Utilizing Edentulous Ridge as Autogenous Block Graft for Buccal Contouring Horizontal Ridge Augmentation

Wade M. Knight,* Sajjad Ashnagar,[†] Lahari Vattikunta,[‡] Craig M. Misch[§] and Pooria Fallah-Abed*





Introduction: Augmenting the edentulous anterior mandible can be a challenge. This case report describes a novel technique that combines bone reduction and buccal contouring using an autogenous block graft.

Case Presentation: A patient presented with a conventional mandibular denture that had poor retention. The patient had a deficient ridge that could not support dental implants without hard tissue augmentation. The anterior mandible was reduced vertically with an osteotomy to allow harvest of an autogenous block graft for horizontal ridge augmentation. After 8 months, dental implants were placed in a ridge with adequate width and patient proceed to final prosthesis.

Conclusion: The technique may be considered in the atrophic mandible when a narrow ridge requires vertical height reduction as an autogenous block can be harvested and placed at a single surgical site. *Clin Adv Periodontics* 2022;12:113–117.

Key Words: alveolar ridge augmentation; bone grafting; dental implants.

Background

Edentulism is long-standing problem in the United States. Over 36 million Americans are edentulous¹ and these patients are commonly treated with conventional dentures. Patient satisfaction is low with conventional mandibular dentures. Only 29% of mandibular denture wearers are satisfied with the retention of their denture compared to 65% with the maxillary denture.² The poor retention of the mandibular denture can be attributed to poor ridge form and interference by the musculature.³ Dental implants are commonly placed in patients that are not satisfied with the retention of their denture and the literature shows that these patients have significantly

*Department of Periodontics Dallas, Texas A&M University College of Dentistry, Dallas, TX

[†]Private Practice, Dallas, TX

[‡]Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

[§]Private Practice, Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery and Prosthodontics, Sarasota, FL

Received September 6, 2020; accepted November 2, 2020

doi: 10.1002/cap.10138

higher ratings of general satisfaction, comfort, stability and ease of chewing compared to conventional denture wearers.^{4,5}

Ridge atrophy is an expected outcome following tooth loss and it is exacerbated by long-term edentulism, especially in denture wearers.⁶ The progression of ridge atrophy following tooth loss has been classified by several authors.^{7–9} Managing ridge atrophy has been a challenge for decades, and several therapies have been utilized to treat deficient ridges in the edentulous mandible to include osteoplasty, ridge splitting, block and particulate grafting.⁶ Osteoplasty is sometimes required in implant overdenture cases to facilitate the necessary restorative space, and it can allow implant placement by removing knife-edge ridges which serves to increase ridge width through the sacrificing of ridge height.⁶ Block grafting has a documented history of success^{10,11} and is the gold standard of graft materials because of its osteogenic, osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties.¹² This case report describes a combination approach to augment a narrow ridge using autogenous block grafts harvested through a vertical ridge reduction.

Clinical Presentation

A 68-year-old male presented with a chief complaint of inadequate retention of his mandibular denture with



FIGURE 1 Pre-operative CBCT showing implant site #26i

the following medical history: hypertension, liver cirrhosis, type 2 diabetes mellitus, irritable bowel syndrome, gastroesophageal reflux disease, history of myocardial infarction and bypass surgery. The patient reports taking albuterol, aspirin, atorvastatin, clopidogrel, metformin, ferrous sulphate, furosemide, metoprolol, omeprazole, Prilosec, spironolactone, trazadone, and warfarin. The patient had been edentulous for several years and wore conventional maxillary and mandibular dentures. A CBCT was acquired and showed severe ridge atrophy in the proposed implant positions of #23 and #26 (Figure 1). To place dental implants at this time, an excessive vertical reduction would be needed to reach an area of adequate ridge width, so buccal contouring would be required. The treatment plan included osteoplasty of the knifeedge ridge followed by block grafting in the proposed implant sites using the bone reduction. The patient would be allowed to heal for 4 months without an interim prosthesis and dental implants would be placed for a mandibular overdenture. Consent for the procedure was obtain verbally and in writing.

Case Management

Treatment was performed under local anesthesia. A midcrestal incision was made (SA) and a full thickness flap was elevated to adequately expose the edentulous ridge (Figure 2a–c). Osseous reduction was completed using a piezo surgical approach.^{II} Approximately 8 mm of reduction was made (Figure 2d–e). The block was then divided and shaped to fit the residual ridge. Anchorage points were drilled into the blocks using a diamond round bur. The blocks were then fixated with two screws[¶] per block

[∥]mectron PIEZOSURGERY, Mectron S.p.A., Carasco, Italy [¶]Pro-fix[™], Osteogenics Biomedical, Lubbock, TX and covered with a pericardium membrane[#] (Figure 2f-h) Primary, tension-free, closure was achieved with nonresorbable sutures** (Figure 2i). Postsurgical instructions were given to the patient and he was prescribed Amoxicillin 500 mg TID for 7 days, methylprednisolone, chlorhexidine 0.12% BID for 7 days and ibuprofen 600 mg every 4-6 hours. The patient was seen at 14 days for suture removal and then monthly. Eight months following surgery, a CBCT was acquired to assess healing and to plan implant placement (Figure 3). A second surgery was performed under local anesthesia. A mid-crestal incision was made (LV) and a full thickness flap was reflected to completely expose the augmented sites (Figure 4a). The fixation screws were removed and good incorporation of the block graft was noted with no signs of detachment. Two dental implants with 3.7×10 mm diameters^{††} were placed and healing abutments were inserted (Figure 4b-d). The patient was seen at 14 days for suture removal.^{‡‡}

Clinical Outcomes

Block grafts at both sites healed uneventfully. The 8month CBCT showed well incorporated block bone grafts with adequate ridge width gains to allow the placement of two implants in an ideal prosthetic position that was previously unattainable. On average, ridge width increased from 3.5 mm following ridge reduction to 7 mm after block grafting.

Discussion

Frequently after a knife-edged ridge is removed, an adequate site is present for implant placement. This is not always the case and buccal bone augmentation is sometimes necessary. Autogenous block grafting is the gold standard for grafting material¹², not only because of the inherent properties of the bone, but because these grafts require minimal healing time, increase the amount of vital bone in comparison to other bone grafting material, undergo minimal resorption and maintain their dense quality at the recipient site.¹³ Block grafts harvested from the posterior ramus and symphysis have been described by several groups.^{12,14} Pain from a secondary surgical site is often cited by patients¹³ and may steer some clinicians away from harvesting autogenous block grafts. Other disadvantages include a second surgical site, surgical access, limitations to the size of the graft, altered facial contours, increased risks of temporary and permanent postop morbidity and most commonly, wound dehiscence and exposure.^{10–15} The ability to harvest an autogenous block from a single surgical site cannot be understated. The keys to success in these types of cases are an adequately sized

*CopiOs Pericardium Membrane, Zimmer Dental, Carlsbad, CA
**GORE-TEX, WL Gore & Associates, Inc., Flagstaff, AZ
^{††}Medrol Dose Pack 4 mg, Sandoz Inc., Princeton, NJ
^{‡‡}Zimmer Dental, Carlsbad, CA



FIGURE 2 2a Baseline appearance. 2b Initial incision. 2c Knife edge ridge. 2d Piezosurgery reduction. 2e Ridge following bony reduction. 2f Ridge width following stabilization of block graft. 2g Bilateral augmentation. 2h Membrane placement. 2i Primary closure.





This case report presented a novel technique for the treatment of an atrophied ridge using autogenous block grafts harvested from a single surgical site.



FIGURE 3 CBCT 8 months after block fixations



FIGURE 4 4a Block graft after 8 months of healing. 4b and 4c Implant placement. 4d 1 month following implant placement.

Summary

Why is this case new information?	This case presents a novel approach to ridge augmentation in overdenture cases.
What are the keys to successful management of this case?	Adequately sized block harvest and rigid fixation of the block to recipient site.
What are the primary limitations to success in this case?	Graft failureOperator inexperience

Acknowledgment

Authors thank Dr. Chin-Wei Wang, predoctoral periodontics director, Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry for his sincere and professional contribution to the case management.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors report no conflicts of interest related to this study.

Author Contributions

All authors Wade M. Knight, Sajjad Ashnagar, Lahari Vattikunta, Craig M. Misch, Pooria Fallah-Abed contributed substantially to the manuscript, according to the criteria established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors, including but not limited to, study design, analysis, drafting the manuscript, and final approval of the paper. They all agree to be accountable for all integrity and accuracy of the work.

CORRESPONDENCE

Sajjad Ashnagar, Private Practice, 3000 Gaston Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75226 E-mail: ashnagar@umich.edu

References

- 1. Dye B, Thornton-Evans G, Li X, Iafolla T. Dental caries and tooth loss in adults in the United States, 2011–2012. NCHS Data Brief. 2015:197.
- de Baat C, van Aken AA, Mulder J, Kalk W. "Prosthetic condition" and patients' judgment of complete dentures. J Prosthet Dent. 1997;78:472-478.

- 3. Wolff A, Gadre A, Begleiter A, Moskona D, Cardash H. Correlation between patient satisfaction with complete dentures and denture quality, oral condition, and flow rate of submandibular/sublingual salivary glands. Int J Prosthodont. 2003;16:45-48.
- 4. Awad MA, Lund JP, Dufresne E, Feine JS. Comparing the efficacy of mandibular implant-retained overdentures and conventional dentures among middle-aged edentulous patients: satisfaction and functional assessment. Int J Prosthodont. 2003;16:117-122.
- 5. Thomason JM, Lund JP, Chehade A, Feine JS. Patient satisfaction with mandibular implant overdentures and conventional dentures 6 months after delivery. Int J Prosthodont. 2003;16:467-473.
- Randolph R. Misch's Contemporary Implant Dentistry. 4th ed. Canada: Mosby; 2020;75:2741–2742. 3628.
- 7. Atwood DA. Reduction of residual ridges: a major oral disease entity. J Prosthet Dent. 1971;26:266-279.
- 8. Lekholm UZG. Patient Selection and Preparation. Chicago: Quintessence; 1985.
- 9. Misch CE, Judy KW. Classification of partially edentulous arches for implant dentistry. Int J Oral Implantol. 1987;4:7-13.
- Schwartz-Arad D, Levin L, Sigal L. Surgical success of intraoral autogenous block onlay bone grafting for alveolar ridge augmentation. Implant Dent. 2005;14:131-138.
- 11. Thoma DS, Maggetti I, Waller T, Hammerle CHF, Jung RE. Clinical and patient-reported outcomes of implants placed in autogenous bone grafts and implants placed in native bone: a case-control study with a follow-up of 5–16 years. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2019;30:242-251.
- Romanos GE. Anatomical and biologic considerations of autogenous bone blocks harvested from the ramus region. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2019;34:e1-e6.
- 13. Misch CM. Use of the mandibular ramus as a donor site for onlay bone grafting. J Oral Implantol. 2000;26:42-49.
- Misch CM. Comparison of intraoral donor sites for onlay grafting prior to implant placement. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 1997;12:767-776.
- 15. Nkenke E, Neukam FW. Autogenous bone harvesting and grafting in advanced jaw resorption: morbidity, resorption and implant survival. Eur J Oral Implantol. 2014;7(Suppl 2):S203-S217.

) indicates key references.