

# The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* and statin-associated musculoskeletal symptoms

# **Authors:**

\*Rhonda M. Cooper-DeHoff<sup>1,2</sup>, \*Mikko Niemi<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Laura B. Ramsey<sup>6,7</sup>, Jasmine A. Luzum<sup>8</sup>, E. Katriina Tarkiainen<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Robert J. Straka<sup>9</sup>, Li Gong<sup>10</sup>, Sony Tuteja<sup>11</sup>, Russell A. Wilke<sup>12</sup>, Mia Wadelius<sup>13</sup>, Eric A. Larson<sup>12</sup>, Dan M. Roden<sup>14,15</sup>, Teri E. Klein<sup>10</sup>, Sook Wah Yee<sup>16</sup>, Ronald M. Krauss<sup>17</sup>, Richard M. Turner<sup>18</sup>, Latha Palaniappan<sup>19</sup>, Andrea Gaedigk<sup>20</sup>, Kathleen M. Giacomini<sup>16</sup>, Kelly E. Caudle<sup>22</sup>, Deepak Voora<sup>23</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research and Center for Pharmacogenomics and Precision Medicine, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

<sup>2</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Individualized Drug Therapy Research Program University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>4</sup>HUS Diagnostic Center, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1002/CPT.2557</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

<sup>5</sup>Individualized Drug Therapy Research Program, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

<sup>6</sup>Divisions of Clinical Pharmacology & Research in Patient Services, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Michigan College of Pharmacy, Ann Arbor,

<sup>9</sup>Department of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Minnesota College of Pharmacy, Minnesota, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Biomedical Data Science, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Clinical Pharmacogenomics & Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>14</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine and Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>15</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>16</sup>Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>17</sup>Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>18</sup>The Wolfson Centre for Personalised Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

<sup>19</sup>Division of Primary Care and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>20</sup>Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therapeutic Innovation, Children's Mercy Kansas City and School of Medicine, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, MO, USA

<sup>22</sup>Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences,St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

<sup>23</sup>Department of Medicine, Duke Center for Applied Genomics & Precision Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

# \*shared first author

# **Word counts:**

Abstract: (max 250) 176 words

Text: (max 4000) 3728

References: (max 40) 51

Keywords: pharmacogenetics, pharmacogenomics, myalgias, rhabdomyolysis, CPIC, SLCO1B1, ABCG2, CYP2C9, HMGCR, CYP3A, statins, SAMS, simvastatin, rosuvastatin, fluvastatin, rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, lovastatin, pitavastatin, pravastatin Corresponding author:

Deepak Voora, MD

Duke University School of Medicine

Department of Medicine

101 Science Dr, 2187 Ciemas

Campus Box 3382, Durham, NC 27708

deepak.voora@duke.edu

(919) 684-6266

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

THE AUTHORS DECLARED NO COMPETING INTERESTS FOR THIS WORK.

# **FUNDING**

This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for CPIC (R24GM115264 and U24HG010135) and PharmGKB (U24 HG010615). Additional author support includes P50GM115318 (RMK), HL143161 (S.T.), U01HG007269 (RMC-D), R01GM117163 (KMG, SWY), and K08HL146990 (J.A.L). M.N. is funded by a European Research Council ERC Consolidator Grant (Grant agreement 725249). ABSTRACT

Statins reduce cholesterol, prevent cardiovascular disease, and are among the most commonly prescribed medications in the world. Statin-associated musculoskeletal symptoms (SAMS) impact statin adherence and ultimately can impede the long-term effectiveness of statin therapy. There are several identified pharmacogenetic variants that impact statin disposition and adverse events during statin therapy. *SLCO1B1* encodes a transporter (SLCO1B1; alternative names include OATP1B1 or OATP-C) that facilitates the hepatic uptake of all statins. *ABCG2* encodes an efflux transporter (BCRP) that modulates the absorption and disposition of rosuvastatin. *CYP2C9* encodes a Phase-I drug metabolizing enzyme responsible for the oxidation of some statins. Genetic variation in each of these genes alters systemic exposure to statins (*i.e.*, simvastatin, rosuvastatin, pravastatin, pitavastatin, atorvastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin), which can increase the risk for SAMS. We summarize the literature supporting these associations and provide therapeutic recommendations for statins based on *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* genotype with the goal of improving the overall safety, adherence and effectiveness of statin therapy. This document replaces the 2012 and 2014 Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guidelines for *SLCO1B1* and simvastatin-induced myopathy.

# INTRODUCTION

In 2012, the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) published a gene-based prescribing guideline for simvastatin based on *SLCO1B1* genotype (1), and this guideline was updated in 2014 (2). The current document replaces the original 2012 guideline and the 2014 update. New to this guideline are the addition of recommendations for *CYP2C9* and *ABCG2* and addition of recommendations for all statins.. We summarize literature supporting how *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* genotype test results should be applied to optimize new or existing statin

therapy to reduce the risk of statin-associated musculoskeletal symptoms (SAMS). This CPIC document serves as a guide for selecting the most appropriate statin and the optimal dose *if* pharmacogenetic test results are available (not whether to perform pharmacogenetic testing). Decisions concerning when, in whom and at what intensity statin therapy should be initiated are beyond the scope of this manuscript and are extensively reviewed elsewhere (3). Given the balance of SAMS risk versus known cardiovascular disease benefit, for patients who are candidates for new statin therapy, pharmacogenetic test results may provide additional useful information. For patients currently prescribed statin therapy, depending on how long the patient has been tolerating the statin, pharmacogenetic test results may be used as the basis for changing to another statin type or dose. Statin therapy should neither be discontinued nor avoided based on *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, or *CYP2C9* genotype results for patients with an indication for statin therapy, especially if the statin therapy is based on the shared decision making between patient and provider. Although evidence review included other outcomes such as the impact of genetic variation on lipid-lowering, the recommendations provided in this guideline are based on the effect of genetic variations on the risk of SAMS.

# FOCUSED LITERATURE REVIEW AND UPDATE

A systematic literature review was conducted, focusing on associations of statin-related clinical endpoints (efficacy and toxicity) with gene variants of *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, *CYP2C9*, *CYP3A4*, *CYP3A5*, and *HMGCR* (details in **Tables S1-S5** and **Supplement**). Based on the evidence review and insufficient evidence to support clinical implementation, no recommendations are provided for *HMGCR*, *CYP3A4* or *CYP3A5* (see **Tables S4** and **S5** and the supplement text for details). Hence, this guideline will focus only on *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* genetic variation as these have been shown to impact statin exposure and risk of SAMS. As the previous CPIC guideline focused only on *SLCO1B1* and simvastatin, the *SLCO1B1* recommendation provided in this guideline should be considered a replacement of the previous *SLCO1B1* and simvastatin recommendations (2).

GENES: SLCO1B1, ABCG2, AND CYP2C9

# **Background**

*SLCO1B1*. SLCO1B1 (alternative protein names include OATP1B1, OATP-C) is used in this guideline to designate the protein product of the *SLCO1B1* gene. SLCO1B1 facilitates the

hepatic uptake of statins, as well as other exogenous and endogenous compounds (*e.g.*, bilirubin and 17-beta-glucuronosyl estradiol) (4). Decreased function of this transporter (inherited through genetic variability or acquired through drug-mediated inhibition) can markedly increase the systemic exposure to statins, the putative causal factor underlying the link to SAMS (5). The *SLCO1B1* gene locus occupies 109 kb on chromosome 12 (Chr 12p12.2) and, although many single nucleotide variants (SNVs) have been identified in this gene, only a few are known to have a clinically relevant functional impact (*SLCO1B1* Allele Definition and Functionality Tables (6, 7)). The common c.521T>C variant, rs4149056, produces a p.V174A substitution and is contained within *SLCO1B1\*5* and \*15 haplotypes. The *SLCO1B1\*17* haplotype also contains the c.521T>C variant; however, this allele designation no longer exists (the Pharmacogene Variation Consortium (PharmVar, (8)) recently merged this allele with *SLCO1B1\*15*. The minor C allele at c.521T>C has been associated with decreased transport function *in vitro* and increased systemic exposure to several drugs *in vivo* (See Table S1). Differences in allele frequencies have been observed across multiple ancestries and geographically diverse groups (*SLCO1B1* Allele Frequency Table (6, 7)).

ABCG2. ABCG2, which encodes the transporter ATP-Binding Cassette G2 (also known as Breast Cancer Resistance Protein, BCRP) is expressed in many different tissues including liver, blood-brain barrier and intestine. ABCG2 facilitates the export of compounds into the extracellular space. The ABCG2 gene locus spans over 66 kb on chromosome 4 (Chr 4q22.1). The common variant p.Q141K (c.421C>A, rs2231142) has been studied extensively; the minor A allele is associated with 30 to 40% reduced protein expression compared with the reference allele and with increased plasma levels of rosuvastatin (Table S2) (ABCG2 Allele Definition and Functionality tables (6, 7)). Differences in allele frequencies have been observed across multiple geographically, racially and ethnically diverse groups (ABCG2 Allele Frequency Table (6, 7)).

CYP2C9. The CYP2C9 enzyme contributes to the Phase-I metabolism of many drugs. CYP2C9 is one of the CYP2C genes clustered in a 500-kb region on 10q24 (Chr 10q23.33) The CYP2C9 gene is highly polymorphic, with at least 71 variant alleles (CYP2C9 Allele Definition Table (6, 7, 9)). Differences in allele frequencies have been observed across multiple geographically,

racially- and ethnically-diverse groups (*CYP2C9* Allele Frequency Table (6, 7)). The two most extensively studied variants are *CYP2C9\*2* (p.R144C; rs1799853) and *CYP2C9\*3* (p.I359L; rs1057910) (10), which reduce CYP2C9 function by approximately 30-40% and 80%, respectively, and lead to increased systemic exposure to fluvastatin (*CYP2C9* Allele Functionality table (6, 7).

# **Genetic Test Interpretation**

SLCO1B1. The assignment of the predicted SLCO1B1 phenotype, based on star (\*) allele diplotypes, has been summarized in Table 1. SLCO1B1 haplotypes are often named using star allele nomenclature, representing various SNVs alone or in combination (PharmVar (8) and SLCO1B1 Allele Definition Table (6, 7, 11)) that are associated with altered SLCO1B1 protein expression or function (Allele Functionality Table (6, 7)). The combination of alleles is used to determine a patient's diplotype (often also referred to as genotype), which can then be used to infer an individual's predicted phenotype (Table 1; SLCO1B1 Diplotype to Phenotype table (6, 7)). Individuals with two increased function alleles (SLCO1B1\*14/\*14) have a SLCO1B1 increased function phenotype. Individuals with only normal function alleles (SLCO1B1\*1/\*14) have a SLCO1B1 normal function phenotype, while individuals with one no function allele (e.g., SLCO1B1\*5) and one normal or increased function alleles have a SLCO1B1 decreased function phenotype and individuals with two no function alleles (e.g., SLCO1B1\*5/\*5) have an SLCO1B1 poor function phenotype.

The most common and well-studied variant in *SLCO1B1* is c.521T>C (rs4149056), and can be genotyped alone (e.g., PCR-based single SNV assay) or multiplexed on a variety of array-based platforms. All *SLCO1B1* genetic tests should interrogate c.521T>C; however, while other less common variants in this gene may have limited evidence to guide action, they may also be important (*SLCO1B1* Allele Definition and Functionality Tables (6, 7)).

ABCG2. Unlike SLCO1B1 and CYP2C9, there is no star allele nomenclature to represent ABCG2 variants at this time. Assignment of the predicted ABCG2 phenotype is summarized in **Table 1**. An individual carrying one normal function allele plus one decreased function allele

(rs2231142; c.421C>A) has ABCG2 decreased function and an individual with two decreased function alleles has ABCG2 poor function. rs2231142 can be genotyped alone (e.g., PCR-based single SNP assay) or multiplexed on a variety of array-based platforms. Various commercial genotyping platforms include rs2231142 in panels of pharmacogenetic variants (12).

CYP2C9. Most clinical laboratories reporting CYP2C9 genotype use the star (\*) allele nomenclature which can be found at PharmVar (8) and in the CYP2C9 Allele Definition Table (6, 7). The combination of alleles is used to determine a patient's diplotype, which can then be used to infer an individual's predicted metabolizer phenotype (Table 1; CYP2C9 Diplotype to Phenotype Table (6, 7)). Each allele's functional status is assigned an activity value ranging from 0 to 1 (e.g., 0 for no function, 0.5 for decreased function, and 1.0 for normal function), which are summed to calculate the activity score (AS) for each diplotype (CYP2C9 Allele Functionality Table (6, 7)). The CYP2C9 AS is then translated into phenotype: individuals with an AS of 0 or 0.5 are poor metabolizers (PMs), those with an AS of 1 or 1.5 are intermediate metabolizers (IMs), and those with an AS of 2 are normal metabolizers (NMs) (Table 1; CYP2C9 Diplotype to Phenotype Table (6, 7)). Because reference laboratories providing clinical CYP2C9 genotyping may use varying methods to assign phenotypes, it is advisable to note a patient's CYP2C9 diplotype and to refer to the CYP2C9 Diplotype to Phenotype Table online for a complete list of possible diplotypes and phenotype assignments before making therapeutic decisions.

# **Available Genetic Test Options**

See the Genetic Testing Registry (<u>www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtr/</u>) for more information on commercially available clinical testing options.

# **Incidental findings**

Genetic variability in *SLCO1B1* influences the hepatic uptake of other drugs (e.g., methotrexate) (13, 14) as well as important endogenous compounds (e.g., bilirubin) (15). Complete SLCO1B1 and SLCO1B3 deficiency is associated with Rotor Syndrome (15). Genetic polymorphisms in *ABCG2* influence absorption and disposition of many drugs including anti-cancer drugs and anti-viral drugs (16). In addition, genomewide association

studies reveal that *ABCG2* variants influence serum uric acid levels, risk for gout and response to the anti-gout medication, allopurinol (17, 18). In addition, null *ABCG2* expression is associated with the Junior blood group, which determines presence of the Jr(a) antigen (19). No diseases or conditions have been consistently or strongly linked to variation in *CYP2C9* independent of drug metabolism and response. CYP2C9 IMs and PMs may be predisposed to serious bleeding during warfarin therapy and increased risk of phenytoin- and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-related toxicities (20-23).

# Other considerations

All studies in this literature review investigated each gene individually for SAMS. As high throughput genotyping and more sequence-based analyses become more widely available, it is important to consider higher order interactions of these (and other) genes, in addition to epigenetic, drug-drug-gene and gene-environment interactions in statin therapies.

# DRUGS: STATINS (HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors)

# Background

One in four Americans aged 40 and older use a statin (24). In 2018, atorvastatin and simvastatin were the #1 and #10 most commonly prescribed drugs in the US, respectively. Statins have a wide therapeutic index. The most common statin-related adverse drug reaction (ADR) is skeletal muscle toxicity which manifest as SAMS (25). SAMS include a range of clinical entities from the most common (about 1 in 10), myalgia (pain without evidence of muscle degradation., i.e. creatine kinase levels < 3x normal); less common (about 1 in 2000), myopathy (evidence of muscle degradation with or without myalgia, i.e. creatine kinase levels ≥3x normal); and rare (less than 1 in 10,000), rhabdomyolysis (severe muscle damage with risk for acute kidney injury)(26). Based on extrapolation from dose-response and drug-drug interaction data, most SAMS cases are likely statin concentration-dependent (27) due to direct statin myotoxicity. An alternative form of SAMS stems from an autoimmune-mediated necrotizing myopathy characterized by autoantibodies against HMGCR and is not considered further in this guideline's reference to SAMS.

The frequency of SAMS in clinical practice is higher than observed in blinded, placebocontrolled trials for reasons that can be attributed to differences in the types of patients enrolled

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

in clinical trials versus practice, the use of "run-in" periods in clinical trials, as well as a potential "nocebo" effect of statins. Nevertheless, patients and providers frequently report SAMS in clinical practice and data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) suggesting that the 'number needed to harm' maybe as high as 17 (28). Although described as "mild", SAMS frequently leads to statin discontinuation, thus leading to higher cholesterol levels and a higher risk for cardiovascular disease if statins are not re-initiated (29, 30).

# Linking genetic variability to variability in drug-related phenotypes

We applied a systematic approach to reviewing the evidence underlying the clinical validity of genetic associations with statin-related phenotypes including statin pharmacokinetics (*in vivo* and *in vitro*), SAMS, hepatotoxicity, lab-based efficacy (cholesterol lowering) and clinical efficacy (vascular event reduction). Statins evaluated included simvastatin, rosuvastatin, pravastatin, pravastatin, atorvastatin, allowastatin, and lovastatin. We reviewed the evidence for *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, *CYP2C9*, *CYP3A4/5*, and *HMGCR*, and applied a grading system for each piece of evidence that evaluated an association between genotype and phenotype (**Tables S1-S5**). We found the highest levels of evidence for *SLCO1B1* (all statins), *ABCG2* (rosuvastatin), and *CYP2C9* (fluvastatin), and this evidence forms the basis for therapeutic recommendations in the current guideline. Evidence tables for *CYP3A4/5* and *HMGCR* are provided in the supplement (**Tables S4** and **S5**). Based on weak evidence and the lack of conclusive clinical action based on genotype, no recommendations are provided for statins and *CYP3A4/5* and *HMGCR*. See section "Linking genetic variability to variability in drug-related phenotypes" in the supplement for discussion of evidence.

# **Therapeutic Recommendations**

SLCO1B1. The American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association issued an updated clinical practice guideline for the management of blood cholesterol in 2018. In those guidelines, statins at various daily doses are classified as high-, medium- or low-intensity statins based on expected ranges of LDL-cholesterol lowering. For example, they recommend initiation of high-intensity statins in patients with evidence of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) which may include atorvastatin at 40 or 80 mg once daily or rosuvastatin at 20 or 40 mg once daily (3). **Figure 1** is designed to be used in conjunction with the

aforementioned guideline, as it provides statin recommendations, including preferred statin intensity and statin dose, stratified by SLCO1B1 phenotype (i.e., decreased or poor function). Statin and statin doses indicated in the light grey boxes can be prescribed with the lowest risk for SAMS. Statin and statin doses indicated in dark grey boxes should be used with caution (possible increased risk for SAMS) and statin and statin doses indicated in black boxes should be avoided as the available evidence suggests that they are associated with increased risk of harm. The recommendations are based on the combination of available pharmacokinetic and SAMS-risk data, in most cases, and are informed by the number of available statin options within each intensity. Some statins and doses in **Figure 1** were derived based on pharmacokinetic data only (see **Figure 1** legend). Full recommendations can be found in **Tables 2**.

ABCG2. Recommendations for ABCG2 are specific to rosuvastatin (**Table 3**). For individuals who have ABCG2 poor function, a rosuvastatin starting dose of ≤20mg is recommended; however, if a dose greater than 20mg is needed for desired efficacy, an alternative statin or combination therapy (e.g., statin + ezetimibe) is recommended. Although the risk of myopathy is unknown, rosuvastatin exposure (AUC) was 144% greater in those with the c.421AA genotype than the c.421CC genotype (wild-type) (31); thus, the recommendation is based primarily on pharmacokinetic data. Likely because of the higher hepatic exposure, the ABCG2 c.421A variant has also been associated with improved cholesterol lowering response to rosuvastatin in large genomewide association studies (32). Selection and dosing of rosuvastatin should also consider Asian ancestry (**Table 3**, see the **Supplemental Material** for more discussion). Atorvastatin pharmacokinetics are also affected by ABCG2 genetic variation; however, at this time, there is insufficient evidence to provide a recommendation (no recommendation, CPIC level C). As noted previously, there is also limited evidence for providing recommendations for other statins based on genetic variation in ABCG2.

CYP2C9. Recommendations for fluvastatin based on CYP2C9 phenotype are available in Table
4. Genetic variations in CYP2C9 are associated with increased exposure to fluvastatin (Table
S3), but the pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics of other statins are not affected.
CYP2C9 IMs should avoid fluvastatin doses greater than 40mg while CYP2C9 PMs should avoid doses greater than 20mg. If higher doses are required for desired efficacy, an alternative

statin should be considered. If fluvastatin therapy is warranted, consider combination therapy of fluvastatin (40mg in IMs and 20mg in PMs) plus a non-statin lipid-lowering agent.

Combinatorial gene-based recommendations. Although specific combinations of SLCO1B1 with ABCG2 or CYP2C9 genotypes are likely to result in additive effects on the pharmacokinetic properties of rosuvastatin or fluvastatin, respectively, little information is available on how to adjust initial doses based on combined genotype information (33). Combinatorial gene-based recommendations generated by extrapolating evidence supporting the single gene associations and assuming that they are additive, are provided for rosuvastatin in Table 5 and fluvastatin in Table 6. Because there are limited clinical or pharmacokinetic data regarding these combinatorial phenotypes, pharmacotherapy recommendations are classified as optional for the high-risk phenotype groups (e.g., SLCO1B1 no function plus ABCG2 no function). In the case of fluvastatin recommendations for CYP2C9 poor metabolizers who also have SLCO1B1 decreased or poor function, we recommend prescribing an alternative agent rather than prescribing a lower dose based on the available dosage forms (no dosage form less than 20 mg is available for fluvastatin).

General guidance for patients already receiving statin therapy. The therapeutic recommendations described herein predominately apply to a new or a revision (dose or type) to statin prescription. However, given the increasing shift towards panel-based testing for multiple pharmacogenes, and the vast number of individuals already receiving statin therapy, an important issue to consider is how to manage statin therapy for patients that may already be receiving statin therapy, and then receive a genotype result, particularly for those whose genotype indicates that they are in a higher risk category based on the currently prescribed statin (i.e., moderate or high SAMS risk in Figure 1). For patients with SLCO1B1 genotype-statin dose combinations that fall within the moderate SAMS risk categories in Figure 1 who have already been on a stable statin and dose for at least 4 weeks without any symptoms suggestive of SAMS, then it is reasonable to continue that statin and dose long term (34). If those patients have been receiving that statin therapy for less than 4 weeks, then clinicians may consider changing to a lower SAMS risk statin/dose in order to prevent the development of SAMS. For patients that fall into the high SAMS risk categories, and they have been taking that statin therapy for at least 1 year without

any negative effects, then it is deemed safe to continue that statin therapy long term. If those patients have been taking statin therapy for less than 1 year, then clinicians may consider changing to a lower SAMS risk statin/dose in order to reduce the risk for development of SAMS. These recommendations for the minimum duration of statin therapy for continued safe use long term are primarily based on expert opinion and the onset of SAMS observed for simvastatin in different *SLCO1B1* genotypes in a single prospective clinical trial(34).

**Pediatrics.** At the time of this writing, there are no data available regarding *SLCO1B1* genotype effects on statin response or myopathy in pediatric patients. However, pharmacokinetic data show that the rs4149056 SNV in *SLCO1B1* may affect the disposition of simvastatin more in children compared to adults, and the variant has equivalent impact on pravastatin and rosuvastatin pharmacokinetics between children and adults (35-37).

# **Recommendations for Incidental Findings**

CPIC has published guidelines for utilizing *CYP2C9* genotype for prescribing phenytoin, NSAIDs and warfarin (20-23).

# **Other Considerations**

Other factors influencing SAMS. Other factors known to influence a patient's risk for developing SAMS include increased statin dose, drug interactions, advanced age, small body mass index, female gender, metabolic co-morbidities (e.g., hypothyroidism), intense physical exercise, and Asian or African ancestry (25, 38-41) (see Supplement). Because polypharmacy is common in the elderly, the association with age is often partly attributed to drug-drug interactions (see below) as well as increases in the frequency of chronic renal or hepatic disease (42).

Statin dose is the strongest independent predictor of myopathy risk. The risk of SAMS is approximately 6-fold higher in patients on high-dose than lower-dose statin therapy (43). Among all statins, a growing body of evidence suggests that the influence of dose may be greatest for simvastatin (44). The exact molecular mechanism of SAMS is unclear, and evidence supports both direct and indirect myotoxic effects of statins on skeletal muscle, possibly mediated through

changes in the balance of isoprenoids accompanying the inhibition of skeletal muscle HMG CoA reductase (45-47).

*Drug-Drug Interactions*. In the context of statin monotherapy, myopathy rates are low (48). The frequency of this ADR increases with co-administration of medications altering the pharmacokinetics of statins (e.g., co-administration with cyclosporine [SLCO1B1 and ABCG2 interaction], gemfibrozil [SLCO1B1 and CYP2C8 (fluvastatin only) interaction] or calcium channel blockers [CYP3A4/5 interaction]). See the **Supplemental Material** for more information. A list of inhibitors for CYP3A, CYP2C9, SLCO1B1,ABCG2, CYP3A4 and CYP2C8 is available on the US FDA site (49).

# POTENTIAL BENEFITS AND RISKS FOR THE PATIENT

Based on the highly prevalent use of statins, one potential benefit of preemptive *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* testing may be a reduction in the incidence of SAMS, by identifying those at significant risk and recommending a lower statin dose or an alternative statin with lower SAMS risk. While prospective data showing that prescribing based on genetic testing results alter SAMS incidence are lacking, there are emerging data demonstrating an improvement in patient's perceptions of statins, appropriate statin prescribing, neutral data on patient-reported adherence, and mixed data on reducing LDL-cholesterol levels (50, 51) as other potential benefits of applying *SLCO1B1* testing to clinical practice.

A possible risk could be an error in genotyping. Because genotypes are lifelong test results, any such error could stay in the medical record for the life of the patient. An error in genotyping could result in a decrease in statin dose that was not otherwise necessary and could result in inadequate lipid lowering therapy. However, this risk can be minimized by 1) monitoring to ensure that the appropriate LDL-cholesterol reduction is achieved for the intended statin intensity and 2) using an alternative statin with a similar statin intensity based on the recommendation in **Figure 1**. Another potential risk is that a patient or provider may inappropriately stop or avoid statin therapy, and this could cause higher LDL-cholesterol and increased cardiovascular risk.

# CAVEATS: APPROPRIATE USE AND/OR POTENTIAL MISUSE OF GENETIC TESTS

As with any diagnostic test, genetic variation is just one factor that clinicians should consider when prescribing statins. Furthermore, rare variants may not be included in the genotype test used, and patients with rare variants that reduce SLCO1B1 function may be incorrectly assigned a normal phenotype based on a default to wild-type (\*I) test result.

In summary, statins are a powerful class of medications for lowering LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular risk with an established track record of safety and efficacy. However, statin-related musculoskeletal symptoms are the most frequently cited reason for discontinuing statin therapy. Although clinicians are well-tuned to trial stopping and later reinitiating statin therapy in those who develop SAMS, in many patients statin therapy is never restarted. As a result, LDL cholesterol values are higher as is their risk for cardiovascular disease. We applied a rigorous approach evaluating the collective evidence around *SLCO1B1*, *ABCG2*, and *CYP2C9* on systemic drug exposure and risk of SAMS. Our evidenced-based recommendations for genotype-guided statin therapy are focused on reducing the risk of SAMS. Based on this foundation, future research can evaluate the extent to which implementation of these guidelines impacts prescribing, SAMS risk, statin adherence, LDL cholesterol levels, and risk for cardiovascular events in patients prescribed statin therapy.

# **DISCLAIMER**

Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guidelines reflect expert consensus based on clinical evidence and peer-reviewed literature available at the time they are written and are intended only to assist clinicians in decision-making, as well as to identify questions for further research. New evidence may have emerged since the time a guideline was submitted for publication. Guidelines are limited in scope and are not applicable to interventions or diseases not specifically identified. Guidelines do not account for all individual variation among patients and cannot be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other treatments. It remains the responsibility of the health care provider to determine the best course of treatment for the patient. Adherence to any guideline is voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding its application to be solely made by the clinician and the patient. CPIC

assumes no responsibility for any injury to persons or damage to property related to any use of CPIC's guidelines, or for any errors or omissions.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We acknowledge the critical input of Dr. Mary V. Relling (St Jude Children's Research Hospital) and the members of the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC). This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for CPIC (R24GM115264 and U24HG010135) and PharmGKB (R24GM61374). Additional author support includes P50GM115318 (RMK), HL143161 (S.T.), R01GM117163 (KMG, SWY), and K08HL146990 (J.A.L). M.N. is funded by a European Research Council ERC Consolidator Grant (Grant agreement 725249).



# REFERENCES

- (1) Wilke, R.A. *et al.* The clinical pharmacogenomics implementation consortium: CPIC guideline for SLCO1B1 and simvastatin-induced myopathy. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **92**, 112<sub>-7</sub> (2012).
- (2) Ramsey, L.B. *et al.* The clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline for SLCO1B1 and simvastatin-induced myopathy: 2014 update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **96**, 423-8 (2014).
- (3) Grundy, S.M. et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 73, 3168-209 (2019).
- (4) Niemi, M., Pasanen, M.K. & Neuvonen, P.J. Organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B1: a genetically polymorphic transporter of major importance for hepatic drug uptake. *Pharmacol Rev* **63**, 157-81 (2011).
- (5) Turner, R.M. & Pirmohamed, M. Statin-Related Myotoxicity: A Comprehensive Review of Pharmacokinetic, Pharmacogenomic and Muscle Components. *J Clin Med* **9**, (2019).
- (6) CPIC. CPIC guideline for statins and SLCO1B1, ABCG2 and CYP2C9.

  <a href="https://cpicpgx.org/guidelines/cpic-guideline-for-statins/">https://cpicpgx.org/guidelines/cpic-guideline-for-statins/</a>. Accessed August 1, 2021 2021.
- (7) PharmGKB. PGx Gene-specific Information Tables.
- (8) PharmVar. *Pharmacogene Variation Consortium*. <a href="https://www.pharmvar.org/">https://www.pharmvar.org/</a>. Accessed October 18 2021.
- (9) Sangkuhl, K. et al. PharmVar GeneFocus: CYP2C9. Clin Pharmacol Ther 110, 662-76 (2021).
- (10) Lee, C.R., Goldstein, J.A. & Pieper, J.A. Cytochrome P450 2C9 polymorphisms: a comprehensive review of the in-vitro and human data. *Pharmacogenetics* **12**, 251-63 (2002).
- (11) Gaedigk, A. et al. The Evolution of PharmVar. Clin Pharmacol Ther 105, 29-32 (2019).

- (12) Scott, S.A. *et al.* Development and Analytical Validation of a 29 Gene Clinical Pharmacogenetic Genotyping Panel: Multi-Ethnic Allele and Copy Number Variant Detection. *Clin Transl Sci* **14**, 204-13 (2021).
- (13) Ramsey, L.B. *et al.* Rare versus common variants in pharmacogenetics: SLCO1B1 variation and methotrexate disposition. *Genome Res* **22**, 1-8 (2012).
- (14) Ramsey, L.B. *et al.* Genome-wide study of methotrexate clearance replicates SLCO1B1. *Blood* **121**, 898-904 (2013).
- van de Steeg, E. *et al.* Complete OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 deficiency causes human Rotor syndrome by interrupting conjugated bilirubin reuptake into the liver. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 519-28 (2012).
- (16) Heyes, N., Kapoor, P. & Kerr, I.D. Polymorphisms of the Multidrug Pump ABCG2: A Systematic Review of Their Effect on Protein Expression, Function, and Drug Pharmacokinetics. *Drug Metab Dispos* 46, 1886-99 (2018).
- (17) Eckenstaler, R. & Benndorf, R.A. The Role of ABCG2 in the Pathogenesis of Primary Hyperuricemia and Gout-An Update. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**, (2021).
- (18) Brackman, D.J. *et al.* Genome-Wide Association and Functional Studies Reveal Novel Pharmacological Mechanisms for Allopurinol. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **106**, 623-31 (2019).
- (19) Saison, C. *et al.* Null alleles of ABCG2 encoding the breast cancer resistance protein define the new blood group system Junior. *Nat Genet* **44**, 174-7 (2012).
- (20) Caudle, K.E. *et al.* Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guidelines for CYP2C9 and HLA-B genotypes and phenytoin dosing. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **96**, 542-8 (2014).
- (21) Johnson, J.A. et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for Pharmacogenetics-Guided Warfarin Dosing: 2017 Update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 102, 397-404 (2017).
- (22) Karnes, J.H. *et al.* Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC)
  Guideline for CYP2C9 and HLA-B Genotypes and Phenytoin Dosing: 2020 Update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **109**, 302-9 (2021).
- (23) Theken, K.N. *et al.* Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guideline (CPIC) for CYP2C9 and Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **108**, 191-200 (2020).

- (24) Gu, Q., Paulose-Ram, R., Burt, V. & Kit, B. Prescription cholesterol-lowering medication use in adults aged 40 and over: United States, 2003–2012. In: *NCHS data brief, no 177*.
   (Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2014).
- (25) Wilke, R.A. *et al.* Identifying genetic risk factors for serious adverse drug reactions: current progress and challenges. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **6**, 904-16 (2007).
- (26) Alfirevic, A. *et al.* Phenotype standardization for statin-induced myotoxicity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **96**, 470-6 (2014).
- (27) Abd, T.T. & Jacobson, T.A. Statin-induced myopathy: a review and update. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* **10**, 373-87 (2011).
- (28) Buettner, C., Rippberger, M.J., Smith, J.K., Leveille, S.G., Davis, R.B. & Mittleman, M.A. Statin use and musculoskeletal pain among adults with and without arthritis. *Am J Med* **125**, 176-82 (2012).
- (29) Serban, M.C. *et al.* Statin Intolerance and Risk of Coronary Heart Events and All-Cause Mortality Following Myocardial Infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **69**, 1386-95 (2017).
- (30) Cohen, J.D., Brinton, E.A., Ito, M.K. & Jacobson, T.A. Understanding Statin Use in America and Gaps in Patient Education (USAGE): an internet-based survey of 10,138 current and former statin users. *J Clin Lipidol* **6**, 208-15 (2012).
- (31) Keskitalo, J.E., Zolk, O., Fromm, M.F., Kurkinen, K.J., Neuvonen, P.J. & Niemi, M. ABCG2 polymorphism markedly affects the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **86**, 197-203 (2009).
- (32) Chasman, D.I., Giulianini, F., MacFadyen, J., Barratt, B.J., Nyberg, F. & Ridker, P.M. Genetic determinants of statin-induced low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction: the Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 5, 257-64 (2012).
- (33) Wilke, R.A., Reif, D.M. & Moore, J.H. Combinatorial pharmacogenetics. *Nat Rev Drug Disçov* 4, 911-8 (2005).
- (34) Group, S.C. *et al.* SLCO1B1 variants and statin-induced myopathy--a genomewide study. *N Engl J Med* **359**, 789-99 (2008).
- (35) Wagner, J.B. *et al.* Impact of SLCO1B1 Genetic Variation on Rosuvastatin Systemic Exposure in Pediatric Hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Transl Sci* **13**, 628-37 (2020).

- (36) Wagner, J.B. *et al.* Impact of Genetic Variation on Pravastatin Systemic Exposure in Pediatric Hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **105**, 1501-12 (2019).
- (37) Wagner, J.B. *et al.* Impact of SLCO1B1 Genotype on Pediatric Simvastatin Acid Pharmacokinetics. *J Clin Pharmacol* **58**, 823-33 (2018).
- (38) de Lemos, J.A. *et al.* Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. *JAMA* **292**, 1307-16 (2004).
- (39) Chung, J.Y. *et al.* Effect of OATP1B1 (SLCO1B1) variant alleles on the pharmacokinetics of pitavastatin in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **78**, 342-50 (2005).
- (40) Lee, E. et al. Rosuvastatin pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics in white and Asian subjects residing in the same environment. Clin Pharmacol Ther 78, 330-41 (2005).
- (41) Hippisley-Cox, J. & Coupland, C. Individualising the risks of statins in men and women in England and Wales: population-based cohort study. *Heart* **96**, 939-47 (2010).
- (42) Thompson, P.D., Clarkson, P. & Karas, R.H. Statin-associated myopathy. *JAMA* 289, 1681-90 (2003).
- (43) McClure, D.L., Valuck, R.J., Glanz, M., Murphy, J.R. & Hokanson, J.E. Statin and statinfibrate use was significantly associated with increased myositis risk in a managed care population. *J Clin Epidemiol* **60**, 812-8 (2007).
- (44) Link, E. *et al.* SLCO1B1 variants and statin-induced myopathy--a genomewide study. *N.Engl.J.Med.* **359**, 789-99 (2008).
- (45) Ananthakumar, A., Liu, Y., Fernandez, C.E., Truskey, G.A. & Voora, D. Modeling statin myopathy in a human skeletal muscle microphysiological system. *PLoS One* **15**, e0242422 (2020).
- (46) Osaki, Y. *et al.* Skeletal muscle-specific HMG-CoA reductase knockout mice exhibit rhabdomyolysis: A model for statin-induced myopathy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **466**, 536-40 (2015).
- (47) Schirris, T.J. *et al.* Statin-Induced Myopathy Is Associated with Mitochondrial Complex III Inhibition. *Cell Metab* **22**, 399-407 (2015).
- (48) Graham, D.J. *et al.* Incidence of hospitalized rhabdomyolysis in patients treated with lipid-lowering drugs. *JAMA* **292**, 2585-90 (2004).

- (49) FDA. Drug Development and Drug Interactions | Table of Substrates, Inhibitors and Inducers. <a href="https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-interactions-labeling/drug-development-and-drug-interactions-table-substrates-inhibitors-and-inducers">https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-interactions-labeling/drug-development-and-drug-interactions-table-substrates-inhibitors-and-inducers</a>. Accessed Aug. 30 2021.
   (50) Vessy, LL, et al. Effect of Pharmacognetic Testing for Statin Myonethy Pick vs. Hend.
- (50) Vassy, J.L. *et al.* Effect of Pharmacogenetic Testing for Statin Myopathy Risk vs Usual Care on Blood Cholesterol: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open* **3**, e2027092 (2020).
- (51) Peyser, B. *et al.* Effects of Delivering SLCO1B1 Pharmacogenetic Information in Randomized Trial and Observational Settings. *Circ Genom Precis Med* **11**, e002228 (2018).

S

**FIGURE 1.** SLCO1B1 recommendations with intensity and statin dose stratified by SLCO1B1 phenotype; all doses assume adult dosing.

# **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental Material

Author

TABLE 1. ASSIGNMENT OF PREDICTED SLCO1B1, ABCG2, AND CYP2C9 LIKELY PHENOTYPE BASED ON GENOTYPE

Gene	Phenotype <sup>a,b</sup>	Activity	Genotype	Examples of diplotypes
	)	score (if		
		applicable)		
(	Increased function	n/a	An individual carrying two increased function	*14/*14
	5		alleles	
	Normal function	n/a	An individual carrying two normal function alleles	*1/*1, *1/*14
_	2		or one normal plus one increased function allele	
	Decreased function	n/a	An individual carrying one normal or increased	*1/*5, *1/*15,
Q	7		function allele plus one no function allele	
SLCO1B1	Possible Decreased		An individual carrying one no function allele plus	*5/*6, *15/*10, *5/*43
2	Function		one uncertain/unknown function allele	
	Poor function	n/a	An individual carrying two no function alleles	*5/*5, *5/*15, *15/*15
	Indeterminate	n/a	An individual carrying one normal function allele	*1/*7, *1/*10, *7/*10
			plus one uncertain or unknown function allele OR	
			allele combinations with uncertain and/or unknown	
+			function alleles	
	Normal function	n/a	An individual carrying two normal function alleles	c.421 C/C (rs2231142)
ABCG2	Decreased function	n/a	An individual carrying one normal function allele	c.421 C/A (rs2231142)
			plus one decreased function allele	

Poor function	n/a	An individual carrying two decreased function	c.421 A/A (rs2231142)
		alleles	
Normal Metabolizer	2	An individual carrying two normal function alleles	*1/*1
Intermediate	1.5	An individual carrying one normal function allele	*1/*2
Metabolizer		plus one decreased function allele OR	
		one normal function allele plus one no function	*1/*3, *2/*2
	1	allele OR two decreased function alleles	
CYP2C9 Poor Metabolizer	0.5	An individual carrying one no function allele plus	*2/*3
		one decreased function allele OR	
		two no function alleles	*3/*3
The state of the s	0		
Indeterminate	n/a	An individual carrying allele combinations with	*1/*7, *1/*10, *7/*10
2		uncertain and/or unknown function alleles	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Allele and phenotype frequencies vary by ancestral group (see **Frequency Table** (6, 7)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Assignment of allele function and associated citations can be found in the **Allele Functionality Tables** (6, 7). For a complete list of diplotypes and resulting phenotypes, see the **Diplotype to Phenotype Table** (6, 7).

TABLE 2. DOSING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STATINS BASED ON SLCO1B1 PHENOTYPE IN ADULTS

Phenotype	Implications	Dosing Recommendations	Classification of	Considerations
+			Recommendations	
0			a	
All Statins				
SLCO1B1	Typical myopathy	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Increased	risk and statin	adjust doses based on disease-		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure	specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
				function and ancestry
				should be evaluated prior to
$\boldsymbol{\omega}$				initiating a statin.
SLCO1B1	Typical myopathy	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Normal	risk and statin	adjust doses based on disease-		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure	specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
				function and ancestry
				should be evaluated prior to
				initiating a statin.
Atorvastatin	,		,	
SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe ≤40mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	atorvastatin	and adjust doses of atorvastatin		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	based on disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
Or	compared to normal	Prescriber should be aware of		function should be

SLCO1B1	function which may	possible increased risk for myopathy		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	translate to	especially for 40mg dose. If dose		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased	increased myopathy	>40mg needed for desired efficacy,		drug interactions may be
Function	risk	consider combination therapy (i.e.,		more pronounced resulting
		atorvastatin plus non-statin guideline		in a higher risk of
		directed medical therapy) (3).		myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	atorvastatin	and adjust doses of atorvastatin		interactions and dose limits
	exposure as	based on disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
$\Box$	compared to normal	If dose >20mg is needed for desired		function should be
	and decreased	efficacy, consider rosuvastatin or		evaluated prior to initiating
rMa	function which may	combination therapy (i.e.,		a statin. The effects of drug-
	translate to	atorvastatin plus non-statin guideline		drug interactions may be
	increased myopathy	directed medical therapy) (3).		more pronounced resulting
0	risk.			in a higher risk of
				myopathy.
7				
Fluvastatin	ı		I	
SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	fluvastatin exposure	adjust doses of fluvastatin based on		interactions and dose limits
Function	as compared to	disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic

Or	normal function;	Prescriber should be aware of		function should be
SLCO1B1	Typical myopathy	possible increased risk for myopathy		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	risk with ≤40 mg.	especially for doses >40mg per day.		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased				drug interactions may be
Function				more pronounced resulting
				in a higher risk of
				myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤40mg per day as a	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	fluvastatin exposure	starting dose and adjust doses of		interactions and dose limits
	as compared to	fluvastatin based on disease-specific		based on renal and hepatic
$\Box$	normal and	guidelines. If patient is tolerating		function should be
	decreased function;	40mg per day but higher potency is		evaluated prior to initiating
	Typical myopathy	needed, a higher dose (>40mg) or an		a statin. The effects of drug-
	risk with doses less	alternative statin (see Figure 1 for		drug interactions may be
	≤40 mg.	recommendations for alternative		more pronounced resulting
		statins) or combination therapy (i.e.		in a higher risk of
		fluvastatin plus non-statin guideline		myopathy.
7		directed medical therapy)(3) could		
Author Ma		be considered. Prescriber should be		
		aware of possible increased risk for		
		myopathy with fluvastatin especially		
		with doses >40mg per day.		

Lovastatin				
SLCO1B1	Increased lovastatin	Prescribe an alternative statin	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	acid exposure as	depending on the desired potency		interactions and dose limits
Function	compared to normal	(see Figure 1 for recommendations		based on renal and hepatic
Or	function which may	for alternative statins). If lovastatin		function should be
SLCO1B1	translate to	therapy is warranted, limit dose to		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	increased myopathy	≤20mg/day.		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased	risk			drug interactions may be
Function				more pronounced resulting
				in a higher risk of
				myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased lovastatin	Prescribe an alternative statin	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	acid exposure as	depending on the desired potency		interactions and dose limits
	compared to normal	(see Figure 1 for recommendations		based on renal and hepatic
	and decreased	for alternative statins).		function should be
	function which may			evaluated prior to initiating
	translate to			a statin. The effects of drug-
7	increased myopathy			drug interactions may be
Autho	risk			more pronounced resulting
				in a higher risk of
				myopathy.
Pitavastatin		I	1	I

SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe ≤ 2mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	pitavastatin	and adjust doses of pitavastatin		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	based on disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
Or	compared to normal	Prescriber should be aware of		function should be
SLCO1B1	function which may	possible increased risk for myopathy		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	translate to	especially for doses >1 mg. If dose		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased	increased myopathy	>2mg needed for desired efficacy,		drug interactions may be
Function	risk	consider an alternative statin (see		more pronounced resulting
		Figure 1 for recommendations for		in a higher risk of
a		alternative statins) or combination		myopathy.
		therapy (i.e. pitavastatin plus non-		
		statin guideline directed medical		
		therapy) (3).		
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤1 mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	pitavastatin	and adjust doses of pitavastatin		interactions and dose limits
	exposure as	based on disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
	compared to normal	If dose >1 mg needed for desired		function should be
7	and decreased	efficacy, consider an alternative		evaluated prior to initiating
	function which may	statin (see Figure 1 for		a statin. The effects of drug-
Auth	translate to	recommendations for alternative		drug interactions may be
	increased myopathy	statins) or combination therapy (i.e.		more pronounced resulting
	risk.			

+		pitavastatin plus non-statin guideline directed medical therapy)(3).		in a higher risk of myopathy.
Pravastatin				
SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	pravastatin	adjust doses of pravastatin based on		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	disease-specific guidelines.		based on renal and hepatic
Or	compared to normal	Prescriber should be aware of		function should be
SLC01B1	function; Typical	possible increased risk for myopathy		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	myopathy risk with	with pravastatin especially with		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased	doses ≤40 mg.	doses >40mg per day.		drug interactions may be
Function				more pronounced resulting
				in a higher risk of
_				myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤40mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	pravastatin statin	and adjust doses of pravastatin based		interactions and dose limits
	exposure as	on disease-specific guidelines. If		based on renal and hepatic
7	compared to normal	patient is tolerating 40mg dose but		function should be
Auth	and decreased	higher potency is needed, a higher		evaluated prior to initiating
	function; Typical	dose (>40mg) or an alternative statin		a statin. The effects of drug-
4	myopathy risk with	(see Figure 1 for recommendations		drug interactions may be
	doses ≤40 mg.	for alternative statins) or		more pronounced resulting

		combination therapy (i.e. pravastatin		in a higher risk of
		plus non-statin guideline directed		myopathy.
+		medical therapy)(3) could be		
		considered. Prescriber should be		
		aware of possible increased risk for		
7		myopathy especially with		
(0		pravastatin doses >40mg.		
Rosuvastatin	l			
SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	rosuvastatin	adjust doses of rosuvastatin based on		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	disease-specific and specific		based on renal and hepatic
Or	compared to normal	population guidelines. Prescriber		function and Asian ancestry
SLCO1B1	function; Typical	should be aware of possible		should be evaluated prior to
Possible	myopathy risk with	increased risk for myopathy		initiating a statin. The
Decreased	doses ≤20 mg.	especially for doses >20mg.		effects of drug-drug
Function				interactions may be more
				pronounced resulting in a
7				higher risk of myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Function	rosuvastatin	and adjust doses of rosuvastatin		interactions and dose limits
	exposure as	based on disease-specific and		based on renal and hepatic
	compared to normal	specific population guidelines If		function and Asian ancestry

	function and	dose >20mg needed for desired		should be evaluated prior to
	decreased function;	efficacy, consider combination		initiating a statin. The
+	Typical myopathy	therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin plus non-		effects of drug-drug
	risk with doses ≤20	statin guideline directed medical		interactions may be more
-	mg.	therapy) (3).		pronounced resulting in a
				higher risk of myopathy.
Simvastatin	l			
SLCO1B1	Increased	Prescribe an alternative statin	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Decreased	simvastatin acid	depending on the desired potency		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	(see Figure 1 for recommendations		based on renal and hepatic
Or 📆	compared to normal	for alternative statins). If simvastatin		function should be
SLCO1B1	function; increased	therapy is warranted, limit dose to		evaluated prior to initiating
Possible	risk of myopathy	<20mg/day.		a statin. The effects of drug-
Decreased				drug interactions may be
Function				more pronounced resulting
				in a higher risk of
				myopathy.
SLCO1B1 Poor	Increased	Prescribe an alternative statin	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Function	simvastatin acid	depending on the desired potency		interactions and dose limits
	exposure compared	(see Figure 1 for recommendations		based on renal and hepatic
	to normal and	for alternative statins).		function should be
	decreased function;			evaluated prior to initiating

scheme o
S
7
7
2
<b></b>
3

a statin. The effects of drug-
drug interactions may be
more pronounced resulting
in a higher risk of
myopathy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Rating scheme described in the **Supplemental Material**.

TABLE 3. DOSING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ROSUVASTATIN BASED ON ABCG2 PHENOTYPE IN ADULTS

Phenotype	Implications	<b>Dosing Recommendations</b>	Classification of	Considerations
pt			Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	
Normal	Typical myopathy	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Function	risk and	adjust doses of rosuvastatin based		interactions and dose limits
(0	rosuvastatin	on disease-specific and specific		based on renal and hepatic
0)	exposure	population guidelines.		function and Asian ancestry
) I				should be evaluated prior to
				initiating rosuvastatin.
Decreased	Increased	Prescribe desired starting dose and	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
The making of	rosuvastatin	adjust doses of rosuvastatin based		interactions and dose limits
Function	exposure as	on disease-specific guidelines and		based on renal and hepatic
	compared to normal	specific population guidelines.		function and Asian ancestry
	function; unknown			should be evaluated prior to
9	risk for myopathy;			initiating rosuvastatin. The
	increased lipid			effects of drug-drug
1	lowering effects			interactions may be more
				pronounced resulting in a
Autho				higher risk of myopathy.

scheme	d
7	

Poor function	Increased	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting dose	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
	rosuvastatin	and adjust doses of rosuvastatin		interactions and dose limits
7	exposure compared	based on disease-specific and		based on renal and hepatic
	to normal and	specific population guidelines. If		function and Asian ancestry
decreased function;		dose >20mg needed for desired		should be evaluated prior to
0	unknown myopathy	efficacy, consider an alternative		initiating rosuvastatin. The
	risk; increased	statin or combination therapy (i.e.,		effects of drug-drug
S	lipid-lowering	rosuvastatin plus non-statin		interactions may be more
	effects	guideline directed medical		pronounced resulting in a
		therapy)(3).		higher risk of myopathy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Rating scheme described in the **Supplemental Material**.

TABLE 4. DOSING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FLUVASTATIN BASED ON CYP2C9 PHENOTYPE IN ADULTS

Phenotype	Implication	Dosing recommendations	Classification of	Considerations
.0			Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	
CYP2C9	Normal	Prescribe desired starting dose	Strong	The potential for drug-drug
Normal	exposure	and adjust doses of fluvastatin		interactions and dose limits based
Metabolizer		based on disease-specific		on renal and hepatic function
		guidelines.		should be evaluated prior to
				initiating a statin.
CYP2C9	Increased	Prescribe ≤40mg per day as a	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Intermediate	fluvastatin	starting dose and adjust doses of		interactions and dose limits based
Metabolizer	exposure as	fluvastatin based on disease-		on renal and hepatic function
AS of 1 and 1.5	compared to	specific guidelines. If dose		should be evaluated prior to
AS of 1 and 1.5	normal	>40mg needed for desired		initiating a statin. The effects of
	metabolizer	efficacy, consider an alternative		drug-drug interactions may be more
	which may	statin or combination therapy		pronounced resulting in a higher
7	translate to	(i.e., fluvastatin plus non-statin		risk of myopathy.
	increased	guideline directed medical		
Autho	myopathy risk	therapy) (3).		

CYP2C9 Poor	Increased	Prescribe ≤20mg per day as a	Moderate	The potential for drug-drug
Metabolizer	fluvastatin	starting dose and adjust doses of		interactions and dose limits based
AS 0.5 and 0	exposure as	fluvastatin based on disease-		on renal and hepatic function
AS 0.3 and 0	compared to	specific guidelines. If dose		should be evaluated prior to
	normal and	>20mg needed for desired		initiating a statin. The effects of
	intermediate	efficacy, consider an alternative		drug-drug interactions may be more
		statin or combination therapy		pronounced resulting in a higher
		(i.e., fluvastatin plus non-statin		risk of myopathy.
	translate to guideline directed medical			
	increased	therapy) (3).		
Ø	myopathy risk.			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Rating scheme described in the **Supplemental Material**.

TABLE 5. COMBINED RECOMMENDATION FOR ROSUVASTATIN BASED ON SLCO1B1 AND ABCG2 PHENOTYPE IN ADULTS

7	<b>ABCG2 Normal Function</b>	<b>ABCG2 Decreased Function</b>	ABCG2 Poor Function
SLCO1B1	Prescribe desired starting	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting dose and adjust doses
Increased	dose and adjust doses of	and adjust doses of	of rosuvastatin based on disease-specific and specific
Function	rosuvastatin based on	rosuvastatin based on disease-	population guidelines. If dose >20mg needed for
(0)	disease-specific and specific	specific and specific	desired efficacy, consider an alternative statin or
	population guidelines.	population guidelines.	combination therapy (i.e., rosuvastatin plus non-statin
2	STRONG	MODERATE	guideline directed medical therapy)(3). OPTIONAL
SLCO1B1	Prescribe desired starting	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting dose and adjust doses
Normal	dose and adjust doses of	and adjust doses of	of rosuvastatin based on disease-specific and specific
Function	rosuvastatin based on	rosuvastatin based on disease-	population guidelines. If dose >20mg needed for
	disease-specific and specific	specific and specific	desired efficacy, consider an alternative statin or
	population guidelines.	population guidelines.	combination therapy (i.e., rosuvastatin plus non-statin
	STRONG	MODERATE	guideline directed medical therapy)(3). OPTIONAL
SLCO1B1	Prescribe desired starting	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤10mg as a starting dose and adjust doses
Decreased	dose and adjust doses of	and adjust doses of	of rosuvastatin based on disease-specific and specific
Function	rosuvastatin based on	rosuvastatin based on disease-	population guidelines. If dose >10mg needed for
OR Possible	disease-specific and specific	specific and specific	desired efficacy, consider an alternative statin or
SLCO1B1	population guidelines.	population guidelines.	combination therapy (i.e., rosuvastatin plus non-statin
Decreased	Prescriber should be aware	Prescriber should be aware of	guideline directed medical therapy)(3).OPTIONAL
Function	of possible increased risk	possible increased risk for	

	for myopathy especially for	myopathy especially for doses	
	doses >20mg. STRONG	>20mg. MODERATE	
)t			
SLCO1B1	Prescribe ≤20mg as a	Prescribe ≤20mg as a starting	Prescribe ≤10mg as a starting dose and adjust doses
Poor	starting dose and adjust	dose and adjust doses of	of rosuvastatin based on disease-specific and specific
Function	doses of rosuvastatin based	rosuvastatin based on disease-	population guidelines. If dose >10mg needed for
(0	on disease-specific and	specific and specific	desired efficacy, consider combination therapy (i.e.,
	specific population	population guidelines. If dose	rosuvastatin plus non-statin guideline directed
	guidelines. If dose > 20mg	>20mg needed for desired	medical therapy)(3). OPTIONAL
	needed for desired efficacy,	efficacy, consider	
$\Box$	consider combination	combination therapy (i.e.,	
	therapy (i.e., rosuvastatin	rosuvastatin plus non-statin	
	plus non-statin guideline	guideline directed medical	
	directed medical therapy)	therapy) (3). MODERATE	
	(3).MODERATE		
Rating scheme	described in the <b>Supplemental</b>	Matarial	

Rating scheme described in the **Supplemental Material**.

TABLE 6. COMBINED RECOMMENDATION FOR FLUVASTATIN BASED ON SLCO1B1 AND CYP2C9 PHENOTYPE IN ADULTS

7	CYP2C9 Normal	CYP2C9 Intermediate	CYP2C9 Poor Metabolizer
	Metabolizer	Metabolizer	
SLCO1B1 Increased	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤40mg per day as a	Prescribe ≤20mg per day as a
Function	and adjust doses of fluvastatin	starting dose and adjust doses of	starting dose and adjust doses of
(0)	based on disease-specific	fluvastatin based on disease-	fluvastatin based on disease-specific
0)	guidelines. STRONG	specific guidelines. If dose >40mg	guidelines. If dose >20mg needed
		needed for desired efficacy,	for desired efficacy, consider an
n		consider an alternative statin or	alternative statin or combination
<b>S</b>		combination therapy (i.e.,	therapy (i.e., fluvastatin plus non-
		fluvastatin plus non-statin	statin guideline directed medical
		guideline directed medical	therapy) (3). MODERATE
		therapy) (3). MODERATE	
SLCO1B1 Normal	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤40mg per day as a	Prescribe ≤20mg per day as a
Function	and adjust doses of fluvastatin	starting dose and adjust doses of	starting dose and adjust doses of
7	based on disease-specific	fluvastatin based on disease-	fluvastatin based on disease-specific
Aut	guidelines. STRONG	specific guidelines. If dose >40mg	guidelines. If dose >20mg needed
		needed for desired efficacy,	for desired efficacy, consider an
		consider an alternative statin or	alternative statin or combination
		combination therapy (i.e.,	therapy (i.e., fluvastatin plus non-

		fluvastatin plus non-statin	statin guideline directed medical
		guideline directed medical	therapy) (3). MODERATE
+		therapy) (3). MODERATE	
SLCO1B1 Decreased	Prescribe desired starting dose	Prescribe ≤20mg per day as a	Prescribe an alternative statin
Function OR Possible	and adjust doses of fluvastatin	starting dose and adjust doses of	depending on the desired potency
<b>Decreased Function</b>	based on disease-specific	fluvastatin based on disease-	(see Figure 1 for recommendations
0)	guidelines. Prescriber should	specific guidelines. If dose >20mg	for alternative statins). OPTIONAL
	be aware of possible increased	needed for desired efficacy,	
	risk for myopathy especially	consider an alternative statin or	
	for doses >40mg per day.	combination therapy (i.e.,	
Man	MODERATE	fluvastatin plus non-statin	
		guideline directed medical	
		therapy) (3). OPTIONAL	
SLCO1B1 Poor	Prescribe ≤40mg per day as a	Prescribe an alternative statin	Prescribe an alternative statin
Function	starting dose and adjust doses	depending on the desired potency	depending on the desired potency
	of fluvastatin based on	(see Table 2 and Figure 1 for	(see Table 2 and Figure 1 for
7	disease-specific guidelines. If	recommendations for alternative	recommendations for alternative
\u	patient is tolerating 40mg per	statins). OPTIONAL	statins). OPTIONAL
	day but higher potency is		
4	needed, a higher dose		
	(>40mg) or an alternative		

# uthor Manus

statin (see Tables 2 to 8 and
Figure 1 for recommendations
for alternative statins) or
combination therapy (i.e.
fluvastatin plus non-statin
guideline directed medical
therapy)(3) could be
considered. Prescriber should
be aware of possible increased
risk for myopathy with
fluvastatin especially with
doses >40mg per day.
MODERATE

Rating scheme described in the **Supplemental Material**.

# SLCO1BqtL25572f4.pdffunction

# High intensity statin<sup>a</sup>

Low SAMS risk with: Rosuvastatin 20 mgb

Moderate SAMS risk with: Atorvastatin 40 mg Rosuvastatin 40 mg<sup>b,c</sup>

High SAMS risk with: Atorvastatin 80 mg

# Moderate intensity statin<sup>a</sup>

Low SAMS risk with: Atorvastatin 10-20 mg Pitavastatin 1 mg<sup>c</sup> Pravastatin 40 mg Rosuvastatin 5-10 mgb

Moderate SAMS risk with: Fluvastatin 80 mgb Pitavastatin 2 mgc Pravastatin 80 mgc

High SAMS risk with: Lovastatin 40-80 mg Pitavastatin 4 mg<sup>c</sup> Simvastatin 20-40 mg

# Low intensity statin<sup>a</sup>

Low SAMS risk with: Fluvastatin 20-40 mgb,c Pravastatin 10-20 mgc

Moderate SAMS risk with: Lovastatin 20 mg<sup>c</sup> Simvastatin 10 mg

Legend: Light gray boxes: Prescribe stated starting dose. Dark gray boxes: Prescriber should be aware of possible increased risk of increased exposure and myopathy. Black boxes: Consider a reduced dose or alternative statin. All boxes: Doses indicated are total daily dose. Dose recommendations are based on clinical toxicity data when available. aStatin intensity as recommended by current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines. bSee Table 3 and 5 for recommendations for rosuvastatin and ABCG2 and Tables 2 to 6 for recommendation for fluvastatin and CYP2C9. CDose recommendations are based solely on pharmacokinetic data.

# SLC01B1 poor function

# High intensity statina

Low SAMS risk with: Rosuvastatin 20 mgb

High SAMS risk with: Atorvastatin 40-80 mg Rosuvastatin 40 mg<sup>b,c</sup>

# Moderate intensity statin<sup>a</sup>

Low SAMS risk with: Atorvastatin 10-20 mg Pitavastatin 1 mg Pravastatin 40 mg Rosuvastatin 5-10 mgb

Moderate SAMS risk with: Fluvastatin 80 mgb Pravastatin 80 mg<sup>c</sup>

High SAMS risk with:

This article is protectel ovastatin 40-80 mights reserved Pitavastatin 2-4 mg<sup>c</sup> Simvastatin 20-40 mg

# Low intensity statin<sup>a</sup>

Low SAMS risk with: Fluvastatin 20-40 mgb,c Pravastatin 10-20 mg

High SAMS risk with: Lovastatin 20 mg<sup>c</sup> Simvastatin 10 mg