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## Summary

Recently developed actigraphy devices have made it possible for continuous and objective monitoring of sleep over multiple nights. Sleep variables captured by wrist actigraphy devices include sleep onset, sleep end, total sleep time, wake time after sleep onset, number of awakenings, etc. Currently available statistical methods to analyze such actigraphy data have limitations. First, averages over multiple nights are used to summarize sleep activities, ignoring variability over multiple nights from the same subject. Second, sleep variables are often analyzed independently. However, sleep variables tend to be correlated with each other. For example, how long a subject sleeps at night can be correlated with how long and how frequent he/she wakes up during that night. It is important to understand these inter-relationships. We therefore propose a joint mixed effect model on total sleep time, number of awakenings and wake time. We develop an estimating procedure based upon a sequence of generalized linear mixed effects models, which can be implemented using existing software. The use of these models not only avoids computational intensity and instability that may occur by directly applying a numerical algorithm on a complicated joint likelihood function, but also provides additional insights on sleep activities. We demonstrated in simulation studies that the proposed estimating procedure performed well in estimating both fixed and random effects' parameters. We applied the proposed model to data from the Women's Interagency HIV Sleep study to examine the association of employment status and age with overall sleep quality assessed by several actigraphy measured sleep variables.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over recent years, there has been considerable interest in examining the relationship between sleep and health outcomes. Traditionally, self-reported sleep information is collected via questionnaires. Short sleep duration measured subjectively has been shown to be associated with increased $\mathrm{BMI}^{1}$, impaired glucose tolerance ${ }^{2}$, diabetes ${ }^{3,4}$ and all-cause mortality ${ }^{5}$. The availability of wrist actigraphy allows an objective and continuous assessment of sleep parameters ${ }^{6-8}$ and permits multiple nights of recording at home with minimal participant burden 6,9 ${ }^{10}$. Compared to objectively measured sleep duration, subjectively measured sleep duration is less accurate and therefore tends to bias the association between sleep duration and health outcomes towards the null. For example, a study on individuals with insomnia showed that objectively measured but not subjectively measured short sleep duration increased their risk for hypertension ${ }^{11}$. In this study, using the mean of two nights of polysomnography measured total sleep time as the gold standard, the mean of total sleep time based on two weeks of subjective sleep diary reports had $60 \%$ of sensitivity and $64 \%$ specificity in detecting short sleep duration, i.e., total sleep time less than 6 hours. Because of the low inaccuracy in the subjectively
measured total sleep time, the area under the ROC curve for hypertension was only 0.639 using the subjectively measured total sleep time. Furthermore, actigraphy can be used to continuously measure sleep and wake patterns at night. Sleep and wake patterns measured by actigraphy showed that decreased sleep efficiency and increased sleep fragmentation are associated with hypertension ${ }^{12}$. Actigraphic estimates of sleep-related problems including wake after sleep onset and number of awakenings were shown to be higher among HIV-infected children than HIVuninfected children ${ }^{13}$.

Our work was motivated by the Women's Interagency HIV Sleep Study, an ongoing longitudinal study started in 2018 to examine the relationship between sleep, circadian disruption and the tryptophan-kynurenine (TRP-KYN) pathway. The study enrolled over 300 women primarily from the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS), a multi-site longitudinal observational study of midlife women living with HIV and demographically similar HIV-uninfected women with history of risk of HIV ${ }^{14}$. A small number of additional women at the Chicago site of WIHS were recruited from the same clinical care setting as WIHS women. The study population is predominantly low-income urban dwelling women of color. Participants were asked to wear a wrist actigraphy monitor (Actiwatch Spectrum Plus, 30 second epochs) for multiple nights (details of actigraphy data extraction and interpretation are given in Section 4). Objective actigraphic estimates of sleep timing (sleep onset time, sleep end time) and total sleep time were extracted. Sleep onset is the clock time of the first epoch scored as sleep during the rest interval; sleep end is the clock time of the last epoch scored as sleep, i.e., the final wake time, during the rest interval. Total sleep time is the sum of all epochs scored as sleep between sleep onset and sleep end. Measures of sleep continuity included sleep efficiency, wake after sleep onset (WASO) and the number of wake bouts were also extracted. Sleep efficiency is defined as the proportion of time between sleep onset and sleep end time scored as sleep in the rest interval, expressed as a percentage. WASO is defined as the sum of all epochs scored as wake within the rest interval. Wake bout is defined as the continuous period of wake, at least 30 seconds long during the rest interval. Because the actigraphy collects data every 30 seconds, the number of wake bouts equals to the number of awakenings.

Sleep variables are typically averaged over multiple nights to summarize a subject's sleep activites ${ }^{15}$, ignoring repeated measures from the same subject. Furthermore, sleep variables are often analyzed separately ${ }^{16,17}$. However, a single sleep variable does not provide a full assessment of sleep. In addition, sleep variables tend to be correlated with each other. For example, total sleep time can be related to how many times and how long a subject wakes up at night, and how frequent and how long a subject wakes up at night may also be related to each other. In this paper, we propose to analyze all repeated observations from the same subject together and to analyze total sleep time, number of awakenings and WASO simultaneously. We aim to gain insight on how risk factors affect the overall quality of sleep measured by several sleep variables and how improvement in one sleep variable may affect the others.

Sleep data is somewhat similar to data on use of healthcare resources, where health insurance claims and costs during a given insured period are modelled and analyzed ${ }^{18-20}$. Total healthcare cost is usually right skewed and semi-continuous with a point mass at zero for non-users. Therefore, common models for continuous data such as a Gamma distribution or a log-normal distribution are not appropriate. A zero-inflated model is not appropriate either because the underlying assumption of two-stage decision process, i.e., use or not use healthcare and how much to use are not usually made ${ }^{21}$. Therefore, health economics models often assume the number of healthcare claims follow a Poisson distribution and if there is any claim, the cost of each claim follow a Gamma distribution and they are assumed to be independent with each other ${ }^{21}$. Thus, the total healthcare cost follows a Compound Poisson Gamma distribution, a distribution on semi-continuous data that allows exact zero. A Compound Poisson Gamma distribution does not have a closed form marginal distribution. It belongs to the family of Tweedie distributions ${ }^{20-23}$. In the context of sleep data, the number of health insurance claims in a given period corresponds to the number of awakenings at a night and the cost of each health insurance claim corresponds to the duration of each wake bout thus the total cost of healthcare in the given period corresponds to the total wake time, i.e., WASO, at that night.

Despite the similarity between healthcare and sleep data, there are major differences between them. First, in healthcare data the total number of health insurance claims and the total cost for all claims aggregated over an insured period are collected once for every participant under study. No repeated observations from the same participant are involved. In sleep studies, sleep variables are typically collected for each participant over multiple nights. Second, in healthcare data the duration of the insured period is treated as fixed and it is typically not to be influenced by the number of health care use and the cost per use. In sleep studies the corresponding variable, the total sleep time, is one of the main sleep variables that we aim to model and to examine its relationship with the number of awakenings and the duration per wake episode. Therefore, in this paper, we adopt traditional models used for healthcare data such that we assume the number of awakenings follow a Poisson distribution and if there is any wake episode, the duration of each wake bout follow a Gamma distribution. But we further extend the model to incorporate repeated observations from the same participant and to model the total sleep time as one of the outcome variables and furthermore to develop a joint model on total sleep time, number of awakening and duration of wake bouts. To our best knowledge, currently there is no statistical method available to jointly model sleep variables that are repeatedly measured over multiple nights.

This paper is organized as follows: a joint model for the sleep variables and its estimating procedure are described in Section 2. In Section 3, a simulation study is used to examine the performance of the estimation procedure. The proposed model and methods are applied to the WIHS Sleep Study in Section 4 to examine effects of risk factors on sleep variables and to assess
the level of heterogeneity in each sleep variable across participants as well as the interrelationships among sleep variables. The paper is concluded in Section 5.

## 2. MODELS AND METHODS

Let $T_{i k}$ represent the total sleep time, $M_{i k}$ represent the number of wake bouts (i.e., the number of awakenings) and $Y_{i j k}$ represent the duration of the wake bout at the jth awakening for the ith person on the kth night, where $j=1, \cdots, M_{i k}$ and $k=1, \cdots, K_{i}$, for example, $K_{i}=3$ to 7 nights, $i=1, \cdots, N$. We assume total sleep time follow a Gamma distribution. We further assume that the number of awakenings follows a Poisson distribution; and if there is any awakening, the duration of each wake bout also follows a Gamma distribution but with different shape and scale parameters than the total sleep time. Random effects are used to incorporate heterogeneity across participants. Specifically, we assume the total sleep time

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i k} \mid v_{1 i} \square \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{S}, v_{1 i} r_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{S}$ is the shape parameter and $v_{1 i} r_{i}$ is the scale parameter for subject $i$ and $r_{i}$ is the fixed effect and $v_{1 i}$ is the random effect.

We assume the number of awakening at a night follows a Poisson distribution. How many times a subject wakes up at a night depends on how long this subject sleeps at that night: the longer the subject sleeps at a night, the more awakenings this subject is likely to have at that night.
Therefore, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}, v_{2 i} \square \operatorname{Poisson}\left(v_{2 i} \lambda_{i} T_{i k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{2 i} \lambda_{i}$ is the unit rate for awakenings for subject $i$. Here $v_{2 i}$ is the random effect and $\lambda_{i}$ is the fixed effect for subject $i$. Next, wake bout durations are assumed to follow a Gamma distribution with the same shape parameter $\alpha_{W}$ across all bouts, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i j k} \mid v_{3 i} \square \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{W}, v_{3 i} \xi_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{3 i} \xi_{i}$ is the scale parameter for subject $i$. Here $v_{3 i}$ is the random effect $\xi_{i}$ is the fixed effect for subject $i$. Conditional on $\left(v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)$, we assume $M_{i k} \perp Y_{i j k}$ and $Y_{i j k} \perp T_{i k}$.

The total sleep time, the number of awakenings and wake bout durations may be correlated with each other. It is important to learn, for example, if people who tend to wake up more frequently tend to sleep less and if people who tend to wake up more frequently tend to wake at a shorter duration each episode. Thus, we allow these random effects to be correlated with each other, that is,

$$
w_{i}=\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}\right)=\left(\log v_{1 i}, \log v_{2 i}, \log v_{3 i}\right) \sim \operatorname{M} V N(0, \Sigma)
$$

where $\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\sigma_{1}^{2} & \rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} & \rho_{13} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \\ \rho_{12} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \rho_{23} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \\ \rho_{13} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} & \rho_{23} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} & \sigma_{3}^{2}\end{array}\right)$.

Below we propose a mixed effect regression model on each sleep variable.

### 2.1 A Mixed effects model for total sleep time, the number of awakenings and wake time

Conditional on $v_{1 i}$, we model the expected total sleep time by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(T_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}\right)=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} X_{1 i}+w_{1 i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $E\left(T_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}\right)=\alpha_{S} r_{i} v_{1 i}=e^{\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} X_{1 i}} v_{1 i}$, where $X_{1 i}$ is the covariate vector for the ith person. Since $E\left(T_{i k}\right)=\alpha_{S} r_{i} e^{1 / \sigma_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2}}, e^{\gamma_{1}}$ also represents the conditional as well marginal mean ratio in total sleep time associated with $\mathrm{X}_{1}$. Conditional on $v_{2 i}$, we model the expected number of awakenings by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}, v_{2 i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{2 i}+\log \left(T_{i k}\right)+w_{2 i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{2 i}$ is the covariate vector for the ith person so that $\lambda_{i}=e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{2 i}}$. As the model is conditioning on the total sleep time, equation (5) models the frequency of awakenings. Note that $E\left(M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}, v_{2 i}\right)=\lambda_{i} T_{i k} v_{2 i}$ so that $E\left(M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}\right)=\lambda_{i} T_{i k} e^{1 / \sigma_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}$. Therefore, $e^{\beta_{1}}$ represents the conditional as well as marginal ratio in rate of awakenings associated with $\mathrm{X}_{2}$.

Conditional on $M_{i k}>0$ and $v_{3 i}$, the distribution of WASO is

$$
Y_{i \backslash k}=\sum_{j=1}^{M_{i k}} Y_{i j k} \square \operatorname{Gamma}\left(M_{i k} \alpha_{W}, v_{3 i} \xi_{i}\right) .
$$

We model the expected WASO by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid \mathrm{M}_{i k}, v_{3 i}\right)=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} X_{3 i}+\log \left(M_{i k}\right)+w_{3 i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $E\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid M_{i k}, v_{3 i}\right)=\alpha_{W} \xi_{i} M_{i k} v_{3 i}=e^{\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} X_{3 i}} M_{i k} v_{3 i} \quad X_{3 i}$ is the covariate vector for the ith person. Since $E\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid M_{i k}\right)=\alpha_{W} \xi_{i} M_{i} e^{1 / 2 \sigma_{2}^{2}}$, similarly as above, $e^{\delta_{1}}$ is the conditional as well
marginal ratio in the duration of a wake bout associated with $\mathrm{X}_{3}$. Note that $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ may or may not be the same. Here for the ease of notation, we assume $X_{1}=X_{2}=X_{3}$.

### 2.2 Estimation Procedure

The joint likelihood function for the total sleep time, the number of awakenings and WASO is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.L=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{W_{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{K_{i}} f_{T}\left(t_{i k} \mid \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \alpha_{S}, w_{3 i}\right)\left[P_{M}\left(m_{i k}=0 \mid \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, t_{i k}, w_{1 i}\right)\right]^{l\left(m_{i k}=0\right)}\left[P_{M i}, w_{3 i} \mid \Sigma\right) d w_{i} \mid m_{i k}, \beta_{1}, t_{i k}, w_{1 i}\right) f_{Y}\left(y_{i k k} \mid \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \alpha_{W}, w_{2 i}\right)\right]^{I\left(m_{k j}>0\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the likelihood function does not have a closed form, either a numerical approximation such as the Gauss-Hermite quadrature ${ }^{24}$ or an EM algorithm may be used to estimate the parameters of interest, i.e., $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \Sigma\right)$. Gigante ${ }^{25}$ developed a numerical estimating procedure for the joint mixed effects model on the number of health insurance payments and the increment payments, in the context of the sleep model, on (M, $Y$ ). Specifically, they used a hierarchical likelihood approach ${ }^{26}$ and an iterative weighted least square algorithm. However, given the complexity of the joint distribution of $(T, \mathrm{M}, Y)$, the use of a numerical algorithm to obtain maximum likelihood parameter estimates can be computationally very intensive and unstable. Thus, we propose to use a stepwise approach that is not only computationally much less intensive and more stable but can also make use of existing software. Initially, equations (4)(6) are solved separately as generalized linear mixed effects models to obtain estimates for $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right)$ as well as their variations, the variance parameter for the random effects, i.e., $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$. Then we propose three additional steps to estimate the three correlation parameters, i.e., $\left(\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}\right)$.

First, we model the number of awakenings without conditioning on the sleep time,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid w_{i}^{M}\right)=\theta_{0}^{M}+\theta_{1}^{M} X_{1 i}+w_{i}^{M}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it can shown that $\theta_{0}^{M}=\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}^{M}=\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$; the random effect $w_{i}^{M}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}$. Conditional on $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}\right), M_{i k}$ becomes a negative binomial random variable. Note that without conditioning on the total sleep time, equation (8) becomes a model on the total number of awakenings instead of on the frequency of awakenings in equation (5). Both the fixed effects and the random effects on the number of awakenings are additive effects from the effects on the total sleep time and the effects on the rate of awakening. The correlation between $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}\right), \rho_{12}$, can then be estimated.

Next, conditioning on $\left(v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)$ and $T_{i k}$, WASO, $Y_{i\lceil k}$, follows a Compound Poisson Gamma distribution. We model the mean of WASO using the following mixed effects model ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(Y_{i l k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y}+\theta_{1}^{Y} X_{i}+\log \left(T_{i k}\right)+w_{i}^{Y}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it can be shown that $\theta_{0}^{Y}=\beta_{0}+\delta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}^{Y}=\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$; the random effect $w_{i}^{Y}=w_{2 i}+w_{3 i}$. Both the fixed effects and the random effects on WASO given the total sleep time are additive effects from the effect on the frequency of awakenings and the effect on the duration of each wake bout. The correlation between $\left(w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}\right), \rho_{23}$, can then be estimated.

Thirdly, based on equations (1), (2) and (3), we further model WASO without conditioning on the total sleep time, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i \square k} \mid w_{i}^{Y^{*}}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y^{*}}+\theta_{1}^{Y^{*}} X_{i}+w_{i}^{Y^{*}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it can shown that $\theta_{0}^{Y^{*}}=\beta_{0}+\delta_{0}+\gamma_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}^{Y^{*}}=\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$; the random effect $w_{i}^{{\gamma^{*}}^{*}}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}+w_{3 i}$. Conditional on $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}\right), Y_{i \backslash k}$ follows the Compound negative binomial Gamma distribution, which is shown to also belong to the Tweedie's family with the index parameter between 1 and 2 (see proof of Lemma in the Appendix). Note that without conditioning on the number of awakenings, equation (10) becomes a model on WASO instead of a model on each wake bout as equation (6). Both the fixed effects and the random effects on WASO are additive effects from the effects on the rate of awakenings, on the duration of each wake bout and on the total sleep time. The correlation between $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{3 i}\right), \rho_{13}$, can be estimated.

Details of the derivation of equations (8)-(10) and the correlation parameters are provided in the Appendix.

To summarize the estimation procedure, the following steps are needed:
i) Use equations (4)-(6) to estimate $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}\right)$ as well as their variations and $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$;
ii) Use equations (8)-(10) to estimate the variation of $\left(\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}, \beta_{1}+\delta_{1}, \beta_{1}+\delta_{1}+\gamma_{1}\right)$ and to estimate ( $\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \rho_{23}$ );
iii) Based on ii) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\delta}_{1}\right), \operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{\delta}_{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}\right)$ can be estimated so that joint hypotheses $\beta_{1}=\gamma_{1}=0, \beta_{1}=\delta_{1}=0$ or $\beta_{1}=\delta_{1}=\gamma_{1}=0$ can be tested via a Wald test;
iv) Use bootstrap methods to estimate the variability of estimates for $\Sigma$.

Equations (4)-(7) can be estimated using an R-package glmmTMB and equations (9) \& (10) can be estimated using an R-package cpglmm. Program to implement the procedure is available at https://github.com/xiaonanxue/Code/blob/xiaonanxue-sleepmodel/Simu\ data\ example\ Xue.R. The performance of this estimation procedure is examined using simulations in Section 3.

### 2.3 Sleep Efficiency

Another important sleep variable is the sleep efficiency, defined as the proportion of sleep time out of the duration of the rest interval, that is, $E f f_{i k}=\mathrm{T}_{i k} / Y_{i\lceil k}+\mathrm{T}_{i k}$. Because $Y_{i\lceil k} \geq 0$, direct model of sleep efficiency using a mixed beta regression model is not appropriate. A zero-inflated betaregression is not adequate either because it does not fit our assumption that the number of awakenings follows a regular Poisson model. However, we can use equation (9) to make inference on sleep efficiency. Specifically, equation (9) shows that

$$
E_{Y}\left(\left.\frac{1-E f f_{i}}{E f f_{i}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=E_{Y}\left(\left.\frac{Y_{i \backslash k}}{\mathrm{~T}_{i k}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=e^{\theta_{0}^{Y}+\theta_{1}^{Y} X_{i}+w_{i}^{Y}},
$$

where $E_{Y}\left(\left.\frac{1-E f f_{i}}{E f f_{i}} \right\rvert\, \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)$ is the expected odds of sleep inefficiency, also interpreted as the expected odds of being awake vs being asleep at a night. Thus, $e^{\theta_{1}^{\gamma}}$ represents the ratio in expected odds for sleep inefficiency, or ratio in expected odds for being awake associated with X.

It worth emphasizing that equations (8)-(10) not only allows the use of existing software to estimate the correlation parameters but each of the questions also provides additional insights on sleep activities. Table 1 summarizes model assumptions and parameter interpretations for each mixed effects model presented in this Section.

## 3. SIMULATIONS

We used simulation studies to examine the performance of the proposed estimation procedure. For simplicity, we only assume one binary variable X with $50 \%$ equal to 1 , for example, X is the unemployment status of the participant. First, in each simulated data set, we generated $\mathrm{N}=300$ subjects each observed for 7 nights. This sample size is chosen to be close to our example data set. We considered two scenarios. In the first scenario, $\gamma_{1}=0.0, \beta_{1}=0.0, \delta_{1}=0.2, \sigma_{1}=0.15$, $\sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.30, \rho_{12}=-0.4, \rho_{13}=-0.5, \rho_{23}=0.0$.
effects were also chosen to be close to our data example (See Table 4). In the second scenario, we set the parameters to be $\gamma_{1}=-0.1, \beta_{1}=0.2, \delta_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{1}=0.18, \sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.3$, $\rho_{12}=-0.4, \rho_{13}=-0.2, \rho_{23}=0.2$. These parameters are chosen to cover a range of plausible values. The simulation was repeated 500 times and the results are summarized in Table 2a.

In the next set of simulations, we examined if the performance of the estimation procedure is influenced by the sample size. We generated $\mathrm{N}=200$ subjects each observed for 7 nights and considered the same two scenarios as above. The results are summarized in Table 2b.

In the third set of the simulation, we examined if the performance of the estimation procedure for the joint model is affected by the level of correlations between sleep variables. Therefore, we considered a third scenario with a higher level of correlations, that is, $\gamma_{1}=-0.1, \beta_{1}=0.2$, $\delta_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.30, \rho_{12}=-0.5, \rho_{13}=-0.6, \rho_{23}=0.4$. We varied the sample size from $\mathrm{N}=300$ to $\mathrm{N}=500$ subjects. The results are summarized in Table 2c.

Table 2a shows that the proposed estimating procedure was able to estimate the fixed parameters $\left(\gamma_{1}, \beta_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)$ accurately with very little bias: the absolute bias $<0.001$ for $\beta_{1}=\gamma_{1}=0$ and the relative bias $<1 \%$ for $\delta_{1}$ in scenario (1) and the relative bias $<4 \%$ for $\gamma_{1}$ and $<1 \%$ for $\beta_{1}, \delta_{1}$ in scenario (2). The variation of these estimates was estimated accurately with close to 1 in ratio between the averaged estimated standard error and the sample standard error, also close to nominal coverage for the estimated $95 \%$ confidence interval.

Table 2 a also shows that the proposed estimation procedure estimates the random effect parameters $\sigma^{\prime} s$ very well with relative bias $<3 \%$ and also the correlation parameters $\rho^{\prime} s$ very well: when $\rho_{23}=0$ in scenario 1 , the magnitude of the bias $=0.002$; the relative bias $<5 \%$ in general with one exception: $6.3 \%$ for $\rho_{12}$ in scenario 1 . Bootstrapping methods were used to estimate the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the random effect parameters. Because of the computational intensity, bootstrap samples were set to be 100 . Table 2 a indicated that the bootstrap confidence intervals are slightly conservative with the coverage probability ranged from 96-100\%.

When the sample size was reduced to be 200 , Table 2 b shows that the performance of the procedure estimation procedure was close to the performance when $\mathrm{N}=300$, suggesting that the sample size requirement for the estimation procedure is not extensive. When the correlation between the sleep variables increased, Table 2c shows that the performance of the proposed estimation procedure for the joint model was similar to the performance when the correlations were smaller. We increased the sample size from 300 to 500, both showed good performance,
suggesting that the sample size requirement for the proposed estimation procedure is not extensive even when the correlation between sleep variables is high.

## 4. APPLICATION

This paper was motivated by the WIHS HIV Sleep Study, which enrolled N=316 women aged from 40-70 years old in 2018. Participants were asked to wear a wrist actigraphy device continuously for 24 hours a day for 10 days to increase the probability of recording a full 7 days of sleep data. The participants were instructed to press the event marker on the monitor before and after adlib sleep each night and they were also asked to complete a daily written sleep diary ${ }^{28}$. Actigraphy recordings were analyzed with the Actiware 6.0 .9 program (Respironics, Bend, OR). The setting of nightly rest intervals was guided by event markers, sleep diaries, light data and activity level ${ }^{10}$. The actigraphy readings were interpreted up to the first 7 days by Dr. Burgess's research team (Sleep and Circadian Research Laboratory, University of Michigan). We limited the study sample to 291 women who had at least 3 consecutive days of sleep data. Among them, the majority of the women ( $91 \%$ ) had 7 days of actigraphy data. Objective measured sleep variables were then derived from the first up to 7 days of available actigraphy recordings. Figure 1 displays total sleep time, WASO, total number of awakenings, number of awakenings per hour of sleep (i.e., awake frequency), sleep efficiency (percent of sleep) and mean bout length over up to seven days for five participants randomly selected from the study population. Figure 1 shows that there was a large variability within a participant over multiple nights and the variability across participants appeared to be larger for wake patterns than that for total sleep time.

Summary statistics of the sleep variables averaged over multiple days per subject are provided in Table 3. Figure 2 shows pairwise scatterplots and Spearman correlations between individual sleep variables averaged over multiple days for each participant. As indicated in Figure 2, the averaged sleep time was slightly positively associated with the average number of awakenings; and it was negatively associated with the average frequency of awakenings and the average length of wake bouts, their Spearman correlations are -0.37 and -0.22 , respectively. As expected, average WASO was positively correlated with the average number of awakenings and the average frequency of awakenings as well as the average length of wake bouts. The average number of awakenings was positively correlated with the average frequency of awakenings but was not associated with the average wake bout lengths. The average frequency of awakenings appeared to be positively correlated with the average wake bout lengths with a small correlation of 0.16.

In this paper, we are interested in examining how the participants' unemployment status (unemployed $=1$, part time or full time working=0) and age influence their total sleep time, WASO, frequency and number of awakenings and wake bout lengths and how these sleep
variables are related to each other. About $64 \%$ of women was unemployed. We dichotomized age at $\geq 50$ or $<50$ years: $65 \%$ of women were over 50 years of age.

### 4.1 Parameter Estimates

The proposed method was applied to the study population and the results are summarized in Table 4. Table 4 shows that compared to part time or full time employed participants, unemployed participants did not have less sleep time, neither did they wake up more frequently but they tended to have a longer wake bout (ratio in bout length=1.161 (95\% CI: 1.070, 1.259; $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) ). Consequently, the unemployed participants had a longer WASO (ratio in length $=1.184$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $1.055,1.331 ; \mathrm{p}=0.004$ )), which resulted from a longer duration in each wake episode. Unemployed status was associated with sleep inefficiency with an Odds Ratio (OR) of 1.176 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.031,1.340 ; \mathrm{p}=0.016$ ), that is, unemployed participants were more likely to stay awake during their rest interval. Women in the older age group ( $\geq 50$ years old) did not sleep less nor did they wake up more frequently, but they also tended to have a longer wake bout (ratio in bout length $=1.090(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.002,1.186 ; \mathrm{p}=0.044)$ ). As a result, older age was also associated with a longer WASO (ratio in length=1.135 (95\% CI: 1.007, 1.280; $\mathrm{p}=0.038$ )). However, older age was not associated with sleep inefficiency, in another words, older aged participants were not more likely to stay awake during their rest interval. Wald test on $\beta_{1}=\delta_{1}=0$ and $\beta_{1}=\delta_{1}=\gamma_{1}=0$ for unemployment was significant, demonstrating an overall effect of unemployment on sleep activities in various dimensions. Older age only had a borderline joint effect on sleep variables ( p -value $=0.090$ for $\beta_{2}=\delta_{2}=\gamma_{2}=0$ ). We also examined HIV status, BMI and waist circumference, none of which demonstrated any association with any of these sleep variables.

Not much heterogeneity in total sleep time was observed among the participants with the standard deviation for the random effect estimated to be 0.147 ( $95 \%$ CI: $0.112,0.165$ ). This implies that a subject whose underlying level of sleep duration is at $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the population sleeps $20 \%$ longer than a subject whose underlying level is at $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, given the same characteristics otherwise. However, substantial heterogeneity was observed in number of awakenings and wake bout durations: the estimated standard deviation for the random effect associated with the number of awakenings conditional on total sleep time and with the duration of each wake bout is 0.353 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.319,0.384$ ), 0.320 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.292,0.346$ ), respectively. For example, the frequency of awakenings for a subject whose underlying tendency to wake up is at $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the population is approximately $60 \%$ higher than that for a subject whose underlying level is at $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, given that they have the same characteristics otherwise. Similar level of heterogeneity was observed on duration of wake bouts. In summary, we found that heterogeneity in these sleep variables among our study participants primarily lies in wakening patterns, which confirmed our observation in Figure 1.

Random effects associated with the total sleep time were negatively correlated with random effects for the frequency of awakenings: $\rho_{12}=-0.406(95 \% \mathrm{CI}:-0.551,0.242)$ and also were negatively correlated with random effects for wake bout durations $\rho_{13}=-0.534(95 \% \mathrm{CI}:-0.720,-0.366)$. Random effects for the frequency of awakenings were not correlated with random effects for wake bout durations: $\rho_{23}=0.011(95 \% \mathrm{CI}:-0.153,0.144)$. These findings were in general consistent with what we observed in Figure 2, which suggest that someone who tends to wake-up more frequently or tends to stay awake longer each wake episode tends to sleep less, having an overall poorer sleep quality.

### 4.2 Model fitting

To assess how our model fits to the data, we examined the standardized Pearson residuals for models (4)-(6) to detect any large discrepancy between observed and fitted daily sleep variables. As shown in Figure 3, for models (4) \& (6), there were very few residuals whose magnitude exceeded 2.5 ; for model (5), there were $3.4 \%$ of residuals exceeded 2.5 , suggesting no significant discrepancy between observed and fitted sleep variables. We further compared the empirical distribution between each subject's observed sleep variables and its corresponding fitted value, both averaged over multiple nights for each participant. Figure 3 shows that the empirical distributions for the averaged observed and fitted number of awakenings are very close and the distributions for the averaged observed and fitted WASO are almost identical. Figure 3 also shows that the distribution of averaged fitted values for the total sleep time is less spread than the distribution of the observed value, suggesting that there is extra variability that the current model is not able to explain and additional risk factors may be needed to explain the high values of the total sleep time in particular.

## 5. DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, for the first time, we proposed to jointly model several sleep variables including total sleep time, number of awakenings and WASO. A joint model allows separate as well as overall assessment of risk factor influences on several sleep variables as well as evaluation of relationships among sleep variables. An estimating procedure was developed based upon estimating a series of mixed effects models, which avoids computational intensity and instability that may arise in numerical search of maximum likelihood estimates. The estimation procedure can be implemented using existing packages in R. Furthermore, each mixed effects model provides a unique interpretation for the effects of risk factors on sleep activities.

We applied the proposed methods to an ongoing HIV Sleep Study to examine factors that may affect participants' sleep. We found that women who were unemployed or older had a longer WASO, resulted from a longer duration of wake bouts rather than more frequent awakenings at night. Neither of these two variables affected the total sleep duration. Only unemployment status
but not older age resulted in decreased sleep efficiency. A large amount of heterogeneity was observed in frequency and duration of wake bouts. Total sleep time was negatively associated with frequency and duration of awakenings. Thus, someone who woke up more frequently or someone who stayed awake longer each wake episode tended to sleep less. Findings from this study help better understand the inter-relationship between sleep variables and can help the development of interventions in order to improve sleep quality overall.

Our models fit the data generally well except that the fitted distribution of total sleep time appeared to have less variability than the observed distribution. Large heterogeneity remained unexplained in the number of awakenings and WASO. These results suggest that additional variables may be needed to better understand these sleep variables. For example, in addition to sociodemographic variables, daytime napping or environmental factors such as light or noise may also influence night sleep activities. Furthermore, while sleep onset is random, sleep end may not be because the participant may not wake up naturally. Thus, some of the total sleep time can be right censored. This makes it more challenging to model the total sleep time.

Several limitations of our proposed method warrant further study. First, our model assumes that conditional on personal heterogeneity, a sleep variable measured repeatedly over mutiple nights is independent from each other. However, it is possible that a subject's sleep activities in one night affect his/her sleep activities during the next night. Thus, serial correlations may need to be incorporated using a transition model. In addition, there is also growing evidence that the stability and timing of the sleep-wake cycle are important predictors of cardio-metabolic risk ${ }^{15}$. Models to include the night-to-night variability in sleep variables and the timing of sleep onset, sleep ends and sleep mid-point as well as the timing of wake time (i.e., early or late during the rest interval) will provide a more comprehensive assessment of overall sleep quality.
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## APPENDIX:

Equations (8)-(10) and derivation of the correlation parameters
Let $\left.T_{i k}^{S}=\frac{T_{i k}}{\alpha_{S} v_{1 i} r_{i}} \right\rvert\, v_{1 i} \square \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{S}, 1 / \alpha_{S}\right)$, then based on equation (4),

$$
\log \mathrm{T}_{i k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} X_{i}+\log \left(T_{i k}^{S}\right)+w_{1 i}
$$

where $T_{i k}^{S} \perp w_{1 i}$. Based on equations (1) and (2),

$$
E\left(M_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}\right)=E_{T}\left(E\left(M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}, v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}\right)\right)=E_{T}\left(\lambda_{i} v_{1 i} v_{2 i} T_{i k}\right) .
$$

Thus, with equation (5), we have

$$
\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}, T_{i k}^{S}\right)=\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}+\left(\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}\right) X_{1 i}+\log \left(T_{i k}^{S}\right)+w_{1 i}+w_{2 i} .
$$

Because $T_{i k}^{S} \perp v_{1 i}$ and $T_{i k}^{S} \perp v_{2 i}$, conditional on $\left(v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}\right), M_{i k}$ is a Poisson random variable with over-dispersion such that $\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=E\left(M_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{3 i}\right)\left(1+1 / \alpha_{S} E\left(M_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{3 i}\right)\right)$. We therefore model the number of awakenings without conditioning on the sleep time,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid w_{i}^{M}\right)=\theta_{0}^{M}+\theta_{1}^{M} X_{1 i}+w_{i}^{M}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes a mixed effect negative binomial model. We have $\theta_{0}^{M}=\beta_{0}+\gamma_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}^{M}=\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$; the random effect $w_{i}^{M}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}$. The correlation between $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}\right)$, $\rho_{12}=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(w_{i}^{M}\right)-\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}}$ can then be estimated.

Next, conditioning on $\left(v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right), Y_{i j k} \perp M_{i k}, Y_{i[k} \square$ Compound Poisson Gamma. As we mentioned earlier, the Compound Poisson Gamma distribution belongs to the Tweedie's family and does not have a closed form of density function. We model the mean of WASO using the following mixed effects model ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(Y_{i l k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y}+\theta_{1}^{Y} X_{i}+\log \left(T_{i k}\right)+w_{i}^{Y} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $E_{Y}\left(Y_{i l k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=E_{M}\left(E_{Y}\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, M_{i k}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)\right)=\lambda_{i} \alpha_{W} \xi_{i} T_{i k} v_{2 i} \nu_{3 i}$, we have $\theta_{0}^{Y}=\beta_{0}+\delta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}^{Y}=\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$; the random effect $w_{i}^{Y}=w_{2 i}+w_{3 i}$. The correlation between $\left(w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}\right)$, $\rho_{23}=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(w_{i}^{Y}\right)-\sigma_{2}^{2}-\sigma_{3}^{2}}{2 \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}}$ can then be estimated.

Thirdly, based on equations (1), (2) and (3),
$\mathrm{E}_{Y}\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=E_{T} \mathrm{E}_{Y}\left(Y_{i[k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=E_{T}\left(\lambda_{i} \alpha_{W} \xi_{i} T_{i k} v_{2 i} v_{3 i} \mid v_{1 i}, v_{2 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=\lambda_{i} \alpha_{W} \alpha_{S} \xi_{i} r_{i} v_{1 i} v_{2 i} v_{3 i}$. We further model WASO without conditioning on the total sleep time, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log E\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i \square k} \mid w_{i}^{Y^{*}}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y^{*}}+\theta_{1}^{Y^{*}} X_{i}+w_{i}^{Y^{*}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We showed in below Lemma that the Compound negative binomial Gamma distribution also belongs to the Tweedie's family with the index parameter between 1 and 2. We then have
$\theta_{0}^{Y^{*}}=\beta_{0}+\delta_{0}+\gamma_{0} \quad \theta_{1}^{Y^{*}}=\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}+\gamma_{1} \quad w_{i}^{Y^{*}}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}+w_{3 i}$. Since
$\operatorname{var} w_{i}^{Y^{*}}=\operatorname{var} w_{i}^{M}+\operatorname{var} w_{i}^{Y}-\operatorname{var} w_{2 i}+2 \rho_{13} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}$, the correlation between $\left(w_{1 i}, w_{3 i}\right)$,
$\rho_{13}=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left(w_{i}^{Y^{Y^{*}}}\right)-\operatorname{var}\left(w_{i}^{Y}\right)-\operatorname{var}\left(w_{i}^{M}\right)+\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}}$ can be estimated.

Lemma: Assume $M \mid T \square \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda T), T \square \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{S}, 1 / \alpha_{S}\right), Y_{j} \square \operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \gamma), Y_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ s are iid and $M \perp Y_{j}$ s.t. $Y=\sum_{j=1}^{M} Y_{j}$ follows a Tweedie's distribution.

Proof: Marginally, M follows a negative binomial distribution so that $E(M)=\lambda$, and $\operatorname{Var} M=\lambda\left(1+1 / \alpha_{S} \lambda\right)$. Therefore, $E Y=\mu=\lambda \alpha \gamma, \operatorname{Var} Y=\mu \alpha \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+1+\frac{\lambda}{\alpha_{S}}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Var} Y=\phi \mu^{p}$ where $\quad p=1+\frac{\alpha_{S}+\lambda \alpha}{(\alpha+1) \alpha_{S}+\lambda \alpha}$, and $\phi=\frac{\lambda^{1-p}(\alpha \gamma)^{2-p}}{2-p}$.

It can be easily seen that $p \in(1,2)$.
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## Table 1. Description of mixed effects models on sleep variables

| Response | Condition on Other Metrics | Distribution Conditional on Random Effects | Interpretation of covariate effect on outcome | Model | Fixed Effects | Random Effects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Sleep <br> time |  | Gamma | Ratio in total sleep time | $\log E\left(T_{i k} \mid v_{1 i}\right)=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} X_{i}+w_{1 i}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $w_{1 i}$ |
| Number of wake up bouts | Total <br> sleep time | Poisson | Ratio in rate of awakening | $\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid T_{i k}, v_{2 i}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}+\log \left(T_{i k}\right)+w_{2 i}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $w_{2 i}$ |
| Total wake time | Number of wake up bouts | Gamma | Ratio in wake bout length | $\log E\left(Y_{i \square k} \mid \mathrm{M}_{i k}, v_{3 i}\right)=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1} X_{i}+\log \left(M_{i k}\right)+w_{3 i}$ | $\delta_{1}$ | $w_{3 i}$ |
| Number of wake up bouts |  | Negative <br> Binomial | Ratio in total number of awakenings | $\log E\left(M_{i k} \mid w_{i}^{M}\right)=\theta_{0}^{M}+\theta_{1}^{M} X_{i}+w_{i}^{M}$ | $\theta_{1}^{M}=\gamma_{1}+\beta_{1}$ | $w_{i}^{M}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}$ |
| Total wake time | Total <br> sleep <br> time | Compound Poisson Gamma | OR of sleep inefficiency | $\log E\left(Y_{i \backslash k} \mid \mathrm{T}_{i k}, v_{1 i}, v_{3 i}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y}+\theta_{1}^{Y} X_{i}+\log \left(T_{i k}\right)+w_{i}^{Y}$ | $\theta_{1}^{Y}=\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$ | $w_{i}^{Y}=w_{1 i}+w_{3 i}$ |
| Total wake time |  | Compound Poisson Gamma | Ratio in total wake time | $\log E\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i l k} \mid w_{i}^{Y^{*^{*}}}\right)=\theta_{0}^{Y^{Y^{*}}}+\theta_{1}^{Y^{*}} X_{i}+w_{i}^{Y^{*}}$ | $\theta_{1}^{Y^{*}}=\gamma_{1}+\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$ | $w_{i}^{Y^{*}}=w_{1 i}+w_{2 i}+w_{3 i}$ |

Table 2. Summary results for 500 simulated data each with $\mathbf{N}$ subjects and 7 nights of objectively measured sleep variables and a binary exposure variable. (a) $\mathbf{N}=300$ scenario (1) \& (2); (b) $\mathbf{N}=200$ scenario (1) \& (2); (c) Scenario (3) $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 0 0} \& 500$

Table 2(a) $\mathrm{N}=300$, Scenario (1) \& (2)

|  | Total sleep time |  |  | Frequency of awakening |  |  | Length of Wake bout |  |  | Total \# of wake bouts |  |  | Total wake time conditional on total sleep time |  | Total wake time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scenario (1) $\gamma_{1}=0.0, \beta_{1}=0.0, \delta_{1}=0.2, \sigma_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.30, \rho_{12}=-0.4, \rho_{13}=-0.5, \rho_{23}=0.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | fixed effects parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\gamma_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}$ |  |  | $\delta_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$ |  | $\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$ |  |  |
|  | Bias ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov}^{2} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | Bias | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | $\%^{4}$ <br> bias | Cov \% | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | \% bias | Cov \% | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | \% Cov  <br> bias $\%$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { bias } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ |
|  | 0.0003 | 95.4 | 0.985 | -0.0003 | 95.4 | 1.020 | 0.038 | 94.4 | 0.955 | -0.014 | 94.6 | 0.954 | $0.321 \quad 94.8$ | 0.983 | 0.602 | 93.0 | 0.934 |
| - | random effects parameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\sigma_{1}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{3}$ |  |  | $\rho_{12}$ |  |  | $\rho_{23}$ |  | $\rho_{13}$ |  |  |
|  | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{1} / \sigma_{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov }^{5} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{2} / \sigma_{2}$ |  | Cov $\%$ | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{3} / \sigma_{3}$ |  | Cov $\%$ | \% bias |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Bias ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \% bias | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 0.972 |  | 97.6 | . 998 |  | 97.2 | . 993 |  | 98.2 | 6.342 |  | 99.2 | -0.002 | $96.4 \quad 0.171$ |  | 99.2 |  |
|  | Scenario (2) $\gamma_{1}=-0.1, \beta_{1}=0.2, \delta_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{1}=0.18, \sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.30, \rho_{12}=-0.4, \rho_{13}=-0.2, \rho_{23}=0.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | fixed effects parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\gamma_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}$ |  |  | $\delta_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$ |  |  | $\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}$ |  | $\beta_{1}+\delta_{1}+\gamma_{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { bias } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { bias } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | \% bias | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | \% bias | Cov \% | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | $\%$ Cov <br> bias $\%$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { bias } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { ave est se }}{\text { sample se }}$ |
|  | -3.917 | 96.4 | 1.031 | -0.636 | 95.6 | 1.004 | 0.434 | 95.4 | 1.025 | 2.340 | 94.8 | 0.984 | $0.338 \quad 95.4$ | 1.029 | 0.739 | 96.0 | 1.010 |
|  | random effects parameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\sigma_{1}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{2}$ |  |  | $\sigma_{3}$ |  |  | $\rho_{12}$ |  |  | $\rho_{23}$ |  | $\rho_{13}$ |  |  |
| 4 | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{1} / \sigma_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{2} / \sigma_{2}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\hat{\sigma}}_{3} / \sigma_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  | \% bias |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { bias } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cov } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | \% bias | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cov } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | . 986 |  | 97.2 | . 995 |  | 95.0 | . 996 |  | 97.6 | 3.989 |  | 100 | -4.649 | 98.2 | -0.113 |  | 99.4 |

. When parameter=0, bias=estimated value-true value; 2 . Proportion of $95 \%$ confidence intervals that include the true value; 3 . Average of estimated standard error of the parameter estimate/sample standard error of the parameter estimate; $4 . \%$ bias=(estimated-true value)/true value* $100 \%$; 5 . Bootstrap confidence intervals were used.

Table 2(b) $\mathbf{N}=200$, Scenarios (1) \& (2)


Table 2(c) $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 0 0} \& 500$, Scenario (3) $\gamma_{1}=-0.1, \beta_{1}=0.2, \delta_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{1}=0.15, \sigma_{2}=0.35, \sigma_{3}=0.30, \rho_{12}=-0.5, \rho_{13}=-0.6, \rho_{23}=0.4$


Table 3. Summary Statistics of Sleep Variables for the WIHS HIV Sleep Study with N=291 women each with 3 to 7 nights of Actigraphy measured sleep variables and averages over nights are used for each participant

|  | Mean | Median | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Quartile | 3rd Quartile | (Min, Max) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sleep Time (in minutes) | 382.30 | 379.60 | 336.60 | 427.70 | $(183.10,591.20)$ |
| Number of awakenings | 35.66 | 34.00 | 27.71 | 41.57 | $(7.10,81.86)$ |
| Number of awakenings per hour sleep | 5.76 | 5.46 | 4.40 | 6.69 | $(1.60,15.38)$ |
| Total Wake Time (in minutes) | 66.29 | 62.00 | 42.57 | 81.21 | $(13.14,226.92)$ |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) | 85.63 | 86.32 | 82.57 | 90.09 | $(59.80,96.75)$ |
| Average wake bout length (in minutes) | 1.85 | 1.69 | 1.37 | 2.15 | $(0.81,5.02)$ |

Table 4. Joint analysis of total sleep time, number of awakenings, WASO and sleep time of the WIHS HIV Sleep study with $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 9 1}$ women with $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{7}$ nights of objectively measured sleep variables.

|  | Ratio in Total <br> sleep time | Ratio in <br> frequency of <br> awakening | Ratio in <br> length of <br> Wake bout | Ratio in total \# <br> of wake bouts | Ratio in odds of <br> sleep inefficiency | Ratio in WASO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note 1. Based on Wald test; 2. Bootstrap Confidence Interval


Figure 1. Total sleep time, WASO, total number of awakenings, number of awakenings per hour of sleep (i.e., awake frequency), sleep efficiency (percent of sleep time) and mean wake bout length over multiple days for five randomly selected participants from the WIHS HIV Sleep Study.


Figure 2. Pairwise scatter plot and Spearman correlations between total sleep time, total wake time, total number of awakenings, number of awakenings per hour of sleep (i.e., awake frequency) and mean bout length over averaged over multiple days per participant in the WIHS HIV Sleep Study.


Figure 3. Model fitting for number of wake-up bouts (top), wake time (middle) and total sleep time (bottom). Left panel is the standardized Pearson residuals and the right panel is the comparison between the empirical distribution for each sleep metric averaged over nights under observation and the empirical distribution for its corresponding fitted value.

