

EDITORIAL

Time counts in animal ecology

Roberto Salguero-Gómez¹  | Darren M. Evans²  | Jean-Michel Gaillard³  |
 Lesley Lancaster⁴  | Nathan J. Sanders⁵  | Kirsty Scandrett⁶  | Jennifer Meyer⁶ 

¹Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ²School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; ³Mixed Research Unit (UMR 5558) "Biometry & Evolutionary Biology", University Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Campus de la Doua, Bâtiment Mendel, Villeurbanne Cedex, France; ⁴School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; ⁵Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA and ⁶British Ecological Society, London, UK

Correspondence

Roberto Salguero-Gómez

Email: rob.salguero@biology.ox.ac.uk

1 | INTRODUCTION

Time is of the essence in ecology. Indeed, approaches to understand the mechanisms that underpin ecological systems and predict their trends can greatly benefit from the explicit consideration of time (Franklin, 1989; Lindenmayer et al., 2012; Taig-Johnston et al., 2017). Ecological systems are constantly being affected by environmental drivers characterised by temporal regimes, such as droughts or heatwaves (e.g. Avgar et al., 2013; Bowler et al., 2018; Stevens et al., 2012). As the moments (e.g. mean, variance, skewness) of these environmental drivers continue to change, more extreme, unpredictable environmental events are being recorded globally (IPCC, 2021). Deciphering how such extreme environments may be pushing natural systems outside of the conditions under which they evolved, and potentially causing local extinctions (Suhonen et al., 2010) or community collapses (Barendregt et al., 2022) is an urgent task for ecologists. The rapid loss of species means that ecologists are working against the clock to understand their roles in nature (Wilson, 2017) and are considering ways to reverse the ongoing pattern of decline. Ultimately, this is one of the most important tasks we can perform, as species loss means the loss of the essential ecosystem functions and services they provide (Diaz et al., 2018). Thus, time is of the essence to better understand and forecast the dynamics of ecological systems.

The importance of time in ecology is made ever more tangible in the context of eco-evolutionary dynamics (Croll et al., 2019; Shefferson & Salguero-Gomez, 2015). Fifteen years after the special feature 'Evolution on Ecological Time-Scales' (Carroll et al., 2007), this burgeoning discipline has shown plenty of examples where evolutionary processes can and do often occur at temporal scales much faster than previously thought (Faillace & Morin, 2020). As such, time is also key to examining core aspects

such as local adaptation (Hansen et al., 2002), speciation (Knudsen et al., 2010), or community assembly (Weslien et al., 2011). The importance of temporal replication in ecology and evolution is such that one could simply not answer most of the '100 fundamental ecological questions' identified by Sutherland et al. (2013) without explicitly considering time.

Two of the article types published by *Journal of Animal Ecology* are ideally positioned to enable ecologists to examine the importance of time in ecological systems: *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies*. *Reviews* implicitly rely on time in that they integrate concepts, experiments and synthetic findings that typically take years—if not decades—of investigation by the research community to acquire. Recent reviews in *Journal of Animal Ecology* include works on energetic-based migratory tactics (Evans & Bearhop, 2022), the use of museum collections to quantify insect population trends (Davis et al., 2022), or the responses of mammals to climate change (Paniw et al., 2021). Likewise, *Long-Term Studies* explicitly depend on time for the acquisition of data in a particular system, from which a comprehensive narrative that integrates multiple key findings emerges. Recent examples include the detailed integration of demographic, genetic, and conservation efforts over 40 years of research in the red-billed cough *Pyrhcorax pyrrhcorax* (Reid et al., 2022), or the examination of the physiology and life history of red squirrels *Tamiasciurus hudsonicus* during 32 years of field research (Dantzer et al., 2020). Naturally, these kinds of narratives are only possible via the examination of a system in the long term.

The impact of time on animal ecological research via *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies* on the discipline is undeniable: seven out of the top 20 most cited publications in *Journal of Animal Ecology* since 2015 are one of these article types. At the top of this ranking is the review on computer vision for animal ecology by Weinstein (2018), the first recipient of the prestigious Sidnie

Manton award (<https://animalecologyinfocus.com/2018/04/13/congratulations-to-ben-weinstein-on-winning-the-inaugural-sidnie-manton-award/>).

Dr Sidnie Manton (1902–1979), FRS, FLS, was one of the most outstanding zoologists of the 20th century. She left a legacy of excellence in research in zoology, marine biology, and conservation biology (Creed, 1979). Dr Manton studied Natural Sciences at Girton College, Cambridge, receiving the highest mark, but was not eligible to receive the corresponding University Prize because her gender. Having received her PhD in Zoology, she became the first woman awarded a Cambridge Sc.D., in 1934. Her *opus magnum* (Manton, 1977) summarised 50 years of work on arthropod development and functional morphology. This publication arguably remains the most significant work on arthropods to date (Scharm, 2016). The appendixes of this publication alone include ca. 1200 pages of carefully depicted drawings and detailed descriptions of form and function. Her book successfully synthesised time: not only the 23 years of a fascinating professional career but also of the millions of years that elapsed for arthropods to evolve to become such a specious and diverse group. Dr Sidnie Manton's long-standing commitment to the understanding of the evolution of arthropod locomotion mechanisms served as inspiration for the eponymous award, the 'Sidnie Manton Award', first announced by *Journal of Animal Ecology* in 2018. The purpose of this award is to recognise and celebrate the best *Review* or *Long-Term Study* in animal ecology by an early career researcher (ECR, hereafter).

Writing a *Review* or *Long-Term Study* in animal ecology is an exciting opportunity, particularly for ECRs, who are oftentimes fully caught up with the latest developments in their specific fields of expertise. However, we have heard at conferences and via informal conversations with some ECRs how daunting this task can seem. Thus, our motivation in this editorial is to demystify *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies* to encourage ECRs to submit abstracts on their prospective works to the open competition for the 2023 Sidnie Manton Award (<https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/journal-animal-ecology-sidnie-manton-award-entry-form/>). After all, ECRs are arguably best placed to make important contributions to these article types, contrary to an initial perception that time plays against most of them due to their junior status. Indeed, it is common in many PhD projects that the supervisor suggests for the student to write a first chapter in the shape of a review, thus allowing them to quickly become acquainted with their fields. Turning that first review chapter into a *Review* is a logical step – and we are always open to hearing from you regarding feasibility of your research in *Journal of Animal Ecology*. But what about *Long-Term Studies*? After all, those really do require long-term data. While that is true, that does not mean that the ECR needs to have collected all of it, but rather led the integration into the paper (e.g. Salguero-Gómez et al., 2013). Let us also not forget that our definition of long term is commensurate to the generation time of the species or the turn-over rate of the system. Indeed, a long-term dataset can be acquired in certain very short-lived species within a few months.

We base our judging of the Sidnie Manton Award on the four different criteria that we identified as important for an effective

Review or *Long-Term Study*. As such, our first few tips are meant to strengthen authors' works in each of these criteria. Authors might also benefit from reading Sayer's (2018) piece on how to write an excellent *Review*, whose tips also apply to *Long-Term Studies* to a large extent.

- (i) **A well-balance overview of the topic:** Be familiar with your ecological topic (for *Reviews*) and with the research that has emanated from the study of your system (for *Long-Term Studies*). Powerful *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies* do not limit themselves to describing and synthesising the research carried out within the authors' group and/or research that uniquely adheres to their school of thought. Are there findings that are at odds against one another, are there schools of thought in your discipline that disagree on philosophies, approaches, or interpretation? If so, talk about them openly. Present all that is known – in a brief manner of course – regarding your chosen topic, even – or especially if – different findings/research groups argue for opposing views. Allow the reader to pass judgement on which one is more likely correct.
- (ii) **Novel insights:** Be familiar with the latest developments within your discipline and how those might link with adjacent areas of research and/or similar study systems. By doing so, you will be able to explicitly articulate how novel ideas resulting from integrating topics in your *Review* or *Long-Term Study* represents a leap forward in animal ecology.
- (iii) **An advance to the field:** Make sure that your narrative explains explicitly how the research in your area (for *Reviews*) and in your system (for *Long-Term Studies*) helps advance not only your individual professional interests but also the discipline at large. This criterion can be best tackled by linking your findings to gaps of knowledge in the discipline.
- (iv) **Future directions for research:** Successful *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies* do not only talk about the past and the present but make suggestions for the future. So you have written a compelling, synthetic account of the research that has been performed in your discipline/study system... but what next? Given the emergence of new technologies, frameworks and statistical approaches (e.g. Dhanjal-Adams et al., 2022; Gupte et al., 2022; van de Pol & Brouwer, 2021), the confluence of sub-disciplines within ecology and other sciences (e.g. Farine & Whitehead, 2015; Fountain-Jones et al., 2019), the pressing needs of societal demands (Diaz et al., 2018) and the current gaps of knowledge (e.g. Conde et al., 2019; Owen-Smith et al., 2020; Paniw et al., 2021; Stutchbury, 2007), where should the discipline go to next (for *Reviews*) and how could those long-term data be used next (for *Long-Term Studies*)?

In structuring a *Review* or a *Long-Term Study*, authors should feel free to deviate from the classic 'intro, methods, results, discussion' format of research articles. Instead, we suggest that the structure be determined by the topic and the needs to articulate the authors' ideas. What is the most puzzling question in the field,

original motivation, or controversy? We would suggest that your paper starts with those key points. Throughout the manuscript, a narrative that successfully intertwines different sections and builds on a historical understanding of the area/study system tends to raise more appeal from our broad readership. In both article types, no novel analyses of data are allowed, though a synthetic analysis of existing findings may be appropriate for *Reviews*. Similarly, due to the richness of views, findings and data that these article types tend to include, authors may want to provide visual support in the shape of figures that integrate the various bits of knowledge into a diagram or timeline. Finally, both article types should promote reproducibility. This means that, if the *Review* is based on a literature search, the key-word search and review scheme should be explicitly stated, much alike the PRISMA guidelines (Page et al., 2021). For *Long-Term Studies*, reproducibility means that the data described in the article should accompany the paper as an open-access resource.

We regularly commission pieces for *Reviews* and *Long-Term Studies* (as well as *Concepts* and *Research Methods Guides*). Authors (particularly ECRs, and from regions and/or ecological systems not well represented) are welcome to contact our commissioning editor (Rob Salguero-Gómez; rob.salguero@biology.ox.ac.uk) to discuss their ideas and feasibility for the Sidnie Manton Award and our Journal. The Sidnie Manton Award has recognised ECR excellence in research in multiple areas of animal ecology, including computer vision (Weinstein, 2018), macroimmunology (Becker et al., 2020) and most recently on animal-vectored spatial ecosystem subsidies (Ellis-Soto et al., 2021). If you are currently working in an exciting area not yet represented in the above, and/or in study systems for which long-term data (or shorter-term data on species with short generation time/high turnover) exist, why not pitch us your idea? Or even better, if you are an ECR, submit your suggestion as a potential entry for the Sidnie Manton Award to the current open call, closing on the 17th of February 2023!? (<https://www.britishecologicalsociety.org/journal-animal-ecology-sidnie-manton-award-entry-form/>).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Dr Sidnie Manton for her inspirational work and long-lasting legacies to the discipline.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

ORCID

Roberto Salguero-Gómez  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6085-4433>

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6085-4433>

Darren M. Evans  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4061-6726>

Jean-Michel Gaillard  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0174-8451>

Lesley Lancaster  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3135-4835>

Nathan J. Sanders  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6220-6731>

Kirsty Scandrett  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2101-2399>

Jennifer Meyer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0366-6348>

REFERENCES

- Avgar, T., Mosser, A., Brown, G. S., & Fryxell, J. M. (2013). Environmental and individual drivers of animal movement patterns across a wide geographical gradient. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 82, 96–106.
- Barendregt, A., Zeegers, T., Steenis, W., & Jongejans, E. (2022). Forest hoverfly community collapse: Abundance and species richness drop over four decades. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 15, 510–521.
- Becker, D. J., Albery, G. F., Kessler, M. K., Lunn, T. J., Falvo, C. A., Czirkaj, G. A., Martin, L. B., & Plowright, R. K. (2020). Macroimmunology: The drivers and consequences of spatial patterns in wildlife immune defence. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89, 972–995.
- Bowler, D. E., Heldbjerg, H., Fox, A. D., O'Hara, R. B., & Bohning-Gaese, K. (2018). Disentangling the effects of multiple environmental drivers on population changes within communities. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 87, 1034–1045.
- Carroll, S. P., Hendry, A. P., Reznick, D. N., & Fox, C. W. (2007). Evolution on ecological time-scales. *Functional Ecology*, 21, 387–393.
- Conde, D. A., Staerk, J., Colchero, F., da Silva, R., Scholey, J., Baden, H. M., Jouvett, L., Fa, J. E., Syed, H., Jongejans, E., Meiri, S., Gaillard, J. M., Chamberlain, S., Wilcken, J., Jones, O. R., Dahlgren, J. P., Steiner, U. K., Bland, L. M., Gomez-Mestre, I., ... Vaupel, J. W. (2019). Data gaps and opportunities for comparative and conservation biology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116, 9658–9664.
- Creed, E. R. (1979). Obituary: Sidnie Manton. *Nature*, 278, 490–491.
- Croll, J. C., Egas, M., & Smallegange, I. M. (2019). An eco-evolutionary feedback loop between population dynamics and fighter expression affects the evolution of alternative reproductive tactics. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 88, 11–23.
- Dantzer, B., McAdam, A. G., Humphries, M. M., Lane, J. E., & Boutin, S. (2020). Decoupling the effects of food and density on life-history plasticity of wild animals using field experiments: Insights from the steward who sits in the shadow of its tail, the north American red squirrel. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89, 2397–2414.
- Davis, C. L., Guralnick, R. P., & Zipkin, E. F. (2022). Challenges and opportunities for using natural history collections to estimate insect population trends. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13763>
- Dhanjal-Adams, K. L., Willener, A. S. T., & Liechti, F. (2022). Pamlr: A toolbox for analysing animal behaviour using pressure, acceleration, temperature, magnetic or light data in R. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 91, 1345–1360.
- Diaz, S., Pascual, U., Stenseke, M., Martin-Lopez, B., Watson, R. T., Molnar, Z., Hill, R., Chan, K. M. A., Baste, I. A., Brauman, K. A., Polasky, S., Church, A., Lonsdale, M., Larigauderie, A., Leadley, P. W., van Oudenhoven, A. P. E., van der Plaats, F., Schroter, M., Lavorel, S., ... Shirayama, Y. (2018). Assessing nature's contributions to people. *Science*, 359, 270–272.
- Ellis-Soto, D., Ferraro, K. M., Rizzuto, M., Briggs, E., Monk, J. D., & Schmitz, O. J. (2021). A methodological roadmap to quantify animal-vectored spatial ecosystem subsidies. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 90, 1605–1622.
- Evans, S. R., & Bearhop, S. (2022). Variation in movement strategies: Capital versus income migration. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 91, 1961–1974.
- Faillace, C. A., & Morin, P. J. (2020). Evolution alters post-invasion temporal dynamics in experimental communities. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89, 285–298.
- Farine, D. R., & Whitehead, H. (2015). Constructing, conducting and interpreting animal social network analysis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 84, 1144–1163.
- Fountain-Jones, N. M., Machado, G., Carver, S., Packer, C., Recamonde-Mendoza, M., & Craft, M. E. (2019). How to make more from exposure data? An integrated machine learning pipeline to predict pathogen exposure. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 88, 1447–1461.

- Franklin, J. F. (1989). Importance and justification of long-term studies in ecology. In G. E. Likens (Ed.), *Long-term studies in ecology: Approaches and alternatives* (pp. 3–19). Springer-Verlag.
- Gupte, P. R., Beardsworth, C. E., Spiegel, O., Lourie, E., Toledo, S., Nathan, R., & Bijleveld, A. I. (2022). A guide to pre-processing high-throughput animal tracking data. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *91*, 287–307.
- Hansen, M. M., Ruzzante, D. E., Nielsen, E. E., Bekkevold, D., & Mensberg, K. L. D. (2002). Long-term effective population sizes, temporal stability of genetic composition and potential for local adaptation in anadromous brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) populations. *Molecular Ecology*, *11*, 2523–2535.
- IPCC. (2021). AR6 climate change 2021. Sixth assessment report.
- Knudsen, R., Primicerio, R., Amundsen, P. A., & Klemetsen, A. (2010). Temporal stability of individual feeding specialization may promote speciation. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *79*, 161–168.
- Lindemayer, D. B., Likens, G. E., Andersen, A., Bowman, D., Bull, C. M., Burns, E., Dickman, C. R., Hoffmann, A. A., Keith, D. A., Liddell, M. J., Lowe, A. J., Metcalfe, D. J., Phinn, S. R., Russell-Smith, J., Thurgate, N., & Wardle, G. M. (2012). Value of long-term ecological studies. *Austral Ecology*, *37*, 745–757.
- Manton, S. (1977). *The Arthropoda: Habits, Functional morphology, and evolution*. Clarendon Press.
- Owen-Smith, N., Hopcraft, G., Morrison, T., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Hetem, R., Bennitt, E., & Van Langevelde, F. (2020). Movement ecology of large herbivores in African savannas: Current knowledge and gaps. *Mammal Review*, *50*, 252–266.
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R. G., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hrobjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T. J., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *134*, 178–189.
- Paniw, M., James, T.D., Archer, C.R., Romer, G., Levin, S., Compagnoni, A., Che-Castaldo, J., Bennett, J.M., Mooney, A., Childs, D.Z., Ozgul, A., Jones, O.R., Burns, J.H., A., B., Patwary, A., Sanchez-Gassen, N., Knight, T. & Salguero-Gomez, R. (2021) The myriad of complex demographic responses of terrestrial mammals to climate change and gaps of knowledge: A global analysis. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *90*, 1398–1407.
- Reid, J. M., Bignal, E., Bignal, S., McCracken, D. I., Fenn, S. R., Trask, A. E., & Monaghan, P. (2022). Integrating advances in population and evolutionary ecology with conservation strategy through long-term studies of red-billed choughs. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *91*, 20–34.
- Salguero-Gómez, R., Kempenich, H., Forseth, I., & Casper, B. B. (2013). Long-term demography of a desert perennial species. *Ecology*, *95*(2), E095–050.
- Sayer, E. J. (2018). The anatomy of an excellent review paper. *Functional Ecology*, *32*, 2278–2281.
- Scharm, F. R. (2016). Manton on arthropods. *Paleobiology*, *5*, 63–66.
- Shefferson, R. P., & Salguero-Gomez, R. (2015). Eco-evolutionary dynamics in plants: Interactive processes at overlapping time-scales and their implications. *Journal of Ecology*, *103*, 789–797.
- Stevens, R. D., Gavilanez, M. M., Tello, J. S., & Ray, D. A. (2012). Phylogenetic structure illuminates the mechanistic role of environmental heterogeneity in community organization. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *81*, 455–462.
- Stutchbury, B. J. M. (2007). The effects of habitat fragmentation on animals: Gaps in our knowledge and new approaches. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *85*, 1015–1016.
- Suhonen, J., Hilli-Lukkarinen, M., Korkeamaki, E., Kuitunen, M., Kullas, J., Penttinen, J., & Salmela, J. (2010). Local extinction of dragonfly and damselfly populations in low- and high-quality habitat patches. *Conservation Biology*, *24*, 1148–1153.
- Sutherland, W. J., Freckleton, R. P., Godfray, H. C. J., Beissinger, S. R., Benton, T., Cameron, D. D., Carmel, Y., Coomes, D. A., Coulson, T., Emmerson, M. C., Hails, R. S., Hays, G. C., Hodgson, D. J., Hutchings, M. J., Johnson, D., Jones, J. P. G., Keeling, M. J., Kokko, H., Kunin, W. E., ... Wiegand, T. (2013). Identification of 100 fundamental ecological questions. *Journal of Ecology*, *101*, 58–67.
- Taig-Johnston, M., Strom, M. K., Calhoun, K., Nowak, K., Ebensperger, L. A., & Hayes, L. (2017). The ecological value of long-term studies of birds and mammals in Central America, South America and Antarctica. *Revista Chilena De Historia Natural*, *90*(7), 1–13.
- van de Pol, M., & Brouwer, L. (2021). Cross-lags and the unbiased estimation of life-history and demographic parameters. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *90*, 2234–2253.
- Weinstein, B. G. (2018). A computer vision for animal ecology. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *87*, 533–545.
- Weslien, J., Djupstrom, L. B., Schroeder, M., & Widenfalk, O. (2011). Long-term priority effects among insects and fungi colonizing decaying wood. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *80*, 1155–1162.
- Wilson, E. O. (2017). Biodiversity research requires more boots on the ground. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *1*, 1590–1591.