

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

DR. JEREMY BRANZETTI (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-2397-0566)
DR. MICHAEL A. GISONDI (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6800-3932)
DR. LAURA R HOPSON (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1183-4751)
DR. LINDA REGAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-0390-4243)

Article type : Commentary and Perspective

Title: Adaptive Expertise: The Optimal Outcome of Emergency Medicine Training

Running title: Adaptive Expertise in Emergency Medicine

Jeremy Branzetti, MD, MHPE
Michael A. Gisondi, MD
Laura R. Hopson, MD
Linda Regan, MD, MEd

Jeremy Branzetti, MD, MHPE, is an emergency physician and medical educator; ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2397-0566>

Michael A. Gisondi, MD is Associate Professor and Vice Chair of Education, Department of
Emergency Medicine, Precision Education and Assessment Research Lab, Stanford University
School of Medicine, Stanford, CA; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6800-3932>

Laura R. Hopson, MD is the Associate Chair for Education, and Professor at the University of
Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI; ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1745-0836>

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/AET2.10731](https://doi.org/10.1002/AET2.10731)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

29 Linda Regan, MD is the Emergency Medicine Residency Program Director, Vice Chair for
30 Education, and Associate Professor, Department of Emergency Medicine, Johns Hopkins
31 University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0390-4243>

32 Correspondence should be addressed to Jeremy Branzetti, MD, MHPE: phone 516-455-9232;
33 email: jeremybranzetti@gmail.com

34
35 Disclosures:

36 JB reports no financial conflicts of interest.

37 MG reports no financial conflicts of interest.

38 LH reports no financial conflicts of interest.

39 LR reports no financial conflicts of interest.

40 **Introduction**

41 The breadth of practice in emergency medicine (EM) is daunting. Emergency physicians
42 are expected to be resuscitation experts who competently manage all acutely ill and injured
43 patients, no matter the source of their pathology. In reality, it is impossible to expose emergency
44 medicine residents to every possible case presentation during training to develop comprehensive
45 expertise. Physicians must be capable of *adapting* their practice to variations in presenting
46 complaints, conditions in the emergency departments, and their own unique prior experiences.
47 Truly *expert* emergency physicians can apply their knowledge and skills to manage both
48 common and uncommon cases effectively and efficiently. The emergency physician must be an
49 *adaptive expert*.

50 In this paper we describe adaptive expertise, explain why it is central to the practice of
51 emergency medicine, and describe how educators can best train residents to become adaptive
52 experts.

54 **What is Adaptive Expertise?**

55 Expertise is defined by elite, peak, or exceptionally high levels of performance on a
56 particular task or within a given domain.¹ It can be divided into two types based on whether
57 skills are applied to perform common or uncommon tasks. *Routine expertise* is the efficient and
58 effective use of mastered skills to consistently perform a complex task at a high level of

59 competency. *Adaptive expertise*, by comparison, is the effective application of existing
60 knowledge and skills to create innovative solutions for tasks or problems that are novel to the
61 expert.

62 Routine expertise can be likened to the automatic, reflexive, pattern-recognizing “System
63 1” thinking described by Kahneman.² The ability to apply skills to commonly performed tasks
64 becomes second nature and requires little active thought.³ In EM, routine expertise is
65 demonstrated in a myriad of clinical tasks such as quickly screening electrocardiograms for
66 critical concerns, reflexively ordering the timely antibiotics and fluids when resuscitating a
67 patient with suspected sepsis, or inserting a central venous catheter. Each circumstance requires
68 near instantaneous analysis of available information, pattern recognition, decision-making, and
69 skillful performance of complex actions to ensure optimal patient outcomes.

70 But what happens when a clinical case doesn’t fit a commonly-recognized pattern? What
71 should an emergency physician do when an electrocardiogram suggests a myocardial infarction
72 but the patient complains primarily of back pain? How should they manage a ‘septic’ patient
73 who recently started taking a new serotonergic medication? What actions are necessary when
74 their guidewire kinks during the insertion of a central venous catheter? Once unusual
75 circumstances are recognized, the provider must engage in active critical thinking to call upon
76 their foundational knowledge and flexibly adapt previous mental models to the novel situation.⁴
77 This kind of thinking, akin to Kahneman’s “System 2” thinking, is effortful and intensive, and
78 requires an understanding of the *why* behind decisions and not just the *what* of the action.^{2,3} To
79 demonstrate adaptive expertise, the provider must *adapt* their previous knowledge or skills to
80 *transfer* them to the novel situation.

81

82 **Why is Adaptive Expertise Important to Emergency Medicine Training?**

83 Adaptive expertise was originally described in educational psychology literature to
84 explain why some experts are able to easily apply their existing knowledge to thrive in novel
85 situations.³ Adaptive expertise has garnered significant attention from medical educators in the
86 last decade, with links demonstrated between it and self-regulated learning,⁵ long-term learning,⁶
87 and medical decision making.⁷ At the same time, studies of clinical diagnostic failure reinforce
88 that reliance on rote performance of existing skills is insufficient.⁷ It is clear that physicians must

89 be able to identify gaps in their expertise, select, appraise, and apply relevant information to
90 address those gaps, and adjust their practice accordingly.^{8,9}

91 One can quickly see how adaptive expertise is central to the practice of EM. The rapid
92 pace of scientific discoveries, expansion of medical knowledge, evolution of treatment strategies,
93 introduction of new technologies, and appearance of novel disorders mandates that all physicians
94 adapt their practices throughout their careers; this is especially true for a generalist specialty
95 tasked with the acute management of any presenting condition. At the same time, the clinical
96 care environment is evolving to have less opportunities for learners to acquire routine expertise
97 for essential and once-common EM procedures, such as tube thoracostomies or central venous
98 catheterizations.¹⁰ Thus, EM educators must prepare learners to be adaptive experts that can
99 successfully navigate novel clinical challenges. But how?

100

101 **How can we Teach Adaptive Expertise?**

102 A general consensus in the literature highlights four educational approaches central to the
103 development of adaptive expertise: (1) emphasizes conceptual understanding, (2) allow for
104 struggle and discovery in learning, (3) incorporating meaningful task variation, and (4)
105 developing self-regulated learning skills.^{3,11-16} **Table 1** provides actionable recommendations for
106 emergency medicine educators to address each of these domains.

107

108 *Emphasize conceptual understanding*

109 A conceptual understanding of a given task is central to a learner's ability to transfer
110 knowledge from their accrued experience when solving a novel problem. By understanding the
111 deeper *why* and not merely the superficial *what* of a task, learners can form the necessary
112 abstractions, heuristics, and interconnections necessary to achieve effective knowledge transfer.
113 These higher-order cognitive processes parallel those originally articulated by Bloom's
114 taxonomy,¹⁷ and this ability to effectively integrate knowledge and competencies may be what
115 makes a physician an "expert" in their craft.¹⁸ Thus, it is incumbent upon educational programs
116 not to simply deliver clinical content to learners, but to push them to connect the dots by
117 integrating knowledge between learning experiences whenever possible.

118 This focus on conceptual understanding addresses important flaws in our existing
119 educational system. For instance, multiple-choice question exams test recall of facts and do not

120 routinely assess conceptual understanding; they offer little predictive value about future clinical
121 performance.¹⁹ Similarly, competency-focused assessments for specific procedures risk a
122 “procedural fluency trap,” wherein learners focus on performing a routine skill more efficiently,
123 but struggle when faced with task variability.⁶ Both forms of assessment implicitly measure
124 routine expertise but fail to capture adaptive expertise; deliberate practice with expert feedback
125 and cognitive apprenticeships are useful methods to address this gap.^{5,20} For example, instead of
126 simply relying on a minimum score on a thoracostomy tube insertion station, the assessor can use
127 their own expertise to further probe the learner’s thinking and decision making and then teach
128 nuanced or advanced concepts. Similarly, a program can develop a culture that views
129 assessments as diagnostic learning opportunities and positively embraces lifelong learning.²¹

130

131 *Allow for struggle and discovery in learning*

132 Fundamentally, the learning experience is a journey of the learner with teachers as
133 guides. This learner-centric mindset helps us understand the centrality of *struggle* to the learning
134 process. Bransford, a leader in adaptive expertise, captured this sentiment well: “*Students also*
135 *need to experience processes of inquiry and innovation—including the struggles and doubts. . .*
136 *These changes can evoke strong emotions and take us away from our momentary efficiencies and*
137 *comfort zones by forcing us to unlearn old skills, tolerate momentary chaos and ambiguity in*
138 *order to move forward, and—at least occasionally (and perhaps frequently)—be in positions*
139 *where we must take risks and be wrong*” (p.2).¹³ As educators, it is imperative that we allow
140 learners to experience struggle when facing new ideas or tasks, but also normalize this as a
141 positive marker of learning.²²

142 One practical issue for teaching adaptive expertise is the sequence of teaching. Shall we
143 model and teach efficiency first, and then encourage innovation? Or vice versa? Evidence
144 consistently favors the latter.^{3,12} In fact, “early innovation yields better adaptability in the short
145 term and efficiency in the long run.”¹² This has profound implications for emergency medicine
146 training, as educators are tasked with the challenge of balancing teaching efficiency with
147 innovation.²³ Problem-based learning, team-based learning, and simulation seem to be ideal
148 methods for safe learner struggle and discovery without any associated threat to patients.
149 Furthermore, these methods provide an opportunity for “guided discovery,” wherein a learning
150 experience is followed by targeted feedback or instruction.²⁴ As an example of this sequencing,

151 learners could first participate in a simulation of cardiac arrest, and *then* be taught the teaching
152 principles of advanced cardiac life support. This order strips them from being able to simply
153 parrot back a recent lesson, and instead requires them to innovate - even if incorrectly - to
154 navigate through the case. This kind of instruction has been associated with better learner
155 efficacy with future novel problems.^{25,26}

156

157 *Incorporate meaningful task variation*

158 Task variability is essential to developing adaptive expertise.^{3,27} Emergency medicine
159 training, and the broader culture of medicine, often teaches learners to manage expected case
160 variability with rigid, algorithmic approaches rather than allow for deliberate variations in task
161 performance and innovative management.²⁸ Such sociocultural structures that prioritize
162 efficiency have been shown to inhibit innovation,³ posing a challenge for educators seeking to
163 teach adaptive expertise. Still, there are existing methods to introduce meaningful task variability
164 into the learning environment. Simulation offers educators the opportunity to adapt cases to the
165 specific learner's experience and skills, and has been associated with development of adaptive
166 expertise.²⁹ In the clinical space, supervisors can skillfully deploy "*what if...*" questions that
167 safely build hypothetical variability into actual patient cases to help residents analyze their
168 clinical decisions.¹⁴ Tasks with built-in variability - such as managing difficult patient encounters
169 or having goals of care discussions - require learners to consistently adapt their approach and
170 lend themselves to development of adaptive expertise.³ Educators must create opportunities for
171 task variation when the task lacks implicit variability, such as a simple laceration repair. The
172 traditional suturing workshop could be modified with models that demonstrate atypical
173 lacerations or require learners to compare and contrast different repair materials and develop
174 usage heuristics.

175

176 *Develop self-regulated learning skills*

177 Adaptability requires learners to engage in critical thinking, reflection, and assessment of
178 biases.^{7,16} Such metacognitive abilities fall within the realm of self-regulated learning (SRL),
179 which includes cognitive, metacognitive, and affective skills necessary for engagement and
180 monitoring learning.³⁰ After medical school, external support mechanisms for learning
181 progressively dissipate;³¹ thus, the capacity to self-regulate learning is essential for physicians.³²

182 Educators should use residency training as a time to ensure residents acquire SRL skills, as
183 evidence suggests they struggle to do this on their own.³³

184 Cutrer et al. proposed the Master Adaptive Learner conceptual model to describe how
185 learners acquire the metacognitive skills of adaptive expertise.¹⁵ Similar to the PDSA cycle,³⁴
186 this 4-phase model conceptualizes learning as a cyclic, recursive process within learners. Regan
187 et al. investigated the initial *planning* phase of learning within a population of Master Adaptive
188 Learners and identified a number of skills and strategies that high-performing learners use to
189 plan learning.²² Optimizations in the learning environment, such as coaching,³⁵ can make these
190 skills explicit to developing learners. A SRL-focused learning science curriculum that operates in
191 parallel with more traditional content-centered curricula may also be beneficial.

192

193

194 **Conclusion**

195 The inherent breadth of EM, accelerating pace of advancement of medicine, and limited duration
196 of training make it impossible to expose learners to every possible future situation encountered in
197 the emergency department. Residency training programs are thus challenged to create the
198 *adaptive experts* that have the skills to meet these future needs. We provide a series of
199 recommendations (Table 1) to assist EM educators in enhancing their programs to meet this
200 challenge.

Table 1: Recommendations for Teaching Adaptive Expertise in Emergency Medicine Training Programs

Adaptive Expertise Developmental Principle	Recommendation for EM Educators
Emphasize conceptual understanding	<p>Program reflection: <i>Does your teaching curriculum emphasize understanding over memorization? Does it push learners to connect the dots and build their own unique cognitive scaffolds such as illness scripts, diagnostic schemas and heuristics?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Emphasize learning <i>why</i> over <i>what</i>: Encourage both residents and faculty to be inquisitive and receptive to questioning. - Invest in long-term learning: Teach learners about the need to make connections (e.g., between basic science, clinical care, and social determinants of health, etc.)^{6,14,36} - Avoid overreliance on superficial markers of knowledge (e.g. in-training exams)¹⁴ - Increase opportunities for the externalization of learner thought: Use deliberate practice and cognitive apprenticeships within teaching experiences to make learners externalize their knowledge, decisions, etc.^{5,20}
Allow for struggle and discovery in learning	<p>Program reflection: <i>What is the learning culture of the program? Are learners rewarded for giving the right answer, or for acknowledging and addressing their knowledge gaps?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare learners: Anticipate the emotional discomfort of productive struggle and address it directly with learners - Start early: Provide opportunities for learners to innovate early in training (e.g., during orientation).^{3,12} - Protect learner autonomy: Maximize learner autonomy commensurate with their ability; conversely, avoid attending-only tasks that sideline learners from direct patient care²² - Always get a commitment: Solicit a decision or rationale from the learner <i>before</i> giving answers or feedback - Encourage guided discovery: Build teaching experiences wherein learners <i>first</i> experience struggle with new content and <i>then</i> receive direct instruction/feedback²⁴

<p><i>Incorporate meaningful task variation</i></p>	<p>Program reflection: <i>Which learner tasks, roles, and skills lack intrinsic variability and need supplemental task variation?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ask ‘What if...?’: Encourage “what if...” questions that build hypothetical case variability (e.g., variations in age, comorbid conditions, access to consultants, etc.)¹⁴ - Embrace simulation: Adaptable simulations allow for nearly-limitless case variability and adaptability to specific learner needs and abilities²³
<p><i>Develop self-regulated learning skills</i></p>	<p>Program reflection: <i>Does your program prepare learners to be self-directed by providing opportunities to “practice” self-regulated learning skills?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prioritize the development of self-regulated learning: Implement a curriculum and/or coaching program focused on cultivating and role-modeling self-regulated learning skills ^{22,35,37-39}

Abbreviations:

E.g. = for example

References

1. Bourne Jr. LE, Kole JA, & Healy AF. Expertise: defined, described, explained. *Front Psych.* 2014; 5(186)
2. Kahneman, D. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Farrar, Straus, & Giroux, 2011
3. Hatano G, & Inagaki K. Two courses of expertise. *Research & Clinical Center for Child Development* 1984; 82-83(Ann Rpt):27–36
4. Hicks NM, Bumbaco A, & Douglas E. Critical Thinking, Reflective Practice, and Adaptive Expertise in Engineering [paper presentation]. 121st ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition 2014, Indianapolis, United States
5. Lajoie SP, & Gube M. Adaptive expertise in medical education: Accelerating learning trajectories by fostering self-regulated learning. *Med Teach.* 2018; 40(8):809-812
6. Mylopoulos M, Kulamakan K, & Woods NN. Developing the experts we need: fostering adaptive expertise through education. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2018; 24:674-677
7. Croskerry P. Adaptive expertise in medical decision making. *Med Teach.* 2018; 40(8):803–808
8. Duval JF, Opas LM, Nasca TJ, Johnson PF, Weiss KB. Report of the SI2025 Task Force. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2017, 9(6s):11–57
9. The Emergency Medicine Milestone Project. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, 2021. (*Accessed September 29, 2021, at <https://staging.acgme.org/Portals/0/PDFs/Milestones/EmergencyMedicineMilestones.pdf?ver=2015-11-06-120531-877>*)
10. Gisondi MA, Regan L, Branzetti J, & Hopson LR. More Learners, Finite Resources, and the Changing Landscape of Procedural Training at the Bedside. *Acad Med.* 2018; 93(5): 699–704
11. Smith EM, Ford JK, & Kozlowski SWJ. Building adaptive expertise: Implications for training design strategies. In Quiñones MA & Ehrenstein A (Eds.), *Training for a rapidly changing workplace: Applications of psychological research*. American Psychological Association, 1997

12. Schwartz D, Bransford J, & Sears D. Efficiency and innovation in transfer. In J. Mestre (Ed.), *Transfer of learning from a modern multidisciplinary perspective*. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, 2005
13. Bransford J. Preparing People for Rapidly Changing Environments. *J Engin Educ*. 2007; 96(1):1-3
14. Mylopoulos M, Steenhof N, Kaushal A, & Woods NN. Twelve tips for supporting curricula that support the development of adaptive expertise. *Med Teach*. 2018; 40(8):850–854
15. Cutrer WB, Miller B, Pusic MV, et al. Fostering the Development of Master Adaptive Learners: A Conceptual Model to Guide Skill Acquisition in Medical Education. *Acad Med*. 2017; 92(1):70–75
16. Kua J, Lim W, Teo W, & Edwards RA. A scoping review of adaptive expertise in education. *Med Teach*. 2021; 43(3):347-355
17. Bloom BS, Engelhart MD, Furst EJ, Hill WH, Krathwohl DR. The classification of educational goals. In: *Taxonomy of educational objectives, Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York, NY: David McKay Company, 1956
18. Mylopoulos M, Borschel DT, O'Brien T, Martimianakis S, & Woods NN. Exploring Integration in Action: Competencies as Building Blocks of Expertise. *Acad Med*. 2017; 92(12):1794–1799
19. Burkhardt JC, Parekh KP, Gallahue FE, et al. A Critical Disconnect: Residency Selection Factors Lack Correlation With Intern Performance. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2020; 12(6):696–704
20. Ericsson KA, Krampe RT, Tesch-Romer C. The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psych Rev*. 1993; 100:363–406
21. Eva KW, Bordage G, Campbell C, et al. Towards a program of assessment for health professionals: from training into practice. *Adv Health Sci Educ*. 2016; 21(4):897–913
22. Regan L, Hopson LR, Gisondi MA, Branzetti J. Learning to learn: A qualitative study to uncover strategies used by Master Adaptive Learners in the planning of learning. *Med Teach*. 2019; 41(11):1252–1262

23. Pusic MV, Santen SA, Dekhtyar M, Poncelet AN, Roberts NK, Wilson-Delfosse AL, & Cutrer WB. Learning to balance efficiency and innovation for optimal adaptive expertise. *Med Teach*. 2018; 40(8):820–827
24. Kapur M. Productive failure in learning math. *Cogn Sci*. 2014; 38(5):1008–1022
25. Schwartz DL, Martin T. Inventing to prepare for future learning: the hidden efficiency of encouraging original student production in statistics instruction. *Cogn Instr*. 2004; 22(2):129-184
26. Schwartz DL, Chase CC, Oppezzo MA, & Chin DB. Practicing versus inventing with contrasting cases: the effects of telling first on learning and transfer. *J Educ Psych*. 2011; 103(4):759–75
27. Carbonell KB, Könings KD, Segers M, & van Merriënboer JIG. Measuring adaptive expertise: development and validation of an instrument. *Euro J Work Org Psych*. 2016; 25(2): 167-180
28. Kawamura A, Harris I, Thomas K, Mema B, & Mylopoulos M. Exploring How Pediatric Residents Develop Adaptive Expertise in Communication: The Importance of "Shifts" in Understanding Patient and Family Perspectives. *Acad Med*. 2020; 95(7):1066–1072
29. Kawamura A, Mylopoulos M, Orsino A, Jimenez E, & McNaughton N. Promoting the Development of Adaptive Expertise: Exploring a Simulation Model for Sharing a Diagnosis of Autism With Parents. *Acad Med*. 2016; 91(11):1576–1581
30. ten Cate O, Snell L, Mann K, Vermunt J. Orienting Teaching Toward the Learning Process. *Acad Med*. 2004; 79(3): 219-228
31. Branzetti J, Gisoni MA, Hopson LR, & Regan L. Aiming Beyond Competent: The Application of the Taxonomy of Significant Learning to Medical Education. *Teach Learn Med*. 2019; 31(4):466–478
32. Berkhout JJ, Helmich E, Teunissen PW, van den Berg JW, van der Vleuten CP, & Jaarsma AD. Exploring the factors influencing clinical students' self-regulated learning. *Med Educ*. 2015; 49(6):589–600
33. Nothnagle M, Anandarajah G, Goldman RE, & Reis S. Struggling to be self-directed: residents' paradoxical beliefs about learning. *Acad Med*. 2011; 86(12):1539–1544.
34. Langley GJ. *The improvement guide: A practical approach to enhancing organizational performance*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2014

35. Cutrer WB, Atkinson HG, Friedman E, et al. Exploring the characteristics and context that allow Master Adaptive Learners to thrive. *Med Teach*. 2018; *40*(8):791-796.
36. Kulasegaram K, Min C, Howey E, et al. The mediating effect of context variation in mixed practice for transfer of basic science. *Adv Health Sci Educ*. 2015; *20*:953–968.
37. Rassbach CE, & Blankenburg R. A Novel Pediatric Residency Coaching Program: Outcomes After One Year. *Acad Med*. 2018; *93*(3):430–434.
38. Gonzalo JD, Wolpaw DR, Krok KL, Pfeiffer MP, & McCall-Hosenfeld JS. A Developmental Approach to Internal Medicine Residency Education: Lessons Learned from the Design and Implementation of a Novel Longitudinal Coaching Program. *Med Educ Online*. 2019; *24*(1).
39. Deiorio NM, Foster KW, & Santen SA. Coaching a Learner in Medical Education. *Acad Med*. 2021, *Advance online publication*.