means in the Euro-Atlantic region, defined here as 60°W – 40°E, 40 – 70°N, for JJA (a) and SON (b). The blocking frequency index defines a “block” as the blocked region on the southern flank of a persistent large-scale anticyclone, where the associated anomalous easterlies oppose the mean westerlies.

We find that between 2 days prior to (Day –2) and 1 day after (Day +1) the onset (Day 0) of snowfall, blocking is present in the defined region during 84% of the JJA and 78% of the SON events. Additionally, snowfall events coincident with an atmospheric block have statistically significantly (see Methods section) higher mean precipitation rates than those events with no blocking present (Table 1). By applying the Pettersen et al. (2018) snow regime classification we found that 88% of the JJA events originated from shallow, mixed-phase clouds (containing supercooled cloud liquid water), while deep, fully glaciated ice-phase clouds produced 85% of the SON events. This is consistent with findings from Pettersen et al. (2018), which showed that precipitation at Summit Station shifts from primarily mixed-phase to ice clouds in late August/early September. The relative difference in precipitation cloud regimes is unsurprising as mixed-phased clouds are often observed over the GrIS during JJA (Shupe et al., 2013; van Tricht et al., 2016), whereas deep, synoptically forced precipitation is more common in SON (McIlhatten et al., 2020; Schuenemann et al., 2009).

Season	Events	Cloud Type	Block (Day –2 to +1)	Mean Rate with Block	Mean Rate without Block
JJA	72	88% mixed-phase cloud	84%	0.176 mm hr ⁻¹	0.132 mm hr ⁻¹
SON	60	85% ice-phase cloud	78%	0.142 mm hr ⁻¹	0.121 mm hr ⁻¹

Table 1. Precipitation event characteristics by season.

3.2 JJA Precipitation

The mean meteorological conditions from Day –2 to Day +1 relative to the onset (Day 0) of the 72 individual JJA enhanced snowfall events are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. During the JJA snowfall events, there is a significant increase in anomalous high-latitude (> 60°N) blocking frequency over Iceland (Figure 2a). Blocking is most frequent two days prior to precipitation onset (Day –2) and decreases with time through Day +1 (Figure 2a). Additionally, there is a significant reduction of blocking frequency over the southwestern region of the GrIS starting on Day –1 that intensifies through Day +1. The composite of 500 hPa winds also illustrates the

blocked flow over Iceland from Day -2 through Day $+1$ (Figure 2b). The 500 hPa geopotential height shows significant anticyclonic anomalies over the southern GrIS in Day -2 that progressively weaken and become insignificant at Day $+1$ (Figure 2c). This coincides with anomalous southerly 500 hPa wind components from southwest and west of the GrIS prior to the onset of the JJA snowfall events (Figure 2c).




Fig. 2. Composites of JJA blocking frequency anomalies (a), 500 hPa geopotential heights and winds (b), and 500 hPa geopotential height and wind anomalies (c) from Day -2 to Day $+1$ for precipitation events. Blocking frequency anomalies are calculated relative to the JJA seasonal mean (Fig. 1). Day 0 indicates the day of precipitation onset at Summit Station. Stippling indicates where anomalies are significant to the 95 percentile.

The high plateau of the central GrIS is extremely cold and dry, and in JJA the average surface temperature ranges from -20°C to -15°C (Shupe et al., 2013). Positive 2 m air temperature anomalies appear off the southern and western coasts of Greenland at Day -2 , increasing in the central GrIS prior to the JJA snowfall events and peaking in amplitude on Day 0, with positive temperature anomalies greater than 4°C (Figure 3a). The mean integrated water vapor transport associated with the JJA snowfall events shows enhanced values in the Labrador Sea off the west coast of Greenland peaking at Day -2 , and weak southerly mean winds (Figure 3b). The highest values of mean integrated water vapor transport are shifted southward in the region of anomalous blocking frequency between 20°W and 0° longitude when compared to the JJA climatology (not shown). Positive water vapor anomalies (Figure 3c) are observed west of the GrIS (Day -2), and progress from the southwest and west of Greenland up the western slope towards the central GrIS (Day 0), consistent with southerly and southwesterly flow over the western GrIS.




Fig. 3. Environmental characteristics from Day -2 to Day $+1$ for precipitation events during JJA. Composites of 2 m air temperature anomalies (a), water vapor transport and winds (b), and total column water vapor and 10 m wind anomalies (c). Day 0 indicates the day of precipitation onset at Summit Station. Stippling indicates where anomalies are significant to the 95 percentile.

3.3 SON Precipitation

The mean meteorological conditions and anomalies prior to (Day -2) and after (Day $+1$) the onset of the 60 enhanced snowfall events in SON are exhibited in Figures 4 and 5. The blocking frequency anomalies associated with SON snowfall events illustrate a different evolution, in which significant anomalous blocking of the westerly flow is not observed until Day -1 in the midlatitudes ($50-60^{\circ}\text{N}$) centered over northern Europe (Figure 4a). The composites of 500 hPa winds also indicate blocked flow over northern Europe (Figure 4b). The 500 hPa mean geopotential heights illustrate a blocking anticyclone centered over the North Sea (Figure 4b) and associated anomalies that become significant at Day -1 and continue to amplify (Figure 4c), with a strengthening height gradient spanning southeast and central Greenland. Anomalous southerly mid-level winds impinge on the southeast coast of the GrIS and strengthen along the western flank of the anticyclone. Just prior to and during snowfall onset, the climatological westerly flow is significantly blocked over northern Europe (Figure 4a,b), due to strong opposing easterly wind anomalies along the southern flank of the anomalous blocking anticyclone (Figure 4c). Blocking is also evident west of the anticyclone where a slight reversal of the climatological geopotential height gradient occurs over the GrIS at Day 0.




Fig. 4. As in Fig. 2, but for precipitation events in SON.

The central plateau of the GrIS is colder and drier in SON, with average temperatures ranging between -40°C to -20°C (Shupe et al., 2013). During the SON snowfall events, there are significant warm 2 m air temperature anomalies east of Greenland at Day -2 and over the central and southeast GrIS from Day -1 onward (Figure 5a). Positive temperature anomalies are observed west of the blocking anticyclone and strengthen from Day -2 to the onset of snowfall, with values greater than $+8^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the central GrIS at Day 0. At Day +1 there is an indication of the warm temperature anomalies east of the GrIS continuing to propagate northward into the Arctic Ocean. The mean integrated water vapor transport for the SON snowfall events illustrates perturbations in the North Atlantic storm track with strong northward water vapor transport observed along the east coast of Greenland and relatively little zonal transport towards northern Europe (Figure 5b). The water vapor progression during the SON events is shown in Figure 5c, with positive anomalies off the southeast coast of Greenland (Day -2) moving towards the central GrIS (Day 0) and continuing north (Day +1), accompanied by strong southerly surface wind anomalies. The temporal and spatial patterns of the water vapor anomalies and relative location of the blocking frequency anomalies are consistent with the poleward advection of anomalously high values of water vapor along the western flank of the blocking anticyclone.




Fig. 5. As in Fig. 3, but for precipitation events during SON.

4 Discussion

4.1 JJA Precipitation

The blocking frequency anomalies (Figure 2a), and 500 hPa geopotential heights and mid-level winds and anomalies (Figure 2b,c) for the JJA snowfall events indicate the advection of air masses primarily from the southwest and west of Greenland. A significant increase in blocking is present as early as 6 days prior to the onset of the JJA snowfall in the central GrIS (not shown). The sustained increase in atmospheric blocking prior to the onset of precipitation may aid in the advection of these slow-moving air masses from south and west of Greenland. Many studies utilize an average of the geopotential height anomaly over the entire GrIS as a measure of Greenland Blocking (e.g., Hanna et al., 2016). However, we find that during JJA snowfall events the significant anomalous anticyclone is located only over the southern GrIS (Figure 2b) and progressively weakens with simultaneous reduced frequency of blocking (Figure 2a). The reduced blocking frequency starting at Day -1 indicates enhanced westerly flow that aids air masses in traversing up the western slope of Greenland toward the central GrIS.

The positive temperature anomalies associated with the JJA snowfall events first develop west of the blocking anticyclone (Figures 2b,c,3a), which is consistent with the poleward advection of warm air masses on the western flanks of atmospheric blocking anticyclones (Masato et al., 2014; Breeden et al., 2020). Additionally, when assessing extreme warming events at Summit Station during JJA in 2012, Neff et al. (2014) found that ridging to the southeast of the GrIS favored the southerly transport of warm air along the western coast of

Greenland, similar to the location of the anomalous blocking frequency associated with JJA snowfall events. Previous work examining the climatological characteristics of Greenland Blocks during JJA found that temperature anomalies over the GrIS correspond to higher 500 hPa geopotential height, with the warmest anomalies located in northern Greenland (McLeod & Mote, 2016). In contrast, we find that at Day -1 the anomalous anticyclone weakens and blocking frequency is decreased while the temperature anomalies continue to increase in the central GrIS along the northern flank of the anticyclonic anomaly, indicating the advection of warm air masses into the region.

The JJA enhanced snow events are associated with high-latitude blocking (Figure 2) and a southward shift in the North Atlantic storm track (Folland et al., 2009), as indicated by the location of the highest values of mean integrated water vapor transport (Figure 3b). This is consistent with previous work that found equatorward shifts in storm tracks during weather regimes with high-latitude blocking (Woollings et al., 2008; Henderson & Maloney, 2018; Benedict et al., 2019; Pasquier et al., 2019). Additionally, there is an indication of increased water vapor transport in the Labrador Sea towards the west coast of Greenland during these events, which is a conduit for midlatitude moisture transport to the Arctic (Woods et al., 2013; Liu & Barnes, 2015). Furthermore, the poleward advection of anomalously high water vapor values on the western flank of the blocking anticyclone (Figure 3c) is consistent with previous high-latitude blocking studies (e.g., Mundhenk et al., 2016). Earlier work found that anticyclones and Greenland Blocks located over the southeast coast of the GrIS during JJA are associated with enhanced moisture and cloudiness over the west GrIS (Neff et al., 2014; Ward et al., 2020). Additionally, Mattingly et al., (2018) found that while enhanced moisture transport along the west coast of the GrIS during JJA leads to a net loss of mass in the low-elevation ablation zone, the west central GrIS plateau gains mass.

The vast majority (88%) of the JJA snowfall events originate from shallow, mixed-phase clouds. The mid-troposphere characteristics illustrated in Figure 2 imply that there is large-scale flow towards the gentle, western slope of Greenland and onto the central plateau, which is consistent with previous studies that observed a high fraction of precipitating mixed-phase clouds over the west and central GrIS during JJA (Pettersen et al., 2018; McIlhattan et al., 2020). Additionally, the anomalous blocking anticyclone situated over the central and southern GrIS indicates quiescent conditions and weak forcing of vertical motions, which are favorable for sustaining Arctic mixed-phase clouds (Shupe et al., 2008; Morrison et al., 2012). The location of increased blocking frequency during the JJA snowfall events is consistent with the poleward advection of anomalously warm, moist air masses originating from southwest and west of Greenland several days prior to onset. These air masses then travel up the gentle western slope of the GrIS north of the block, aided by a suppressed frequency of blocking and enhanced westerly winds and ultimately producing enhanced snowfall over the central GrIS.

4.2 SON Precipitation

Blocking frequency anomalies associated with SON snowfall events (Figure 4a) and corresponding 500 hPa geopotential heights, winds, and associated anomalies (Figure 4b,c) illustrate the rapid transport of air masses southeast of Greenland towards the central GrIS. Positive blocking frequency anomalies emerge over northern Europe at Day -1 as the anticyclonic anomaly in the Nordic Seas amplifies. The development of the blocking anticyclone just prior to the onset of snowfall is consistent with earlier studies of snowfall originating from

deep ice-phase clouds, in which trajectory analyses indicate that these air masses move quickly from the southeast coast to the central GrIS, often in less than 12 hours (Pettersen et al., 2018). Additionally, the atmospheric circulation patterns associated with SON events are consistent with those seen for higher snow accumulation in the central plateau of the GrIS (>2000 m above sea level; Gallagher et al., 2021).

The warm temperature anomalies associated with the SON events (Figure 5a) are located northwest of the blocking anticyclone in the North Sea (Figure 4), which is consistent with the poleward advection of warm air masses on the western flanks of atmospheric blocks (Masato et al., 2014; Breeden et al. 2020). Additionally, the 500 hPa geopotential height and wind anomalies during the SON events (Figure 4c) are similar to circulation patterns corresponding to positive surface temperature anomalies observed in central and southeast Greenland (Gallagher et al., 2020). These warm temperature anomalies continue to propagate northward into the Arctic Ocean (as indicated by the Day +1 panel in Figure 5a), which is identified as a key pathway for the transport of anomalously warm air into the Arctic (Papritz & Dunn-Sigouin, 2020).

The SON enhanced snow events are associated with blocking of the westerly flow in the mid-latitudes (Figure 4) and perturbations in the composite integrated water vapor transport (Figure 5b). These patterns suggest that the North Atlantic storm track is blocked and that storms are rerouted poleward, with distinct northward water vapor transport towards the GrIS. This is in line with previous studies illustrating that midlatitude blocking is found to block rather than shift the storm tracks (e.g. Rex, 1950; Woollings et al., 2008; Woollings & Hoskins, 2008). Additionally, in agreement with our results, Woods et al. (2013) found enhanced northward transport of water vapor over the North Atlantic and a poleward deflection of midlatitude storms when a blocking anticyclone is in the northeast Atlantic basin. Correspondingly, Pasquier et al. (2019) found that blocking over northern Europe led to a poleward shift in the patterns of atmospheric rivers in the North Atlantic. Similar patterns of integrated water vapor transport were found in Berdahl et al. (2018) in association with increased storm track density and intensity on the southeast coast of Greenland, and enhanced wintertime precipitation in the southeast GrIS. Correspondingly, Mattingly et al. (2018) found that enhanced water vapor transport and atmospheric rivers impinging on the southeast coast of Greenland led to increased precipitation in the southeast and central-east plateau of the GrIS.

The SON snowfall events are coincident with predominantly deep, ice-phase clouds (85%), which are produced by large-scale lifting and cyclones affecting the southeast coast of Greenland (Schuenemann et al., 2009; Pettersen et al., 2018; McIlhatten et al., 2020). The strong geopotential height gradient over Greenland upstream of the ridge (Figure 4b) is indicative of upper-level divergence and strong vertical lift, which is necessary to transport the air masses up and over the steep topography and onto the central GrIS, as well as produce clouds and precipitation (Holton, 2004; Hanna et al., 2006; Schuenemann et al., 2009). Additionally, the meridional deflection of the North Atlantic storm track and continued northward advection of anomalously warm and moist air masses (Figure 5) suggest that storms and cyclones are being steered poleward by the midlatitude blocking of the westerly flow over northern Europe. These storms impinge on the steep topography of southeast Greenland leading to lifting and southerly transport of warm, moist air masses and precipitation to the central GrIS.

4 Summary and Conclusions

This study examines the impacts of atmospheric blocking across the Euro-Atlantic region on precipitation processes over the central GrIS. A two-dimensional blocking methodology, which tracks the reversed meridional height gradient located to the south of blocking anticyclones, was used to identify changes in blocking frequency associated with precipitation over the GrIS. We find that enhanced precipitation in the central GrIS is related to Euro-Atlantic blocking with anomalous blocking present during 84% of JJA and 78% of SON snowfall events. The location and temporal progression of the blocking frequency anomalies are seasonally dependent. JJA snowfall events are linked to a persistent blocking anticyclone over southern Greenland and blocking frequency anomalies centered over Iceland, while SON snowfall events are connected to a rapidly developing blocking anticyclone over the Nordic Seas and blocking frequency anomalies over northern Europe.

JJA enhanced precipitation events are coincident with a persistent blocking anticyclone prior to precipitation onset. The JJA precipitation is primarily produced by Arctic mixed-phase clouds (88%), which can persist in quiescent conditions with slow moving air masses. There are positive anomalies of 2 m air temperature and water vapor to the west and south of Greenland on the western flank of the blocking anticyclone that intensify over the central GrIS at Day 0. Additionally, there is southerly enhanced water vapor transport into the Labrador Sea and the west coast of Greenland, consistent with studies showing the region to be a conduit for midlatitude moisture transport to the Arctic that is facilitated by blocking-like atmospheric patterns and Rossby wave breaking (Liu & Barnes, 2015; Naakka et al., 2019). Furthermore, the zonal pattern and southern shift of the highest values of water vapor transport south of Greenland indicate that the North Atlantic storm track may not interact directly with the GrIS during the JJA enhanced precipitation events. The blocking anticyclone acts to advect warm, moist air masses from south and west of Greenland, while a reduction in the frequency of blocking over southern Greenland at Lag -1 coincides with enhanced westerlies aiding in the transportation of the warm, moist air up the relatively gentle slope of Greenland towards the central GrIS.

Enhanced precipitation in SON corresponds to a rapidly developing (Day -1) blocking anticyclone over the Nordic Seas. The majority of the SON precipitation is produced by deep, fully glaciated ice clouds (85%), which are associated with low-pressure disturbances and the associated forcing for ascent. Anomalous positive 2 m air temperature and water vapor to the east and southeast of Greenland is transported along the western flank of the blocking anticyclone, peaking in amplitude over the central GrIS at Day 0. The disruption of zonal water vapor transport shown here indicates that the North Atlantic storm track is blocked and storms are being steered towards the southeast coast of Greenland, directly interacting with the GrIS. This is consistent with studies illustrating that blocking in the North Atlantic midlatitudes steers storms poleward (Booth et al., 2017; Berdahl et al., 2018). Additionally, the continued progression of the water vapor anomalies and water vapor transport northward towards the Greenland Sea at Day +1 is consistent with a key pathway through which extratropical cyclones reach the Arctic Ocean (Papritz & Dunn-Sigouin, 2020).

The connection between seasonal Euro-Atlantic blocking and precipitation events in the central GrIS has implications for the surface mass balance of the entire GrIS. The advection of anomalously warm, moist air masses by blocking anticyclones leads to precipitation in the central GrIS and therefore likely a net mass gain. However, the anomalous warm 2 m air temperatures and water vapor transport along the west coast of Greenland in JJA and east coast

in SON may lead to a net loss of mass at the margins of the GrIS through both ice sheet melt processes and increased likelihood of rain instead of snowfall at the surface (Niwano et al., 2021). Additionally, the clouds associated with snowfall can impact the surface mass balance. Both the shallow, mixed-phase clouds in JJA and the fully glaciated ice phase clouds in SON can act to further amplify surface melt processes through radiative effects (Bennartz et al., 2013; van Tricht et al., 2016; Mattingly et al., 2020).

Several studies examining the GrIS find connections between the phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation and increased precipitation (Bromwich et al., 1999) and moisture transport (Sodemann et al. 2008). The influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on accumulation is found to be most strongly correlated in western Greenland and is spatially variable over the GrIS (Appenzeller et al., 1998; Mosley-Thompson et al., 2005). Recent work indicates that the position of the Icelandic Low with respect to Greenland may be more relevant to enhanced precipitation over the GrIS than the phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation (Berdahl et al., 2018; Auger et al., 2017). In this work, though we found a significant connection to blocking in the Euro-Atlantic region, we found no relationship with the North Atlantic Oscillation for the precipitation in either season. For precipitation events in SON, the water vapor transport is similar to that of Berdahl et al. (2018) and may indicate the importance of the position of the Icelandic Low in promoting storm interaction with southeastern Greenland.

An important implication of this work is the key role of processes that are poorly captured in General Circulation Models, which do not reproduce the recent increasing trend in geopotential heights over Greenland (Delhasse et al., 2021), and tend to underestimate blocking frequency in the broader Euro-Atlantic region (Masato et al., 2014; Schiemann et al., 2017). General Circulation Models also do not produce accurate estimates of snowfall in the central GrIS, potentially due to poorly constrained assumptions from a lack of observations (Shupe et al., 2013; Lenaerts et al., 2020) as well as incorrect assumptions of cloud physics (McIlhattan et al., 2017). Additionally, these models can have biases when dealing with meridional integrated water vapor transport (Waliser & Guan, 2017; Espinoza et al., 2018). This study suggests that in order to accurately model snowfall over Greenland and, in turn, the surface mass balance, atmospheric blocking, moisture transport, cloud type, and precipitation processes must be properly simulated.

Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data

This study is supported by the National Science Foundation Office of Polar Programs grant number 1801318 and the NOAA Climate and Global Change Postdoctoral Fellowship Program, administered by UCAR's Cooperative Programs for the Advancement of Earth System Science, under award number NA18NWS4620043B. The authors would like to thank the Summit Station science technicians and staff as well as Polar Field Services for their continued commitment to gathering data and maintaining instrumentation. The authors declare they have no competing interests.

The precipitation observations from Summit Station are available in a publicly archived dataset that combines ground-based microwave radiometer, surface precipitation, and meteorological observations (Pettersen & Merrelli, 2018, doi:10.18739/A2R28Q). ERA5 reanalysis data products were used to examine the 500 hPa winds and geopotential height, 2 m air temperature, 10m surface wind, total column water vapor anomalies, and integrated water vapor fluxes and are publicly available (<https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis->

datasets/era5). Atmospheric blocking is determined using 500 hPa geopotential height values from the ERA-Interim reanalysis, which is publicly available (<https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era-interim>).

Tables of the snow event dates, presence of atmospheric blocking in the Euro-Atlantic region, and associated cloud type are included as comma separated variable files as part of the Supporting Information accompanying this manuscript (see Tables S.1 and S.2).

References

- Appenzeller, C., Schwander, J., Sommer, S., & Stocker, T.F. (1998). The North Atlantic Oscillation and its imprint on precipitation and ice accumulation in Greenland. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *25*, 1939-1942
- Auger, J.D., Birkel, S.D., Maasch, K.A., Mayewski, P.A., & Schuenemann, K.C. (2017). Examination of precipitation variability in southern Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *122*, 6202-6216
- Barrett, B.S., Henderson, G.R., McDonnell, E., Henry, M., & Mote, T. (2020). Extreme Greenland blocking and high-latitude moisture transport. *Atmospheric Sci. Lett.*, *21*, p.e1002
- Benedict, J.J., Clement, A.C., & Medeiros, B. (2019). Atmospheric blocking and other large-scale precursor patterns of landfalling atmospheric rivers in the North Pacific: A CESM2 study. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *124*, 11330-11353
- Bennartz, R., Shupe, M.D., Turner, D.D., Walden, V.P., Steffen, K., Cox, C.J., Kulie, M.S., Miller, N.B., & Pettersen, C. (2013). July 2012 Greenland melt extent enhanced by low-level liquid clouds. *Nature*, *496*, 83-86
- Bennartz, R., Fell, F., Pettersen, C., Shupe, M.D., & Schuettmeyer, D. (2019). Spatial and temporal variability of snowfall over Greenland from CloudSat observations. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, *19*, 8101-8121
- Berdahl, M., Rennermalm, A., Hammann, A., Mioduszewski, J., Hameed, S., Tedesco, M., Stroeve, J., Mote, T., Koyama, T., & McConnell, J.R. (2018). Southeast Greenland winter precipitation strongly linked to the Icelandic low position. *J. Clim.*, *31*, 4483-4500
- Berggren, R., Bolin, B., & Rossby, C.G. (1949). An aerological study of zonal motion, its perturbations and break-down. *Tellus*, *1*, 14-37
- Binder, H., Boettcher, M., Grams, C.M., Joos, H., Pfahl, S., & Wernli, H. (2017). Exceptional air mass transport and dynamical drivers of an extreme wintertime Arctic warm event. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *44*, 12-028
- Booth, J.F., Dunn-Sigouin, E., & Pfahl, S. (2017). The relationship between extratropical cyclone steering and blocking along the North American East Coast. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *44*, 11-976
- Breeden, M.L., Hoover, B.T., Newman, M., & Vimont, D.J. (2020). Optimal North Pacific Blocking Precursors and Their Deterministic Subseasonal Evolution during Boreal Winter. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, *148*, 739-761
- Bromwich, D.H., Cullather, R.I., Chen, Q.S., & Csathó, B.M. (1998). Evaluation of recent precipitation studies for Greenland ice sheet. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *103*, 26007-26024

- Bromwich, D.H., Chen, Q.S., Li, Y., & Cullather, R.I. (1999). Precipitation over Greenland and its relation to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *104*, 22103-22115
- Castellani, B.B., Shupe, M.D., Hudak, D.R., & Sheppard, B.E. (2015). The annual cycle of snowfall at Summit, Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *120*, 6654-6668
- Cullather, R.I., Nowicki, S.M., Zhao, B., & Suarez, M.J. (2014). Evaluation of the surface representation of the Greenland Ice Sheet in a general circulation model. *J. Climate*, *27*, 4835-4856
- Dee, D.P., Uppala, S.M., Simmons, A.J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, D.P., & Bechtold, P. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *137*, 553-597
- Delhasse, A., Hanna, E., Kittel, C., & Fettweis, X. (2021) Brief communication: CMIP6 does not suggest any atmospheric blocking increase in summer over Greenland by 2100. *International Journal of Climatology*, *41*, 2589-2596
- Dufour, A., Zolina, O., & Gulev, S.K. (2016). Atmospheric moisture transport to the Arctic: Assessment of reanalyses and analysis of transport components. *J. Clim.*, *29*, 5061-5081
- Espinoza, V., Waliser, D.E., Guan, B., Lavers, D.A., & Ralph, F.M. (2018). Global analysis of climate change projection effects on atmospheric rivers. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *45*, 4299-4308
- Fausto, R.S., van As, D., Mankoff, K.D., Vandecrux, B., Citterio, M., Ahlstrøm, A.P., Andersen, S.B., Colgan, W., Karlsson, N.B., Kjeldsen, K.K., & Korsgaard, N.J. (2021). Programme for Monitoring of the Greenland Ice Sheet (PROMICE) automatic weather station data. *Earth System Science Data*, *13*, 3819-3845
- Folland, C.K., Knight, J., Linderholm, H.W., Fereday, D., Ineson, S., & Hurrell, J.W. (2009). The summer North Atlantic Oscillation: past, present, and future. *J. Clim.*, *22*, 1082-1103
- Gallagher, M.R., Chepfer, H., Shupe, M.D., & Guzman, R. (2020). Warm temperature extremes across Greenland connected to clouds. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *47*, p.e2019GL086059
- Gallagher, M., Shupe, M., Chepfer, H., & L'Ecuyer, T. (2021). Relating snowfall observations to Greenland ice sheet mass changes: an atmospheric circulation perspective. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, 1-26
- Hanna, E., McConnell, J., Das, S., Cappelen, J., & Stephens, A. (2006). Observed and modeled Greenland ice sheet snow accumulation, 1958–2003, and links with regional climate forcing. *J. Clim.*, *19*, 344-358
- Hanna, E., Jones, J.M., Cappelen, J., Mernild, S.H., Wood, L., Steffen, K., & Huybrechts, P. (2013). The influence of North Atlantic atmospheric and oceanic forcing effects on 1900–2010 Greenland summer climate and ice melt/runoff. *Intl. Journal of Climatology*, *33*, 862-880
- Hanna, E., Cropper, T.E., Hall, R.J., & Cappelen, J. (2016). Greenland Blocking Index 1851–2015: a regional climate change signal. *Intl. Journal of Climatology*, *36*, 4847-4861
- Henderson, G.R., Barrett, B.S., Wachowicz, L.J., Mattingly, K.S., Preece, J.R., & Mote, T.L. (2021). Local and Remote Atmospheric Circulation Drivers of Arctic Change: A Review. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *9*, 549

- Henderson, S.A., Maloney, E.D., & Barnes, E.A. (2016). The influence of the Madden–Julian oscillation on Northern Hemisphere winter blocking. *J. Clim.*, *29*, 4597-4616
- Henderson, S.A. & Maloney, E.D. (2018). The impact of the Madden–Julian oscillation on high-latitude winter blocking during El Niño–Southern Oscillation events. *J. Clim.*, *31*, 5293-5318
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz- Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., & Simmons, A. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *146*, 1999-2049
- Hofer, S., Lang, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Delhasse, A., Tedstone, A., & Fettweis, X. (2020). Greater Greenland Ice Sheet contribution to global sea level rise in CMIP6. *Nature Comms.*, *11*, 1-11
- Holton, J. (2004). An Introduction to Dynamical Meteorology, 4th Edn., 151–155
- Krabill, W., Abdalati, W., Frederick, E., Manizade, S., Martin, C., Sonntag, J., Swift, R., Thomas, R., Wright, W., & Yungel, J. (2000). Greenland ice sheet: High-elevation balance and peripheral thinning. *Science*, *289*, 428-430
- Lenaerts, J., Camron, M.D., Wyburn-Powell, C.R., & Kay, J.E. (2020). Present-day and future Greenland Ice Sheet precipitation frequency from CloudSat observations and the Community Earth System Model. *Cryosphere*, *14*, 2253-2265
- Lenggenhager, S. & Martius, O. (2019). Atmospheric blocks modulate the odds of heavy precipitation events in Europe. *Climate dynamics*, *53*, 4155-4171
- Liu, C. & Barnes, E.A. (2015). Extreme moisture transport into the Arctic linked to Rossby wave breaking. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *120*, 3774-3788
- Masato, G., Hoskins, B.J., & Woollings, T.J. (2012). Wave-breaking characteristics of midlatitude blocking. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *138*, 1285-1296
- Masato, G., Hoskins, B.J., & Woollings, T.J. (2013). Winter and summer Northern Hemisphere blocking in CMIP5 models. *Journal of Climate*, *26*, 7044-7059
- Masato, G., Woollings, T., & Hoskins, B.J. (2014). Structure and impact of atmospheric blocking over the Euro-Atlantic region in present-day and future simulations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *41*, 1051-1058
- Mattingly, K.S., Ramseyer, C.A., Rosen, J.J., Mote, T.L., & Muthyala, R. (2016). Increasing water vapor transport to the Greenland Ice Sheet revealed using self-organizing maps. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *43*, 9250-9258
- Mattingly, K.S., Mote, T.L., & Fettweis, X. (2018). Atmospheric river impacts on Greenland Ice Sheet surface mass balance. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *123*, 8538-8560
- Mattingly, K.S., Mote, T.L., Fettweis, X., Van As, D., Van Tricht, K., Lhermitte, S., Pettersen, C., & Fausto, R.S. (2020). Strong summer atmospheric rivers trigger Greenland Ice Sheet melt through spatially varying surface energy balance and cloud regimes. *J. Clim.*, *33*, 6809-6832.
- McIlhattan, E.A., L'Ecuyer, T.S., & Miller, N.B. (2017). Observational evidence linking Arctic supercooled liquid cloud biases in CESM to snowfall processes. *J. Clim.*, **30**, 4477-4495 (2017).
- McIlhattan, E.A., Pettersen, C., Wood, N.B., & L'Ecuyer, T.S. (2020). Satellite observations of snowfall regimes over the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Cryosphere*, *14*, 4379-4404

- McLeod, J.T. & Mote, T.L. (2016). Linking interannual variability in extreme Greenland blocking episodes to the recent increase in summer melting across the Greenland ice sheet. *Intl. Journal of Climatology*, *36*, 1484-1499
- Morrison, H., De Boer, G., Feingold, G., Harrington, J., Shupe, M.D., & Sulia, K. (2012). Resilience of persistent Arctic mixed-phase clouds. *Nature Geosci.*, *5*, 11-17
- Mosley-Thompson, E., Readinger, C.R., Craigmile, P., Thompson, L.G., & Calder, C.A. (2005). Regional sensitivity of Greenland precipitation to NAO variability. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*
- Mouginot, J. (2019). Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, *116*, 9239-9244
- Mundhenk, B.D., Barnes, E.A., Maloney, E.D., & Nardi, K.M. (2016). Modulation of atmospheric rivers near Alaska and the US West Coast by northeast Pacific height anomalies. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *121*, 12-751
- Naakka, T., Nygård, T., Vihma, T., Sedlar, J., & Graversen, R. (2019). Atmospheric moisture transport between mid-latitudes and the Arctic: Regional, seasonal and vertical distributions. *International Journal of Climatology*, *39*, 2862-2879
- Neff, W., Compo, G.P., Martin Ralph, F., & Shupe, M.D. (2014). Continental heat anomalies and the extreme melting of the Greenland ice surface in 2012 and 1889. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *119*, 6520-6536
- Niwano, M., Box, J.E., Wehrle, A., Vandecrux, B., Colgan, W.T., & Cappelen, J. (2021). Rainfall on the Greenland Ice Sheet: Present-Day Climatology From a High-Resolution Non-Hydrostatic Polar Regional Climate Model. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *48*, p.e2021GL092942
- Noël, B., van Kampenhout, L., Lenaerts, J.T.M., van de Berg, W.J., & Van Den Broeke, M.R. (2021). A 21st Century Warming Threshold for Sustained Greenland Ice Sheet Mass Loss. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *48*, e2020GL090471
- Nygård, T., Graversen, R.G., Uotila, P., Naakka, T., & Vihma, T. (2019). Strong dependence of wintertime Arctic moisture and cloud distributions on atmospheric large-scale circulation. *J. Clim.*, *32*, 8771-8790
- Papritz, L. & Dunn-Sigouin, E. (2020). What Configuration of the Atmospheric Circulation Drives Extreme Net and Total Moisture Transport Into the Arctic. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *47*, p.e2020GL089769
- Pasquier, J.T., Pfahl, S., & Grams, C.M. (2019). Modulation of atmospheric river occurrence and associated precipitation extremes in the North Atlantic region by European weather regimes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*, 1014-1023
- Pelly, J.L. & Hoskins, B.J. (2003). A new perspective on blocking. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *60*, 743-755
- Pettersen, C., Bennartz, R., Kulie, M.S., Merrelli, A.J., Shupe, M.D., & Turner, D.D. (2016). Microwave signatures of ice hydrometeors from ground-based observations above Summit, Greenland. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, *16*, 4743-4756.

- Pettersen, C. & Merrelli, A.J. (2018). Microwave radiometer snow categorization tool for Summit, Greenland, 2010 – 2015, *Arctic Data Center*, doi:10.18739/A2R28Q
- Pettersen, C., Bennartz, R., Merrelli, A.J., Shupe, M.D., Turner, D.D., & Walden, V.P. (2018). Precipitation regimes over central Greenland inferred from 5 years of ICECAPS observations. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*, *18*, 4715-4735
- Rex, D.F. (1950). Blocking action in the middle troposphere and its effect upon regional climate. *Tellus*, *2*, 275-301
- Schiemann, R., Demory, M.E., Shaffrey, L.C., Strachan, J., Vidale, P.L., Mizielinski, M.S., Roberts, M.J., Matsueda, M., Wehner, M.F., & Jung, T. (2017). The resolution sensitivity of Northern Hemisphere blocking in four 25-km atmospheric global circulation models. *J. Clim.*, *30*, 337-358
- Schuenemann, K.C., Cassano, J.J., & Finnis, J. (2009). Synoptic forcing of precipitation over Greenland: Climatology for 1961–99. *J. Hydromet.*, *10*, 60-78
- Shupe, M.D., Daniel, J.S., De Boer, G., Eloranta, E.W., Kollias, P., Long, C.N., Luke, E.P., Turner, D.D., & Verlinde, J. (2008). A focus on mixed-phase clouds: The status of ground-based observational methods. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *89*, 1549-1562
- Shupe, M.D., Turner, D.D., Walden, V.P., Bennartz, R., Cadeddu, M.P., Castellani, B.B., Cox, C.J., Hudak, D.R., Kulie, M.S., Miller, N.B., & Neely III, R.R. (2013). High and dry: New observations of tropospheric and cloud properties above the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, *94*, 169-186
- Sodemann, H., Masson-Delmotte, V., Schwierz, C., Vinther, B.M., & Wernli, H. (2008). Interannual variability of Greenland winter precipitation sources: 2. Effects of North Atlantic Oscillation variability on stable isotopes in precipitation. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *113*, D12
- Solomon, A. & Shupe, M.D. (2019). A Case Study of Airmass Transformation and Cloud Formation at Summit, Greenland. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *76*, 3095-3113
- Sousa, P.M., Barriopedro, D., García-Herrera, R., Woollings, T., & Trigo, R.M. (2021). A new combined detection algorithm for blocking and subtropical ridges. *Journal of Climate*, *34*, 7735-7758
- Steffe, K. & Box, J. (2001). Surface climatology of the Greenland ice sheet: Greenland Climate Network 1995–1999. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, *106*, 33951-33964
- Thomas, R.H.E.A. (2000). Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet at high elevations *Science*, *289*, 426-428
- van As, D. (2011). Programme for Monitoring of the Greenland Ice Sheet (PROMICE): first temperature and ablation records. *Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland Bulletin*, *23*, 73-76
- van den Broeke, M.R. (2009). Partitioning recent Greenland mass loss. *Science*, *326*, 984-986
- van den Broeke, M.R. (2016). On the recent contribution of the Greenland ice sheet to sea level change. *Cryosphere*, *10*, 1933-1946

van Tricht, K., Lhermitte, S., Lenaerts, J.T., Gorodetskaya, I.V., L'Ecuyer, T.S., Noël, B., van den Broeke, M.R., Turner, D.D., & van Lipzig, N.P. (2016). Clouds enhance Greenland ice sheet meltwater runoff. *Nature Comms.*, 7, 1-9

Waliser, D. & Guan, B. (2017). Extreme winds and precipitation during landfall of atmospheric rivers. *Nature Geosci.*, 10, 179-183

Ward, J.L., Flanner, M.G., & Dunn-Sigouin, E. (2020). Impacts of Greenland Block Location on Clouds and Surface Energy Fluxes Over the Greenland Ice Sheet. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 125, p.e2020JD033172

Woods, C., Caballero, R., & Svensson, G. (2013). Large-scale circulation associated with moisture intrusions into the Arctic during winter. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 4717-4721

Woollings, T., Hoskins, B., Blackburn, M., & Berrisford, P. (2008). A new Rossby wave–breaking interpretation of the North Atlantic Oscillation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 609-626

Woollings, T. & Hoskins, B. (2008). Simultaneous Atlantic–Pacific blocking and the northern annular mode. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 134, 1635-1646

Zwally, H. J., Li, J., Brenner, A.C., Beckley, M., Cornejo, H.G., DiMarzio, J., Giovinetto, M.B., Neumann, T.A., Robbins, J., Saba, J.L., & Yi, D. (2011). Greenland ice sheet mass balance: distribution of increased mass loss with climate warming; 2003–07 versus 1992–2002. *Journal of Glaciology*, 57, 88-102