

Nelarabine, etoposide, and cyclophosphamide in relapsed pediatric T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia and T-lymphoblastic lymphoma (study T2008-002 NECTAR)

James A. Whitlock¹ | Jemily Malvar^{2,3} | Luciano Dalla-Pozza⁴ | John M. Goldberg⁵ |
Lewis B. Silverman⁶ | David S. Ziegler^{7,8} | Andishe Attarbaschi⁹  |
Patrick A. Brown¹⁰ | Rebecca A. Gardner¹¹ | Paul S. Gaynon¹²  |
Raymond Hutchinson¹³ | Van T. Huynh¹⁴  | Sima Jaha¹⁵ | Leigh Marcus¹⁶ |
Yoav Messinger¹⁷  | Kirk R. Schultz¹⁸ | Jeannette Cassar¹⁹ | Franco Locatelli²⁰  |
C. Michel Zwaan^{21,22}  | Brent L. Wood²³ | Richard Sposto^{3,24} | Lia Gore²⁵ 

¹Division of Haematology/Oncology, Department of Paediatrics, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Division of Hematology, Oncology and Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Department of Pediatrics, Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

³The Saban Research Institute, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

⁴The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia

⁵University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

⁶Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁷Kids Cancer Centre, Sydney Children's Hospital, Randwick, New South Wales, Australia

⁸School of Women's and Children's Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

⁹Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, St. Anna Children's Hospital, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

¹⁰Johns Hopkins University/Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

¹¹Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington, USA

¹²Children's Center for Cancer and Blood Disease, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

¹³Department of Pediatrics, Hematology and Oncology, C.S. Mott Children's Hospital, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

¹⁴Children's Hospital Orange County, Orange, California, USA

¹⁵Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

¹⁶Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, White Oak, Maryland, USA

¹⁷Children's Hospital and Clinics of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

¹⁸BC Children's Hospital and Research Institute, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

¹⁹Children's Oncology Group, Monrovia, California, USA

²⁰Ospedale Bambino Gesù, University of Pavia, Rome, Italy

²¹Pediatric Oncology/Hematology, Erasmus University Medical Center-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, Netherlands

²²Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, Netherlands

²³Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, Seattle, Washington, USA

²⁴Division of Hematology, Oncology and Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Department of Pediatrics and Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

²⁵Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado School of Medicine/Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, USA

Abbreviations: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; COG, Children's Oncology Group; CPM, cyclophosphamide; CR, complete response; CRp, complete response with incomplete platelet recovery; DL, dose level; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; ETOP, etoposide; Gr, grade; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; ITCC, Innovative Therapies for Childhood Cancer; IV, intravenous; LBL, lymphoblastic lymphoma; MRD, minimal residual disease; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; NCT, National Cancer Institute clinicaltrials.gov identifier; NECTAR, Nelarabine Etoposide Cyclophosphamide in T-cell Acute Relapse; NEL, nelarabine; PD, progressive disease; POETIC, Pediatric Oncology Experimental Therapeutics Investigators' consortium; PR, partial response; RP2D, recommended phase II dose; SOS, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome; TAEL, Therapeutic Advances in Childhood Leukemia and Lymphoma; T-ALL, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; T-LBL, T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma; UKALL, United Kingdom Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; ULN, upper limit of normal; VOD, veno-occlusive disease.

Correspondence

Lia Gore, Section of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology/Bone Marrow Transplant-Cellular Therapeutics, Children's Hospital Colorado and the University of Colorado School of Medicine, 13123 East 16th Ave, Box B115, Aurora, CO 80045, USA.
Email: lia.gore@cuanschutz.edu

Preliminary data from this trial were previously presented in abstract form at the 2014 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology (<https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V124.21.795.795>).

Abstract

Children with relapse of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) or lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-LBL) have a dismal prognosis, largely due to difficulty attaining second remission. We hypothesized that adding etoposide and cyclophosphamide to the nucleoside analog nelarabine could improve response rates over single-agent nelarabine for relapsed T-ALL and T-LBL. This phase I dose-escalation trial's primary objective was to evaluate the dose and safety of nelarabine given in combination with etoposide at 100 mg/m²/day and cyclophosphamide at 330–400 mg/m²/day, each for 5 consecutive days in children with either T-ALL (13 patients) or T-LBL (10 patients). Twenty-three patients were treated at three dose levels; 21 were evaluable for dose-limiting toxicities (DLT) and response. The recommended phase II doses (RP2D) for this regimen, when given daily ×5 every 3 weeks, were nelarabine 650 mg/m²/day, etoposide 100 mg/m²/day, and cyclophosphamide 400 mg/m²/day. DLTs included peripheral motor and sensory neuropathies. An expansion cohort to evaluate responses at the RP2D was terminated early due to slow accrual. The overall best response rate was 38% (8/21), with 33% (4/12) responses in the T-ALL cohort and 44% (4/9) responses in the T-LBL cohort. These response rates are comparable to those seen with single-agent nelarabine in this setting. These data suggest that the addition of cyclophosphamide and etoposide to nelarabine does not increase the incidence of neurologic toxicities or the response rate beyond that obtained with single-agent nelarabine in children with first relapse of T-ALL and T-LBL.

KEYWORDS

chemotherapy, childhood ALL, nelarabine/cyclophosphamide/etoposide, relapse/refractory, T-cell leukemia

1 | INTRODUCTION

T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) comprises approximately 15% of ALL in children and 25% of ALL in adults.¹ Although children with T-ALL and T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-LBL) historically fared more poorly than their B-lineage counterparts,^{2,3} recent treatment approaches have led to event-free survival (EFS) in newly diagnosed childhood T-ALL and T-LBL that is similar to those for children with B-lineage ALL/LBL.^{4–10} However, recurrence of childhood T-ALL or T-LBL carries a dismal prognosis, with long-term survival of less than 25%^{11–13} and, despite multiple clinical trials, little progress has been made over the past three decades in altering this outcome.

A significant challenge in the management of relapsed T-ALL and T-LBL is attaining second remission, a prerequisite for potentially curative therapies such as allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). While the majority of children with relapsed B-ALL respond to standard cytotoxic chemotherapy with or without newer immunotherapeutic approaches, fewer than a quarter of children with relapsed T-ALL attain second remission.¹³ Thus, new therapeutic approaches to remission reinduction in children with recurrent T-ALL and T-LBL represent an important unmet need.

Nelarabine (NEL), a prodrug of the deoxyguanosine analog 9-h-D-arabinofuranosylguanine (ara-G), is efficacious for the treatment of acute T-cell malignancies. NEL is demethylated by adenosine deaminase to form ara-G, after which deoxyguanosine kinase and deoxycytidine kinase sequentially phosphorylate ara-G to form araguanosine triphosphate.^{14,15} The selective cytotoxicity of NEL for T cells is related to higher levels of deoxyribonucleoside phosphorylating activity and lower levels of deoxyribonucleotide dephosphorylating activity in malignant T cells compared to malignant B cells, leading to greater intracellular accumulation of deoxyribonucleosides and related analogs and thus greater cellular toxicity.¹⁶

A phase I trial of NEL in adults and children with resistant T-ALL or T-LBL produced an overall response rate (complete response [CR] + partial response [PR]) in T-ALL/T-LBL of 54% after one to two courses and 27% (7/26) CRs in pediatric T-ALL/T-LBL patients.¹⁷ The dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was neurotoxicity, with transient somnolence, malaise, and fatigue most commonly reported. In a pediatric phase II trial of NEL as a single agent in relapsed childhood T-ALL, significant neurotoxicity was seen at the initial dose of 1.2 g/m² daily for 5 consecutive days every 3 weeks, leading to a final recommended dose of 650 mg/m² daily for 5 days in patients with bone marrow relapse and

400 mg/m² daily for 5 days in patients with extramedullary relapse.¹⁸ NEL was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) in 2005 for treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory T-cell ALL/LBL after at least two prior regimens, based on its ability to induce CRs in this highly refractory patient population.¹⁹ The efficacy of single-agent NEL in children and young adults with relapsed or refractory T-ALL/T-LBL was confirmed in a phase 4 study, with an overall response rate of 39% and CR rate of 35.7%.²⁰

Given that cytotoxic agents are rarely optimally effective as single agents, we hypothesized that combining NEL with additional cytotoxic chemotherapy agents could enhance its efficacy. Based on earlier observations that the combination of cyclophosphamide (CPM) and etoposide (ETOP) was safe and effective in relapsed ALL^{13,21} and that other nucleoside analogs, such as clofarabine, could be safely combined with CPM and ETOP,^{22,23} we conducted a phase I trial to evaluate the safety and preliminary efficacy of a regimen (NEL Etoposide Cyclophosphamide in T-cell Acute Relapse [NECTAR]) combining NEL with CPM and ETOP in children with first relapse of T-ALL and T-LBL.

2 | METHODS

T2008-002 was an investigator-initiated phase I dose-escalation study of the combination of concurrently administered NEL, ETOP, and CPM, with a cohort expansion at the recommended phase II dose (RP2D). The trial was conducted as a collaboration among the Therapeutic Advances in Childhood Leukemia and Lymphoma (TACL), Innovative Therapies for Children with Cancer (ITCC), and Pediatric Oncology Experimental Therapeutics Investigators' (POETIC) consortia.

2.1 | Patient eligibility

Eligible patients were between 1 and 21 years at enrollment, with first relapse or initial induction failure of T-ALL or T-LBL, and either >25% blasts in the bone marrow (T-ALL) or extramedullary involvement of nonsanctuary sites, such as lymph node or mediastinum (T-LBL). Patients with an isolated extramedullary relapse or with frank leukemic involvement of the central nervous system (i.e., CNS3 status) were not eligible. T-LBL patients were included because while this patient population is rare, the potential benefit of a NEL-based regimen was considered, yet a separate study was deemed infeasible. Recognizing that meaningful statistical subset analysis would be unlikely, the hope was to obtain descriptive data about any potential activity of this combination for patients with relapsed T-LBL while assessing toxicity within a study.

Other eligibility criteria included Lansky/Karnofsky performance score $\geq 50\%$, recovery from acute toxic effects of prior therapy, and lack of evidence of active infection. Patients had to be ≥ 7 days from prior cytotoxic therapy (including intrathecal methotrexate), with the exceptions of (a) maintenance-type ALL therapy, for which there was no washout period; and (b) hydroxyurea, which was permitted until

24 hours prior to the first dose of study chemotherapy. At least 6 weeks must have elapsed since nitrosoureas, and at least 12 weeks must have elapsed since craniospinal, hemipelvic, or other radiation therapy to >25% of the bone marrow-containing spaces. Prior NEL was allowed, but the prior combination of NEL/CPM/ETOP was not allowed. Prior HSCT was not allowed. Other eligibility requirements included adequate renal function defined as serum creatinine $\leq 1.5 \times$ upper limit of normal (ULN) for age, or a calculated creatinine clearance or radioisotope glomerular filtration rate (GFR) ≥ 70 ml/min/1.73 m²; normal cardiac function defined as shortening fraction of $\geq 27\%$ by echocardiogram or ejection fraction $\geq 45\%$ by gated radionuclide study; adequate pulmonary function defined as evidence of no dyspnea at rest, no exercise intolerance, and a pulse oximetry $\geq 94\%$ at sea level ($\geq 90\%$ at altitude ≥ 5000 feet); and adequate liver function defined as total bilirubin $\leq 1.5 \times$ ULN for age (or conjugated [direct] serum bilirubin \leq ULN for age) and alanine amino transferase (ALT) $\leq 5 \times$ ULN of normal for age. Additional exclusion criteria included patients with Down syndrome, pre-existing grade (Gr) ≥ 2 peripheral motor or sensory neurotoxicity, prior seizure disorder requiring anticonvulsant therapy, or a history of prior veno-occlusive disease (VOD)/sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS), or findings consistent with a diagnosis of VOD/SOS.

This clinical trial was registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov (National Cancer Institute clinicaltrials.gov identifier [NCT] NCT00981799). Local institutional review board approval was required prior to patient enrollment. Procedures followed were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent from patients ≥ 18 years or from parents/legal guardians of children aged <18 years was obtained (with assent as appropriate) according to local institutional policies.

2.2 | Study design and treatment

The primary objective of the study was to establish the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and RP2D of NEL in combination with escalating doses of CPM and a fixed dose of ETOP. The primary endpoint was the occurrence of DLT during course 1 of therapy. Secondary endpoints included response (all subjects), ability to proceed to HSCT within 20 weeks from study entry for patients achieving CR2 (all subjects), and minimal residual disease (MRD) levels at the end of each course of therapy for T-ALL patients who attained CR2.

A course consisted of NEL at an assigned dose of 480–650 mg/m²/day intravenously (IV) over 60 minutes, followed by ETOP 100 mg/m²/day IV over 2 hours, followed by CPM at an assigned dose of 330–400 mg/m²/day IV over 30–60 minutes, each for 5 consecutive days. Intrathecal methotrexate (age-based dosing) was administered no less than 7 days prior to or at least 21 days following the start of a course. A standard 3+3 dose-escalation design²⁴ was followed. The first dose level (DL1) was NEL administered at 480 mg/m²/day (75% of the single-agent dose on a daily $\times 5$ schedule) and CPM at 330 mg/m²/day, DL2 was NEL administered at 650 mg/m²/day and CPM at 330 mg/m²/day, and DL3 was NEL administered at 650 mg/m²/day and CPM at 400 mg/m²/day. NEL was provided and distributed by GlaxoSmithKline. Dose escalation beyond

DL3 was not planned. Inpatient dose escalation was not allowed. A subject enrolled in the trial who received any prescribed therapy was considered evaluable for toxicity and response; a subject who did not receive at least 85% of the required dose of protocol for any reason other than toxicity or intolerability of the regimen, and who did not experience toxicity, was not counted for purposes of dose escalation and was replaced.

Responding patients without DLT during or following the first course were eligible to receive a second course; a maximum of two courses were allowed. Disease assessment was performed following each course.

An expansion of the MTD cohort was planned for a total of 20 patients with T-ALL treated at the MTD who were evaluable for response.

2.3 | Supportive care measures

Filgrastim (granulocyte colony-stimulating factor [G-CSF]) 5 µg/kg/day administered IV or subcutaneously began on Day 6 and ended when the absolute neutrophil count (ANC) was >1000/µl for 2 consecutive days. All patients were recommended to receive prophylaxis for *Pneumocystis carinii*/*Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia (PCP/PJP), and antibacterial, and antifungal prophylaxis during periods of neutropenia. Management of febrile neutropenia followed local institution guidelines.

2.4 | Toxicity evaluation

Toxicities were graded using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 3.0, which was in place at the time of protocol approval, with modified grading for sensory and motor neuropathy. DLT was defined as any of the following events that were attributed as possibly, probably, or definitely related to the treatment regimen: bone marrow aplasia (defined as failure to recover a peripheral ANC >500/µl and platelet count >20,000/µl) in the absence of persistent leukemia or lymphoma >42 days from Day 1 of course 1; ≥Gr2 neurologic toxicity; development of VOD/SOS, defined as (a) conjugated serum bilirubin >1.4 mg/dl, and (b) unexplained weight gain greater than 10% of baseline weight or ascites, and (c) hepatomegaly or right upper-quadrant pain without another explanation, or (d) reversal of portal vein flow on ultrasound, or (e) pathological confirmation of VOD/SOS on liver biopsy; any Gr3 or 4 nonhematologic toxicities excluding infection, fever with or without neutropenia, fatigue, electrolyte abnormalities, hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, nausea or vomiting controlled with standard supportive care measures, GGT elevation, hypoalbuminemia, hemorrhage or bleeding, elevation in hepatic transaminases or alkaline phosphatase that returned to ≤Gr2 within 14 days of first occurrence, Gr3 elevation in amylase, lipase, or total bilirubin that was asymptomatic and returning to ≤Gr2 within 7 days of first occurrence, Gr3 rash that returned to ≤Gr2 within 7 days. Initial DLT attributions performed by the investigator were reviewed and subject to adjudication by a toxicity review committee

consisting of the study chair, co-chair, and statistician, in conjunction with the treating investigator.

2.5 | Response criteria

For T-ALL patients, CR2 was defined as no evidence of circulating blasts or extramedullary disease, a bone marrow with <5% blasts, and recovery of peripheral counts (platelets ≥75,000/µl and ANC ≥750/µl); CR2p was defined as no evidence of circulating blasts or extramedullary disease, a bone marrow with <5% blasts, and an ANC ≥750/µl with platelets <75,000/µl; PR was defined as complete disappearance of circulating blasts, appearance of normal hematopoietic progenitor cells, and either a bone marrow with ≥5% and ≤25% blasts with recovery of peripheral counts (platelets ≥75,000/µl and ANC ≥750/µl) or a bone marrow with <5% blasts that does not qualify for CR or CRp (complete response with incomplete platelet recovery, i.e., platelets <20,000/µl and ANC <750/µl). Progressive disease (PD) was defined as an increase of at least 25% of the absolute number of blasts in either bone marrow or peripheral blood, development of extramedullary disease, or other laboratory or clinical evidence of progression. No response (NR) was defined as failure to qualify for CR, CRp, or PR, and also included PD. Response criteria for T-LBL patients were based on those used in adult NHL.²⁵

Optional correlative biology studies available only at TACL institutions included collection of blood and/or bone marrow samples for phospho-flow cytometry, evaluation of mechanisms of NEL toxicity, and Mer tyrosine kinase and T-ALL oncogene expression. Preliminary data from studies of NEL in adult patients suggest that the neurotoxicity seen is histologically similar to that noted in patients with vitamin B12 deficiency.^{26,27} As such, an exploratory aim of this study was to evaluate the vitamin B12 pathway and metabolites, and any possible association of the described neurotoxicity of NEL. Measurement of homocysteine, methylmalonic acid (MMA), cystathionine, 2-methylcitric acid, methionine, cysteine, methylglycine, dimethylglycine, serine, glycine and alpha-aminobutyrate, S-adenosylmethionine and S-adenosylhomocysteine levels in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pre- and post-treatment for all patients were included as optional correlative studies. In the event of neurotoxicity, additional specimens for the same analytes were collected at the onset of neurotoxicity. These studies were performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)-certified laboratory according to standard methods described in the [Supporting Materials](#).

3 | RESULTS

Twenty-three patients were enrolled between April 2010 and October 2015, including four of 20 planned patients with T-ALL in the expansion cohort. Slow accrual during the expansion cohort phase of the study led to early study termination prior to its completion. Characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. Thirteen patients had first relapse of T-ALL, and 10 had first relapse of T-LBL.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of patients enrolled on T2008-002 NECTAR

Characteristic	Number (%)
Age (years)	
• Median	9.7
• Range	1.4–18.8
Gender	
• Female	8 (35%)
• Male	15 (65%)
Diagnosis	
• T-ALL	13 (57%)
• T-LBL	10 (43%)
Dose level	
• DL1 (NEL 480 mg/m ² , CPM 330 mg/m ²)	6 (26%)
• DL2 (NEL 650 mg/m ² , CPM 330 mg/m ²)	7 (30%)
• DL3 (NEL 650 mg/m ² , CPM 400 mg/m ²)	10 (43%)

Abbreviations: CPM, cyclophosphamide; DL, dose level; NEL, nelarabine; T-ALL, T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia; T-LBL, T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma.

No patients enrolled due to induction failure. All enrolled patients were deemed eligible and were evaluable for toxicity; 21 patients were evaluable for DLT. Four patients had received prior NEL as a single agent. Of two inevaluable patients, one died early in treatment due to progressive/refractory disease and one proceeded to HSCT before completing a full course of NECTAR. Ten patients received two courses of NECTAR, all having had at least stable disease after course 1.

DL, severe adverse events (SAEs), DLTs, and response data are listed in Table 2, and \geq Gr3 nonhematologic adverse events occurring in $>5\%$ of patients are listed in Table 3. DLTs are detailed in Table 4. Three patients were enrolled onto DL1 with no DLTs, thus the study was escalated to DL2 enrolling three patients. One patient experienced DLT (Gr2 peripheral motor neuropathy, Gr3 peripheral sensory neuropathy), and DL2 was expanded to enroll up to three additional patients. Two additional patients were enrolled at DL2 with the occurrence of a second DLT (Gr4 hyperbilirubinemia), and the study regressed to DL1. Three additional patients were enrolled onto DL1 (of a total of six) with no DLTs. The nonneurologic DLT of hyperbilirubinemia at DL2 was subsequently reviewed by the toxicity review committee in the context of additional information provided by the treating physician, who noted that hyperbilirubinemia occurred in the context of concurrent gastrointestinal bleeding not attributed to NEL, with a decrease to Gr3 within 8 hours of onset, and thus was subsequently ruled not to be a DLT. That patient discontinued study and was consequently deemed not evaluable for DLT, thus DL2 reopened to two additional patients. This decision was approved by the Data Safety Monitoring Committee overseeing study conduct. With DLT in one of six evaluable patients on DL2, dosing was escalated to DL3. Six patients enrolled onto DL3 with DLT in one subject (Gr3 peripheral motor neuropathy). Following the evaluation of DL3 results together with a review of the response data among all DLs, it was determined that there was sufficient evidence

of response to warrant continuation of the study with the expansion cohort at DL3 as the RP2D. Four patients with T-ALL were enrolled into the expansion cohort prior to eventual closure due to an inadequate rate of accrual over more than 18 months' time, with the occurrence of DLT in one additional subject (Gr3 peripheral motor and Gr4 sensory neuropathy).

Of 21 evaluable patients, the overall best response rate (CR+CRp+PR) was 38% (8/21), with 33% (4/12) responses in the T-ALL cohort and 44% (4/9) responses in the T-LBL cohort. The combined CR rate (CR+CRp) was 24% (5/21), with 17% (2/12) CR/CRp in the T-ALL cohort and 33% (3/9) CR in the T-LBL cohort; one patient each with T-ALL and T-LBL had concurrent CR in bone marrow and PR in a mediastinal mass. At the RP2D, three of eight (38%) evaluable T-ALL and zero of two evaluable T-LBL patients responded, for an overall response rate of 30% at the RP2D; of note, three T-LBL patients had responses at doses below the RP2D. Ten subjects received a second course of NECTAR across all DLs; 10 of 19 subjects for whom follow-up data were available underwent HSCT; however, no details about their transplant course were collected. MRD levels were available for one of four responding T-ALL patients; one subject attained CR after one course, with a corresponding end-course 1 MRD level of 0.027%; the MRD level at D8 of a second course was 18.4%, and at end-course 2 was 0.07%. There was no correlation with prior NEL treatment and response in four patients previously exposed to NEL.

The low number of samples submitted for the optional correlative biology studies precluded meaningful analyses for these planned studies; disappointingly, only four patients submitted samples. Abbreviated observations are noted in the [Supporting Materials](#).

4 | DISCUSSION

Recurrent T-cell leukemia and LBL represent formidable management challenges for oncologists. Despite the availability of potentially curative modalities such as HSCT, the inability to achieve remission in a patient with relapsed T-ALL or LBL essentially precludes a successful outcome with HSCT; most transplant centers are reluctant to offer HSCT to patients with resistant disease. Based on several reports,^{13,18} patients with T-LBL may have a lesser chance of responding to NEL and thus NECTAR or other regimens tested to date, emphasizing the need for novel therapeutic approaches for patients with bulky disease. Of note, eight of 10 responding patients underwent subsequent HSCT, underscoring the potential value of the NECTAR regimen for reinduction prior to HSCT, which remains the only known curative approach for this patient population.

Most standard reinduction therapies for ALL and LBL have poor activity in patients with T-cell immunophenotype compared to those with B-lineage disease. In Children's Oncology Group (COG) study AALL01P2, a four-drug reinduction regimen utilizing vincristine, steroid, pegaspargase, and doxorubicin for children and adolescents with first relapse of either marrow or combined ALL, second CR rates were 96% for B-lineage ALL relapses occurring ≥ 36 months from initial

TABLE 2 Dose-limiting toxicities and clinical responses of patients treated on T2008-002 NECTAR

Dose level	Patient	Dx	SAE	DLT	Courses	Response ^a	HSCT
1	001	T-ALL	N	N	1	NR	N/A
1	002	T-ALL	N	N	2	CR ^a	Y
1	003	T-LBL	N	N	2	PR	Y
2	004	T-LBL	N	N	2	NR	Y
2	005	T-LBL	N	N	1	NR	N/A
2	006	T-ALL	Y	NE	N/A	N/A	N
2	007	T-LBL	Y	Y	1	CR ^a	Y
2	008	T-ALL	Y	N	1	NR	Y
1	009	T-LBL	N	N	2	CR	Y
1	010	T-LBL	N	N	1	NR	N
1	011	T-LBL	Y	NE	N/A	N/A	Y
2	012	T-ALL	Y	N	1	NR	N
2	013	T-LBL	N	N	2	CR	N
3	014	T-ALL	N	N	1	NR	N
3	015	T-ALL	Y	N	2	CR	Y
3	016	T-LBL	Y	Y	1	NR	N
3	017	T-ALL	Y	N	2	CRp	Y
3	018	T-LBL	Y	N	1	NR	N
3	019	T-ALL	Y	N	2	NR	N
EXP	020	T-ALL	Y	N	2	NR	Y
EXP	021	T-ALL	N	N	1	NR	N/A
EXP	022	T-ALL	Y	Y	1	CR	N
EXP	023	T-ALL	Y	N	2	NR	N/A

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; CRp, CR with inadequate platelet recovery; EXP, expansion cohort; N/A, not available; NE, not evaluable; NR, no response; PR, partial remission; SAE, serious adverse events; T-ALL, T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia; T-LBL, T-lymphoblastic lymphoma.

^aTwo patients had simultaneous CR in bone marrow and PR in mediastinal mass, best response at end-course 1 is provided.

diagnosis, 68% for B-lineage ALL relapses occurring <36 months from initial diagnosis, yet only 29% (2/7) for T-ALL patients in first relapse. The United Kingdom Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (UKALL) R3 and ALL-REZ BFM 2002 trial reported 22% and 32% rates for T-ALL in good versus poor MRD responders, respectively.¹³

One strategy to improve remission rates for recurrent and refractory leukemias is the introduction of agents with novel mechanisms of action. In a subsequent study from COG (AALL07P1), the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib was combined with the first and second reinduction blocks of the AALL01P2 reinduction regimen for children with first marrow or combined relapse of ALL. CR2 rates were 63/99 (64%) for B-cell-precursor ALL patients and 15/22 (68%) for T-ALL patients.²⁸ Proteasome inhibition thus appeared to be an attractive strategy for acute T-cell malignancies and based on these promising results, bortezomib in newly diagnosed T-ALL and T-LBL patients was evaluated in a randomized manner during induction therapy in COG trial AALL1231 (NCT02112916).

Clofarabine, a novel second-generation purine nucleoside, demonstrated favorable single-agent activity against relapsed/refractory ALL and AML in early-phase trials.^{29,30} However, subsequent studies eval-

uating regimens that incorporated clofarabine with CPM and ETOP showed activity in remission induction in recurrent B-lineage, but not T-lineage, ALL.^{22,23}

The UKALL R3 protocol³¹ historically produced some of the best survival results reported to date for children with first relapse of ALL, including both B- and T-lineage. This regimen included dexamethasone, rather than prednisone, and randomized idarubicin and mitoxantrone, an anthracenedione, during remission reinduction. The estimated 3-year progression-free survival was 65% in the mitoxantrone group versus 36% in the idarubicin group, with the benefit derived primarily from a reduction in progression, second relapse, and disease-related deaths. Reinduction rates for T-ALL were not included in the original published data; however, the reinduction rate for patients with first relapse of T-ALL was subsequently reported for R3 and ALL-REZ BFM 2002 trials.¹³ Although the basis for these excellent results is not fully understood, preclinical and clinical data suggest that mitoxantrone may be a beneficial agent in the treatment of relapsed acute T-cell malignancies.^{32,33}

Although definitive conclusions in this study were limited by the inability to complete the planned expansion cohort, both the activity

TABLE 3 Frequency of grade ≥ 3 nonhematologic toxicity in course 1 occurring in $>5\%$ of subjects on T2008-02 NECTAR

Toxicity name(CTCAE version 3.0)	Grade 3+	Toxicity attribution			
		Agent only	Regimen only	Agent and regimen	Neither
Anorexia	3 (13%)	0	2	1	0
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	3 (13%)	0	0	1	2
Diarrhea NOS	2 (9%)	0	0	1	1
Febrile neutropenia	6 (26%)	0	1	5	0
Hyperkalemia	2 (9%)	0	0	2	0
Hypertension NOS	2 (9%)	0	1	0	1
Hypoalbuminemia	3 (13%)	0	1	1	1
Hypocalcemia	4 (17%)	0	1	2	1
Hypokalemia	8 (35%)	0	1	3	4
Hypotension NOS	2 (9%)	0	1	0	1
Hypoxia	2 (9%)	0	0	0	2
Nausea	3 (13%)	0	1	2	0
Peripheral motor neuropathy	2 (9%)	0	0	2	0
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	2 (9%)	1	0	1	0
Pleural effusion	3 (13%)	0	0	0	3
Vomiting NOS	2 (9%)	0	1	1	0
Pain, other	2 (9%)	0	0	1	1

Abbreviations: CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; NOS, not otherwise specified.

TABLE 4 Dose-limiting toxicities on T2008-002 NECTAR

Patient	Diagnosis	Dose	Grade DLT	Type of DLT	Attribution to nelarabine	Attribution to regimen	Comments
7	LBL	NEL 650 mg/m ² CPM 330 mg/m ²	3: Severe	Peripheral sensory neuropathy	4: Probable	3: Possible	
			2: Moderate	Peripheral motor neuropathy	4: Probable	3: Possible	
16	LBL	NEL 650 mg/m ² CPM 400 mg/m ²	3: Severe	Peripheral motor neuropathy	4: Probable	3: Possible	
22	ALL	NEL 650 mg/m ² CPM 400 mg/m ²	4: Life threatening	Peripheral sensory neuropathy	4: Probable	2: Unlikely	Baseline grade 2, progressed to grade 4
			3: Severe	Peripheral motor neuropathy	4: Probable	2: Unlikely	

Abbreviations: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CPM, cyclophosphamide; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; LBL, lymphoblastic lymphoma; NEL, nelarabine.

and toxicities seen in this phase I dose escalation cohort appear to be relatively comparable to the 55% CR rate seen in children with first bone marrow relapse of T-ALL treated on a phase II trial of single-agent NEL administered at the same dose of 650 mg/m²/day for 5 consecutive days.¹⁸ As in prior trials of NEL, the primary toxicities observed in this trial were neurologic events related to NEL, including peripheral sensory and motor neuropathies. The 13% (3/23) incidence of Gr3 and Gr4 neurologic toxicities reported here is comparable to those in pediatric and adult studies of single-agent NEL, which reported a 3%–18% incidence of Gr3 and Gr4 neurologic toxicities.^{18,34,35} The mechanism of NEL-induced neurologic toxicities remains unclear. Although the

trial included a correlative biologic study, which sought to elucidate the potential relationship of NEL toxicity to deficiencies in the vitamin B12 pathway, the small number of patients participating in this optional correlative study and the low incidence of NEL-related toxicities observed in this study precluded meaningful analysis. The finding that the four patients analyzed had B12 pathway metabolite levels consistent with folate deficiency is not surprising, as these patients had all undergone chemotherapy known to affect folate metabolism.

Finally, the problems encountered in completing timely accrual to this study highlight the challenges that exist in studying treatments for uncommon recurrent malignancies that have increasingly high cure

rates at initial presentation. The initial recruitment base for T2008-002 was subsequently expanded by adding 15 European centers in the ITCC consortium to the 34 TACL and POETIC consortia members participating in this trial; nevertheless, the rarity of relapsed T-ALL/LBL cases at almost 50 of the largest childhood cancer centers in North America, Australia, and Europe, together with the ready availability of study drugs via commercial supply contributing to off-study protocol use, ultimately led to premature closure of the planned expansion cohort. Future considerations to avoid these challenges could include embedding studies for recurrent T-ALL/LBL within protocols for frontline treatment of the same disorders, similar to the approach adopted by the Histiocyte Society for its recent international trial for another rare childhood disorder, Langerhans cell histiocytosis (NCT02205762).

Taken together, the combination of NEL, ETOP, and CPM showed acceptable activity and toxicities in children, adolescents, and young adults with first relapse of T-ALL and T-LBL, with a 38% overall response rate and a 13% incidence of Gr3 and Gr4 neurologic toxicities overall. The RP2D for NEL, ETOP, and CPM on a daily $\times 5$ every 3 weeks schedule were determined to be NEL 650 mg/m²/day, ETOP 100 mg/m²/day, and CPM 400 mg/m²/day. Response and toxicity rates are comparable to those seen with single-agent NEL when administered in the same setting and when NEL, CPM, and ETOP are used in selected combinations and sequence as reported by Commander et al.³⁶ Based on the results of this study, we conclude that the addition of concurrent CPM and ETOP to NEL does not appear to increase either the response rate or the incidence of neurologic toxicities beyond single-agent NEL in children with first relapse of T-ALL and T-LBL and could be considered for further use.

As final outcomes of the AALL1231 study are now known,³⁷ evaluations of the anti-CD38 antibodies daratumumab and isatuximab, immunotherapeutic strategies, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, BCL-2 inhibitors, mTOR inhibitors, and T-cell-directed chimeric antigen T-cell therapies are increasingly expected to bring more promise to patients with relapsed/refractory T-ALL and T-LBL. These patients remain with a significantly unmet medical need. Many of these newer approaches and regimens will likely require some contribution of conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy to reduce disease burden, in which case the NECTAR regimen could be considered for some patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the TACL consortium's scientific contribution to and participation in this study, including participating member institutions, investigators, research teams, and the TACL Operations Center. This trial was supported by partial funding from the Higgins Family Foundation, and the Women's Auxiliary Millennium Chair in Haematology/Oncology at The Hospital for Sick Children (JW), and Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (LG). We thank Dr. Sally Stabler at the University of Colorado School of Medicine for her support of the vitamin B pathway analyses and helpful discussions of those results. We also thank Ingrid Argiropoulos for assistance in manuscript preparation, the staff of the TACL Operations office, and Elena Eckroth for

assistance in study development and conduct, and the many patients, families, and healthcare providers who participated in this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Andishe Attarbaschi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9285-6898>

Paul S. Gaynon  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1582-2862>

Van T. Huynh  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2781-5627>

Yoav Messinger  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7990-1802>

Franco Locatelli  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7976-3654>

C. Michel Zwaan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1353-9166>

Lia Gore  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2546-4616>

REFERENCES

- Pui C-H, Relling MV, Downing JR. Mechanisms of disease: acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(15):1535-1548.
- Pui C-H. Childhood leukemias. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;332(24):1618-1630.
- Uckun FM, Sensel MG, Sun L, et al. Biology and treatment of childhood T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 1998;91(3):735-746.
- Gaynon PS, Angiolillo AL, Carroll WL, et al. Long-term results of the Children's Cancer Group studies for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia 1983-2002: a Children's Oncology Group report. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(2):285-297.
- Salzer WL, Devidas M, Carroll WL, et al. Long-term results of the Pediatric Oncology Group studies for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia 1984-2001: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(2):355-370.
- Moricke A, Zimmermann M, Reiter A, et al. Long-term results of five consecutive trials in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia performed by the ALL-BFM study group from 1981 to 2000. *Leukemia*. 2010;24(2):265-284.
- Silverman LB, Stevenson KE, O'Brien JE, et al. Long-term results of Dana-Farber Cancer Institute ALL Consortium protocols for children with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia (1985-2000). *Leukemia*. 2010;24(2):320-334.
- Reiter A, Schrappe M, Ludwig WD, et al. Intensive ALL-type therapy without local radiotherapy provides a 90% event-free survival for children with T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma: a BFM group report. *Blood*. 2000;95(2):416-421.
- Amylon MD, Shuster J, Pullen J, et al. Intensive high-dose asparaginase consolidation improves survival for pediatric patients with T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and advanced stage lymphoblastic lymphoma: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. *Leukemia*. 1999;13(3):335-342.
- Sandlund JT, Downing JR, Crist WM. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in childhood. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;334(19):1238-1248.
- Goldberg JM, Silverman LB, Levy DE, et al. Childhood T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia: the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Consortium experience. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003;21(19):3616-3622.
- Reismüller B, Attarbaschi A, Peters C, et al. Long-term outcome of initially homogeneously treated and relapsed childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in Austria—a population-based report of the Austrian Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster (BFM) Study Group. *Br J Haematol*. 2008;144(4):559-570.
- Eckert C, Parker C, Moorman AV, et al. Risk factors and outcomes in children with high-risk B-cell precursor and T-cell relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: combined analysis of ALLR3 and ALL-REZ BFM 2002 clinical trials. *Eur J Cancer*. 2021;151:175-189. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2021.03.034 PMID: 34010787

14. Kisor DF, Plunkett W, Kurtzberg J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelarabine and 9-beta-D-arabinofuranosyl guanine in pediatric and adult patients during a phase I study of nelarabine for the treatment of refractory hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18(5):995-1003.
15. Rodríguez CO Jr, Mitchell BS, Ayres M, et al. Arabinosylguanine is phosphorylated by both cytoplasmic deoxycytidine kinase and mitochondrial deoxyguanosine kinase. *Cancer Res*. 2002;62(11):3100-3105.
16. Carson DA, Kaye J, Matsumoto S, et al. Biochemical basis for the enhanced toxicity of deoxyribonucleosides toward malignant human T cell lines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1979;76(5):2430-2433.
17. Kurtzberg J, Ernst TJ, Keating MJ, et al. Phase I study of 506U78 administered on a consecutive 5-day schedule in children and adults with refractory hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(15):3396-3403.
18. Berg SL, Blaney SM, Devidas M, et al. Phase II study of nelarabine (compound 506U78) in children and young adults with refractory T-cell malignancies: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(15):3376-3382.
19. Cohen MH, Johnson JR, Justice R, Pazdur R. FDA drug approval summary: nelarabine (Arranon) for the treatment of T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. *Oncologist*. 2008;13(6):709-714.
20. Zwaan CM, Kowalczyk J, Schmitt C, et al. Safety and efficacy of nelarabine in children and young adults with relapsed or refractory T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukaemia or T-lineage lymphoblastic lymphoma: results of a phase 4 study. *Br J Haematol*. 2017;179:284-293.
21. Raetz EA, Cairo MS, Borowitz MJ, et al. Chemoimmunotherapy reinduction with epratuzumab in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in marrow relapse: a Children's Oncology Group pilot study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(22):3756-3762.
22. Locatelli F, Testi AM, Bernardo ME, et al. Clofarabine, cyclophosphamide and etoposide as single course re-induction therapy for children with refractory/multiple relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;147(3):371-378.
23. Hijiya N, Thomson B, Isakoff MS, et al. Phase 2 trial of clofarabine in combination with etoposide and cyclophosphamide in pediatric patients with refractory or relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 2011;118(23):6043-6049.
24. Le Tourneau C, Lee JJ, Siu LL. Dose escalation methods in phase I cancer clinical trials. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009;101(10):708-720.
25. Cheson BD, Horning SJ, Coiffier B, et al. Report of an international workshop to standardize response criteria in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999;17(4):1244-1253.
26. Khan MA, Wakefield GS, Pugh DW. Vitamin-B12 deficiency and diabetic neuropathy. *Lancet North Am Ed*. 1969;294(7624):768-770.
27. Papayannidis C, Iacobucci I, Abbenante MC, et al. Complete paraplegia after nelarabine treatment in a T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia adult patient. *Am J Hematol*. 2010;85(8):608-608.
28. Horton TM, Lu X, O'Brien MM, et al. AALL07P1: bortezomib with reinduction chemotherapy for first relapsed pediatric ALL. A Children's Oncology Group study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61(S2):S137-138.
29. Kantarjian H, Gandhi V, Cortes J, et al. Phase 2 clinical and pharmacologic study of clofarabine in patients with refractory or relapsed acute leukemia. *Blood*. 2003;102(7):2379-2386.
30. Jeha S, Gaynon PS, Razzouk BI, et al. Phase II study of clofarabine in pediatric patients with refractory or relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(12):1917-1923.
31. Parker C, Waters R, Leighton C, et al. Effect of mitoxantrone on outcome of children with first relapse of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL R3): an open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9757):2009-2017.
32. Hongo T, Fujii Y. In vitro chemosensitivity of lymphoblasts at relapse in childhood leukemia using the MTT assay. *Int J Hematol*. 1991;54(3):219-230.
33. Behrendt H, Massar CG, van Leeuwen EF. Mitoxantrone is effective in treating childhood T-cell lymphoma/T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer*. 1995;76(2):339-342.
34. DeAngelo DJ, Yu D, Johnson JL, et al. Nelarabine induces complete remissions in adults with relapsed or refractory T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia or lymphoblastic lymphoma: Cancer and Leukemia Group B study 19801. *Blood*. 2007;109(12):5136-5142.
35. Gökbüget N, Basara N, Baurmann H, et al. High single-drug activity of nelarabine in relapsed T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma offers curative option with subsequent stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2011;118(13):3504-3511.
36. Commander LA, Seif AE, Insogna IG, Rheingold SR. Salvage therapy with nelarabine, etoposide, and cyclophosphamide in relapsed/refractory paediatric T-cell lymphoblastic leukaemia and lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2010;150:345-351.
37. Teachey DT, Devidas M, Wood BL, et al. Children's Oncology Group Trial AALL1231: a Phase III trial testing bortezomib in newly diagnosed T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2022;40(19):2106-2118. doi:10.1200/JCO.21.02678

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Whitlock JA, Malvar J, Dalla-Pozza L, et al. Nelarabine, etoposide, and cyclophosphamide in relapsed pediatric T-acute lymphoblastic leukemia and T-lymphoblastic lymphoma (study T2008-002 NECTAR). *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2022;69:e29901. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.29901>