

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas: 2023 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management

Alexandra C. Hristov¹ | Trilokraj Tejasvi² | Ryan A. Wilcox³ 

¹Departments of Pathology and Dermatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

²Director Cutaneous Lymphoma Program, Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

³Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Correspondence

Ryan A. Wilcox, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center, 1500 E. Medical Center Drive, Room 4310 CC, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5948, USA.
Email: rywilcox@med.umich.edu

Funding information

NCI/NIH, Grant/Award Number: R37CA233476; NIC/NIH, Grant/Award Number: R01CA236722

Abstract

Disease Overview: Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas are a heterogeneous group of T-cell neoplasms involving the skin, the majority of which may be classified as Mycosis Fungoides (MF) or Sézary Syndrome (SS).

Diagnosis: The diagnosis of MF or SS requires the integration of clinical and histopathologic data.

Risk-Adapted Therapy: TNMB (tumor, node, metastasis, blood) staging remains the most important prognostic factor in MF/SS and forms the basis for a “risk-adapted,” multidisciplinary approach to treatment. For patients with disease limited to the skin, expectant management or skin-directed therapies is preferred, as both disease-specific and overall survival for these patients is favorable. In contrast, patients with advanced-stage disease with significant nodal, visceral or the blood involvement are generally approached with systemic therapies, including biologic-response modifiers, histone deacetylase inhibitors, or antibody-based strategies, in an escalating fashion. In highly-selected patients, allogeneic stem-cell transplantation may be considered, as this may be curative in some patients.

1 | DISEASE OVERVIEW

Primary cutaneous lymphomas are a heterogeneous group of extranodal non-Hodgkin lymphomas that, by definition, are confined to the skin at diagnosis. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and World Health Organization (WHO) published a consensus classification for cutaneous lymphomas in 2005¹ that was updated in 2018.² Additional refinements to this classification have been made by the WHO and the International Consensus Classification.^{3,4} In contrast to nodal non-Hodgkin lymphoma, most of which are B-cell derived, approximately 75% of primary cutaneous lymphomas are T-cell derived, two-thirds of which may be classified as Mycosis fungoides (MF) or Sézary Syndrome (SS).^{1,5,6} The incidence of cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) has been increasing and is currently 6.4 per million persons, based on

Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) registry data, with the highest incidence rates being reported among men and African-Americans.⁵ Black patients with MF have key differences when compared to non-Black patients, including a female predominance, younger age of onset, and inferior outcomes.^{7,8} While CTCL may occur in children and young adults, this is very uncommon and often associated with clinical and histopathologic variants of MF.^{9–12} The incidence of CTCL increases significantly with age, with a median age at diagnosis in the mid-50's and a four-fold increase in incidence appreciated in patients over 70.^{5,11} Patients with CTCL have a higher incidence of secondary malignancies, including other non-Hodgkin lymphomas, lung cancer, bladder cancer, and melanoma, thus meriting appropriate screening.^{13,14}

While genetic evidence strongly implicates ultraviolet (UV) radiation as a risk-factor for CTCL,^{15–17} epidemiological studies have failed to

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *American Journal of Hematology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

consistently identify other environmental or virally associated risk factors for most CTCL subtypes, with the notable exception of HTLV-1 infection in adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma.¹⁸ Recent studies, however, have suggested that medications may induce an antigen-driven T-cell lymphoproliferation or dyscrasia.^{19,20} Moreover, as a variety of other medications may initiate a reaction mimicking MF, a careful medication history should be performed in these patients with a trial off any suspected offending drug. Individual genetic features have also been implicated in the development of CTCL. Rare reports of familial MF and the detection of specific HLA class II alleles in association with both sporadic and familial MF suggest that host genetic factors may contribute to MF development.²¹⁻²³ While the role of environmental and host genetic factors in CTCL pathogenesis remains unclear, significant insights into disease ontogeny, molecular pathogenesis, and disease-associated immune dysregulation have been realized.²⁴⁻²⁷ Recently performed next-generation sequencing studies have demonstrated a high frequency of C > T transitions, in contrast to the T > G transversions observed in B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders, within NpCpC trinucleotides, a signature associated with UVB exposure in melanoma (reviewed in Ref. 15).

The cell of origin, molecular pathogenesis, and genetic landscape associated with MF/SS have been elucidated (reviewed in Ref. 28), and have significant therapeutic implications (reviewed in Ref. 15).

2 | DIAGNOSIS

2.1 | Mycosis fungoides

The definitive diagnosis of MF, particularly patch/plaque stage disease, is challenging, as many of its clinical and pathologic features are non-specific and overlap with reactive processes. Many patients will have had symptoms attributed to eczema, psoriasis or parapsoriasis for years prior to obtaining a definitive diagnosis. The median time from symptom onset to diagnosis in retrospective series is 3–4 years, but may exceed four decades.^{12,29-31} Given the importance of clinicopathological correlation in the diagnosis of MF and the variable association of specific histologic findings with the diagnosis, biopsy reports are not infrequently “suggestive of” the diagnosis. This occasional uncertainty implied in biopsy reports and apparent lack of a more definitive histopathologic diagnosis may be a source of frustration for clinicians unfamiliar with the challenges associated with rendering a pathologic diagnosis of MF. Furthermore, treatment with skin-directed therapies at the time of biopsy, including topical corticosteroids, may diminish or eliminate neoplastic T-cells and other histopathologic findings, further compounding the diagnostic challenge, as these therapies diminish or eliminate neoplastic T cells and critical histopathologic findings for 2–4 weeks.^{32,33} Drug reactions, chronic spongiotic dermatitis, connective tissue diseases, lichen sclerosus et atrophicus, and pigmented purpuric dermatoses are just a few of the conditions that may mimic MF.^{34,35} Although a definitive diagnosis of MF may be made based on clinical and histopathologic features alone, determination of T-cell clonality and assessment for the aberrant loss of T-cell

antigen expression by immunohistochemical staining for CD2, CD3, CD5, and CD7 are useful ancillary studies in the diagnosis of MF (and SS). PCR-based methods are able to detect clonal rearrangements of the T-cell receptor (TCR) in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsy specimens.^{36,37} PCR-based methods, while sensitive, should be interpreted with caution, as clonal TCR gene rearrangements may be detected in normal elderly individuals and in patients with benign dermatoses or other disease states.³⁸⁻⁴² However, detection of identical clones from two different sites is quite specific for MF.⁴³ Even this feature is not without complications as rare reactive processes display what appears to be an identical T-cell clone by PCR-based gene rearrangement studies in multiple biopsies over time. Moreover, some MF cases may not have a detectable T-cell clone.⁴⁴ Recent studies have suggested that next generation sequencing (NGS) may be more sensitive and/or specific for assessing T-cell clonality in MF/SS, but NGS is not yet widely available.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Moreover, NGS may have similar pitfalls to PCR-based studies, as it may identify clonal T-cells in reactive infiltrates and may not identify clonal T-cell in CTCL.^{44,48} The extent to which MF/SS may be preceded by a pre-malignant state, analogous to monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis (MBL) or monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), is debatable and poorly defined.⁴⁹ The malignant lymphocytes in MF/SS are usually CD3⁺ CD4⁺ and CD8⁻, but frequently lose the expression of other pan-T-cell antigens. Therefore, demonstration of a significant population of CD4⁺ cells lacking CD2, CD5, and/or CD7 expression is highly specific (specificity >90%) for MF in most reported series.^{50,51} However, reactive dermatoses may also show a predominance of CD4-positive T-cells and loss to diminished expression of CD7, the T-cell antigen most frequently lost in MF, and these results must be interpreted with caution.^{35,51} Finding a marked predominance of CD4-positive T-cells, especially by epidermotropic T-cells, helps to support a diagnosis of MF.^{35,51} Similarly, finding extensive loss of CD7, preferential loss of pan T-cell antigens by epidermal T-cells, or loss of multiple pan T-cell markers favors a diagnosis of MF in challenging cases.^{35,51} Clinically, patch/plaque stage MF is frequently characterized by persistent and progressive lesions that develop in a “bathing suit” distribution and vary in size, shape, and color. These lesions are frequently large (>5 cm), pruritic and multifocal in “classical” MF. In skin of color (SoC), lesions are polymorphic, including hyper- and hypopigmented patches/plaques. Espinosa et al., identified hyperpigmentation, lichenification, and a silver hue as significantly more common in SoC.⁵² However, a broad range of MF variants have been described with differences in tropism (e.g., follicular MF), distribution (e.g., palmoplantar MF), pigmentation (e.g., hypo- and hyperpigmented variants) and focality (e.g. unilesional MF), some of which are formally recognized in the WHO-EORTC classification.^{1,53} Histopathologically, patch/plaque MF is characterized by enlarged, epidermotropic lymphocytes with irregular nuclei that often show a band-like distribution in the dermis, where they are associated with dense strips of collagen (“wiry” fibrosis). Aggregates of neoplastic T-cells in the epidermis, termed Pautrier microabscesses, are seen in a minority of cases, but are a helpful clue to the diagnosis. Folliculotropism and/or syringotropism may be seen in a minority of cases. Given the need for uniform diagnostic criteria in MF,

the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphoma (ISCL) proposed a point-based diagnostic algorithm which integrates clinical, histopathologic, and immunophenotyping data with an assessment of T-cell clonality.³⁴ Recent studies have demonstrated that the inclusion of clinical information, including photographs, improved the diagnostic accuracy of pathologists, thus highlighting the importance of clinical information for accurate histopathologic diagnosis.^{54,55}

2.2 | Sézary syndrome

Traditionally, SS is defined as a leukemic form of CTCL associated with erythroderma, intractable pruritis, ectropion, and palmoplantar keratoderma. A series of studies in the early to mid-20th century, beginning with Sezary's initial landmark observation in 1938, identified a population of large lymphocytes in the peripheral blood with grooved, lobulated (i.e., "cerebriform") nuclei in patients with MF or SS.⁵⁶⁻⁶¹ As in other chronic lymphoproliferative disorders, the Sezary cell count is preferably expressed in absolute terms, with ≥ 1000 cells/ μL classified as B2 disease in the current ISCL/EORTC TNMB staging classification. The morphologic detection of Sezary cells in the peripheral blood is not specific for CTCL, as Sezary cells may be found in peripheral blood from normal donors and in benign conditions.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ The histopathologic findings in the skin often resemble those observed in MF, with less prominent epidermotropism, though findings in skin biopsies may be paradoxically subtle and non-specific. As in MF, immunohistochemical studies showing a CD4 predominance and loss of pan T-cell markers may be helpful. Lymph node involvement is characterized by complete effacement of the nodal architecture by infiltrating Sezary cells.⁶⁵

In SS, clonal T cells are generally CD3⁺CD4⁺ and CD8⁻ by multi-color flow cytometry.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹ As in MF, the aberrant loss of pan-T-cell antigens, including CD2, CD3, CD4, CD5, CD7, and/or CD26 is frequently observed.^{68,70-73} Of these, the aberrant loss of CD7 and/or CD26 expression is most common, being observed in most cases.^{69,70,74-78} The loss of CD7 ($\geq 40\%$) and/or CD26 ($\geq 80\%$) is sensitive ($>80\%$) and highly specific (100%) for SS.⁷³ The ISCL, United States Cutaneous Lymphoma Consortium (USCLC) and EORTC 2021 staging update have defined the B2 blood group as an absolute count of CD4⁺ CD7⁻, CD4⁺ CD26⁻, or other aberrant T-cell populations identified by flow cytometry T-cells $\geq 1000/\mu\text{L}$,⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ and further subclassifies B2 blood involvement based on the absence or presence of concordant T-cell clones in the blood and skin. The aberrant expression of the MHC class I-binding, killer immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) CD158 κ (and less commonly CD158a or CD158b), normally expressed by natural killer cells, was described in the majority of patients examined with SS.^{73,82,83} Molecular studies, including detection of a clonal TCR gene rearrangement by PCR and the presence of a clonal cytogenetic abnormality, provide evidence of T-cell clonality. An alternative approach to demonstrate T-cell clonality incorporates multi-color flow cytometry using a panel of antibodies specific for various TCR beta-chain variable region family members (TCR-V β).⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶ This approach is successful in identifying a clonal population of T cells

if this population is significantly higher than the background frequency of polyclonal T cells harboring the same V β chain.^{84,85,87} The beta-chain constant region includes two gene segments (C1 and C2). In a manner analogous to kappa or lambda light chain restriction in B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders, over (or under) representation of beta-chain constant chain-1 region (TRBC1) is a sensitive and specific biomarker for $\alpha\beta$ T-cell clonality.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰

The currently proposed ISCL criteria for SS integrate clinical, histopathologic, immunophenotyping, and molecular studies. In patients with erythroderma, criteria recommended for the diagnosis of SS by the ISCL include the following: absolute sezary count $\geq 1000/\mu\text{L}$, a CD4/CD8 ratio ≥ 10 , (due to the clonal expansion of CD4⁺ cells), aberrant expression of pan-T-cell antigens (i.e., loss of CD7 and/or CD26 expression in at least 40% or 30% of cells, respectively), demonstration of T-cell clonality by Southern blot or PCR-based methods, or cytogenetic demonstration of an abnormal clone.⁶⁸ At a minimum, the WHO-EORTC recommends the demonstration of T-cell clonality in combination with the above-mentioned criteria for the diagnosis of SS.¹ In addition to the ISCL criteria, the most recent WHO classification requires erythroderma, generalized lymphadenopathy, and clonally related T-cells (Sézary cells) in the skin, peripheral blood, and lymph nodes. On rare occasions, SS may be preceded by a prior history of classic MF. The ISCL recommends that such cases be designated as SS preceded by MF or secondary erythrodermic CTCL. Conversely, patients with MF, but without erythroderma, may meet hematologic criteria for SS. In these cases, the designation "MF with leukemic involvement" is recommended, although genetic features of both MF and SS have been recently described in patients with SS preceded by MF.¹⁷

2.3 | Non-MF/SS subtypes of CTCL

An important goal during a patient's initial diagnostic evaluation is to distinguish non-MF/SS CTCL subtypes from MF/SS, as the natural history, prognosis, and treatment approach for each of the non-MF/SS lymphomas is highly variable, including a recently described subset of primary CTCL with a T follicular helper immunophenotype with histopathologic and genetic characteristics similar to angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphomas.⁹¹ A detailed description of these CTCL subtypes is beyond the scope of this update, but the salient features of each have been previously summarized.^{2,92}

3 | RISK-STRATIFICATION

3.1 | Staging

In contrast to many other lymphoproliferative disorders in which cytogenetic and laboratory findings play a prominent role in risk-stratification, TNMB (tumor, node, metastasis, blood) staging remains an important prognostic factor in MF/SS and forms the basis for a "risk-adapted" approach to treatment. In 2007, the ISCL and EORTC

TABLE 1 ISCL/EORTC Staging

Stage	TNMB Classification				Median OS (years)	10-year ¹¹		
	T	N	M	B		OS (%)	DSS (%)	RDP (%)
IA	1	0	0	0, 1	35.5	88	95	12
IB	2	0	0	0, 1	21.5	70	77	38
IIA	1, 2	1	0	0, 1	15.8	52	67	33
IIIB	3	0-2	0	0, 1	4.7	34	42	58
IIIA	4	0-2	0	0	4.7	37	45	62
IIIB	4	0-2	0	1	3.4	25	45	73
IVA1	1-4	0-2	0	2	3.8	18	20	83
IVA2	1-4	3	0	0-2	2.1	15	20	80
IVB	1-4	0-3	1	0-2	1.4	18 (5 year)	18 (5 year)	82 (5 year)

Abbreviations: DSS, disease-specific survival; OS, overall survival; RDP, risk of disease progression.

revised the TNMB staging of MF/SS.⁹³ Patients with only patches and plaques have stage I disease, but may be further divided into stage IA (<10% body surface area involved or T1) or stage IB (>10% body surface area involved or T2) based on the extent of skin involvement, and by the presence of patch- (T1a/T2a) or plaque stage (T1b/T2b) disease. For practical purposes, the area of a patient's hand (including both palm and digits) represents approximately 1% of body surface area. Current staging and diagnostic recommendations do not require a biopsy of clinically normal lymph nodes; however, an excisional biopsy of any abnormal lymph nodes (≥ 1.5 cm in diameter or firm/fixed) is recommended, with preference being given either to the largest lymph node draining an area of skin involvement or to the node with the greatest standardized uptake value (SUV) on FDG-PET imaging.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ While radiologic examination of lymph nodes is considered optional for patients with T1 or T2 disease and no evidence of lymphadenopathy on physical examination,⁹³ a recent international study found that physical examination may miss radiographically-enlarged lymph nodes leading to significant changes in staging in a minority of patients, particularly those with plaques.⁹⁷ Patients with patch/plaque stage disease (T1/T2) and architectural preservation of any clinically abnormal lymph nodes are classified as stage IIA. Collectively, patients with stage I-IIA disease have "limited (or early)-stage" disease, as the overall survival in these patients is measured in decades, with survival in patients with stage IA disease resembling that of normal age-matched controls.^{11,29,30} At diagnosis, the majority of MF patients will have limited-stage disease.¹¹ In contrast, patients with tumor stage disease (T3), erythroderma (T4), nodal involvement characterized by partial or complete architectural effacement (N3), visceral metastases (M1), or significant leukemic involvement (B2) have "advanced (or late)-stage" disease. Although the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) and the EORTC have recommended peripheral blood flow cytometry for all MF/SS patients,^{79,98} recent consensus recommendations support of the use of peripheral blood flow cytometry in specific patient groups: those with advanced-stage (\geq IIIB) disease, intractable pruritis, generalize patches/plaques, erythroderma, lymphocytosis, an elevated LDH, or a lack of response to skin-directed therapies.⁸¹ Detection of a clonal TCR gene

rearrangement by PCR, which has been incorporated into the revised ISCL/EORTC node(N) and blood(B) staging classification, is an adverse prognostic factor.^{11,99-102} Unfortunately, median survivals from approximately 1-5 years are observed in these patients with more extensive disease.¹¹ The revised ISCL/EORTC staging for MF/SS is summarized in Table 1.

A retrospective study including 1398 MF patients, 71% with patch/plaque stage disease, and 104 SS patients has validated the revised ISCL/EORTC staging classification.¹¹ On univariate and multivariate analyses, the revised T, N, M, and B classification were significantly associated with overall and disease-specific survival. The median survival, disease-specific survival and risk of disease progression, by clinical stage, are summarized in Table 1. A recent meta-analysis reported a similar trend for 5-year survival.¹⁰³ While the impact of recently approved agents on overall survival is uncertain, the rather durable responses observed in subsets of patients treated with these agents may provide ample reason for optimism. For those with early-stage disease, male gender, age > 60, plaque stage or folliculotropic disease, and nodal stage N1/Nx were adverse prognostic factors and were utilized to generate the cutaneous lymphoma international prognostic index (CLIPi) for patients with early-stage disease.¹⁰⁴ Ten-year OS was 90.3% for those with low-risk (0-1 risk factors) disease and 48.9% for those with high-risk (3-5 risk factors) disease. Similarly, male gender, age > 60, stage B1/B2 or N2/N3 disease, and visceral involvement were adverse prognostic factors for patients with late-stage disease. Ten-year OS was 53.2% for low-risk patients, and 15.0% for high-risk patients.¹⁰⁴ In a large, international series ($n = 1275$) of late-stage MF/SS, stage IV disease, age > 60, large cell transformation (LCT), and elevated LDH were identified as independent adverse prognostic factors, and were similarly combined in a prognostic index.¹⁰⁵ Patients with low-risk (0-1 risk factors) disease experienced superior 5-year OS (68%) compared with the 5-year OS observed (28%) among those with high-risk (3-4 risk factors) disease.^{11,106-110} An alternative staging system has been proposed for those with folliculotropic MF and identifies a subset of patients with limited cutaneous involvement and a more favorable prognosis.^{111,112} Given the importance of the TNMB classification in risk-stratification

and defining disease burden, the ISCL/EORTC recommends its use in defining the initial, maximum and current burden of disease, which will ultimately play an important role in the selection of either skin-directed or systemic therapies.⁹³ In the future, it is anticipated that improved understanding of the genetic landscape will further improve risk-stratification and lead to a more personalized approach for treatment selection in CTCL.¹⁵

Recognizing that the staging system used for MF/SS is less helpful for non-MF/SS cutaneous lymphomas, a new TNM classification was also proposed for these CTCL variants.¹¹³ Due to the significant heterogeneity of these lymphomas, this staging system does not provide prognostic information, but is intended to provide a uniform description of the disease burden.

4 | TREATMENT OF LIMITED-STAGE MF

As the majority of CTCL patients present with patch/plaque stage MF and have an excellent prognosis, the initial goal of therapy is to improve symptoms and quality of life while avoiding treatment-related toxicity. For many patients, this may involve either expectant management (i.e., “watch and wait”) or skin-directed therapies. A randomized trial comparing early combined modality therapy, including both radiation and multiagent chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, etoposide, and vincristine), with sequential topical therapies demonstrated that combined-modality therapy, while associated with a superior complete response rate, did not translate into improvements in disease-free or overall survival and was associated with significant toxicity.¹¹⁴ Moreover, an international prospective study compared skin-directed therapies (topical steroids, ultraviolet B, psoralen and ultraviolet A, topical nitrogen mustard, topical carmustine, and local radiotherapy) to systemic therapy (oral retinoids, oral bexarotene, methotrexate, interferon, and extracorporeal photopheresis) in early-stage MF. Patients receiving skin-directed therapy had a superior overall response rate.¹¹⁵ The limited efficacy associated with chemotherapy has been highlighted in retrospective studies in which the median time to next treatment following single or multiagent chemotherapy was ≤ 4 months.^{116,117} Therefore, patients with limited-stage disease who require therapy are best approached with skin-directed therapies, usually under the direction of a dermatologist and/or radiation oncologist. Excellent reviews and treatment guidelines are available.^{92,118–123}

4.1 | Topical therapies

The first-line treatment for limited-stage MF is topical steroids. In an uncontrolled prospective study, topical clobetasol propionate was used in 85% of patients with stage 1A/B disease, had an overall response rate of 94%, and is associated with minimal to no toxicity.^{124,125} An alternative topical medication is mechlorethamine 0.02% gel.¹²⁶ In a phase 2 trial, patients with stage IA-IIA MF were treated with 0.02% gel daily for up to 12 months. A response was observed in

58.5% of patients, with 13.8% achieving a complete response. A sustained response was observed in 85.5% patients and the most common adverse effects are contact dermatitis and irritant dermatitis.¹²⁷ For refractory and persistent cutaneous lesions, bexarotene 1% topical gel may be considered. Prospective trials have demonstrated an ORR between 44% and 63%.¹²⁸ Topical toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists, which lead to local production of interferons, and other cytokines, induce cell death and promote host anti-tumor immunity,¹²⁹ and have demonstrated efficacy in limited-stage MF. For example, 20 patients with stage 1A-2B disease were treated with 5% Imiquimod, a TLR7 agonist, and an ORR of 80%, including 45% complete responses, were observed. Toxicities are limited, including localized pain, redness, ulceration, and pruritus. Systemic symptoms, including flu-like symptoms and fatigue, while reported, are rare. Most adverse events are self-limited and resolve after the first few weeks of treatment.^{129,130} Resiquimod, a potent TLR7/8 agonist, was examined in a phase 1 trial using 0.03 and 0.06% topical resiquimod gel. Among the 12 patients treated, clinical improvement was observed in 75% of treated lesions and 90% of patients had a reduction in malignant T cell clones in the treated lesions, and an abscopal, and presumably immune-mediated, effect was observed.¹³¹

4.2 | Phototherapy

Phototherapy is an important treatment modality that may be used alone, or in combination with topical therapies, in patients with limited-stage disease, and includes narrowband UVB (NBUVB, 311 nm) and 8-methoxypsoralen plus ultraviolet A (PUVA). NBUVB is used in both patch and plaque stage MF. PUVA is the modality of choice in skin of color. Phototherapy is widely available and has demonstrated efficacy in many retrospective and prospective studies,^{33,132} and a comprehensive consensus statement on the use of phototherapy was recently published.¹³³

4.3 | Radiation

MF/SS are radiosensitive, thus radiation therapy, with curative intent, may be considered in patients with localized, unilesional MF. For those with more widespread disease, palliative local radiation or low-dose total skin electron beam therapy (TSEBT) are effective¹³⁴ (reviewed in References 135,136).

5 | TREATMENT OF ADVANCED-STAGE MF/SS

5.1 | Overview

Patients with advanced-stage MF/SS require a multidisciplinary approach, as various combinations of skin-directed therapies, biologic-response modifiers, and ultimately the sequential use of systemic

chemotherapeutic agents are frequently employed in the management of these patients. As for limited-stage disease, multiagent chemotherapy is not appropriate.¹¹⁴ Instead, a “risk-adapted” and stage-based approach, consistent with NCCN guidelines, incorporating biologic-response modifiers (e.g., bexarotene and interferon- α), histone deacetylase inhibitors (e.g. romidepsin), or monoclonal antibodies or antibody-drug conjugates (e.g. mogamulizumab, brentuximab vedotin) is generally preferred.¹³⁷ Therapeutic decisions are individualized and based on a patient’s age, performance status, extent of disease burden, the rate of disease progression, and previous therapies.^{118–123}

5.2 | Bexarotene

The endogenous retinoids all-*trans* retinoic acid and 9-*cis* retinoic acid (i.e., vitamin-A-derived compounds) regulate a diverse array of biologic processes, ranging from embryonic development to cell growth, differentiation and survival, upon binding two families of steroid hormone receptors, the retinoic acid receptors (RAR), and retinoid X receptors (RXR). Upon forming homo- or heterodimers, these receptors recruit various nuclear co-repressor or co-activator proteins depending whether or not they are bound by ligand. Multiple RAR retinoids have been used in MF/SS, either topically or systemically (reviewed in^{138,139}), with response rates exceeding 50%. However, in 1999 the oral RXR-selective “rexinoid” bexarotene was FDA approved for CTCL and was later approved as a topical gel formulation. Laboratory studies demonstrate that bexarotene promotes cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in CTCL cell lines.^{140,141} In a multicenter phase II-III study, 94 patients with advanced-stage CTCL who had been previously treated with a median of five prior therapies, the vast majority of whom had disease refractory to at least one prior systemic therapy, received at least 300 mg/m² of oral bexarotene daily.¹⁴² Among patients treated at the 300 mg/m² dose, an overall response rate of 45% was observed, only 2% of which were complete. Although an improved overall response rate was noted with the use of higher doses, this difference was not statistically significant, and dose-limiting toxicity was far more common (50% vs. 89%) in these patients. While a dose–response relationship is likely, the 300 mg/m² dose appears to provide the optimal risk–benefit ratio. The most common toxicities associated with therapy were hypertriglyceridemia (in 82%) and central hypothyroidism (29%). Myelosuppression is infrequent and usually uncomplicated. Pancreatitis secondary to hypertriglyceridemia may be rarely observed, but is reversible upon discontinuation of treatment. Therefore, a baseline lipid panel and free T4/TSH should be obtained prior to the initiation of therapy. In one retrospective study, all patients treated with bexarotene developed hyperlipidemia and central hypothyroidism, frequently within weeks of initiating treatment.¹⁴³ Consequently, use of lipid-lowering agents (e.g., fenofibrate) and low-dose levothyroxine (e.g. 50 micrograms) prior to initiating bexarotene is generally recommended.^{144–146} In clinical practice, bexarotene is frequently initiated at a lower dose of 150 mg/m² and subsequently titrated to full doses after 4 weeks of therapy, depending upon patient tolerability. Most responses occur

within 2–3 months of treatment initiation, but may be delayed. Therefore, in the absence of disease progression or toxicity, treatment should be continued for up to 6 months. For responding patients, treatment should be continued until disease progression and, depending upon the quality of the response, adjunctive skin-directed therapies (e.g., NB-UVB, PUVA) should be considered.¹⁴⁷ Guidelines describing appropriate laboratory monitoring, supportive care, and safe clinical prescribing of bexarotene have been recently published.¹⁴⁶ Future studies clarifying the optimal use of bexarotene, either in combination or sequentially with other agents, are needed.

5.3 | HDAC inhibitors

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) catalyze the removal of acetyl groups from both histone and non-histone proteins. As histone acetylation is associated with an open chromatin configuration associated with active gene transcription, HDACs contribute to histone deacetylation and the epigenetic repression of gene transcription. As HDACs regulate a wide variety of processes involved in carcinogenesis, multiple mechanisms may explain the clinical activity of HDAC inhibitors,^{148,149} including altered gene expression of cell-cycle and apoptotic regulatory proteins,^{150–154} acetylation of non-histone proteins regulating cell growth and survival,^{155–158} angiogenesis,^{159,160} aggresome formation¹⁶¹ and DNA repair.¹⁶² In addition, HDAC inhibitors have profound effects on the tumor microenvironment in CTCL.¹⁶³

Vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA) and romidepsin (depsipeptide) inhibit class I and II HDACs (i.e., pan-HDAC inhibitors), the former being widely expressed in various lymphoma subtypes.¹⁶⁴ Early phase I studies of both vorinostat and romidepsin established their safety and potential efficacy in lymphoproliferative disorders, including CTCL,¹⁶⁵ thus paving the way for larger phase II studies. An earlier phase II study established 400 mg of oral vorinostat once daily as the optimal dose that was investigated further in 74 previously treated patients with CTCL, most of whom (>80%) had advanced-stage disease.^{166,167} The overall response rate was approximately 30% for patients with advanced-stage disease and was associated with a median duration of response estimated to exceed 185 days. However, it is noteworthy that the reported response rate observed with vorinostat, using updated response criteria, was considerably lower (i.e., <10%) in MAVORIC.¹⁶⁸ Most responses were rapid (i.e., <2 months) and were also noted in patients with tumor-stage disease and SS.¹⁶⁹ Patients who failed to achieve an objective response appeared to derive some clinical benefit, including stable disease, decreased lymphadenopathy and pruritis relief, with treatment. The most common non-hematologic adverse events, observed in almost 50% of patients, were gastrointestinal toxicities (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea). Hematologic toxicities, including anemia or thrombocytopenia, were observed in up to 20% of patients. Among responding patients, long-term therapy with vorinostat appears to be well tolerated.¹⁷⁰ Prolongation of the QT interval was rarely observed, but monitoring and appropriate electrolyte replacement is recommended for those patients at risk for QT prolongation.¹⁷¹

Romidepsin, administered as a 4-h intravenous infusion (14 mg/m²) days 1, 8, and 15 every 4 weeks, was evaluated in two phase II studies, the largest of which included 96 patients, most with advanced-stage disease.^{172,173} The overall response rate was 38% for patients with advanced-stage disease, with a median duration of response that exceeded 1 year. A toxicity profile similar to that described for vorinostat was observed. Intensive cardiac monitoring in a subset of these patients failed to demonstrate any clinically significant cardiotoxicity.¹⁷⁴ A subset of MF/SS patients, after induction with romidepsin at the standard dose, may anticipate a durable remission with attenuated “maintenance” (every 2- or 4-week) dosing. For example, among 38 MF/SS patients, 17 achieved a durable (>6 month) remission, 9 of which were maintained with an attenuated, dose-sparing schedule.¹⁷⁵ Among the patients achieving a durable remission, the median duration of treatment was 15 months (range: 7–34 months).

Additional HDAC inhibitors, including potent pan-HDAC inhibitors, appear to have activity in CTCL.^{154,176,177} Further studies are needed to fully define the mechanisms of resistance to HDAC inhibition in CTCL,^{154,178–182} enabling the development of rational therapeutic combinations incorporating HDAC inhibitors in CTCL.^{183,184}

5.4 | Interferons

Interferons (i.e., interferon alpha-2b, interferon gamma-1b), have pleiotropic and immunomodulatory effects in CTCL and are associated with an overall response rates as high as 50%–70% and a complete response rate of 20%–30%, particularly in patients with limited-stage disease.^{185–189} While often considered as second-line therapy for limited-stage CTCL, interferon-alpha, frequently at doses ranging from 3 to 10 million units daily to three times weekly, is a treatment to be considered in the first-line setting in patients with advanced-stage disease. Responses, which may be achieved within a few months, are observed in patients with tumor-stage MF and SS, and are occasionally durable.^{116,190} Furthermore, interferon-alpha may be successfully combined with a number of other therapeutic modalities frequently utilized in the management of these patients, including PUVA, bexarotene, chemotherapy, and ECP.^{191–204} For example, in a cohort of 51, mostly advanced-stage patients treated with single-agent, low-dose, interferon-alpha, responses were observed in 34 (67%), including 21 (41%) with a complete response and 9 with a long-term remission.¹⁸⁸ Similarly, in a cohort of 47 patients with stage III/IV disease, 89% of whom had peripheral blood involvement, a response rate exceeding 80% was observed in those treated with a combination of ECP and interferon-alpha.²⁰⁴ Interferon-alpha is associated with myelosuppression, transaminitis, and dose-limiting flu-like side effects, particularly at higher doses.

5.5 | Extracorporeal photopheresis

During extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) pooled leukapheresis and plasmapheresis products are exposed to 8-methoxypsoralen (8-MOP)

prior to extracorporeal circulation through a 1 mm thick disposable cassette exposed to UVA radiation. The irradiated leukocytes, representing approximately 5% of peripheral blood leukocytes, are subsequently reinfused. Psoralen covalently binds and crosslinks DNA following UVA exposure, leading to the induction of apoptosis in the majority of treated lymphocytes by multiple mechanisms involving bcl-2 family members, disruption of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and extrinsic cell death pathways.^{205–207} In contrast, ECP leads to monocyte activation, including significant changes in gene expression,²⁰⁸ and dendritic cell differentiation, which is thought to culminate in enhanced antigen presentation and the initiation of a host immune response.²⁰⁹

Following the landmark study by Edelson and colleagues describing responses in 27 out of 37 patients with erythrodermic CTCL treated with ECP, ECP was approved by the Food and Drug Administration of the USA for the treatment of CTCL and is now considered the treatment of choice in the first-line management of patients with Sézary syndrome in many centers.²¹⁰ Furthermore, retrospective series demonstrate that ECP is associated with superior time to next treatment when compared with most systemic therapies, including HDAC inhibitors.¹¹⁷ Although responses vary between case series, overall response rates hover around 60%, with a complete response rate of approximately 20%.^{211–214} As current treatment protocols no longer require the oral administration of 8-MOP, eliminating nausea, ECP is safe and generally very well tolerated. Long-term use of ECP may cause iron deficiency anemia due to the small residual blood volume that is not returned to the patient.²¹⁵ Although the precise mechanism of action is incompletely understood, evidence suggests that ECP has immunomodulatory effects which may augment host anti-tumor immunity.^{216,217} It is not surprising then that the median time to response following the initiation of ECP is approximately 6 months. Median survival exceeding 8 years has been observed in ECP treated patients and among complete responders, many experience durable responses which may permit, for some, weaning from CTCL-directed therapies.^{211,218–220} In a retrospective study, patients treated with ECP early (i.e., within the first 3 lines of therapy) experienced superior median time to next treatment (approaching 4 years) when compared to either those treated with alternative agents or ECP later in the course of therapy.²²¹ While patient- or disease-specific factors which may predict a response to therapy are imperfect,²²² Sezary patients without significant nodal or visceral disease who initiate ECP promptly after diagnosis may be more likely to respond. In addition, patients without profound immune deficiencies, reflected by normal or near-normal cytotoxic T-cell and CD4/CD8 values and the absence of prior exposure to systemic chemotherapy, may be more likely to respond to therapy.^{211,213,219} While effective as monotherapy, ECP has also been combined with other therapeutic strategies, including interferon, bexarotene, and TSEBT.^{194,204,218,223–225}

5.6 | Monoclonal antibodies and immunotoxins

In contrast to many B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders, where the incorporation of CD20-targeting monoclonal antibodies has become the standard of care, additional studies are needed to identify the

optimal approach targeting T-cell specific antigens in advanced-stage MF/SS. Alemtuzumab is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody directed against CD52, an antigen widely expressed by B-cells, T-cells, and monocytes.²²⁶ In a phase II study in 22 patients with advanced-stage MF/SS, overall and complete response rates of 55% and 32%, respectively, were observed, with a median time to treatment failure of 1 year.²²⁷ Given the significant risk of infectious complications, low-dose subcutaneous alemtuzumab was investigated in 14 patients with SS, most of whom had relapsed/refractory disease.²²⁸ Most patients in this study received 3 mg of subcutaneous alemtuzumab on day 1 followed by a 10 mg dose on alternating days until the Sézary count was $<1000/\text{mm}^3$. With the exception of a single patient whose best response was stable disease, 9 out of 10 patients treated in this manner achieved a response, 3 of which were complete. For most patients, the time to treatment failure exceeded 12 months. What is notable, however, is that infectious complications were not observed in patients treated with the lowest dose (i.e., 10 mg) of alemtuzumab. Similar results, with no infectious complications, were recently reported in a small cohort of patients treated with modified, low-dose, subcutaneous alemtuzumab for 6 weeks.²²⁹ In addition to hematologic toxicity, conventionally dosed alemtuzumab in advanced-stage MF/SS is associated with a high incidence of infectious complications.^{227,228,230-233} Overall, infectious complications have been observed in two-thirds of treated patients, most of which are bacterial, including sepsis. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation is the most common viral infection. In addition, *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia and invasive fungal infections have also been observed. Therefore, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole and acyclovir should be routinely administered for PJP and HSV/VZV prophylaxis, respectively, in patients receiving alemtuzumab. In addition, CMV surveillance should be performed every 1–2 weeks by quantitative PCR and suppressive therapy with ganciclovir or oral valganciclovir initiated in response to viral reactivation. Low-dose, subcutaneous alemtuzumab appears to be safe and efficacious in selected patients with advanced-stage MF/SS provided with appropriate supportive care. Monoclonal antibodies targeting additional T-cell specific antigens, including CD2,²³⁴ CD4,²³⁵ CD25,²³⁶ and CCR4²³⁷⁻²³⁹ are being explored and appear promising. Resimmune, a second-generation immunotoxin in which the catalytic and translocation domains of diphtheria toxin (DT₃₉₀) have been fused to CD3-specific single chain antibody fragments [bisFv(UCHT1)], is associated with a response rate of 36% (16% complete), and is particularly active in patients with limited-stage disease.²⁴⁰ Much like its predecessor, resimmune is associated with a vascular leak syndrome.⁹²

5.7 | Mogamulizumab

Mogamulizumab (KW-0761) is a humanized monoclonal antibody specific for the chemokine receptor CCR4 that has been defucosylated and is consequently associated with enhanced antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC). In a phase I/2 study, mogamulizumab was well tolerated and was associated with an overall response rate of 37%. A similar response rate of 29% (2/7), all partial, was

observed in a phase II Japanese study.^{239,241} In addition to ADCC-mediated clearance of malignant T cells, mogamulizumab may inhibit T_{reg}-mediate immune suppression,^{242,243} and may warrant further investigation with immunomodulatory therapies, including immune checkpoint blockade.²⁴⁴ A randomized, phase III clinical trial comparing mogamulizumab and vorinostat in relapsed/refractory CTCL (MAVORIC) demonstrated a significant improvement in progression-free survival among MF/SS patients randomized to mogamulizumab.¹⁶⁸ Overall responses in patients treated with mogamulizumab were higher in the blood compartment (68%) when compared with those observed in the skin (42%) or lymph nodes (17%). Not surprisingly then, the overall response rate was highest among Sezary syndrome patients (37%). Overall, treatment with mogamulizumab was well tolerated, with few \geq grade 3 adverse events (AE's). Infusion-related reactions were the most common grade 1 or 2 AE's and were observed in 32%. Mogamulizumab-associated rashes are observed, and may clinically and histopathologically mimic CTCL, but may be managed without discontinuation of therapy.²⁴⁵ Treatment-associated rashes are characterized by macrophage- and CD8+ T-cell-rich infiltrates and have been associated with superior disease control in Sezary patients.²⁴⁶ These positive findings led to mogamulizumab's approval by the FDA in 2018 for MF/SS patients who have failed at least one prior systemic therapy.

5.8 | Brentuximab vedotin

Given the promising response rates observed with brentuximab vedotin (BV) in phase II studies,^{247,248} a randomized, phase III clinical trial (ALCANZA) comparing BV with an investigator's choice (methotrexate or bexarotene) was performed, and demonstrated a significantly improved PFS (>12 months vs. 3.5 months) for patients randomized to BV, and led to its FDA approval in previously treated CTCL.^{249,250} Among MF patients with limited-stage disease treated with BV, a response lasting at least 4 months (ORR4) was observed in 40%, whereas an ORR4 of 63% was observed among patients with tumor-stage (stage IIB) disease. Consistent with prior experience in "CD30 high" lymphomas, an ORR4 of 89% was observed among patients with primary cutaneous ALCL with disease confined to the skin. More recently, and with a median follow-up of 46 months, the final ALCANZA data confirms the benefit associated with BV. Among MF patients, the median PFS in BV-treated patients was 16.1 months, compared with 3.5 months in these treated with either methotrexate or bexarotene.²⁵¹ Not surprisingly then, 1 year following treatment, 34.5% of BV patients required treatment with an alternative systemic agent, whereas 86.6% of methotrexate or bexarotene treated patients required an alternative therapy. The benefit associated with BV was observed independent of CD30 expression or the presence of LCT.²⁵⁰

5.9 | Checkpoint blockade

Durable remissions may be achieved with immunomodulatory therapies, including ECP and interferon- α . While largely anecdotal, these

observations suggest that host immunity, when properly harnessed, can lead to durable responses in selected patients. These observations, coupled with high-level PD-L1 expression in a substantial minority of patients, provide a strong rationale for checkpoint blockade (CPB) in CTCL.^{252,253} Although few CTCL patients have been included in early phase clinical trials, durable responses have been observed, including two responding CTCL patients who achieved responses that were ongoing at 24+ and 50+ weeks.²⁵⁴ In a phase II study in heavily pretreated patients, an overall response rate of 38% was observed in advanced-stage patients treated with pembrolizumab.²⁵⁵ MF with LCT are genetically complex (with a high mutational burden), frequently downregulate MHC class I,²⁵⁶ and express PD-L1, including PD-L1 structural variants,²⁵⁷ all of which are consistent with immune evasion. While the experience in LCT patients is limited, anecdotal evidence supports the utility of checkpoint blockade in these patients.²⁵⁷ These encouraging results, in conjunction with the smorgasbord of currently available immunomodulatory agents, lend themselves to future and ongoing combinatorial strategies.²⁵³

5.10 | Systemic chemotherapy

Responses to conventional chemotherapeutic agents are rarely durable in CTCL,⁹² being associated with a median time-to-next treatment that is measured in months.^{116,117} Consequently, >90% of patients treated in this manner will require additional therapy within the first year of therapy. Furthermore, first-line treatment with systemic chemotherapy has been associated with increased mortality.²⁵⁸ Therefore, multiagent chemotherapy is rarely utilized. Therefore, novel therapeutic agents, including clinical trial participation, are preferred. As there is no standard of care for patients with MF/SS requiring systemic chemotherapy and the decision to initiate therapy is individualized, including consideration of responses and complications related to prior therapies, participation in a well-designed clinical trial is always worth consideration.

Pralatrexate, a novel antifolate with a high affinity for the reduced folate carrier (RFC-1) and novel mechanism of resistance when compared with methotrexate,²⁵⁹⁻²⁶¹ was associated with an overall response rate of 29% in the PROPEL study. This study was comprised largely of peripheral T-cell lymphoma patients, most of whom had refractory disease.²⁶² Notably, 12 patients with transformed MF were included in the study.²⁶³ Many of these patients had received more than 5 prior systemic therapies, including CHOP or CHOP-like regimens. With only a single exception, these patients were refractory to their most recent therapy. Responses, as assessed by the study investigators, were observed in 58% of patients with a median duration of response and progression-free survival of 4–5 months. Results of a dose-finding study were reported in a larger cohort of CTCL patients.²⁶⁴ In this study, the optimal dose was identified as 15 mg/m², given weekly 3 weeks out of 4, and was associated with an overall response rate of 43%. In an effort to reduce the incidence of mucositis, folic acid and vitamin B12 supplementation is routinely provided in these patients.²⁶⁵ Additional therapeutic approaches, including

proteasome inhibition,²⁶⁶ immunomodulatory strategies,²⁶⁷ and more targeted approaches warrant further investigation.^{268,269}

5.11 | High-dose chemotherapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

The available experience with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation, largely confined to case series, suggests that responses following treatment are frequently transient. In contrast, the durable remissions observed following allogeneic transplantation may be explained by the graft versus lymphoma immune response.^{270,271} A retrospective analysis of 60 patients with advanced-stage MF/SS who underwent allogeneic stem cell transplantation was recently reported.²⁷² In this series, patients had received a median of 4 prior therapies prior to undergoing either reduced-conditioning (73%) or myeloablative (27%) conditioning prior to related (75%) or matched-unrelated donor (25%) transplantation. Non-relapse mortality at 1 year was 14% for patients receiving reduced-intensity conditioning or HLA identical/related donor stem cells and 38%–40% for those undergoing myeloablative conditioning or receiving match-unrelated donor grafts. Transplantation during an early phase of disease (defined as first or second remission or relapse following 3 or fewer systemic therapies) was associated with lower relapse rates (25% vs. 44% at 1 year) and a statistically insignificant increase in 3-year overall survival (68% vs. 46%). Given the differences in non-relapse mortality, both reduced-intensity conditioning and use of matched-related donors were associated with superior overall survival (63% at 3 years). Seventeen out of 26 patients who relapsed received donor-lymphocyte infusions. Of these, 47% achieved a complete remission, thus providing evidence for a graft-versus-lymphoma effect in MF/SS. The estimated 3-year progression-free and overall survival were 34% and 53%, respectively. A more recent update of the EBMT experience again demonstrates that allogeneic stem-cell transplantation is curative in a minority of patients, but non-relapse mortality and disease progression remain challenging.²⁷³ Similar outcomes have been observed in a large series from the CIBMTR ($n = 129$), as non-relapse mortality and disease progression at 1 year were 19% and 50%, respectively, and 5-year PFS and OS were 17% and 32%, respectively.²⁷⁴ A systematic review and meta-analysis, pooling data from five studies (and 266 patients), demonstrated a relapse rate following allogeneic transplantation of 47% and a non-relapse mortality rate of 19%.²⁷⁵ Given the possibility of complete and durable remissions, allogeneic stem-cell transplantation may be considered in highly-selected patients.^{190,276,277}

6 | SUMMARY

Establishing a definitive diagnosis of CTCL, accurate disease staging and risk-stratification, and the selection of appropriate therapy requires a multidisciplinary approach. Although high response rates may be achieved with systemic chemotherapy, these responses are

frequently short-lived and associated with significant toxicities. As treatment of advanced-stage MF/SS is largely palliative, a stage-based approach utilizing sequential therapies in an escalated fashion is preferred. Participation in a well-designed clinical trial is encouraged, as the introduction of novel agents will continue to expand the therapeutic options available in the management of CTCL.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (R37CA233476, R01CA236722, Ryan A. Wilcox).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

ORCID

Ryan A. Wilcox  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6420-0760>

REFERENCES

- Willemze R, Jaffe ES, Burg G, et al. WHO-EORTC classification for cutaneous lymphomas. *Blood*. 2005;105:3768-3785.
- Willemze R, Cerroni L, Kempf W, et al. The 2018 update of the WHO-EORTC classification for primary cutaneous lymphomas. *Blood*. 2019;133:1703-1714.
- Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I, et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: lymphoid neoplasms. *Leukemia*. 2022;36:1720-1748.
- Campo E, Jaffe ES, Cook JR, et al. The international consensus classification of mature lymphoid neoplasms: a report from the clinical advisory committee. *Blood*. 2022;140:1229-1253.
- Criscione VD, Weinstock MA. Incidence of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma in the United States, 1973-2002. *Arch Dermatol*. 2007;143:854-859.
- Bradford PT, Devesa SS, Anderson WF, Toro JR. Cutaneous lymphoma incidence patterns in the United States: a population-based study of 3884 cases. *Blood*. 2009;113:5064-5073.
- Johnson WT, Kartan S, Sokol K, Nikbakht N, Porcu P. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of black patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a subgroup analysis of the phase III MAVORIC trial. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2021;62(8):1877-1883.
- Geller S, Lebowitz E, Pulitzer MP, et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors in African American and black patients with mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome: retrospective analysis of 157 patients from a referral cancer center. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020;83:430-439.
- Burns MK, Ellis CN, Cooper KD. Mycosis fungoides--type cutaneous T-cell lymphoma arising before 30 years of age. Immunophenotypic, immunogenotypic and clinicopathologic analysis of nine cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1992;27:974-978.
- Pope E, Weitzman S, Ngan B, et al. Mycosis fungoides in the pediatric population: report from an international childhood registry of cutaneous lymphoma. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2010;14:1-6.
- Agar NS, Wedgeworth E, Crichton S, et al. Survival outcomes and prognostic factors in mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome: validation of the revised International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas/-European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer staging proposal. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:4730-4739.
- Jung JM, Lim DJ, Won CH, Chang SE, Lee MW, Lee WJ. Mycosis Fungoides in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2021;157:431-438.
- Goyal A, O'Leary D, Goyal K, Patel K, Pearson D, Janakiram M. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma is associated with increased risk of lymphoma, melanoma, lung cancer, and bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020;85:1418-1428.
- Goyal A, O'Leary D, Goyal K, et al. Increased risk of second primary hematologic and solid malignancies in patients with mycosis fungoides: a surveillance, epidemiology, and end results analysis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020;83:404-411.
- Elenitoba-Johnson KS, Wilcox R. A new molecular paradigm in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Semin Diagn Pathol*. 2017;34:15-21.
- Jones CL, Degasperi A, Grandi V, et al. Spectrum of mutational signatures in T-cell lymphoma reveals a key role for UV radiation in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11:3962.
- Park J, Daniels J, Wartewig T, et al. Integrated genomic analyses of cutaneous T cell lymphomas reveal the molecular bases for disease heterogeneity. *Blood*. 2021;138:1225-1236.
- Whittemore AS, Holly EA, Lee IM, et al. Mycosis fungoides in relation to environmental exposures and immune response: a case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1989;81:1560-1567.
- Magro CM, Crowson AN, Kovatich AJ, Burns F. Drug-induced reversible lymphoid dyscrasia: a clonal lymphomatoid dermatitis of memory and activated T cells. *Hum Pathol*. 2003;34:119-129.
- Jahan-Tigh RR, Huen AO, Lee GL, Pozadzides JV, Liu P, Duvic M. Hydrochlorothiazide and cutaneous T cell lymphoma: prospective analysis and case series. *Cancer*. 2013;119:825-831.
- Hodak E, Klein T, Gabay B, et al. Familial mycosis fungoides: report of 6 kindreds and a study of the HLA system. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2005;52:393-402.
- Hodak E, Lapidoth M, Kohn K, et al. Mycosis fungoides: HLA class II associations among Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi Jewish patients. *Br J Dermatol*. 2001;145:974-980.
- Jackow CM, McHam JB, Friss A, Alvear J, Reveille JR, Duvic M. HLA-DR5 and DQB1*03 class II alleles are associated with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1996;107:373-376.
- Tuyp E, Burgoyne A, Aitchison T, MacKie R. A case-control study of possible causative factors in mycosis fungoides. *Arch Dermatol*. 1987;123:196-200.
- Wohl Y, Tur E. Environmental risk factors for mycosis fungoides. *Curr Probl Dermatol*. 2007;35:52-64.
- Morales Suarez-Varela MM, Olsen J, Kaerlev L, et al. Are alcohol intake and smoking associated with mycosis fungoides? A European multicentre case-control study. *Eur J Cancer*. 2001;37:392-397.
- Morales-Suarez-Varela MM, Olsen J, Johansen P, et al. Occupational sun exposure and mycosis fungoides: a European multicenter case-control study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2006;48:390-393.
- Wilcox RA. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: 2017 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol*. 2017;92:1085-1102.
- Kim YH, Liu HL, Mraz-Gernhard S, Varghese A, Hoppe RT. Long-term outcome of 525 patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: clinical prognostic factors and risk for disease progression. *Arch Dermatol*. 2003;139:857-866.
- van Doorn R, Van Haselen CW, van Voorst Vader PC, et al. Mycosis fungoides: disease evolution and prognosis of 309 Dutch patients. *Arch Dermatol*. 2000;136:504-510.
- Arulogun SO, Prince HM, Ng J, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with advanced-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and large cell transformation. *Blood*. 2008;112:3082-3087.
- Hurabielle C, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Ortonne N, et al. Frequency and prognostic value of cutaneous molecular residual disease in mycosis

- fungoides: a prospective multicentre trial of the cutaneous lymphoma French study group. *Br J Dermatol*. 2015;173:1015-1023.
33. Dereure O, Picot E, Comte C, Bessis D, Guillot B. Treatment of early stages of mycosis fungoides with narrowband ultraviolet B. A clinical, histological and molecular evaluation of results. *Dermatology*. 2009;218:1-6.
 34. Pimpinelli N, Olsen EA, Santucci M, et al. Defining early mycosis fungoides. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2005;53:1053-1063.
 35. Song SX, Willemze R, Swerdlow SH, Kinney MC, Said JW. Mycosis fungoides: report of the 2011 Society for Hematopathology/-European Association for Haematopathology workshop. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2013;139:466-490.
 36. Morgan SM, Hodges E, Mitchell TJ, Harris S, Whittaker SJ, Smith JL. Molecular analysis of T-cell receptor beta genes in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma reveals Jbeta1 bias. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2006;126:1893-1899.
 37. Ponti R, Quaglino P, Novelli M, et al. T-cell receptor gamma gene rearrangement by multiplex polymerase chain reaction/heteroduplex analysis in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome) and benign inflammatory disease: correlation with clinical, histological and immunophenotypical findings. *Br J Dermatol*. 2005;153:565-573.
 38. Guitart J, Magro C. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoid dyscrasia: a unifying term for idiopathic chronic dermatoses with persistent T-cell clones. *Arch Dermatol*. 2007;143:921-932.
 39. Posnett DN, Sinha R, Kabak S, Russo C. Clonal populations of T cells in normal elderly humans: the T cell equivalent to "benign monoclonal gammopathy". *J Exp Med*. 1994;179:609-618.
 40. Epling-Burnette PK, Painter JS, Rollison DE, et al. Prevalence and clinical association of clonal T-cell expansions in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Leukemia*. 2007;21:659-667.
 41. Martinez A, Pittaluga S, Villamor N, et al. Clonal T-cell populations and increased risk for cytotoxic T-cell lymphomas in B-CLL patients: clinicopathologic observations and molecular analysis. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2004;28:849-858.
 42. Kohler S, Jones CD, Warnke RA, Zehnder JL. PCR-heteroduplex analysis of T-cell receptor gamma gene rearrangement in paraffin-embedded skin biopsies. *Am J Dermatopathol*. 2000;22:321-327.
 43. Thurber SE, Zhang B, Kim YH, Schrijver I, Zehnder J, Kohler S. T-cell clonality analysis in biopsy specimens from two different skin sites shows high specificity in the diagnosis of patients with suggested mycosis fungoides. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2007;57:782-790.
 44. Rojansky R, Fernandez-Pol S, Wang E, et al. Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas with pathogenic somatic mutations and absence of detectable clonal T-cell receptor gene rearrangement: two case reports. *Diagn Pathol*. 2020;15:122.
 45. Sufficool KE, Lockwood CM, Abel HJ, et al. T-cell clonality assessment by next-generation sequencing improves detection sensitivity in mycosis fungoides. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2015;73(228-236):e222.
 46. Rea B, Haun P, Emerson R, et al. Role of high-throughput sequencing in the diagnosis of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Pathol*. 2018;71:814-820.
 47. Gibbs JD, Ma S, Kim A, et al. Utility of flow cytometry and gene rearrangement analysis in tissue and blood of patients with suspected cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Oncol Rep*. 2021;45:349-358.
 48. Raghavan SS, Wang JY, Gru AA, et al. Next-generation sequencing confirms T-cell clonality in a subset of pediatric pityriasis lichenoides. *J Cutan Pathol*. 2022;49:252-260.
 49. Gniadecki R, Lukowsky A. Monoclonal T-cell dyscrasia of undetermined significance associated with recalcitrant erythroderma. *Arch Dermatol*. 2005;141:361-367.
 50. Ormsby A, Bergfeld WF, Tubbs RR, Hsi ED. Evaluation of a new paraffin-reactive CD7 T-cell deletion marker and a polymerase chain reaction-based T-cell receptor gene rearrangement assay: implications for diagnosis of mycosis fungoides in community clinical practice. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2001;45:405-413.
 51. Michie SA, Abel EA, Hoppe RT, Warnke RA, Wood GS. Discordant expression of antigens between intraepidermal and intradermal T cells in mycosis fungoides. *Am J Pathol*. 1990;137:1447-1451.
 52. Espinosa ML, Walker CJ, Guitart J, Mhlaba JM. Morphology of mycosis Fungoides and Sezary syndrome in skin of color. *Cutis*. 2022;109:E3-E7.
 53. Kazakov DV, Burg G, Kempf W. Clinicopathological spectrum of mycosis fungoides. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2004;18:397-415.
 54. Hadi R, Miller TI, May C, et al. Impact of clinical photographs on the accuracy and confidence in the histopathological diagnosis of mycosis fungoides. *J Cutan Pathol*. 2021;48:842-846.
 55. Dittmer M, Brown-Joel ZO, Smith HL, Liu V. Influence of clinical information on the histopathological diagnosis of mycosis fungoides: a follow-up study using scanned slide image review. *J Cutan Pathol*. 2021;48:719-720.
 56. Sezary A, Bouvrain Y. Erythrodermie avec presence de cellules mononucléaires dans le derme et le sang circulant. *Bull Soc Fr Derm Syph*. 1938;45:254-260.
 57. Main RA, Goodall HB, Swanson WC. Sezary's syndrome. *Br J Dermatol*. 1959;71:335-343.
 58. Taswell HF, Winkelmann RK. Sezary syndrome--a malignant reticulemic erythroderma. *JAMA*. 1961;177:465-472.
 59. Lutzner MA, Emerit I, Durepaire R, Flandrin G, Grupper C, Prunieras M. Cytogenetic, cytophotometric, and ultrastructural study of large cerebriform cells of the Sezary syndrome and description of a small-cell variant. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1973;50:1145-1162.
 60. Lutzner MA, Jordan HW. The ultrastructure of an abnormal cell in Sezary's syndrome. *Blood*. 1968;31:719-726.
 61. Edelson RL, Lutzner MA, Kirkpatrick CH, Shevach EM, Green I. Morphologic and functional properties of the atypical T lymphocytes of the Sezary syndrome. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1974;49:558-566.
 62. Lutzner MA, Hobbs JW, Horvath P. Ultrastructure of abnormal cells in Sezary syndrome, mycosis fungoides, and parapsoriasis en plaque. *Arch Dermatol*. 1971;103:375-386.
 63. Matutes E, Robinson D, O'Brien M, Haynes BF, Zola H, Catovsky D. Candidate counterparts of Sezary cells and adult T-cell lymphoma-leukaemia cells in normal peripheral blood: an ultrastructural study with the immunogold method and monoclonal antibodies. *Leuk Res*. 1983;7:787-801.
 64. Reinhold U, Herpertz M, Kukul S, Oltermann I, Uerlich M, Kreysel HW. Induction of nuclear contour irregularity during T-cell activation via the T-cell receptor/CD3 complex and CD2 antigens in the presence of phorbol esters. *Blood*. 1994;83:703-706.
 65. Scheffer E, Meijer CJ, van Vloten WA, Willemze R. A histologic study of lymph nodes from patients with the Sezary syndrome. *Cancer*. 1986;57:2375-2380.
 66. Willemze R, van Vloten WA, Hermans J, Damsteeg MJ, Meijer CJ. Diagnostic criteria in Sezary's syndrome: a multiparameter study of peripheral blood lymphocytes in 32 patients with erythroderma. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1983;81:392-397.
 67. Bousmell L, Bernard A, Reinherz EL, et al. Surface antigens on malignant Sezary and T-CLL cells correspond to those of mature T cells. *Blood*. 1981;57:526-530.
 68. Vonderheid EC, Bernengo MG, Burg G, et al. Update on erythrodermic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: report of the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2002;46:95-106.
 69. Hristov AC, Vonderheid EC, Borowitz MJ. Simplified flow cytometric assessment in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2011;136:944-953.
 70. Bernengo MG, Quaglino P, Novelli M, et al. Prognostic factors in Sezary syndrome: a multivariate analysis of clinical, haematological and immunological features. *Ann Oncol*. 1998;9:857-863.

71. Harmon CB, Witzig TE, Katzmann JA, Pittelkow MR. Detection of circulating T cells with CD4+CD7- immunophenotype in patients with benign and malignant lymphoproliferative dermatoses. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1996;35:404-410.
72. Klemke CD, Booken N, Weiss C, et al. Histopathological and immunophenotypic criteria for the diagnosis of Sezary syndrome in differentiation from other erythrodermic skin diseases: a European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) cutaneous lymphoma task force study of 97 cases. *Br J Dermatol.* 2015; 173:93-105.
73. Boonk SE, Zoutman WH, Marie-Cardine A, et al. Evaluation of Immunophenotypic and molecular biomarkers for Sezary syndrome using standard operating procedures: a multicenter study of 59 patients. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2016;136:1364-1372.
74. Bogen SA, Pelley D, Charif M, et al. Immunophenotypic identification of Sezary cells in peripheral blood. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1996;106: 739-748.
75. Ginaldi L, Matutes E, Farahat N, De Martinis M, Morilla R, Catovsky D. Differential expression of CD3 and CD7 in T-cell malignancies: a quantitative study by flow cytometry. *Br J Haematol.* 1996;93:921-927.
76. Jones D, Dang NH, Duvic M, Washington LT, Huh YO. Absence of CD26 expression is a useful marker for diagnosis of T-cell lymphoma in peripheral blood. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2001;115:885-892.
77. Pierson DM, Jones D, Muzzafar T, et al. Utility of CD26 in flow cytometric immunophenotyping of T-cell lymphomas in tissue and body fluid specimens. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom.* 2008;74:341-348.
78. Sokolowska-Wojdylo M, Wenzel J, Gaffal E, et al. Absence of CD26 expression on skin-homing CLA+ CD4+ T lymphocytes in peripheral blood is a highly sensitive marker for early diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of patients with Sezary syndrome. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2005;30:702-706.
79. Scarisbrick JJ, Hodak E, Bagot M, et al. Blood classification and blood response criteria in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome using flow cytometry: recommendations from the EORTC cutaneous lymphoma task force. *Eur J Cancer.* 2018;93:47-56.
80. Olsen EA, Whittaker S, Willemze R, et al. Primary cutaneous lymphoma: recommendations for clinical trial design and staging update from the ISCL, USCLC, and EORTC. *Blood.* 2021;140:419-437.
81. Vermeer MH, Moins-Teisserenc H, Bagot M, Quaglino P, Whittaker S. Flow cytometry for the assessment of blood tumour burden in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: towards a standardized approach. *Br J Dermatol.* 2022;187:21-28.
82. Bahler DW, Hartung L, Hill S, Bowen GM, Vonderheid EC. CD158k/KIR3DL2 is a useful marker for identifying neoplastic T-cells in Sezary syndrome by flow cytometry. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom.* 2008;74:156-162.
83. Poszepczynska-Guigne E, Schiavon V, D'Incan M, et al. CD158k/KIR3DL2 is a new phenotypic marker of Sezary cells: relevance for the diagnosis and follow-up of Sezary syndrome. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2004;122:820-823.
84. Klemke CD, Brade J, Weckesser S, et al. The diagnosis of Sezary syndrome on peripheral blood by flow cytometry requires the use of multiple markers. *Br J Dermatol.* 2008;159:871-880.
85. Morice WG, Kimlinger T, Katzmann JA, et al. Flow cytometric assessment of TCR-Vbeta expression in the evaluation of peripheral blood involvement by T-cell lymphoproliferative disorders: a comparison with conventional T-cell immunophenotyping and molecular genetic techniques. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2004;121: 373-383.
86. Schwab C, Willers J, Niederer E, et al. The use of anti-T-cell receptor-Vbeta antibodies for the estimation of treatment success and phenotypic characterization of clonal T-cell populations in cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;118:1019-1026.
87. Clark RA, Shackelton JB, Watanabe R, et al. High-scatter T cells: a reliable biomarker for malignant T cells in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2011;117:1966-1976.
88. Horna P, Otteson GE, Shi M, Jevremovic D, Yuan J, Olteanu H. Flow cytometric evaluation of surface and cytoplasmic TRBC1 expression in the differential diagnosis of immature T-cell proliferations. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2022;157:64-72.
89. Horna P, Shi M, Jevremovic D, Craig FE, Comfere NI, Olteanu H. Utility of TRBC1 expression in the diagnosis of peripheral blood involvement by cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2021; 141(821-829):e822.
90. Horna P, Shi M, Olteanu H, Johansson U. Emerging role of T-cell receptor constant beta Chain-1 (TRBC1) expression in the flow Cytometric diagnosis of T-cell malignancies. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22: 1817.
91. Wang L, Rocas D, Dalle S, et al. Primary cutaneous peripheral T-cell lymphomas with a T follicular helper phenotype: an integrative clinical, pathological, and molecular case series study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2022 (online ahead of print).
92. Wilcox RA. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* 2011;86: 928-948.
93. Olsen E, Vonderheid E, Pimpinelli N, et al. Revisions to the staging and classification of mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a proposal of the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas (ISCL) and the cutaneous lymphoma task force of the European Organization of Research and Treatment of cancer (EORTC). *Blood.* 2007; 110:1713-1722.
94. Scheffer E, Meijer CJ, Van Vloten WA. Dermatopathic lymphadenopathy and lymph node involvement in mycosis fungoides. *Cancer.* 1980;45:137-148.
95. Sausville EA, Worsham GF, Matthews MJ, et al. Histologic assessment of lymph nodes in mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome (cutaneous T-cell lymphoma): clinical correlations and prognostic import of a new classification system. *Hum Pathol.* 1985;16:1098-1109.
96. Clendenning WE, Rappaport HW. Report of the Committee on pathology of cutaneous T cell lymphomas. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 1979; 63:719-724.
97. Hodak E, Sherman S, Papadavid E, et al. Cutaneous lymphoma international consortium i. should we be imaging lymph nodes at initial diagnosis of early-stage mycosis fungoides? Results from the PROSpective cutaneous lymphoma international prognostic index (PROCLIPI) international study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2021;184: 524-531.
98. Willemze R, Hodak E, Zinzani PL, Specht L, Ladetto M, Committee EG. Primary cutaneous lymphomas: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2018;29:iv30-iv40.
99. Fraser-Andrews EA, Mitchell T, Ferreira S, et al. Molecular staging of lymph nodes from 60 patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: correlation with histopathology and outcome suggests prognostic relevance in mycosis fungoides. *Br J Dermatol.* 2006;155: 756-762.
100. Assaf C, Hummel M, Steinhoff M, et al. Early TCR-beta and TCR-gamma PCR detection of T-cell clonality indicates minimal tumor disease in lymph nodes of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: diagnostic and prognostic implications. *Blood.* 2005;105:503-510.
101. Scarisbrick JJ, Whittaker S, Evans AV, et al. Prognostic significance of tumor burden in the blood of patients with erythrodermic primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2001;97:624-630.
102. Fraser-Andrews EA, Woolford AJ, Russell-Jones R, Seed PT, Whittaker SJ. Detection of a peripheral blood T cell clone is an independent prognostic marker in mycosis fungoides. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2000;114:117-121.

103. Mourad A, Gniadecki R. Overall survival in mycosis Fungoides: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2020;140(495-497):e495.
104. Benton EC, Crichton S, Talpur R, et al. A cutaneous lymphoma international prognostic index (CLIPi) for mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Eur J Cancer.* 2013;49:2859-2868.
105. Scarisbrick JJ, Prince HM, Vermeer MH, et al. Cutaneous lymphoma international consortium study of outcome in advanced stages of mycosis Fungoides and Sezary syndrome: effect of specific prognostic markers on survival and development of a prognostic model. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:3766-3773.
106. Vergier B, de Muret A, Beylot-Barry M, et al. Transformation of Mycosis Fungoides: clinicopathological and prognostic features of 45 cases. French Study Group of Cutaneous Lymphomas. *Blood.* 2000;95:2212-2218.
107. Greer JP, Salhany KE, Cousar JB, et al. Clinical features associated with transformation of cerebriform T-cell lymphoma to a large cell process. *Hematol Oncol.* 1990;8:215-227.
108. Salhany KE, Cousar JB, Greer JP, Casey TT, Fields JP, Collins RD. Transformation of cutaneous T cell lymphoma to large cell lymphoma. A clinicopathologic and immunologic study. *Am J Pathol.* 1988;132:265-277.
109. Diamandidou E, Colome M, Fayad L, Duvic M, Kurzrock R. Prognostic factor analysis in mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1999;40:914-924.
110. Diamandidou E, Colome-Grimmer M, Fayad L, Duvic M, Kurzrock R. Transformation of mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome: clinical characteristics and prognosis. *Blood.* 1998;92:1150-1159.
111. Charli-Joseph Y, Kashani-Sabet M, McCalmont TH, et al. Association of a proposed new staging system for folliculotropic mycosis fungoides with prognostic variables in a US cohort. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2021;157:157-165.
112. van Santen S, Roach RE, van Doorn R, et al. Clinical staging and prognostic factors in folliculotropic mycosis fungoides. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2016;152:992-1000.
113. Kim YH, Willemze R, Pimpinelli N, et al. TNM classification system for primary cutaneous lymphomas other than mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a proposal of the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas (ISCL) and the cutaneous lymphoma task force of the European Organization of Research and Treatment of cancer (EORTC). *Blood.* 2007;110:479-484.
114. Kaye FJ, Bunn PA Jr, Steinberg SM, et al. A randomized trial comparing combination electron-beam radiation and chemotherapy with topical therapy in the initial treatment of mycosis fungoides. *N Engl J Med.* 1989;321:1784-1790.
115. Quaglino P, Prince HM, Cowan R, et al. Treatment of early-stage mycosis fungoides: results from the PROspective cutaneous lymphoma international prognostic index (PROCLIPi) study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2021;184:722-730.
116. Hughes CF, Khot A, McCormack C, et al. Lack of durable disease control with chemotherapy for mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a comparative study of systemic therapy. *Blood.* 2015;125:71-81.
117. Hanel W, Briski R, Ross CW, et al. A retrospective comparative outcome analysis following systemic therapy in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Am J Hematol.* 2016;91:E491-E495.
118. Trautinger F, Knobler R, Willemze R, et al. EORTC consensus recommendations for the treatment of mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome. *Eur J Cancer.* 2006;42:1014-1030.
119. Lansigan F, Foss FM. Current and emerging treatment strategies for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Drugs.* 2010;70:273-286.
120. Horwitz SM, Olsen EA, Duvic M, Porcu P, Kim YH. Review of the treatment of mycosis fungoides and sezary syndrome: a stage-based approach. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw.* 2008;6:436-442.
121. Prince HM, Whittaker S, Hoppe RT. How I treat mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Blood.* 2009;114:4337-4353.
122. Whittaker SJ, Marsden JR, Spittle M, Russell JR. Joint British Association of Dermatologists and U.K. cutaneous lymphoma group guidelines for the management of primary cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. *Br J Dermatol.* 2003;149:1095-1107.
123. Jones GW, Kacinski BM, Wilson LD, et al. Total skin electron radiation in the management of mycosis fungoides: consensus of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of cancer (EORTC) cutaneous lymphoma project group. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2002;47:364-370.
124. Zackheim HS, Kashani-Sabet M, Amin S. Topical corticosteroids for mycosis fungoides. Experience in 79 patients. *Arch Dermatol.* 1998;134:949-954.
125. Demierre MF, Kim YH, Zackheim HS. Prognosis, clinical outcomes and quality of life issues in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2003;17:1485-1507.
126. Kim EJ, Guitart J, Querfeld C, et al. The PROVe study: US real-world experience with Chloromethine/Mechlorethamine gel in combination with other therapies for patients with mycosis Fungoides cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2021;22:407-414.
127. Lessin SR, Duvic M, Guitart J, et al. Topical chemotherapy in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: positive results of a randomized, controlled, multicenter trial testing the efficacy and safety of a novel mechlorethamine, 0.02%, gel in mycosis fungoides. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2013;149:25-32.
128. Breneman D, Duvic M, Kuzel T, Yocum R, Truglia J, Stevens VJ. Phase 1 and 2 trial of bexarotene gel for skin-directed treatment of patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Arch Dermatol.* 2002;138:325-332.
129. Huen AO, Rook AH. Toll receptor agonist therapy of skin cancer and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Curr Opin Oncol.* 2014;26:237-244.
130. Shipman AR, Scarisbrick J. New treatment options for mycosis Fungoides. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2016;61:119.
131. Rook AH, Gelfand JM, Wysocka M, et al. Topical resiquimod can induce disease regression and enhance T-cell effector functions in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2015;126:1452-1461.
132. Gathers RC, Scherschun L, Malick F, Fivenson DP, Lim HW. Narrow-band UVB phototherapy for early-stage mycosis fungoides. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2002;47:191-197.
133. Olsen EA, Hodak E, Anderson T, et al. Guidelines for phototherapy of mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a consensus statement of the United States cutaneous lymphoma consortium. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2016;74:27-58.
134. Fong S, Hong EK, Khodadoust MS, et al. Low-dose Total skin electron beam therapy combined with Mogamulizumab for refractory mycosis Fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Adv Radiat Oncol.* 2021;6:100629.
135. Specht L, Dabaja B, Illidge T, Wilson LD, Hoppe RT. International lymphoma radiation oncology G. modern radiation therapy for primary cutaneous lymphomas: field and dose guidelines from the international lymphoma radiation oncology group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2015;92:32-39.
136. Hoppe RT, Harrison C, Tavallae M, et al. Low-dose total skin electron beam therapy as an effective modality to reduce disease burden in patients with mycosis fungoides: results of a pooled analysis from 3 phase-II clinical trials. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2015;72:286-292.
137. Wilcox RA. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: 2014 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol.* 2014;89:837-851.
138. Kempf W, Kettelhack N, Duvic M, Burg G. Topical and systemic retinoid therapy for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2003;17:1405-1419.
139. Zhang C, Duvic M. Retinoids: therapeutic applications and mechanisms of action in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Dermatol Ther.* 2003;16:322-330.

140. Nieto-Rementeria N, Perez-Yarza G, Boyano MD, et al. Bexarotene activates the p53/p73 pathway in human cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Br J Dermatol*. 2009;160:519-526.
141. Zhang C, Hazarika P, Ni X, Weidner DA, Duvic M. Induction of apoptosis by bexarotene in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma cells: relevance to mechanism of therapeutic action. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2002;8:1234-1240.
142. Duvic M, Hymes K, Heald P, et al. Bexarotene is effective and safe for treatment of refractory advanced-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: multinational phase II-III trial results. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19:2456-2471.
143. Abbott RA, Whittaker SJ, Morris SL, et al. Bexarotene therapy for mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Br J Dermatol*. 2009;160:1299-1307.
144. Assaf C, Bagot M, Dummer R, et al. Minimizing adverse side-effects of oral bexarotene in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: an expert opinion. *Br J Dermatol*. 2006;155:261-266.
145. Gniadecki R, Assaf C, Bagot M, et al. The optimal use of bexarotene in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Br J Dermatol*. 2007;157:433-440.
146. Scarisbrick JJ, Morris S, Azurdia R, et al. U.K. Consensus statement on safe clinical prescribing of bexarotene for patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Br J Dermatol*. 2013;168:192-200.
147. Huber MA, Kunzi-Rapp K, Staib G, Scharffetter-Kochanek K. Management of refractory early-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (mycosis fungoides) with a combination of oral bexarotene and psoralen plus ultraviolet bath therapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2004;50:475-476.
148. Schrupp DS. Cytotoxicity mediated by histone deacetylase inhibitors in cancer cells: mechanisms and potential clinical implications. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15:3947-3957.
149. Lemoine M, Younes A. Histone deacetylase inhibitors in the treatment of lymphoma. *Discov Med*. 2010;10:462-470.
150. Gui CY, Ngo L, Xu WS, Richon VM, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor activation of p21WAF1 involves changes in promoter-associated proteins, including HDAC1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101:1241-1246.
151. Richon VM, Sandhoff TW, Rifkind RA, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitor selectively induces p21WAF1 expression and gene-associated histone acetylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000;97:10014-10019.
152. Sandor V, Senderowicz A, Mertins S, et al. P21-dependent g(1)arrest with downregulation of cyclin D1 and upregulation of cyclin E by the histone deacetylase inhibitor FR901228. *Br J Cancer*. 2000;83:817-825.
153. Zhang C, Richon V, Ni X, Talpur R, Duvic M. Selective induction of apoptosis by histone deacetylase inhibitor SAHA in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma cells: relevance to mechanism of therapeutic action. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2005;125:1045-1052.
154. Shao W, Growney JD, Feng Y, et al. Activity of deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat (LBH589) in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma models: defining molecular mechanisms of resistance. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;127:2199-2208.
155. Tang Y, Zhao W, Chen Y, Zhao Y, Gu W. Acetylation is indispensable for p53 activation. *Cell*. 2008;133:612-626.
156. Zhao Y, Lu S, Wu L, et al. Acetylation of p53 at lysine 373/382 by the histone deacetylase inhibitor depsipeptide induces expression of p21(Waf1/Cip1). *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26:2782-2790.
157. Dai Y, Rahmani M, Dent P, Grant S. Blockade of histone deacetylase inhibitor-induced RelA/p65 acetylation and NF-kappaB activation potentiates apoptosis in leukemia cells through a process mediated by oxidative damage, XIAP downregulation, and c-Jun N-terminal kinase 1 activation. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2005;25:5429-5444.
158. Zhang XD, Gillespie SK, Borrow JM, Hersey P. The histone deacetylase inhibitor suberic bishydroxamate regulates the expression of multiple apoptotic mediators and induces mitochondria-dependent apoptosis of melanoma cells. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2004;3:425-435.
159. Kim SH, Jeong JW, Park JA, et al. Regulation of the HIF-1alpha stability by histone deacetylases. *Oncol Rep*. 2007;17:647-651.
160. Heider U, Kaiser M, Sterz J, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors reduce VEGF production and induce growth suppression and apoptosis in human mantle cell lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol*. 2006;76:42-50.
161. Catley L, Weisberg E, Kiziltepe T, et al. Aggressive induction by proteasome inhibitor bortezomib and alpha-tubulin hyperacetylation by tubulin deacetylase (TDAC) inhibitor LBH589 are synergistic in myeloma cells. *Blood*. 2006;108:3441-3449.
162. Munshi A, Kurland JF, Nishikawa T, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors radiosensitize human melanoma cells by suppressing DNA repair activity. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2005;11:4912-4922.
163. Qu K, Zaba LC, Satpathy AT, et al. Chromatin accessibility landscape of cutaneous T cell lymphoma and dynamic response to HDAC inhibitors. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;32(27-41):e24.
164. Gloghini A, Buglio D, Khaskhely NM, et al. Expression of histone deacetylases in lymphoma: implication for the development of selective inhibitors. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;147:515-525.
165. Prince HM, Bishton MJ, Harrison SJ. Clinical studies of histone deacetylase inhibitors. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;15:3958-3969.
166. Duvic M, Talpur R, Ni X, et al. Phase 2 trial of oral vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA) for refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). *Blood*. 2007;109:31-39.
167. Olsen EA, Kim YH, Kuzel TM, et al. Phase IIb multicenter trial of vorinostat in patients with persistent, progressive, or treatment refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25:3109-3115.
168. Kim YH, Bagot M, Pinter-Brown L, et al. Mogamulizumab versus vorinostat in previously treated cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (MAVORIC): an international, open-label, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018;19:1192-1204.
169. Kim E, Rook A, Kim Y, et al. Romidepsin activity in all three disease compartments (skin, blood, lymph nodes) in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:8047.
170. Duvic M, Olsen EA, Breneman D, et al. Evaluation of the long-term tolerability and clinical benefit of vorinostat in patients with advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma*. 2009;9:412-416.
171. Sager PT, Balsler B, Wolfson J, et al. Electrocardiographic effects of class 1 selective histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin. *Cancer Med*. 2015;4:1178-1185.
172. Whittaker SJ, Demierre MF, Kim EJ, et al. Final results from a multicenter, international, pivotal study of romidepsin in refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:4485-4491.
173. Piekarz RL, Frye R, Turner M, et al. Phase II multi-institutional trial of the histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin as monotherapy for patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27:5410-5417.
174. Piekarz RL, Frye AR, Wright JJ, et al. Cardiac studies in patients treated with depsipeptide, FK228, in a phase II trial for T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2006;12:3762-3773.
175. Martinez-Escala ME, Kuzel TM, Kaplan JB, et al. Durable responses with maintenance dose-sparing regimens of Romidepsin in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *JAMA Oncol*. 2016;2:790-793.
176. Ellis L, Pan Y, Smyth GK, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat induces clinical responses with associated alterations in gene expression profiles in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2008;14:4500-4510.
177. Pohlman B, Advani RH, Duvic M, et al. Final results of a phase II trial of Belinostat (PXD101) in patients with recurrent or refractory peripheral or cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2009;114: abstract 920.

178. Fantin VR, Loboda A, Paweletz CP, et al. Constitutive activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription predicts vorinostat resistance in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Res.* 2008;68:3785-3794.
179. Robey RW, Zhan Z, Piekarz RL, Kayastha GL, Fojo T, Bates SE. Increased MDR1 expression in normal and malignant peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained from patients receiving depsipeptide (FR901228, FK228, NSC630176). *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12:1547-1555.
180. Karpova MB, Gunz D, Okoniewski MJ, et al. Transcriptome adaptation caused by vorinostat/bexarotene combination therapy in advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:8050.
181. Khan O, Fotheringham S, Wood V, et al. HR23B is a biomarker for tumor sensitivity to HDAC inhibitor-based therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107:6532-6537.
182. Chakraborty AR, Robey RW, Luchenko VL, et al. MAPK pathway activation leads to Bim loss and histone deacetylase inhibitor resistance: rationale to combine romidepsin with an MEK inhibitor. *Blood.* 2013;121:4115-4125.
183. Heider U, Rademacher J, Lamottke B, et al. Synergistic interaction of the histone deacetylase inhibitor SAHA with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in cutaneous T cell lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol.* 2009;82:440-449.
184. Dummer R, Hymes K, Sterry W, et al. Vorinostat in combination with bexarotene in advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a phase I study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:8572.
185. Olsen EA, Rosen ST, Vollmer RT, et al. Interferon alfa-2a in the treatment of cutaneous T cell lymphoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1989;20:395-407.
186. Sun WH, Pabon C, Alsayed Y, et al. Interferon-alpha resistance in a cutaneous T-cell lymphoma cell line is associated with lack of STAT1 expression. *Blood.* 1998;91:570-576.
187. Bunn PA Jr, Foon KA, Ihde DC, et al. Recombinant leukocyte interferon: an active agent in advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. *Ann Intern Med.* 1984;101:484-487.
188. Jumbou O, N'Guyen JM, Tessier MH, Legoux B, Dreno B. Long-term follow-up in 51 patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome treated by interferon-alfa. *Br J Dermatol.* 1999;140:427-431.
189. Kaplan EH, Rosen ST, Norris DB, Roenigk HH Jr, Saks SR, Bunn PA Jr. Phase II study of recombinant human interferon gamma for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1990;82:208-212.
190. Polansky M, Talpur R, Daulat S, Hosing C, Dabaja B, Duvic M. Long-term complete responses to combination therapies and allogeneic stem cell transplants in patients with Sezary syndrome. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2015;15:e83-e93.
191. Olsen EA, Bunn PA. Interferon in the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 1995;9:1089-1107.
192. Kuzel TM, Gilyon K, Springer E, et al. Interferon alfa-2a combined with phototherapy in the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1990;82:203-207.
193. Straus DJ, Duvic M, Kuzel T, et al. Results of a phase II trial of oral bexarotene (Targretin) combined with interferon alfa-2b (intron-a) for patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer.* 2007;109:1799-1803.
194. Dippel E, Schrag H, Goerdts S, Orfanos CE. Extracorporeal photopheresis and interferon-alpha in advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Lancet.* 1997;350:32-33.
195. Foss FM, Ihde DC, Breneman DL, et al. Phase II study of pentostatin and intermittent high-dose recombinant interferon alfa-2a in advanced mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* 1992;10:1907-1913.
196. Fritz TM, Kleinhans M, Nestle FO, Burg G, Dummer R. Combination treatment with extracorporeal photopheresis, interferon alfa and interleukin-2 in a patient with the Sezary syndrome. *Br J Dermatol.* 1999;140:1144-1147.
197. Zachariae H, Thestrup-Pedersen K. Interferon alpha and etretinate combination treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1990;95:206 S-208 S.
198. Papa G, Tura S, Mandelli F, et al. Is interferon alpha in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma a treatment of choice? *Br J Haematol.* 1991;79-(Suppl 1):48-51.
199. Rupoli S, Barulli S, Guiducci B, et al. Low dose Interferon-alpha2b combined with PUVA is an effective treatment of early stage mycosis fungoides: results of a Multicenter Study. Cutaneous-T Cell Lymphoma Multicenter Study Group. *Haematologica.* 1999;84:809-813.
200. Kuzel TM, Roenigk HH Jr, Samuelson E, et al. Effectiveness of interferon alfa-2a combined with phototherapy for mycosis fungoides and the Sezary syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* 1995;13:257-263.
201. Roenigk HH Jr, Kuzel TM, Skoutelis AP, et al. Photochemotherapy alone or combined with interferon alpha-2a in the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1990;95:198 S-205 S.
202. Chiarion-Sileni V, Bononi A, Fornasa CV, et al. Phase II trial of interferon-alpha-2a plus psolarene with ultraviolet light a in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer.* 2002;95:569-575.
203. Foss FM, Ihde DC, Linnoila IR, et al. Phase II trial of fludarabine phosphate and interferon alfa-2a in advanced mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* 1994;12:2051-2059.
204. Suchin KR, Cucchiara AJ, Gottlieb SL, et al. Treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with combined immunomodulatory therapy: a 14-year experience at a single institution. *Arch Dermatol.* 2002;138:1054-1060.
205. Bladon J, Taylor PC. Lymphocytes treated by extracorporeal photopheresis demonstrate a drop in the Bcl-2/Bax ratio: a possible mechanism involved in extracorporeal-photopheresis-induced apoptosis. *Dermatology.* 2002;204:104-107.
206. Bladon J, Taylor PC. Extracorporeal photopheresis: a focus on apoptosis and cytokines. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2006;43:85-94.
207. Osella-Abate S, Zaccagna A, Savoia P, Quaglino P, Salomone B, Bernengo MG. Expression of apoptosis markers on peripheral blood lymphocytes from patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma during extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2001;44:40-47.
208. Berger C, Hoffmann K, Vasquez JG, et al. Rapid generation of matured dendritic cells: contribution to the clinical efficacy of extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *Blood.* 2010;116:4838-4847.
209. Berger CL, Xu AL, Hanlon D, et al. Induction of human tumor-loaded dendritic cells. *Int J Cancer.* 2001;91:438-447.
210. Edelson R, Berger C, Gasparro F, et al. Treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma by extracorporeal photochemotherapy. Preliminary results. *N Engl J Med.* 1987;316:297-303.
211. Knobler R, Jantschitsch C. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2003;28:81-89.
212. Zic JA. The treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with photopheresis. *Dermatol Ther.* 2003;16:337-346.
213. Quaglino P, Knobler R, Fierro MT, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis for the treatment of erythrodermic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a single center clinical experience with long-term follow-up data and a brief overview of the literature. *Int J Dermatol.* 2013;52:1308-1318.
214. Knobler R, Berlin G, Calzavara-Pinton P, et al. Guidelines on the use of extracorporeal photopheresis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2014;28(Suppl 1):1-37.
215. Sanford KW, Anderson J, Roseff S, McPherson RA. Iron deficiency anemia in patients undergoing extracorporeal Photopheresis for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Lab Med.* 2019;50:29-33.

216. Tatsuno K, Yamazaki T, Hanlon D, et al. Extracorporeal photochemotherapy induces bona fide immunogenic cell death. *Cell Death Dis.* 2019;10:578.
217. Ventura A, Vassall A, Yurter A, et al. Novel protocol for generating physiologic immunogenic dendritic cells. *J Vis Exp.* 2019;147.
218. Gottlieb SL, Wolfe JT, Fox FE, et al. Treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with extracorporeal photopheresis monotherapy and in combination with recombinant interferon alfa: a 10-year experience at a single institution. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1996;35:946-957.
219. Heald P, Rook A, Perez M, et al. Treatment of erythrodermic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1992;27:427-433.
220. Zic JA, Stricklin GP, Greer JP, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma treated with extracorporeal photochemotherapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1996;35:935-945.
221. Gao C, McCormack C, van der Weyden C, et al. Prolonged survival with the early use of a novel extracorporeal photopheresis regimen in patients with Sezary syndrome. *Blood.* 2019;134:1346-1350.
222. Wilcox RA. ECP in the spotLIGHT. *Blood.* 2019;134:1275-1277.
223. Wilson LD, Jones GW, Kim D, et al. Experience with total skin electron beam therapy in combination with extracorporeal photopheresis in the management of patients with erythrodermic (T4) mycosis fungoides. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2000;43:54-60.
224. Wilson LD, Licata AL, Braverman IM, et al. Systemic chemotherapy and extracorporeal photochemotherapy for T3 and T4 cutaneous T-cell lymphoma patients who have achieved a complete response to total skin electron beam therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1995;32:987-995.
225. Tsirigotis P, Pappa V, Papageorgiou S, et al. Extracorporeal photopheresis in combination with bexarotene in the treatment of mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Br J Dermatol.* 2007;156:1379-1381.
226. Ginaldi L, De Martinis M, Matutes E, et al. Levels of expression of CD52 in normal and leukemic B and T cells: correlation with in vivo therapeutic responses to Campath-1H. *Leuk Res.* 1998;22:185-191.
227. Lundin J, Hagberg H, Repp R, et al. Phase 2 study of alemtuzumab (anti-CD52 monoclonal antibody) in patients with advanced mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome. *Blood.* 2003;101:4267-4272.
228. Bernengo MG, Quaglino P, Comessatti A, et al. Low-dose intermittent alemtuzumab in the treatment of Sezary syndrome: clinical and immunologic findings in 14 patients. *Haematologica.* 2007;92:784-794.
229. Fisher DC, Tawa M, Walsh M, Clark RA, Kupper TS. Low-dose alemtuzumab is uniquely effective in refractory leukemic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (L-CTCL). *Blood.* 2009;114:3748.
230. Thursky KA, Worth LJ, Seymour JF, Miles Prince H, Slavin MA. Spectrum of infection, risk and recommendations for prophylaxis and screening among patients with lymphoproliferative disorders treated with alemtuzumab*. *Br J Haematol.* 2006;132:3-12.
231. Enblad G, Hagberg H, Erlanson M, et al. A pilot study of alemtuzumab (anti-CD52 monoclonal antibody) therapy for patients with relapsed or chemotherapy-refractory peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *Blood.* 2004;103:2920-2924.
232. Gautschi O, Blumenthal N, Streit M, Solenthaler M, Hunziker T, Zenhausem R. Successful treatment of chemotherapy-refractory Sezary syndrome with alemtuzumab (Campath-1H). *Eur J Haematol.* 2004;72:61-63.
233. Kennedy GA, Seymour JF, Wolf M, et al. Treatment of patients with advanced mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome with alemtuzumab. *Eur J Haematol.* 2003;71:250-256.
234. O'Mahony D, Morris JC, Moses L, et al. Phase I trial of Siplizumab in CD2-positive lymphoproliferative disease. *Blood.* 2005;106:3353.
235. Kim YH, Duvic M, Obitz E, et al. Clinical efficacy of zanolimumab (HuMax-CD4): two phase 2 studies in refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* 2007;109:4655-4662.
236. Kreitman RJ, Wilson WH, White JD, et al. Phase I trial of recombinant immunotoxin anti-tac(Fv)-PE38 (LMB-2) in patients with hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18:1622-1636.
237. Suzuki R. Dosing of a phase I study of KW-0761, an anti-CCR4 antibody, for adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma and peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:e404-e405; author reply e406.
238. Yamamoto K, Utsunomiya A, Tobinai K, et al. Phase I study of KW-0761, a defucosylated humanized anti-CCR4 antibody, in relapsed patients with adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma and peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:1591-1598.
239. Duvic M, Pinter-Brown L, Foss F, et al. Results of a phase 1/2 study for KW-0761, a monoclonal antibody directed against CC chemokine receptor type 4 (CCR4), in CTCL patients. *Blood.* 2010;116:285.
240. Frankel AE, Woo JH, Ahn C, et al. Resimmune, an anti-CD3epsilon recombinant immunotoxin, induces durable remissions in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Haematologica.* 2015;100:794-800.
241. Ogura M, Ishida T, Hatake K, et al. Multicenter phase II study of Mogamulizumab (KW-0761), a defucosylated anti-CC chemokine receptor 4 antibody, in patients with relapsed peripheral T-cell lymphoma and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32:1157-1163.
242. Ni X, Jorgensen JL, Goswami M, et al. Reduction of regulatory T cells by mogamulizumab, a defucosylated anti-CC chemokine receptor 4 antibody, in patients with aggressive/refractory mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21:274-285.
243. Ni X, Langridge T, Duvic M. Depletion of regulatory T cells by targeting CC chemokine receptor type 4 with mogamulizumab. *Oncotargets Ther.* 2015;4:e1011524.
244. Wilcox RA. Mogamulizumab: 2 birds, 1 stone. *Blood.* 2015;125:1847-1848.
245. Hirotsu KE, Neal TM, Khodadoust MS, et al. Clinical characterization of Mogamulizumab-associated rash during treatment of mycosis fungoides or Sezary syndrome. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2021;157:700-707.
246. de Masson A, Darbord D, Dobos G, et al. Macrophage-derived CXCL9 and CXCL11, T-cell skin homing, and disease control in mogamulizumab-treated CTCL patients. *Blood.* 2022;139:1820-1832.
247. Duvic M, Tetzlaff MT, Gangar P, Clos AL, Sui D, Talpur R. Results of a phase II trial of Brentuximab Vedotin for CD30+ cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and Lymphomatoid papulosis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:3759-3765.
248. Kim YH, Tallavaee M, Sundram U, et al. Phase II investigator-initiated study of Brentuximab Vedotin in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome with variable CD30 expression level: a multi-institution collaborative project. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:3750-3758.
249. Prince HM, Kim YH, Horwitz SM, et al. Brentuximab vedotin or physician's choice in CD30-positive cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (ALCANZA): an international, open-label, randomised, phase 3, multicentre trial. *Lancet.* 2017;390:555-566.
250. Kim YH, Prince HM, Whittaker S, et al. Response to brentuximab vedotin versus physician's choice by CD30 expression and large cell transformation status in patients with mycosis fungoides: an ALCANZA sub-analysis. *Eur J Cancer.* 2021;148:411-421.
251. Horwitz SM, Scarisbrick JJ, Dummer R, et al. Randomized phase 3 ALCANZA study of brentuximab vedotin vs physician's choice in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: final data. *Blood Adv.* 2021;5:5098-5106.
252. Wilcox RA, Feldman AL, Wada DA, et al. B7-H1 (PD-L1, CD274) suppresses host immunity in T-cell lymphoproliferative disorders. *Blood.* 2009;114:2149-2158.
253. Phillips T, Devata S, Wilcox RA. Challenges and opportunities for checkpoint blockade in T-cell lymphoproliferative disorders. *J Immunother Cancer.* 2016;4:95.

254. Lesokhin AM, Ansell SM, Armand P, et al. Nivolumab in patients with relapsed or refractory hematologic malignancy: preliminary results of a phase Ib study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34:2698-2704.
255. Khodadoust MS, Rook AH, Porcu P, et al. Pembrolizumab in relapsed and refractory mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a multicenter phase II study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38:20-28.
256. Song X, Chang S, Seminario-Vidal L, et al. Genomic and single-cell landscape reveals novel drivers and therapeutic vulnerabilities of transformed cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Discov*. 2022;12:1294-1313.
257. Beygi S, Fernandez-Pol S, Duran G, et al. Pembrolizumab in mycosis fungoides with PD-L1 structural variants. *Blood Adv*. 2021;5:771-774.
258. Quaglino P, Maule M, Prince HM, et al. Global patterns of care in advanced stage mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome: a multicenter retrospective follow-up study from the cutaneous lymphoma international consortium. *Ann Oncol*. 2017;28:2517-2525.
259. O'Connor OA, Hamlin PA, Portlock C, et al. Pralatrexate, a novel class of antifol with high affinity for the reduced folate carrier-type 1, produces marked complete and durable remissions in a diversity of chemotherapy refractory cases of T-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;139:425-428.
260. Serova M, Bieche I, Sablin MP, et al. Single agent and combination studies of pralatrexate and molecular correlates of sensitivity. *Br J Cancer*. 2011;104:272-280.
261. Zain J, O'Connor O. Pralatrexate: basic understanding and clinical development. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2010;11:1705-1714.
262. O'Connor OA, Pro B, Pinter-Brown L, et al. Pralatrexate in patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma: results from the pivotal PROPEL study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:1182-1189.
263. Foss F, Horwitz S, Pinter-Brown L, et al. Pralatrexate is an effective treatment for heavily pretreated patients with relapsed/refractory transformed mycosis Fungoides (tMF). *Blood*. 2010;116:1762.
264. Horwitz S, Kim YH, Foss F, et al. Identification of an active, well-tolerated dose of Pralatrexate In patients with relapsed or refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL): final results of a multicenter dose-finding study. *Blood*. 2010;116:2800.
265. Rueda A, Casanova M, Quero C, Medina-Perez A. Pralatrexate, a new hope for aggressive T-cell lymphomas? *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2009;11:215-220.
266. Zinzani PL, Musuraca G, Tani M, et al. Phase II trial of proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25:4293-4297.
267. Querfeld C, Rosen ST, Guitart J, et al. Results of an open-label multicenter phase 2 trial of lenalidomide monotherapy in refractory mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Blood*. 2014;123:1159-1166.
268. Wilcox RA. A three signal model of T-cell lymphoma pathogenesis. *Am J Hematol*. 2015;91:113-122.
269. Devata S, Wilcox RA. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a review with a focus on targeted agents. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2016;17:225-237.
270. Wu PA, Kim YH, Lavori PW, Hoppe RT, Stockerl-Goldstein KE. A meta-analysis of patients receiving allogeneic or autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant in mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2009;15:982-990.
271. Duarte RF, Schmitz N, Servitje O, Sureda A. Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2008;41:597-604.
272. Duarte RF, Canals C, Onida F, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome: a retrospective analysis of the lymphoma working Party of the European Group for blood and marrow transplantation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:4492-4499.
273. Domingo-Domenech E, Duarte RF, Boumedil A, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for advanced mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. An updated experience of the lymphoma working Party of the European Society for blood and marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2021;56:1391-1401.
274. Lechowicz MJ, Lazarus HM, Carreras J, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2014;49:1360-1365.
275. Iqbal M, Reljic T, Ayala E, et al. Efficacy of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in cutaneous T cell lymphoma: results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2020;26:76-82.
276. Schlaak M, Theurich S, Pickenhain J, Skoetz N, Kurschat P, von Bergwelt-Baildon M. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation for advanced primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a systematic review. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2013;85:21-31.
277. Hosing C, Bassett R, Dabaja B, et al. Allogeneic stem-cell transplantation in patients with cutaneous lymphoma: updated results from a single institution. *Ann Oncol*. 2015;26:2490-2495.

How to cite this article: Hristov AC, Tejasvi T, Wilcox RA. Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas: 2023 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am J Hematol*. 2023;98(1):193-209. doi:10.1002/ajh.26760