LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access

Check for updates

Zanubrutinib monotherapy in relapsed/ refractory mantle cell lymphoma: a pooled analysis of two clinical trials

Keshu Zhou¹, Dehui Zou², Jianfeng Zhou³, Jianda Hu⁴, Haiyan Yang⁵, Huilai Zhang⁶, Jie Ji⁷, Wei Xu⁸, Jie Jin⁹, Fangfang Lv¹⁰, Ru Feng¹¹, Sujun Gao¹², Daobin Zhou¹³, Constantine S. Tam¹⁴, David Simpson¹⁵, Michael Wang¹⁶, Tycel J. Phillips¹⁷, Stephen Opat¹⁸, Zhiyue Huang¹⁹, Huafei Lu¹⁹, Yuqin Song^{20*} and Yongping Song^{1*}

Abstract

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a mature B-cell neoplasm with a high initial response rate followed almost invariably by relapse. Here we report the pooled data from 2 studies, BGB-3111-AU-003 and BGB-3111-206, to explore the efficacy of zanubrutinib monotherapy in relapsed/refractory (R/R) MCL. A total of 112 patients were included. Median follow-up durations were 24.7 and 24.9 months for BGB-3111-AU-003 and BGB-3111-206, respectively. Overall response rate (ORR) and complete response (CR) rate were 84.8% and 62.5%, and median duration of response, progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were 24.9, 25.8 and 38.2 months, respectively. After weighting, the PFS (median: NE vs. 21.1 months, P = 0.235) and OS (median: NE vs. 38.2 months, P = 0.057) were similar but numerically better in the second-line than later-line group. Zanubrutinib was well-tolerated with treatment discontinuation and dose reduction for adverse events in 12.5% and 2.7% of patients, respectively. Hypertension, major hemorrhage and atrial fibrillation/flutter rates were 11.6%, 5.4% and 1.8%, respectively. Zanubrutinib is efficacious in R/R MCL, with a favorable safety profile.

Keywords: Complete response rate, Mantle cell lymphoma, Progression-free survival, Second-line therapy, Zanubrutinib

To the editor,

MCL is a rare, B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma with highly heterogeneous clinical presentation and aggressiveness [1-3]. Before the use of Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors, therapeutic options for patients with R/R MCL were limited, and their outcomes were generally poor [4-6]. Zanubrutinib is a next-generation, highly specific and potent BTK inhibitor [3, 7].Based

²⁰ Department of Lymphoma, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing 100142, China on two phase I/II studies (BGB-3111-206 and BGB-3111-AU-003) [8, 9], Zanubrutinib was approved in 2019 by the US Food & Drug Administration for the treatment of adult patients with MCL who have received at least one prior therapy.

For this analysis, the patient-level data from BGB-3111-206 and BGB-3111-AU-003 were pooled to further characterize the efficacy profile of zanubrutinib monotherapy in R/R MCL.

A total of 112 patients were included, with 33 from BGB-3111-AU-003 and 79 from BGB-3111-206. The median duration of follow-up in BGB-3111-AU-003 and BGB-3111-206 was 24.7 and 24.9 months, respectively. Across the overall population, the median duration of



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/jublicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: songyuqin622@163.com; songyongping001@126.com ¹ Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer

Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

follow-up was 24.9 months, and the duration of treatment was 20.4 months. Most of the patients had Stage III or IV disease (91%) and low to intermediate MCL International Prognostic Index (MIPI) risk scores (79%). There were 8% with bulky disease and 13% with blastoid variant (Table 1).

Before weighting, there were 41 patients in the second-line group and 71 patients in the later-line group. The second-line group had higher age, body mass index (BMI) and a higher percentage of patients with high MIPI risk scores, and lower percentages of patients with extra nodal disease and blastoid subtype compared with the later-line group. After weighting, all baseline covariates were balanced between the second- and later-line groups (Additional file 1: Table S1).The effective sample sizes were 27 in the second-line group and 59 in the laterline group, with median treatment durations of 22 and 18.8 months, respectively.

Prior treatment regimens included cyclophosphamide/vincristine/doxorubicin/dexamethasone (hyper-CVAD) or hyper-CVAD-like regimens (9% and 19%), lenalidomide (0 and 14%), bortezomib (1% and 10%) and autologous stem cell transplantation (2% and 10%) in second- and later-line therapy groups, respectively. The percentage of patients who received prior bendamustine was low in both groups (4% in second-line and 5% in laterline; Additional file 1: Table S2).

In BGB-3111-AU-003, the ORR was 84.9%, and the CR rate was 24.2%; the median PFS was 16 months, and the median OS was 25.8 months. In BGB-3111-206, the ORR was 84.8%, and the CR rate was 78.5%; the median PFS was 25.8 months, and the median OS was not reached (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The difference in CR rates between the two trials might be due to the different imaging strategies (Additional file 1) and poorer patients' condition in BGB-3111-AU-003 (Table 1). In the pooled population, the ORR and the CR rate were 84.8% (95% CI: 76.8–90.9%) and 62.5% (95% CI: 52.8–71.5%); the median duration of response(DOR), PFS and OS were 24.9 (95% CI: 19.5-not estimable [NE]), 25.8 (95% CI: 16.8-NE) and 38.2 (95% CI: 29.3-NE) months, respectively (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

After weighting, the ORR (89.4 vs. 85.5%, adjusted OR=1.5; P=0.538), DOR (median: NE vs. 23.1 months, adjusted HR=0.743; P=0.436), PFS (median: NE vs. 21.1 months, adjusted HR=0.679; P=0.235) and OS (median: NE vs. 38.2 months, adjusted HR=0.449; P=0.057)were similar but numerically better in the second-line than later-line group (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

	All (<i>n</i> = 112)	BGB-3111-AU-003 (n=33)	BGB-3111-206 (<i>n</i> = 79)
Age			
Mean (SD)	61.55 (9.97)	69.12 (9.93)	58.39 (8.16)
Median	62	70	60
Sex, male	86 (77%)	25 (76%)	61 (77%)
BMI, mean (SD)	24.94 (4.18)	27.88 (4.82)	23.72 (3.19)
ECOG PS, > 1	6 (5%)	3 (9%)	3 (4%)
Disease stage			
I	3 (3%)	2 (6%)	1 (1%)
II	7 (6%)	0	7 (9%)
111	14 (13%)	1 (3%)	13 (16%)
IV	88 (79%)	30 (91%)	58 (73%)
Number of prior lines of therapy, median	2	1	2
Blastoid variant	14 (13%)	2 (6%)	12 (15%)
MIPI			
High risk	24 (21%)	15 (45%)	9 (11%)
Intermediate risk	33 (29%)	10 (30%)	23 (29%)
Low risk	55 (49%)	8 (24%)	47 (59%)
Bulky	9 (8%)	3 (9%)	6 (8%)
Extra-nodal	67 (60%)	9 (27%)	58 (73%)
Bone marrow involvement	58 (52%)	21 (64%)	37 (47%)

Table 1 Baseline covariates in two trials

BMI body mass index, ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, Bulky longest transverse diameter of a lesion > 10 cm, SD standard deviation

Before weighting After weighting Second-line All (n = 112) Second-line All (ESS = 86) Later-line Later-line therapy therapy therapy therapy (n = 41)(n = 71)(ESS = 27)(ESS = 59)Extent of exposure Median duration of treatment (months) 20.53 20.27 204 220 18.8 199 Dose reduction due to AE, % 24 2.8 2.7 1.8 2.4 2.2 Dose interruption due to AE, % 4.9 14.1 10.7 3.7 11.2 8.5 Dose modification due to AE, % 7.3 14.1 11.6 5.5 11.2 9.2 Treatment discontinuation, % 51.2 56.3 54.5 58.3 469 543 Due to AE, % 9.9 171 125 106 110 109 Due to PD, % 34 1 437 40.2 36.4 429 40.6 Due to withdrawal, % 0.0 1.4 0.9 0.0 3.3 21 Due to investigators, % 0.9 0.0 0.0 1.4 1.1 0.7 Adverse events 97.2 97.2 At least one AE, % 95 1 964 954 98.2 At least one grade \geq 3 AE, % 512 50.7 50.9 47.3 481 47.8 7.9 At least one AE leading to death, % 4.9 8.5 7.1 3.1 6.2 At least one SAE, % 41.5 29.6 33.9 38.3 28.1 31.7 At least one AESI, % 781 91.6 86.6 825 91.3 88.2 Hypertension^a, % 122 113 11.6 125 122 127 Major hemorrhage ^b, % 2.4 7.0 5.4 1.0 6.1 4.3

1.8

0.9

Table 2 Extent of exposure and adverse events before and after weighting

AE adverse events, AESI adverse events of special interest, PD progressive diseases, SAE serious AE, ESS effective sample size

1.4

1.4

2.4

0

^a Includes preferred terms hypertension and blood pressure increased

^b Includes preferred term renal haematoma

Grade \geq 3 atrial fibrillation/flutter

Atrial fibrillation/flutter

In the original population, the rate of treatment discontinuation due to disease progression was 40.2% and due to AEs was 12.5%. Most patients (96.4%) experienced at least one AE, and 50.9% experienced at least one grade \geq 3 AE. Serious AEs (SAEs) occurred in 33.9% of patients and AE leading to death occurred in 7.1% (congestive heart failure, n = 1; general disorders, n = 2; pneumonia, n=2; road traffic accident, n=1; hemorrhagic stroke, n=1; ischemic stroke, n=1). The most focused AE of special interest (AESI) were hypertension (11.6%), major hemorrhage (5.4%) and atrial fibrillation/flutter (1.8%). The incidence of grade \geq 3 atrial fibrillation was 0.89% (Table 2). Detailed information of AEs was presented in Additional file 1: Table S3.

In conclusion, zanubrutinib is an effective and well-tolerated therapeutic option for R/R MCL. Early treatment with zanubrutinib tends to have better survival profiles.

Abbreviations

AEs: Adverse events; AESI: AE of special interest; BMI: Body mass index; BTK: Bruton's tyrosine kinase; CR: Complete response; DOR: Duration of response; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; FDA: Food & Drug Administration; IPSW: Inverse propensity score weighting; ITK: Interleukin-inducible tyrosine kinase; JAK3: Janus kinase 3; MCL: Mantle cell lymphoma; MIPI: MCL International Prognostic Index; NE: Not estimable; ORR: Overall response rate; OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progressionfree survival; R/R: Relapsed/refractory; SAEs: Serious adverse events.

2.5

2.5

2.0

1.6

Supplementary Information

1.0

0

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01174-3.

Additional file 1. Supplementary methods. Table S1. Baseline covariates before and after weighting. Table S2. Prior medication uses after weighting. Table S3. Treatment emergent AEs (any grade, grade 3 or higher). Figure S1. Outcomes of patients with R/R MCL treated with zanubrutinib in the BGB-3111-AU-003 and BGB-3111-206 trial. (A) DOR in the BGB-3111-AU-003. (B) PFS in the BGB-3111-AU-003. (C) OS in the BGB-3111-AU-003. (D) DOR in the BGB-3111-206. (E) PFS in the BGB-3111-206. (F) OS in the BGB-3111-206. Figure S2. Outcomes of patients with R/R MCL treated with zanubrutinib. (A) DOR. (B) PFS. (C) OS. Figure S3. Outcomes of patients with R/R MCL treated with zanubrutinibas second- versus later-line therapy. (A) DOR before weighting. (B) PFS after weighting. (C) OS before weighting. (D) DOR after weighting. (E) PFS after weighting. (F) OS after weighting.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all of the investigators, the coordinators at each of the clinical sites, and especially the patients who participated in this trial, as well as their families.

Authors' contributions

All authors interpreted the study data and contributed to preparation of the manuscript. Zhiyue Huang analyzed the data. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was sponsored by BeiGene.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, China.²Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin 300020, China. ³Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Wuhan 430032, China. ⁴Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou 35000, China. ⁵Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou 310000, China. ⁶Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin 300060, China. ⁷West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China. ⁸The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province Hospital, Nanjing 210029, China. ⁹The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University College of Medicine, Hangzhou 310003, China. ¹⁰Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China. ¹¹Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, China. ¹²The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130031, China. ¹³Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100730, China. ¹⁴Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, St. Vincent's Hospital, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3065, Australia. ¹⁵North Shore Hospital, Auckland 2065, New Zealand. ¹⁶The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 78701, USA. ¹⁷University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. ¹⁸Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton,

VIC 3800, Australia. ¹⁹BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd, Beijing 102206, China. ²⁰Department of Lymphoma, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing 100142, China.

Received: 7 August 2021 Accepted: 22 September 2021 Published online: 14 October 2021

References

- 1. Vose JM. Mantle cell lymphoma: 2015 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and clinical management. Am J Hematol. 2015;90:739–45.
- Dreyling M, Geisler C, Hermine O, Kluin-Nelemans HC, Le Gouill S, Rule S, et al. Newly diagnosed and relapsed mantle cell lymphoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2014;25 Suppl 3:iii83–92.
- 3. Li G, Liu X, Chen X. Simultaneous development of zanubrutinib in the USA and China. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2020;17:589–90.
- Goy A, Bernstein SH, Kahl BS, Djulbegovic B, Robertson MJ, de Vos S, et al. Bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma: updated time-to-event analyses of the multicenter phase 2 PINNACLE study. Ann Oncol. 2009;20:520–5.
- Goy A, Sinha R, Williams ME, Kalayoglu Besisik S, Drach J, Ramchandren R, et al. Single-agent lenalidomide in patients with mantle-cell lymphoma who relapsed or progressed after or were refractory to bortezomib: phase II MCL-001 (EMERGE) study. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:3688–95.
- Hess G, Herbrecht R, Romaguera J, Verhoef G, Crump M, Gisselbrecht C, et al. Phase III study to evaluate temsirolimus compared with investigator's choice therapy for the treatment of relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:3822–9.
- Guo Y, Liu Y, Hu N, Yu D, Zhou C, Shi G, et al. Discovery of Zanubrutinib (BGB-3111), a Novel, Potent, and Selective Covalent Inhibitor of Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase. J Med Chem. 2019;62:7923–40.
- Tam CS, Trotman J, Opat S, Burger JA, Cull G, Gottlieb D, et al. Phase 1 study of the selective BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib in B-cell malignancies and safety and efficacy evaluation in CLL. Blood. 2019;134:851–9.
- Song Y, Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, et al. Treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma with Zanubrutinib, a selective inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26:4216–24.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

