

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

PROFESSOR MAURO D'AMATO (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2743-5197)

Article type : Original Article

A survey of functional dyspepsia in 361,360 individuals: phenotypic and genetic cross-disease analyses

Running title: Cross-disease analyses in functional dyspepsia

Koldo Garcia-Etxebarria^{1,2,3}, Florencia Carbone⁴, Maris Teder-Laving⁵, Anita Pandit⁶, Lieselot Holvoet⁴, Vincent Thijs⁷, Robin Lemmens⁸, Luis Bujanda^{1,2,9}, Andre Franke¹⁰, Sebastian Zöllner^{6,11}, Michael Boehnke⁶, Matthew Zawistowski⁶, Tonu Esko⁵, Jan Tack^{4,*}, Mauro D'Amato^{1,3,12,13*}

* these authors equally contributed to the study

¹ Biodonostia Health Research Institute, Department of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, San Sebastian, Spain

² Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Madrid, Spain

³ Center for Molecular Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁴ Translational Research Center for GI Disorders (TARGID), University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁵ Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

⁶ Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

⁷ Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Heidelberg, Australia

⁸ Department of Neurosciences, Leuven Brain Institute (LBI), University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁹ Universidad del País Vasco (UPV/EHU), San Sebastián, Spain

¹⁰ Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

¹¹ Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

¹² IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

¹³ Gastrointestinal Genetics Lab, CIC bioGUNE – BRTA, Derio, Spain

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/NMO.14236](https://doi.org/10.1111/NMO.14236)

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73

Correspondence

Mauro D'Amato, Prof
Gastrointestinal Genetics Lab
CIC bioGUNE, Basque Research and Technology Alliance
Parque Tecnológico de Bizkaia, 48160 Derio, Spain
mdamato@cicbiogune.es

Abbreviations

EGCUT: Estonian Genome Center of the University of Tartu
FD: Functional dyspepsia
FGID: Functional gastrointestinal disorder
GWAS: Genome-wide association study
ICD9: International Classification of Diseases 9th Revision
ICD10: International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision
MGI: Michigan Genome Initiative
UKBB: UK Biobank

ABSTRACT

Background. Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a common gastrointestinal condition of poorly understood pathophysiology. While symptoms' overlap with other conditions may indicate common pathogenetic mechanisms, genetic predisposition is suspected but has not been adequately investigated.

Methods. Using healthcare, questionnaire and genetic data from three large population-based biobanks (UK Biobank, EGCUT and MGI), we surveyed FD comorbidities, heritability and genetic correlations across a wide spectrum of conditions and traits in 10,078 cases and 351,282 non-FD controls of European ancestry.

Key Results. In UK Biobank, 281 diagnoses were detected at increased prevalence in FD, based on healthcare records. Among these, gastrointestinal conditions (OR=4.0, $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-300}$), anxiety disorders (OR=2.3, $P < 1.4 \times 10^{-27}$), ischemic heart disease (OR=2.2, $P < 2.3 \times 10^{-76}$), and infectious and parasitic diseases (OR=2.1, $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-73}$) showed strongest association with FD. Similar results were obtained in an analysis of self-reported conditions and use of medications from questionnaire data. Based on a genome-wide association meta-analysis of

74 genotypes across all cohorts, FD heritability was estimated close to 5% ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.047$,
75 $P=0.014$). Genetic correlations indicate FD predisposition is shared with several other
76 diseases and traits ($r_g>0.344$), mostly overlapping with those also enriched in FD patients.
77 Suggestive ($P<5.0\times 10^{-6}$) association with FD risk was detected for 13 loci, with 2 showing
78 nominal replication ($P<0.05$) in an independent cohort of 192 FD patients.

79 **Conclusions & Inferences.** FD has a weak heritable component that shows commonalities
80 with multiple conditions across a wide spectrum of pathophysiological domains. This new
81 knowledge contributes to a better understanding of FD aetiology, and may have implications
82 for improving its treatment.

83

84 **Keywords:** functional dyspepsia; genetics; biobank studies; genome-wide association study;
85 comorbidities

86 INTRODUCTION

87 Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a functional gastrointestinal disorder (FGID) estimated to affect
88 approximately 10% of the adult population worldwide, with symptoms including postprandial
89 fullness, early satiation, and epigastric pain or burning in the absence of detectable organic
90 disease.^{1,2} FD is often associated with symptoms overlapping with other FGIDs, like irritable
91 bowel syndrome (IBS), and strongly impacts patients' quality of life.^{1,3} This is particularly true
92 in the important portion of FD patients (20%) also experiencing psychosocial conditions like
93 anxiety, depression or somatization, and who are therefore often referred to advanced care
94 because of severely impaired daily functioning.^{4,5} Overall, FD is associated with major
95 substantial direct (healthcare) and indirect (work loss) cost, thus posing a considerable
96 burden to society.^{6,7} Current treatment options for FD have shown limited efficacy, and the
97 development of novel therapeutic approaches is hampered by the heterogeneity of
98 symptoms, the observed large placebo response in clinical trials (30-40%), and the intrinsic
99 difficulty in establishing the underlying pathophysiology in individual patients.⁸⁻¹⁰

100 The aetiology of FD is heterogeneous and not fully elucidated. Several candidate
101 mechanisms have been implicated in symptom generation, such as altered visceral
102 sensitivity and motility, mucosal perturbations (low grade duodenal inflammation, impaired
103 mucosal duodenal integrity) and abnormal processing in the brain (visceral specific anxiety,
104 somatisation).¹¹⁻¹⁴ Genetic predisposition to FD is suspected from the observation of familial
105 clustering, though genetic studies have been very scarce and limited to candidate genes in
106 small sample sets of cases and controls, hence no unequivocal FD risk gene has been
107 proposed.^{15,16}

108 In the study of human complex conditions, cross-disease analyses are useful approaches to
109 unearth similar aetiology and common pathophysiological mechanisms, including those
110 eventually accounted for by shared genetic factors. This may be particularly relevant in FD

111 and other FGIDs, whereby studying commonalities may improve disease understanding, and
112 eventually inform the development of novel or alternative preventive and therapeutic
113 strategies. Here, we leveraged data from UK Biobank (UKBB) and two smaller population-
114 based cohorts (Michigan Genome Initiative - MGI, and the Biobank of the Estonian Genome
115 Center, University of Tartu - EGCUT) for large-scale cross-disease analyses in order to study
116 i) the co-occurrence of FD and other conditions, based on participants' healthcare records
117 and questionnaire data and, ii) genetic correlation between FD and other traits and diseases,
118 based on previous and newly generated FD GWAS data. We report the results obtained from
119 such surveys conducted on a total of 361,360 individuals of European ancestry.

120 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

121 **Definition of functional dyspepsia cases**

122 A similar protocol was adopted in all cohorts for the identification of FD cases based on
123 respective participants' healthcare data and diagnoses classified according to the
124 International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD10) for UKBB and EGCUT, and/or
125 9th revision (ICD9) for MGI. Cases were selected as those with a FD diagnosis (ICD9 536.8
126 or ICD10 K30) in their medical records, while the remainder of the cohorts served as control
127 group. Exclusion criteria included *Diseases of oesophagus* (ICD9 530.*), *Gastro-*
128 *oesophageal reflux disease* (ICD10 K21, except K21.9 in cases), *Other diseases of*
129 *oesophagus* (ICD10 K22), *Gastric ulcer* (ICD9 531.* or ICD10 K25), *Duodenal ulcer* (ICD9
130 532* or ICD10 K26), *Peptic ulcer* (ICD9 533* or ICD10 K27), *Gastrojejunal ulcer* (ICD9 534*
131 or ICD10 K28), *Inflammatory Bowel Disease* (IBD; ICD9 555.*, 556* or ICD10 K50), *IBS*
132 (ICD9 564.1 or ICD10 K58) and *Coeliac disease* (CD; ICD9 579.0 or ICD10 K90.0).

133 **Study cohorts**

134 *UKBB*. UK Biobank is a longitudinal cohort including approximately 500,000 individuals (aged
135 40–69 years), recruited between 2006 and 2010 in the UK.¹⁷ Together with genotypes
136 (Affymetrix Biobank Axiom and UK BiLEVE Axiom arrays), participants' health-related
137 information is available and spans questionnaire data on general health and lifestyle, self-
138 reported conditions and use of medications. In the informed consent participants gave
139 “*permission for access to my medical and other health-related records, and for long-term*
140 *storage and use of this and other information about me, for health-related research purposes*
141 *(even after my incapacity or death)*”. For the purpose of this study, genotypes and the
142 following phenotypic data have been used in relation to UKBB participants self-reporting
143 British ancestry (as from UK Biobank data field 21000): main and secondary ICD10
144 diagnoses (respectively, UK Biobank data fields 41202 and 41024); doctor's diagnosis of
145 non-cancer illnesses, self-reported (UK Biobank data field 20002), and use of medications
146 (UK Biobank data fields 6153, 6154, 6177). The study was approved by the regional Ethics
147 Committee of the Basque Country (CEIm-E ref PI2020167), and performed with UKBB data

148 accessed under UKBB registration nr 30537. The demographics of UKBB individuals are
149 reported in Table 1.

150 *EGCUT*. EGCUT is a volunteer-based sample of the Estonian adult population, which
151 comprises more than 52,000 participants aged >18 years, and previously described
152 elsewhere.¹⁸ In the informed consent the participants were made aware that “*The Gene*
153 *Bank enables scientific and applied gene and health research to be carried out in order to*
154 *determine genes that influence the development of diseases*”. For the purpose of this study,
155 among EGCUT participants with genotype data available (Illumina 370K, OmniExpress,
156 CoreExome and Global Screening arrays - GSA), cases were selected as those with a K30
157 ICD10 record in their healthcare data, while unrelated individuals were randomly drawn from
158 the remainder of the cohort as population controls. The study was approved by the local
159 ethics committee at the Estonian eHealth Foundation. EGCUT demographics are reported in
160 Table 1.

161 *MGI*. MGI is a longitudinal cohort that includes participants recruited via the Michigan
162 Medicine healthcare system, who provided consent for linking medical records to genetic
163 data (Illumina HumanCoreExome v12.1 arrays).¹⁹ With their informed consent participants
164 gave “*permission for researchers to use your samples and health information to study any*
165 *disease or health condition*”. We used a data freeze containing 40,000 individuals of PCA-
166 derived European ancestry for the study, which was reviewed and approved by the Michigan
167 University Institutional Review Board. The demographics of MGI individuals are reported in
168 Table 1.

169 *FD patients from TARGID*. Consecutive FD patients (N=192) were recruited at the
170 Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorder (TARGID), University Hospital
171 Leuven, Belgium, according to Rome III criteria. Individuals were excluded if they showed
172 abnormal findings on upper GI endoscopy, and/or if they had a history of former upper
173 digestive surgery, diabetes, IBS, CD, IBD or other predominant symptoms typically
174 associated with perturbed upper GI motility. FD patients' genotypes were extracted from
175 available Illumina GSA data. Patients provided written informed consent and the study was
176 approved by the local ethics committee (S52032). Belgian population control genotype data
177 (Illumina HumanOmni 5M Exome) were obtained from a dataset previously described
178 (N=442).²⁰ The demographics are reported in Table 1.

179 **Cross-disease phenotype analysis**

180 Associations between FD and other ICD10 common diagnoses ($\geq 1\%$ prevalence), self-
181 reported conditions, and use of medications from UKBB data were tested in a logistic
182 regression model adjusting for sex and age using base functions implemented in R (v3.6.1) A
183 False Discovery Rate (Benjamini & Hochberg) correction for multiple tests (corrected $\alpha=0.05$)

184 was included in the calculation of statistical significance, in order to control for type I error.
185 Results obtained for ICD10 diagnoses were visualized with circular plots using the Circlize
186 (v0.4.8) package (<http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/circlize/>) implemented in R (v3.6.1).

187 **Genotype quality control, imputation and individual GWAS**

188 A common pipeline with minor modifications was applied to all cohorts for quality control
189 (QC) of genotype data, imputation, and FD GWAS tests. Briefly, genotype QC filters were
190 applied per sample (missing rate <95-99%; heterozygosity rate >3*SD) and per marker (call
191 rate >95-99%; Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$), and individuals of non-European
192 ancestry (detected from principal component analysis [PCA]), with excessive relatedness
193 (KING kinship coefficient >.0663), or with genotype-phenotype sex discrepancy were
194 excluded from the analyses. Missing genotypes were imputed using the Haplotype
195 Reference Consortium (HRC), UK10K, 1KG or Estonian reference panels, and only high
196 quality (INFO>0.8) common (MAF>0.01) markers were included in downstream analyses.
197 GWAS association tests were performed with a mixed linear model using SAIGE
198 (v0.29.4.2),²¹ in order to control for low case:control ratio and population stratification in
199 UKBB and MGI, and logistic regression implemented in the Epacts software
200 (<https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/EPACTS>) for EGCUT. Association testing included sex,
201 age, genotyping array and the first 4-10 principal components as covariates in the analyses.

202 **GWAS meta-analysis**

203 Individual GWAS results were brought forward into the meta-analysis pipeline, with absence
204 of population stratification (inflation factor λ range 0.97-1.03). Prior to meta-analysis,
205 individual GWAS association results were inspected with the R package EasyQC (v9.2),²² in
206 order to check for data integrity, remove invalid or unmapped markers, and harmonize SNP
207 rsIDs and allele strand coding across datasets. A total of 7,347,476 high-quality SNP
208 markers passing QC, with available summary statistics from at least 2 datasets, and absence
209 of heterogeneity across studies (Cochran's $Q > 0.05$) were brought forward into a meta-
210 analysis performed with METAL (v2011-03-25)²³ and the fixed-effect model weighted by p-
211 value (showing no population stratification based on a Lambda inflation factor = 1.0195).

212 **Downstream annotation of GWAS meta-analysis results**

213 Annotation of suggestive ($P < 5.0 \times 10^{-6}$) FD risk loci was done with Functional Annotation of
214 GWAS (FUMA) v1.3.5 (<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/>).²⁴ The 13 association signals were identified
215 based on SNP P-value and linkage disequilibrium between markers (LD; $r^2 < 0.4$), and lead
216 SNPs defined as those with the lowest p-values in each region. Gene content at FD loci was
217 annotated based on positional, expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) and 3D chromatin
218 interactions also using FUMA with default parameters. Gene-set enrichment analysis for
219 biological pathways, functions, or tissues was performed using FUMA, and False Discovery
220 Rate was used for multiple testing correction.

221 **PheWAS and genetic correlation analyses**

222 A phenome-wide association study (PheWAS) approach was used to identify known
 223 associations ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) of other traits at FD GWAS suggestive loci using PhenoScanner2.
 224 ^{25,26} Partitioned FD heritability (h^2_{SNP}) on the liability scale was calculated as described
 225 (https://nealelab.github.io/UKBB_ldsc/methods.html). Genetic correlation (r_g) with other traits
 226 was computed using linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC)²⁷ implemented in the
 227 online platform CTG-VL (<https://vl.genoma.io/>). False discovery rate (FDR) correction was
 228 adopted in order to control for type I error.

229 **Pilot replication in a case-control cohort**

230 Genotypes of lead SNPs (or best LD proxies with minimum $r^2 > 0.5$) from FD GWAS
 231 suggestive loci were extracted from QC'ed imputed genotype data available for TARGID
 232 patients and Belgian controls. GWAS effect alleles were tested for replication using 1-tailed P
 233 under an additive model with logistic regression adjusting for sex, age and first 10 principal
 234 components from PCA analysis in Plink (v1.9, www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/).²⁸

235 **Data availability**

236 FD GWAS meta-analysis results have been deposited in the GWAS Catalog
 237 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>) and are publicly available under accession nr GCST90010719.

238 **RESULTS**

239 **UK Biobank participants with FD**

240 After excluding potentially confounding diagnoses, participants of non-European ancestry
 241 and population outliers, related individuals, and participants with poor phenotype or genotype
 242 data, a total of 300,152 UKBB participants were included in the study (Table 1). This subset
 243 of UKBB was selected for all analyses described below, in order to be able to compare
 244 phenotype and genetic cross-disease results. Among these, 5,950 had a K30 diagnosis of
 245 functional dyspepsia in their medical records, and were therefore selected as FD cases,
 246 while the remainder of the population (N=294,202) were assigned to the control group. FD
 247 cases were more likely to be female and older than controls (respectively, OR=1.6; $P=5 \times 10^{-62}$
 248 and OR=1.03; $P=9.99 \times 10^{-88}$).

249 **Cross-disease analyses I: ICD10 diagnoses**

250 We tested common ($\geq 1\%$ occurrence in UKBB) medical conditions for their differential
 251 prevalence in FD cases and controls, based on logistic regression adjusting for sex and age.
 252 UKBB diagnoses were studied at various ICD10 code levels (Chapters to four-digit codes),
 253 for a total of 99,350,312 data points (331 common ICD10 codes in 300,152 individuals). In
 254 these analyses, we observed 284 codes differentially distributed in FD cases and controls
 255 (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Most ICD10 codes showed a significant increase in
 256 FD cases at the Chapter level, including higher risk of *Diseases of the digestive system*

257 (K00-K93; OR=4.0 [95% CI 3.8-4.2], $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-300}$), *Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical*
 258 *and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified* (R00-R99; OR=3.2 [95% CI 3.0-3.4],
 259 $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-300}$), and *Certain infectious and parasitic diseases* (A00-B99; OR=2.1 [95% CI 2.0-
 260 2.3], $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-73}$). Expectedly, while reflux-related diagnoses were among the exclusion
 261 criteria (see Methods), *Diseases of oesophagus, stomach and duodenum* (K20-K31) still
 262 showed the strongest association and most pronounced risk effects (OR=8.2 [95% CI 7.7-
 263 8.7], $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-300}$). At the four-digit level (ie most informative, detailed diagnosis code)
 264 *Gastritis, unspecified* (K29.7) and *Hernia without obstruction or gangrene* (K44.9) accounted
 265 for the strongest risk effects (respective OR=5.4 [95% CI 5.0-5.9] and OR=5.9 [95% CI 5.5-
 266 6.4], both with P values $< 1 \times 10^{-100}$) within the digestive disease domain. A high association
 267 was also observed for *cholelithiasis* (K80, OR=3.1 [95% CI 2.8-3.4], $P < 4.5 \times 10^{-129}$) among the
 268 digestive *Disorders of gallbladder, biliary tract and pancreas* (K80-K87). Similarly, most
 269 prominent R00-R99 differences were observed for *Symptoms and signs involving the*
 270 *digestive system and abdomen* (R10-R19) like *Dysphagia* (R13) and *Nausea and vomiting*
 271 (R11) (respective OR=6.0 [95% CI 5.3-6.7] and OR=3.2 [95% CI 2.9-3.5], both P
 272 values $< 1 \times 10^{-100}$), together with a series of codes related to pain and the circulatory system
 273 like *abdominal pain* (R10.1, R10.4) and *chest pain* (R07.3 and R07.4) (ORs > 2 , P
 274 values $< 1 \times 10^{-11}$). Of interest, other notable associations (ORs > 2 , P values $< 1 \times 10^{-2}$) were
 275 detected for *Neurotic, stress-related and somatoform disorders* (F40-F48) including *anxiety*
 276 *disorders* (F41), as well as *Ischaemic heart diseases* (I20-I25) including *chronic ischaemic*
 277 *heart disease* (I25.8 and I25.9), *angina* (I20.0 and I20.9) and *myocardial infarction* (I25.2),
 278 and finally *Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue* (M00-M99) like
 279 *osteoporosis* (M81) and *spondylosis* (M47) (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

280 **Cross-disease analyses II: conditions and medications, self-reported**

281 We also studied UKBB data collected via touchscreen questionnaire at UKBB participants'
 282 enrolment, in relation to common (occurrence $\geq 1\%$, $N=55$) self-reported non-cancer illnesses
 283 and use of medications. We detected significant FD association for 15/55 self-reported
 284 conditions (Table 2), notably often from disease domains similar to those highlighted in the
 285 ICD10 analyses. Expectedly, strongest association was observed for self-reported
 286 *gastroesophageal reflux* (OR=4.3 [95% CI 3.9-4.6], $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-296}$). In addition, *hiatus hernia*,
 287 *IBS*, *anxiety/panic attacks*, *angina*, *heart attack/myocardial infarction* and
 288 *osteoporosis/spondylitis* were also markedly increased, (Table 2), similar to what observed
 289 for diagnoses directly accessed from healthcare records (ICD10). As expected, use of
 290 *medication for pain relief, constipation or heartburn* was more common in FD patients
 291 (OR=0.55 [95% CI 0.5-0.6], $P = 2.7 \times 10^{-113}$ when testing *none of the above* from questionnaire
 292 data, Table 2), with the PPI *Omeprazole* (OR=4.4 [95% CI 4.1-4.7], $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-300}$) and the H2

293 receptor blocker *Ranitidine* (OR=3.1 [95% CI 2.7-3.5], $P=6.2 \times 10^{-71}$) showing strongest
294 associations.

295 **Genetic analyses: GWAS and follow-up**

296 For the purpose of genetic analyses, we produced GWAS data from UKBB, MGI and EGCUT
297 (see Methods). Harmonization and QC filtering of individual datasets allowed GWAS
298 association results to be included in a meta-analysis spanning 7,347,476 high-quality SNP
299 markers in 10,078 FD cases and 351,282 non-FD controls. Due to its larger size, UKB had a
300 more pronounced weight in the meta-analysis (Effective size, $N_e=11,664.10$) compared to
301 EGCUT ($N_e=5,900.16$); and MGI ($N_e=1,458.08$). We detected no genome-wide significant
302 association ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), though 28 markers gave rise to suggestive ($P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$) signals
303 mapped to 13 independent loci using FUMA (see Methods) (Table 3). Computational
304 annotation of suggestive FD risk loci based on FUMA positional, eQTL and chromatin
305 interaction mapping (Methods) resulted in the identification of 80 candidate genes (Table 3).
306 Among these were genes related to neuronal development (*NFASC*, *CNTN2* or *NXPH1*), ion
307 channels (*KCNE4*), adherens junctions (*PTPRK*), cell apoptosis (*DIDO1*) and cell migration
308 (*GID8*). However, tissue-specific and gene-set enrichment analyses did not disclose any
309 significant associations with specific biological pathways, functions, or tissues after correction
310 for multiple testing (not shown). In a pilot experiment of replication, we tested lead SNPs (or
311 their best LD proxies, see Methods) from the 13 GWAS risk loci in a small cohort of 192 well-
312 characterized FD patients and 442 population controls from Belgium (Supplementary Table
313 2). We detected nominal significance for two loci tagged by markers rs2093045 on
314 chromosome 20, and rs2189730 (a weak proxy for rs6463848, see Methods) on
315 chromosome 9, with concordant genetic risk effects across all tested cohorts (Figure 2).

316 **Cross-disease analyses III: PheWAS lookup and genetic correlations**

317 We studied FD suggestive GWAS loci for their eventual relevance to other traits and
318 conditions, by inspecting public repositories of GWAS data using PhenoScanner2 (see
319 Methods). This highlighted 3/13 loci to be relevant to other diseases and traits, namely mean
320 platelet counts (locus rs2595968), impedance measures, C-reactive protein and white blood
321 cell counts (rs6719560), and B-cell lymphoma (rs184132620). Broader evidence of genetic
322 correlation with other conditions and traits was obtained from larger analyses of FD GWAS
323 summary statistics using LDSC (implemented in CTG-VL, see Methods). Although weak, FD
324 showed detectable heritability (FD $h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.047$, $P=0.014$), and significant genetic correlation
325 with other upper GI diseases (*diaphragmatic hernia*, *gastritis* and *duodenitis*, *use of*
326 *Omeprazole*, *self-reported reflux*), several pain-related traits (*pain in throat and chest*,
327 *stomach*, *abdominal and pelvic pain*, *back pain*), personality traits (neuroticism),
328 mood/anxiety disorders (*anxiety*, *depression*), and joint disorders (*osteoarthritis*) (Figure 3,
329 Supplementary Table 3). Thus, once again, these observations were similar to those made in

330 cross-disease phenotypic analyses of UKBB ICD10 and self-reported diagnoses, suggesting
331 shared genetic predisposition.

332 **DISCUSSION**

333 FD is one of the most common functional gastrointestinal disorders, as also highlighted by a
334 recent global epidemiological study from the Rome Foundation.² In spite of its large
335 prevalence, FD has received less attention than its lower-bowel counterpart, IBS, and many
336 aspects of its pathogenesis remain poorly understood. In this study, we have used
337 complementary approaches to gain insight into FD pathophysiology through a large-scale
338 investigation of cross-disease overlap at the epidemiological and genetic level. We extracted
339 FD and other diagnoses from UK Biobank participants' healthcare records and self-reported
340 questionnaires, and we produced and exploited GWAS results for genetic correlation
341 analyses. Hence, with the limitation that ICD10 and self-reported diagnoses could not be
342 formally verified by inspection of individual medical notes, we hereby report the largest
343 population-based survey of FD to-date.

344 The overlap between FD, other GI conditions and, to a lesser extent, personality traits and
345 mood/anxiety disorders have been studied in a few surveys, while the analysis of other
346 conditions has been scarce. The most comprehensive survey, where several disease
347 domains were investigated, included 1,669 FD cases and 83,450 matched controls, with
348 clinical data retrieved from paid health insurance claims.²⁹ In the current report we studied
349 >300,000 UK Biobank participants including 5,950 FD cases ascertained via their healthcare
350 records, and interrogated 335 diagnostic codes at four ICD10 classification levels, making it
351 the largest and most comprehensive survey of FD comorbidities.

352 A first important observation from these analyses is the large number of conditions (N=281)
353 whose prevalence is significantly higher in FD patients compared to controls. This is
354 consistent with previous reports on insurance claims,²⁹ as well as studies looking at FD
355 patients' self-evaluation of their health status.³⁰ Overall, therefore, there appears to be
356 compelling evidence that FD patients generally experience worse health conditions than the
357 rest of the population, a notion that may have implication for the clinical management of this
358 common disorder and the pressure it poses on the healthcare system. Also noteworthy,
359 cross-disease analyses gave rise to remarkably consistent results across ICD10 diagnoses
360 identified via linkage to healthcare records, self-reported conditions from questionnaire data,
361 and genetic correlation using GWAS summary statistics. This suggests that FD and
362 comorbid conditions co-manifest because of shared genetic architecture and the contribution
363 of genes and pathways with multiple (pleiotropic) disease risk effects on a spectrum of
364 ailments.

365 A series of conditions from the digestive disease domain were expectedly found to occur
366 more often in FD patients than controls, either as ICD10 codes from healthcare records or

367 self-reported traits. For instance, while excluded in the ICD10 analyses (see Methods), IBS
368 and reflux (including its prescriptions drugs omeprazole and ranitidine) were among the
369 conditions most often self-reported by FD patients, and are notoriously associated with
370 dyspepsia.^{31–34} Similarly, gastritis, hiatus hernia and eventually chest pain and angina, which
371 were all linked to FD in UK Biobank (as ICD10 and self-reported diagnoses as well as related
372 medications), may represent proxies for reflux due to heartburn and/or other overlapping
373 symptoms. At the same time, upper abdominal pain is a key feature of FD patients with
374 epigastric pain syndrome,³⁵ but can also occur postprandially in the postprandial distress
375 syndrome group.³⁶ Finally among digestive diseases, gallstone prevalence was much higher
376 in FD patients, who in fact represent 6.3% of cholelithiasis cases among the selected UK
377 Biobank participants, an observation that may be relevant to studying the source of
378 symptoms and avoiding the risk of ineffective cholecystectomies.³⁷ We also detected
379 increased prevalence of personality traits and mood/anxiety disorders among FD patients
380 (both as ICD10 and self-reported conditions), which is consistent with previous reports and
381 the fact that FD individuals tend to show a higher degree of somatization.^{38,39} In particular,
382 the prevalence of anxiety and depression has been shown to correlate with the number and
383 severity of comorbid FGIDs,⁴⁰ again an observation made here in relation to the high number
384 of co-manifestations detected in FD cases compared to the rest of UK Biobank. Finally,
385 several other associations were detected that represent novel observations, including the
386 associations with osteoarthropathies and, to a lesser extent, diseases of the circulatory
387 system. Association with osteoporosis was detected in the analysis of ICD10 and self-
388 reported diagnoses but not among the significant genetic correlations, which could be
389 interpreted as a possible consequence of long-term PPI use and its known effect on bone
390 density.⁴¹ Spondylosis, spondylitis and other diseases of the bone and joints were linked to
391 FD both in the survey of ICD10 and self-reported diagnoses and in the genetic correlations
392 with other conditions and traits, suggesting a possible common pathogenetic denominator.
393 Increased prevalence of rheumatological disorders has been previously reported in
394 FGIDs,^{42,43} while spondylosis has not been studied in FD. Of interest, cervical spondylosis
395 has been recently proposed to induce FGIDs in an experimental rat model.⁴⁴ Heart disease
396 has been studied in relation to upper gastrointestinal symptoms, and FD patients appear to
397 more often suffer from circulatory system disease, myocardial infarction, angina, and chest
398 pain.⁴⁵ While some risk factors (smoking, obesity, high blood pressure) are known to be
399 common to FD and heart disease, the explanation for the observed comorbidity has often
400 been sought in the side effects of respective pharmacological treatments. However, the
401 LDSC results reported here, where significant genetic correlation is detected for FD and
402 other heart conditions, suggest commonalities exist in the genetic architecture of these traits,
403 which may thus arise from similar, partially overlapping pathophysiological mechanisms.

404 We also report here the first GWAS and meta-analysis of FD, performed exploiting genotype
405 data and information on ICD10 diagnoses from healthcare records in three large population-
406 based cohorts. Although more than 360,000 individuals were included in the analyses, the
407 total number of FD cases was limited to 10,078, and likely not enough to identify robust
408 association signals at the genome-wide level of significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). This is not surprising
409 given that FD shows detectable but only weak heritability (5%) and, similar to other common
410 FGIDs like IBS, this represents a challenge for gene hunting efforts requiring massive
411 sample size. However, genetic correlation analyses (which do not solely rely on genome-
412 wide significant signals) suggest that the genetic factors predisposing to FD also contribute
413 to risk of several other conditions, indeed many of which often co-manifesting in FD, as
414 reported in this and previous surveys. Hence, genetic liability in FD may extend across a
415 much wider spectrum of conditions and traits, with potential implications for a better
416 understanding of its pathophysiology and the identification of therapeutically actionable
417 pathways from the study of genetically overlapping diseases.

418 Finally, while the thirteen GWAS-suggestive signals identified here may represent best
419 candidates for investigation in future studies, we report preliminary replication for 2 loci in a
420 pilot case/control analysis of 192 FD patients defined according to gold-standard Rome III
421 Criteria. This is for markers rs2189730 and rs2093045, respectively tagging FD suggestive
422 risk loci on chromosomes 7 and 20. Among four genes mapped via chromatin interactions to
423 the risk locus on chromosome 7, *NXPH1* likely represents the best candidate to play a role in
424 FD, since polymorphisms in this gene have been previously reported to also affect risk of
425 IBS.⁴⁶ *NXPH1* codes for neurexophilin-1, a glycoprotein ligand of the α -neurexin receptors
426 involved in synaptic neurotransmission and plasticity in the brain.⁴⁷ Neurexophilin-1 is
427 primarily expressed in inhibitory neurons where it modulates γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)
428 receptor-mediated signalling, thus possibly affecting FD-relevant motor functions and GI
429 motility similar to what has been shown for other members of the neurexophilin family.⁴⁸
430 Several genes map to the chromosome 20 risk locus, including *GID8*, *DIDO1*, *SLC17A9* and
431 *TCFL5*, whose expression is detected in human oesophagus and is affected by eQTLs for
432 the rs2093045 lead SNP. Among these, *GID8* may be of particular interest as it codes for
433 TWA1, a nuclear protein that is an important member of the CTLH complex regulating cell
434 migration,⁴⁹ hence potentially relevant to upper GI development and integrity.

435 In summary, we report here a large-scale survey of FD comorbidity and genetic
436 predisposition, which highlights considerable clinical and genetic overlap with several other
437 conditions. This novel knowledge contributes to a better understanding of FD
438 pathophysiology, and may be relevant to the identification of actionable pathways from the
439 study of common disease mechanisms.

440 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

441 This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application
442 Number 30537. The authors acknowledge the University of Michigan Precision Health
443 Initiative and Medical School Central Biorepository for providing biospecimen storage,
444 management, processing and distribution services and the Center for Statistical Genetics in
445 the Department of Biostatistics at the School of Public Health for genotype data curation,
446 imputation, and management in support of this research.

447

448 **FUNDING**

449 Supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (FIS
450 PI17/00308) and the Swedish Research Council (VR 2017-02403) to MDA. The Florey
451 Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health acknowledges the strong support from the
452 Victorian Government and in particular the funding from the Operational Infrastructure
453 Support Grant. Funding was provided by a Methusalem grant from Leuven University to JT.

454

455 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

456 The authors have no competing interests.

457

458 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION**

459 JT and MD: study concept and design; FC, MTL, AP, LH, VT, RL, AF, SZ, MB, MZ, TE, JT,
460 MDA: cohorts, patients characterization, data collection; KGE, MTL, AP, MZ: statistical
461 analyses; KGE, FC, MTL, LB, MZ, TE, JT, MD: data analysis and interpretation; MD:
462 obtained funding, administrative and technical support, study supervision; KGE, FC, JT and
463 MD: drafted the manuscript, with input and critical revision from all other authors.

464

465

466 **REFERENCES**

- 467 1. Stanghellini V, Chan FKL, Hasler WL, et al. Gastroduodenal disorders.
468 *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(6):1380-1392.
- 469 2. Sperber AD, Bangdiwala SI, Drossman DA, et al. Worldwide Prevalence and Burden
470 of Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, Results of Rome Foundation Global Study.
471 *Gastroenterology*. May 2020.
- 472 3. Drossman DA. Functional gastrointestinal disorders: History, pathophysiology, clinical
473 features, and Rome IV. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(6):1262–1279.e2.
- 474 4. Piessevaux H, De Winter B, Louis E, et al. Dyspeptic symptoms in the general
475 population: A factor and cluster analysis of symptom groupings. *Neurogastroenterol*
476 *Motil*. 2009;21(4):378-388.

- 477 5. Camilleri M, Dubois D, Coulie B, et al. Prevalence and socioeconomic impact of upper
478 gastrointestinal disorders in the United States: Results of the US upper
479 gastrointestinal study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2005;3(6):543-552.
- 480 6. Brook RA, Kleinman NL, Choung RS, Melkonian AK, Smeeding JE, Talley NJ.
481 Functional Dyspepsia Impacts Absenteeism and Direct and Indirect Costs. *Clin*
482 *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;8(6):498-503.
- 483 7. Ford AC, Forman D, Bailey AG, Axon ATR, Moayyedi P. Effect of dyspepsia on
484 survival: A longitudinal 10-year follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;107(6):912-
485 921.
- 486 8. Camilleri M, Stanghellini V. Current management strategies and emerging treatments
487 for functional dyspepsia. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2013;10(3):187-194.
- 488 9. Masuy I, Van Oudenhove L, Tack J. Review article: treatment options for functional
489 dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2019;49(9):1134-1172. doi:10.1111/apt.15191
- 490 10. Tack J. Prokinetics and fundic relaxants in upper functional GI disorders. *Curr Opin*
491 *Pharmacol*. 2008;8(6):690-696.
- 492 11. B Biomed GB, Carroll G, Mathe A, et al. Evidence for Local and Systemic Immune
493 Activation in Functional Dyspepsia and the Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Systematic
494 Review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2019;114(3):429-436.
- 495 12. Cirillo C, Bessissow T, Desmet AS, Vanheel H, Tack J, Berghe P Vanden. Evidence
496 for neuronal and structural changes in submucous ganglia of patients with functional
497 dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110(8):1205-1215.
- 498 13. Carbone F, Tack J. Gastroduodenal mechanisms underlying functional gastric
499 disorders. *Dig Dis*. 2014;32(3):222-229.
- 500 14. Lee KJ, Tack J. Duodenal Implications in the Pathophysiology of Functional
501 Dyspepsia. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2010;16(3):251-257.
- 502 15. Gathaiya N, Locke GR, Camilleri M, Schleck CD, Zinsmeister AR, Talley NJ. Novel
503 associations with dyspepsia: A community-based study of familial aggregation, sleep
504 dysfunction and somatization. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2009;21(9):922-e69.
- 505 16. Triantafyllou K, Kourikou A, Gazouli M, Karamanolis GP, Dimitriadis GD. Functional
506 dyspepsia susceptibility is related to CD14, GNB3, MIF, and TRPV1 gene
507 polymorphisms in the Greek population. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2017;29(1):1-8.
- 508 17. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep
509 phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature*. 2018;562(7726):203-209.
- 510 18. Leitsalu L, Haller T, Esko T, et al. Cohort profile: Estonian biobank of the Estonian
511 genome center, university of Tartu. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(4):1137-1147.
- 512 19. Fritsche LG, Gruber SB, Wu Z, et al. Association of Polygenic Risk Scores for Multiple
513 Cancers in a Phenome-wide Study: Results from The Michigan Genomics Initiative.

- 514 *Am J Hum Genet.* 2018;102(6):1048-1061.
- 515 20. Meschia JF, Arnett DK, Ay H, et al. Stroke genetics network (SiGN) study design and
516 rationale for a genome-wide association study of ischemic stroke subtypes. *Stroke.*
517 2013;44(10):2694-2702.
- 518 21. Zhou W, Nielsen JB, Fritsche LG, et al. Efficiently controlling for case-control
519 imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic association studies. *Nat*
520 *Genet.* 2018;50(9):1335-1341.
- 521 22. Winkler TW, Day FR, Croteau-Chonka DC, et al. Quality control and conduct of
522 genome-wide association meta-analyses. *Nat Protoc.* 2014;9(5):1192-1212.
- 523 23. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide
524 association scans. *Bioinformatics.* 2010;26(17):2190-2191.
- 525 24. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, Van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and
526 annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):1826.
- 527 25. Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, et al. PhenoScanner: A database of human
528 genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics.* 2016;32(20):3207-3209.
- 529 26. Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, et al. PhenoScanner V2: An expanded tool for
530 searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics.*
531 2019;35(22):4851-4853.
- 532 27. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across
533 human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet.* 2015;47(11):1236-1241.
- 534 28. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-
535 generation PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience.*
536 2015;4:7.
- 537 29. Brook RA, Kleinman NL, Choung RS, Smeeding JE, Talley NJ. Excess comorbidity
538 prevalence and cost associated with functional dyspepsia in an employed population.
539 *Dig Dis Sci.* 2012;57(1):109-118.
- 540 30. Jarbøl DE, Rasmussen S, Balasubramaniam K, Elnegaard S, Haastrup PF. Self-rated
541 health and functional capacity in individuals reporting overlapping symptoms of
542 gastroesophageal reflux disease, functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome -
543 a population based study. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2017;17(1):1-9.
- 544 31. De Bortoli N, Tolone S, Frazzoni M, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease, functional
545 dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome: Common overlapping gastrointestinal
546 disorders. *Ann Gastroenterol.* 2018;31(6):639-648.
- 547 32. Aziz I, Palsson OS, Törnblom H, Sperber AD, Whitehead WE, Simrén M.
548 Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and associations for symptom-based Rome IV
549 functional dyspepsia in adults in the USA, Canada, and the UK: a cross-sectional
550 population-based study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;3(4):252-262.

- 551 33. von Wulffen M, Talley NJ, Hammer J, et al. Overlap of Irritable Bowel Syndrome and
552 Functional Dyspepsia in the Clinical Setting: Prevalence and Risk Factors. *Dig Dis Sci*.
553 2019;64(2):480-486.
- 554 34. Geeraerts A, Van Houtte B, Clevers E, et al. Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease—
555 Functional Dyspepsia Overlap: Do Birds of a Feather Flock Together? *Am J*
556 *Gastroenterol*. 2020;115(8):1167-1182.
- 557 35. Bharucha AE, Chakraborty S, Sletten CD. Common Functional Gastroenterological
558 Disorders Associated With Abdominal Pain. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2016;91(8):1118-1132.
- 559 36. Carbone F, Vanuytsel T, Tack J. Analysis of Postprandial Symptom Patterns in
560 Subgroups of Patients With Rome III or Rome IV Functional Dyspepsia. *Clin*
561 *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;18(4):838-846.e3.
- 562 37. Latenstein CSS, de Jong JJ, Eppink JJ, et al. Prevalence of dyspepsia in patients with
563 cholecystolithiasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Gastroenterol*
564 *Hepatol*. 2019;31(8):928-934.
- 565 38. Aro P, Talley NJ, Ronkainen J, et al. Anxiety Is Associated With Uninvestigated and
566 Functional Dyspepsia (Rome III Criteria) in a Swedish Population-Based Study.
567 *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137(1):94-100.
- 568 39. Clauwaert N, Jones MP, Holvoet L, et al. Associations between gastric sensorimotor
569 function, depression, somatization, and symptom-based subgroups in functional
570 gastroduodenal disorders: are all symptoms equal? *Neurogastroenterol Motil*.
571 2012;24(12):1088-e565.
- 572 40. Pinto-Sanchez MI, Ford AC, Avila CA, et al. Anxiety and depression increase in a
573 stepwise manner in parallel with multiple FGIDs and symptom severity and frequency.
574 *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110(7):1038-1048.
- 575 41. Andersen BN, Johansen PB, Abrahamsen B. Proton pump inhibitors and
576 osteoporosis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2016;28(4)..
- 577 42. Koloski N, Jones M, Walker MM, et al. Population based study: atopy and
578 autoimmune diseases are associated with functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel
579 syndrome, independent of psychological distress. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*.
580 2019;49(5):546-555.
- 581 43. Ford AC, Talley NJ, Walker MM, Jones MP. Increased prevalence of autoimmune
582 diseases in functional gastrointestinal disorders: case–control study of 23 471 primary
583 care patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;40(7):827-834.
- 584 44. Song X-H, Xu X-X, Ding L-W, Cao L, Sadel A, Wen H. A preliminary study of neck-
585 stomach syndrome. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13(18):2575-2580.
- 586 45. Coleman CI, Limone BL, Schein JR, et al. Upper Gastrointestinal Symptoms and
587 Cardiovascular Disease. In: Curley M, Shaffer E, eds. *Dyspepsia - Advances in*

- 588 *Understanding and Management*. London: IntechOpen Limited; 2013:135-168.
- 589 46. Wouters MM, Lambrechts D, Knapp M, et al. Genetic variants in CDC42 and NXP1
590 as susceptibility factors for constipation and diarrhoea predominant irritable bowel
591 syndrome. *Gut*. 2014;63:1103-1111.
- 592 47. Born G, Breuer D, Wang S, et al. Modulation of synaptic function through the α -
593 neurexin-specific ligand neurexophilin-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2014;111(13):E1274-
594 E1283.
- 595 48. Beglopoulos V, Montag-Sallaz M, Rohlmann A, et al. Neurexophilin 3 Is Highly
596 Localized in Cortical and Cerebellar Regions and Is Functionally Important for
597 Sensorimotor Gating and Motor Coordination. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2005;25(16):7278-7288.
- 598 49. Salemi LM, Maitland MER, Yefet ER, Schild-Poulter C. Inhibition of HDAC6 activity
599 through interaction with RanBPM and its associated CTLH complex. *BMC Cancer*.
600 2017;17(1):1-16.

601

602 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

603 **Supplementary Table S1:** Co-morbidities in FD cases and controls based on ICD10
604 diagnoses from UKBB Biobank

605 **Supplementary Table S2:** Replication of GWAS findings in an independent case-control
606 cohort.

607 **Supplementary Table S3:** Genetic correlation of functional dyspepsia with other traits.

608 **Table 1.** Demographics of cohorts included in the study.

	FD cases	CTRLS
UKB	5,950	294,202
Age (SD)	58.6 (7.4)	56.6 (8.0)
% Female	64.4	53.6
EGCUT	3,383	23,054
Age (SD)	42.3 (18.2)	42.3 (17.2)
% Female	72.3	64.2
MGI	745	34,026
Age (SD)	57.6 (14.8)	56.0 (16.2)
% Female	61.7	52.1
TARGID	192	442
Age (SD)	43.0 (14.2)	55.8 (14.3)
% Female	81.2	53.4

610 **Table 2.** Prevalence of self-reported conditions, diagnoses, traits and medications in UKB
 611 patients and controls.

	FD %	CTRL %	P _{FDR}	OR (95%CI)
Self-reported conditions, diagnoses or traits				
gastro-oesophageal reflux (gord) / gastric reflux	16.3	4.3	3.8E-296	4.3 (3.9 - 4.6)
hiatus hernia	6.3	2.0	6.3E-73	3.0 (2.6 - 3.3)
irritable bowel syndrome	5.6	2.7	4.1E-29	2.0 (1.8 - 2.3)
angina	6.6	3.7	5.2E-26	1.9 (1.7 - 2.1)
cholelithiasis/gall stones	4.4	2.0	2.1E-20	1.9 (1.7 - 2.2)
heart attack/myocardial infarction	3.9	2.8	2.1E-08	1.6 (1.3 - 1.8)
anxiety/panic attacks	3.0	1.9	2.6E-08	1.6 (1.4 - 1.9)
back problem	3.3	2.3	4.6E-06	1.5 (1.3 - 1.7)
osteoporosis	3.7	2.0	6.2E-06	1.5 (1.3 - 1.7)
osteoarthritis	14.8	11.1	1.4E-05	1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)
spine arthritis/spondylitis	1.8	1.1	2.7E-04	1.5 (1.2 - 1.9)
asthma	16.5	15.0	3.1E-04	1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)
migraine	5.5	4.2	4.0E-04	1.3 (1.1 - 1.4)
emphysema/chronic bronchitis	2.3	1.6	1.4E-03	1.4 (1.2 - 1.7)
diverticular disease/diverticulitis	2.2	1.4	2.7E-03	1.4 (1.1 - 1.7)
prolapsed disc/slipped disc	2.9	2.3	3.7E-03	1.3 (1.1 - 1.6)
depression	8.8	7.7	5.9E-03	1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)
ovarian cyst or cysts	1.8	1.1	5.9E-03	1.4 (1.1 - 1.7)
enlarged prostate	2.1	2.0	8.3E-03	1.4 (1.1 - 1.6)
pulmonary embolism +/- dvt	1.5	1.0	2.0E-02	1.4 (1.1 - 1.7)
Self-reported medications				
Omeprazole (e.g. Zanolol)	18.2	4.4	<1.0E-300	4.4 (4.1-4.7)
None of the above (Medication for pain relief, constipation, heartburn)	41.9	58.1	2.7E-113	0.5 (0.5-0.6)
Ranitidine (e.g. Zantac)	4.8	16	6.2E-71	3.1 (2.7-3.5)
Paracetamol	29.6	21.2	9.9E-50	1.5 (1.5-1.6)
Laxatives (e.g. Dulcolax, Senokot)	5.5	2.7	1.5E-23	1.8 (1.6-2.0)
None of the above (Medication for cholesterol, blood pressure, diabetes, or exogenous hormones) (female)	62.2	70.4	9.3E-14	0.8 (0.7-0.8)
Hormone replacement therapy (female)	10.1	7.3	4.4E-10	1.4 (1.3-1.6)
Cholesterol lowering medication (female)	17.5	12.0	8.6E-09	1.3 (1.2-1.4)
None of the above (Medication for cholesterol, blood pressure or diabetes) (male)	59.1	67.6	1.6E-07	0.8 (0.7-0.8)
Cholesterol lowering medication (male)	30.0	22.8	4.6E-07	1.3 (1.2-1.4)
Blood pressure medication (female)	22.0	16.8	1.8E-04	1.2 (1.1-1.3)
Aspirin	15.4	13.7	2.3E-02	1.1 (1.0-1.2)
Blood pressure medication (male)	29.2	24.4	2.5E-02	1.1 (1.0-1.3)

612

613

614 **Table 3.** GWAS meta-analysis association results and annotation of suggestive loci.

Lead SNP	Chr	EA	OA	EAF	Z-Score	P	Nearest gene	Mapped genes
rs2595968	1q32.1	A	G	0.283	4.8	2.0E-06	NFASC	NFASC ^{p,c} , CNTN2 ^{e,c} , TMEM81 ^{e,c} , CDK18 ^e , MDM4 ^c , LRRN2 ^c , DSTYK ^c , TMCC2 ^c , KLHDC8A ^c
rs6719560	2q36.1	T	C	0.108	4.9	8.4E-07	KCNE4	KCNE4 ^{p,e,c} , FARSB ^{e,c} , PAX3 ^c , CCDC140 ^c , MOGAT1 ^c , SCG2 ^c , AP1S3 ^c , WDFY1 ^c , MRPL44 ^c , FAM124B ^c , CUL3 ^c
rs144885331	3p24.3	C	T	0.027	4.9	8.2E-07	ZNF385D	ZNF385D ^p
rs9868674	3q22.1	T	C	0.410	4.7	2.5E-06	CPNE4	CPNE4 ^{p,e} , ASTE1 ^c , NEK11 ^c , MRPL3 ^c
rs80062354	3q26.31	T	C	0.194	-4.8	1.7E-06	SPATA16	SPATA16 ^{p,c} , NLGN1 ^c , NAALADL2 ^c
rs961136	5p15.33	T	C	0.014	4.6	4.7E-06	IRX2	ADAMTS16 ^c
rs67375755	5q34	T	C	0.065	-4.9	1.0E-06		HMMR ^c , MAT2B ^c , TENM2 ^c
rs17245411	6q22.33	C	T	0.040	-4.6	3.3E-06	PTPRK	PTPRK ^{p,e} , THEMIS ^c , LAMA2 ^c , TMEM244 ^c , L3MBTL3 ^c
rs6463848	7p21.3	T	C	0.404	-5	5.2E-07		NXPH1 ^c , NDUFA4 ^c , PHF14 ^c , THSD7A ^c
rs9696092	9p21.3	C	T	0.459	-4.6	4.7E-06	CDKN2B	MTAP ^c , RP11-145E5.5 ^c , C9orf53 ^c , CDKN2A ^c , CDKN2B ^c
rs184132620	15q21.2	C	A	0.014	-4.6	3.5E-06	ARPP19	ARPP19 ^{p,c} , FAM214A ^{p,c} , LYSMD2 ^e , SCG3 ^c , TMOD3 ^c , LEO1 ^c , MAPK6 ^c , BCL2L10 ^c , GNB5 ^c , MYO5C ^c , UNC13C ^c , RSL24D1 ^c , RAB27A ^c , PIGB ^c , DYX1C1 ^c , UQCRFS1 ^c
rs17597505	19q13.11	T	C	0.051	4.6	3.8E-06	-	VSTM2B ^c , POP4 ^c , C19orf12 ^c , CCNE1 ^c , URI1 ^c , ZNF536 ^c , TSHZ3 ^c , DPY19L3 ^c , PDCD ^c , SLC7A9 ^c
rs2093045	20q13.33	C	T	0.323	-4.8	1.8E-06	SLC17A9	DIDO1 ^{p,e,c} , GID8 ^{p,e,c} , SLC17A9 ^{p,e,c} , COL9A3 ^e , TCFL5 ^{e,c} , BHLHE23 ^c

615 EA, effect allele; OA, other allele; EAF, effect allele frequency; Nearest gene, nearest gene within 100kb from lead SNP (if any). Mapped genes include
616 protein coding genes mapped by FUMA, ^pgenes physically mapped; ^egenes mapped by eQTLs; ^cgenes mapped by chromatin interactions.

617 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

618 **Figure 1. Results of cross-disease analysis of selected ICD10 diagnoses in UK**
619 **Biobank.** The results are schematically represented with a circos plot, where each circle
620 represents a level of ICD10 coding (chapter, block and three-digits levels, respectively from
621 inner to outer circles). Odds-ratios (OR) are reported on the Y axis at each level, while
622 statistical significance is expressed across a color gradient of p values.

623 **Figure 2. GWAS and replication results for selected markers.** The Forest plots shows
624 association results for SNPs rs6463848 and rs2093045, reported for each with respective
625 odds-ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals, according to sample size (size of the symbol).
626 (*) For the TARGID case/ctrl analysis, data for the rs6463848 locus correspond to marker
627 rs2189730, which is the best LD proxy ($r^2=0.5$) with genotype data available in this cohort.

628 **Figure 3. Results of LDSC analyses and genetic overlap with selected traits.** Genetic
629 overlap is reported as regression score (r_g) with standard error, for selected conditions,
630 diagnoses or traits from different disease domains. ICD10: conditions, diagnoses or traits
631 based on healthcare records; self-reported: conditions, diagnoses or traits based on
632 questionnaires data.

rs6463848

nmo_14236_f2.pdf

rs209345

EGCUT

MGI

UKB

Meta-analysis

TARGID*

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved



