Deliberately explicating the internal and environmental cues that influence trainees' experiences with uncertainty can provide the scaffolding necessary for budding physicians to recognise, appraise, and manage these difficult moments within their unique practice contexts.

ORCID

Jonathan S. Ilgen https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4590-6570 Bjorn K. Watsjold https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4888-8857 Glenn Regehr https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3144-331X

REFERENCES

- Simpkin AL, Schwartzstein RM. Tolerating uncertainty—the next medical revolution? N Engl J Med. 2016;375(18):1713-1715. doi:10.1056/ NEJMp1606402
- White G, Williams S. The certainty of uncertainty: can we teach a constructive response? *Med Educ*. 2017;51(12):1200-1202. doi:10. 1111/medu.13466
- Patel P, Hancock J, Rogers M, Pollard SR. Improving uncertainty tolerance in medical students: a scoping review. *Med Educ.* 2022;56(12): 1163-1173. doi:10.1111/medu.14873
- Gerrity MS, White KP, DeVellis RF, Dittus RS. Physicians' reactions to uncertainty: refining the constructs and scales. *Motiv Emot.* 1995; 19(3):175-191. doi:10.1007/BF02250510

- Geller G, Tambor ES, Chase GA, Holtzman NA. Measuring physicians' tolerance for ambiguity and its relationship to their reported practices regarding genetic testing. *Med Care*. 1993;31(11):989-1001. doi:10. 1097/00005650-199311000-00002
- Han PK, Schupack D, Daggett S, Holt CT, Strout TD. Temporal changes in tolerance of uncertainty among medical students: insights from an exploratory study. *Med Educ Online*. 2015;20(1):28285. doi: 10.3402/meo.v20.28285
- Geller G. Tolerance for ambiguity: an ethics-based criterion for medical student selection. Acad Med. 2013;88(5):581-584. doi:10.1097/ ACM.0b013e31828a4b8e
- Scott A, Sudlow M, Shaw E, Fisher J. Medical education, simulation and uncertainty. *Clin Teach*. 2020;17(5):497-502. doi:10.1111/tct. 13119
- Simpkin AL, Khan A, West DC, et al. Stress from uncertainty and resilience among depressed and burned out residents: a cross-sectional study. Acad Pediatr. 2018;18(6):698-704. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018. 03.002
- Ilgen JS, Bowen JL, de Bruin ABH, Regehr G, Teunissen P. "I was worried about the patient, but I wasn't feeling worried": how physicians judge their comfort in settings of uncertainty. *Acad Med.* 2020; 95(11S):S67-S72. doi:10.1097/ACM.00000000003634
- Ilgen JS, Teunissen PW, de Bruin ABH, Bowen JL, Regehr G. Warning bells: how clinicians leverage their discomfort to manage moments of uncertainty. *Med Educ.* 2021;55(2):233-241. doi:10.1111/medu. 14304
- Mylopoulos M, Kulasegaram K, Woods NN. Developing the experts we need: fostering adaptive expertise through education. J Eval Clin Pract. 2018;24(3):674-677. doi:10.1111/jep.12905
- Durning SJ, Artino AR. Situativity theory: a perspective on how participants and the environment can interact: AMEE guide no. 52. *Med Teach.* 2011;33(3):188-199. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2011.550965
- Watsjold BK, Ilgen JS, Regehr G. An ecological account of clinical reasoning. Acad Med. 2022. doi:10.1097/ACM.000000000004899

How to cite this article: Ilgen JS, Watsjold BK, Regehr G. Is uncertainty tolerance an epiphenomenon? *Med Educ*. 2022; 56(12):1150-1152. doi:10.1111/medu.14938

DOI: 10.1111/medu.14926

Uncertainty about uncertainty tolerance: The elephants in the room

Mary Haas¹ | Jennifer Nicole Stojan²

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

²Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Correspondence

Jennifer Nicole Stojan, Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. Email: jstojan@umich.edu

In this issue of *Medical Education*, Patel et al. present a scoping review of interventions intended to improve uncertainty tolerance (UT) among medical students.¹ The authors found that most

interventions demonstrated a positive response to uncertainty in at least one of three domains (cognitive, behavioural and emotional). This study provides fuel for reflection on the complex and pervasive

The authors argue that the medical education community must confront several "elephants in the room," uncomfortable but unavoidable questions or controversies, before achieving an ideal path forward for teaching uncertainty tolerance.

phenomenon of uncertainty in clinical medicine and the optimal approach to its teaching. Herein, we argue that the medical education community must confront several "elephants in the room," uncomfortable but unavoidable questions or controversies, before achieving an ideal path forward for teaching UT.

This study provides fuel for reflection on the complex and pervasive phenomenon of uncertainty in clinical medicine and the optimal approach to its teaching.

First, we must confront whether simulated educational interventions can truly "teach" UT at all. Some evidence suggests that UT represents a trait, rather than a modifiable state.² Rather than attempting to change a student's UT, efforts might be better devoted to encouraging reflection and self-awareness about one's UT in order to facilitate appropriate specialty selection. In one study, senior students choosing a clinical specialty had higher tolerance for risk than those who chose surgical specialties.³ Additionally, the notion that medical educators can teach UT implies that a gold standard or benchmark for an ideal UT exists. Although intolerance of uncertainty can lead to negative outcomes such as physician burnout, overtesting, increased cost and potentially harm to patients, it can also drive curiosity. research and innovation.⁴⁻⁸ Accordingly, Reis-Dennis et al. argue that neither tolerance or intolerance of uncertainty is necessarily a good or bad trait, but that both can provide advantages and disadvantages in different scenarios.⁹ Longitudinal curricula should incorporate career counselling, encourage mindfulness of trainee reactions to uncertainty and help trainees cultivate virtues of courage, diligence and curiosity that will allow them to avoid the extremes of UT.9

Although intolerance of uncertainty can lead to negative outcomes such as physician burnout, overtesting, increased cost and potentially harm to patients, it can also drive curiosity, research and innovation.

Second, improving medical student UT will require a broader reckoning with past and current approaches to medical education that provide students with an oversimplified view of the world. In medical school, high stakes multiple-choice tests may unintentionally emphasise the notion that a single correct answer exists, and any uncertainty emanates from an individual's knowledge gap. This offers a stark contrast to the complexities of actual clinical practice. Approaches to assessment that normalise the common grey areas encountered by physicians may in part address this cultural hurdle.¹⁰ Additionally, medical education efforts commonly emphasise evidence-based medicine as a mechanism for approaching uncertainty. This involves utilising a Bayesian approach to seek additional information and continually adjusting probabilities until a threshold for testing or treatment is met.^{11,12} This approach, while helpful in many scenarios, fails to acknowledge the dramatic influence of contextual factors such as the social determinants of health on real-world decision making.^{13,14} Additionally, reference probabilities do not exist for every condition and every potential new data point and may not account for the complex interplay of multiple factors.

In medical school, high stakes multiple-choice tests may unintentionally emphasise the notion that a single correct answer exists, and any uncertainty emanates from an individual's knowledge gap.

Additionally, will efforts to modulate physician UT at the student level result in meaningful change if society's UT is not simultaneously modulated to align? Physicians experience an enormous pressure to achieve perfection, both internal and societal. At least one study has identified an inverse and significant correlation between patients' global satisfaction and perception of physician uncertainty.¹⁵ Despite the adage that "to err is human," society does not willingly accept the notion that physicians are imperfect beings operating in an imperfect system in which diagnostic and treatment decisions are not always black and white. Furthermore, the standards are high, and the acceptable miss rates are low to zero, particularly for high stakes diseases. The COVID-19 pandemic serves as a prime case example of how poorly both physicians and society tolerate uncertainty. The pandemic levelled the playing field for all levels of experience given the novel nature of the disease. The dramatic practice variation that resulted from uncertainty over how to optimally prevent, test for and treat

COVID-19 bred significant mistrust. Changing recommendations about optimal mask use and quarantine duration were not interpreted within the context of evolving data, but as an indicator of incompetence or fuel for conspiracy theories. The impact of such uncertainty on an intolerant public has contributed to vaccine hesitancy and damaged public health efforts. The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated that transparency about uncertainty, including that scientific "knowledge" is imperfect and continually changing, is critical in public health communication for engendering long-standing trust, even if it leads to challenges and backlash in the short term.¹⁶

The COVID-19 pandemic serves as a prime case example of how poorly both physicians and society tolerate uncertainty.

In summary, while the results of the work by Patel et al. demonstrate a promising impact on medical student response to uncertainty as a result of educational interventions, several *elephants in the room* must be addressed moving forward to enact meaningful change in the domain of physician UT. Namely, early and longitudinal efforts by medical schools to promote student self-awareness about UT, incorporate career counselling and emphasise virtues that avoid extremes of UT are likely to be more effective than interventions intending to simply improve UT. Additionally, efforts to teach UT are likely to fall short without a broader overhaul of both medical education and public health to normalise uncertainty. This includes developing assessment approaches that better reflect the complexity and spectrum of "correct" answers. It also includes radical transparency from public health organisations about the imperfect and continuously evolving nature of scientific knowledge.

Additionally, efforts to teach UT are likely to fall short without a broader overhaul of both medical education and public health to normalise uncertainty.

REFERENCES

- Patel P, Hancock J, Rogers M, Pollard SR. Improving uncertainty tolerance in medical students: a scoping review. *Med Educ.* 2022;56(12): 1163-1173. doi:10.1111/medu.14873
- Koerner N, Dugas MJ. An investigation of appraisals in individuals vulnerable to excessive worry: the role of intolerance of uncertainty. *Cogn Ther Res.* 2008;32(5):619-638. doi:10.1007/s10608-007-9125-2
- Borracci RA, Ciambrone G, Arribalzaga EB. Tolerance for uncertainty, personality traits and specialty choice among medical students. J Surg Educ. 2021;78(6):1885-1895. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2021.03.018
- 4. Fox RC. The evolution of medical uncertainty. *Milbank Mem Fund Q Health Soc.* 1980;58(1):1-49. doi:10.2307/3349705
- Babrow AS, Kasch CR, Ford LA. The many meanings of uncertainty in illness: toward a systematic accounting. *Health Commun.* 1998;10(1): 1-23. doi:10.1207/s15327027hc1001_1
- Han PKJ, Klein WMP, Arora NK. Varieties of uncertainty in health care: a conceptual taxonomy. *Med Decis Making*. 2011;31(6):828-838. doi:10.1177/0272989X10393976
- Hancock J, Mattick K. Tolerance of ambiguity and psychological wellbeing in medical training: a systematic review. *Med Educ.* 2020;54(2): 125-137. doi:10.1111/medu.14031
- Kassirer JP. Our stubborn quest for diagnostic certainty. A cause of excessive testing. N Engl J Med. 1989;320(22):1489-1491. doi:10. 1056/NEJM198906013202211
- Reis-Dennis S, Gerrity MS, Geller G. Tolerance for uncertainty and professional development: a normative analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2021;36(8):2408-2413. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-06538-y
- Cooke S, Lemay JF. Transforming medical assessment: integrating uncertainty into the evaluation of clinical reasoning in medical education. Acad Med. 2017;92(6):746-751. doi:10.1097/ACM. 000000000001559
- Hawkins RC. The evidence based medicine approach to diagnostic testing: practicalities and limitations. *Clin Biochem Rev.* 2005;26(2): 7-18.
- Pauker SG, Kassirer JP. The threshold approach to clinical decision making. N Engl J Med. 1980;302(20):1109-1117. doi:10.1056/ NEJM198005153022003
- Basu A, Gujral K. Evidence generation, decision making, and consequent growth in health disparities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2020;117(25): 14042-14051. doi:10.1073/pnas.1920197117
- Rogers WA. Evidence based medicine and justice: a framework for looking at the impact of EBM upon vulnerable or disadvantaged groups. J Med Ethics. 2004;30(2):141-145. doi:10.1136/jme.2003. 007062
- Johnson CG, Levenkron JC, Suchman AL, Manchester R. Does physician uncertainty affect patient satisfaction? J Gen Intern Med. 1988; 3(2):144-149. doi:10.1007/BF02596120
- Igoe KJ. How do you communicate uncertainty and promote public health—during COVID-19 and beyond? Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health Emergency Planning and Management Accessed July 24, 2022. https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/ecpe/how-tocommunicate-uncertainty-and-promote-public-health-during-covid-19-and-beyond/

How to cite this article: Haas M, Stojan JN. Uncertainty about uncertainty tolerance: The elephants in the room. *Med Educ*. 2022;56(12):1152-1154. doi:10.1111/medu.14926

ORCID

Jennifer Nicole Stojan 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7743-8014