

THE LOCAL HEALTH IMPACTS OF NATURAL RESOURCE BOOMS

ABSTRACT. This paper uses novel micro-data on natural resources and administrative health data in Brazil to study how economic booms in minerals affect health at birth. By implementing a reduced-form estimation of shift-share research designs, the identification strategy relies on the exogeneity of global commodity prices to municipality-specific health outcomes. I find that, following changes in international prices, municipalities with historically more endowments have a higher number of premature births and births with low APGAR scores. The impacts are primarily driven by metallic minerals. Instead, industrial minerals do not appear to have any effect on birth outcomes. Even though booms in metallic minerals generate benefits through resource windfalls — by increasing wealth and generating economic opportunities — the investigation of mechanisms reveals that they also result in costs — due to pollution — which seem to prevail. Hence, some metallic minerals remain a curse more than a blessing.

Keywords: Minerals, Birth Outcomes, Economic Booms

Date: July 28, 2022.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many low- and middle-income countries are endowed with a variety of natural resources, such as crops, minerals, and oil. Over the 2000s, the rise in commodity prices played an important role in driving the economic growth of these countries (McMahon and Moreira, 2014). Booms in production increased wealth and economic opportunities (Aragon et al., 2015), but the net impacts on population health are still under-studied. Do natural resource booms benefit or harm the health of local communities? This paper explores the relationship between natural resources and local economic development in the context of an emerging economy, namely Brazil. It focuses on the 2000–2010 decade, when the commodity price cycle and abundant capital inflows played a key role in improving the country's economic performance. By studying the trade-off between benefits and costs that economic booms in minerals generate, this paper provides evidence of the mechanisms through which different types of minerals affect birth outcomes.

There are different economic and biological channels behind the mechanisms through which booms in mineral resources can affect health at birth (Almond et al., 2018).

On the one hand, booms in natural resources can benefit local economies through *resource windfalls* and *economic opportunities*. Increased mining activities could lead to more governmental resources through royalties. If municipalities were to invest this wealth in public health goods local economies would benefit (Aragon and Rud, 2013, Asher and Novosad, 2016). Similarly, booms in natural resources might create job opportunities (Kotsadam and Tolonen, 2016), and the income could be used on health investments for mothers and children, or could be spent on nutritious food.¹ Through these mechanisms, and the economic and health channels behind them, the health of mothers and thus of their children are expected to ameliorate.

On the other hand, booms in mineral resources could deteriorate health at birth through two main mechanisms. The former mechanism is related to new job opportunities created

¹See Almond et al. 2011; Lindo 2011; Hoynes et al. 2015; Rocha and Soares 2015 for examples on the *income* channel, and Almond and Mazumder 2011; Rossin-Slater 2013 for examples on the *nutrition* channel, and their effects on infant health.

1
2
3 locally. The first economic channel behind this mechanism concerns the *opportunity cost*
4 *of time* that newly employed mothers face: More time spent at work could cause lower
5 investment in children's health (Miller and Urdinola, 2010). A second biological channel
6
7 concerns *maternal stress* due to her or her husband's job conditions. In fact, the biological
8 literature provides evidence that maternal stress is associated with worse birth outcomes
9
10 (Arnetz et al., 1991; Weinstock, 2005; Van den Bergh et al., 2005; Thompson, 2014).²³

11
12
13 The latter mechanism is related to *pollution*: Water and air pollution, and soil contam-
14 ination from industrial waste as a result of increased mining activities could pollute and
15 degrade the environment, consequently producing adverse birth outcomes (Jayachandran,
16 2009; Currie and Schmieder, 2009; Currie et al., 2009; Stieb et al., 2012; Currie, 2013; An-
17 shasy and Katsaiti, 2015; Romero and Saavedra, 2016; der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018). The
18 biological literature shows that both prenatal and postnatal exposure of mothers to pollution
19 can contribute to worse outcomes. Prenatal exposure affects fetal development through two
20 biological mechanisms: Pregnant women are exposed to air pollutants and/or toxins and this
21 interferes with their health, which in turn disrupts fetal nutrition and oxygen flow; or, some
22 pollutants and/or toxins could directly cross the placenta.⁴ Postnatal exposure to pollution
23 contributes to infections for mothers, causing worse birth outcomes.⁵

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
²This happens through mechanisms which involve neuroendocrine processes, immune-inflammatory activity, behavior and infections (Wadhwa et al., 2001a,b; Wadhwa, 2005; Goldenberg et al., 2008; Dunkel Schetter, 2011).

³See Dole et al. 2003; Rondó et al. 2003; Carlson 2015; Persson and Rossin-Slater 2018; Menclova and Stillman 2020 for examples of *maternal stress* due to income or economic shocks and its effects on infant health. See Rook et al. 1991; Vinokur et al. 1996; Westman et al. 2001 for evidence on maternal stress related to husband's job troubles. A specific literature focuses on terrorist attacks, conflict and disasters (Camacho 2008; Simeonova 2011; Mansour and Rees 2012; Quintana-Domeque and Ródenas-Serrano 2017; Brown et al. 2020).

⁴For example, air pollutants may decrease fetal-placental exchange of oxygen and nutrients, and may increase pulmonary and placental inflammation in the mother, potentially resulting in premature contractions and membrane rupture. Blood viscosity and coagulability may also change, slowing fetal growth and increasing the risk of maternal cardiovascular events, pre-eclampsia, and preterm delivery (Rangel and Vogl, 2019).

⁵In terms of prenatal exposure, several studies find a link between air pollution and fetal growth retardation or shorter gestation period, both of which are associated with low birth-weight (Wang et al., 1997; Dejmeek et al., 1999). Postnatal exposure contributes to acute respiratory infection, a leading cause of infant death (Jayachandran, 2009). These mechanisms are related to the release in the air of poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Hatch et al., 1990; Perera et al., 1998, 1999).

1
2
3 By exploiting within-municipality variation over a long time period, this paper assesses
4 whether and how changes in the global prices of minerals affect health disproportionately in
5 municipalities with historically higher endowments of these natural resources.
6
7

8
9 The identification strategy relies on the reduced-form estimation of the shift-share ap-
10 proach inspired by the work on Bartik instruments (see [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. 2020](#) for
11 a review). Specifically, my empirical approach fits well into the framework developed by
12 [Borusyak et al. \(2022\)](#), which argues that shift-share designs provide causal estimates as
13 long as the time-series shocks are exogenous to local economic conditions. In my context, I
14 argue that global commodity prices are exogenous to municipality-specific health outcomes,
15 as single municipalities have typically too-small endowments or production capacity to influ-
16 ence international market prices. I further ensure that the assumption of exogeneity of the
17 shock holds by using as main specification one that excludes the few municipalities account-
18 ing for a significant share of global endowments in specific minerals of which Brazil is a top
19 worldwide exporter. As also illustrated in [Borusyak et al. \(2022\)](#), this empirical approach
20 is especially well-suited in panel-data settings like the one I study, characterized by several
21 periods and a large number of shocks, in which it is possible to control for both location and
22 time fixed effects.⁶
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Using novel data on 40 types of minerals produced from 1996 to 2010, and by exploring the
37 richness of health administrative data, I provide causal evidence of the net local impacts on
38 indicators of population health: infant mortality, birth weight, gestational length, indicators
39 of brain development, and anomalies at birth. The data availability in Brazil also allows me
40 to investigate the mechanisms at play, studying the trade-off between benefits and costs that
41 resources create, for different types of minerals (metallic and industrial).
42
43
44
45
46

47 The first part of the analysis describes the net impacts on health. Following an increase in
48 international prices, municipalities with higher endowments of mineral resources experienced
49
50

51 ⁶In the context of shift-share research designs, [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. \(2020\)](#) argues how the exogeneity
52 of the shares would also be a sufficient condition for identification, in cases where time-series variation is
53 unlikely to be exogenous. While not necessary in this context, where shocks are indeed plausibly exogenous,
54 I follow [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. \(2020\)](#) to provide several tests suggesting that cross-sectional variation in
55 endowments is also not subject to relevant endogeneity concern.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 negative impacts on birth outcomes. The estimates show an increase in premature births
4 and births with low Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration (APGAR) scores.
5
6 The results are driven by metallic minerals, while industrial minerals do not appear to have
7 any effect. Additional analysis across selected metallic minerals shows that the health effects
8 are positive for economic booms in minerals that generate royalties through taxation and for
9 which there is no consistent evidence of pollution, such as aluminum and iron ore. Instead,
10 in line with past research, some untaxed polluting minerals such as lead, chromium, and
11 cadmium remain more a curse than a blessing. More importantly, for other minerals such as
12 manganese, copper and gold, for which the pollution channel is established in the literature
13 but that also generate royalties, the impacts on health at birth remain negative on average.
14 This suggests that, despite potential benefits from resource windfalls, the costs of pollution
15 seem to prevail.
16
17

18
19 The second part of the analysis explores the mechanisms at play which help explain the
20 health effects observed. First, economic booms in natural resources make municipalities
21 richer through royalties. I find evidence that resources increase local Gross Domestic Prod-
22 uct (GDP), government expenses, including health expenditures, and investment in public
23 health goods. Second, economic booms in natural resources generate job opportunities which
24 materialize in higher employment, wages, and household income. There is no evidence that
25 the positive economic impacts from increased job opportunities are mitigated by a higher
26 opportunity cost of mothers' time. Instead, estimates show a higher utilization of health
27 services. Given the improved household conditions, I argue that lack of nutritious food or
28 job-related maternal stress should not play a role in explaining the worse outcomes.
29
30

31
32 Instead, the results show that natural resource booms increase emissions of nitrous oxide
33 (N_2O) and sulfur dioxide (SO_2), both of which are related to mining activities. In line with
34 the pollution channel, there is also suggestive evidence that hospitalizations for children
35 under one year old related to prenatal conditions increase. Altogether, the findings highlight
36 how a trade-off exists at the municipality level between the benefits and the costs that
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 economic booms in mineral resources create locally. Yet, most of the metallic minerals
4 remain more a curse than a blessing for children's health.
5

6
7 This paper contributes to the following strands of the literature. First, it contributes
8
9 to the studies on the effect of natural resources on economic development. This literature
10 finds a negative relationship between natural resource abundance and GDP at the country
11 level (Corden and Neary, 1982, Gylfason et al., 1999 and Leite and Weidmann, 1999), the
12 so-called "curse" for countries rich in resources (Sachs and Warner, 1995). However, more
13 recent studies challenged the past empirical approaches used, and show that this relationship
14 can disappear or be reversed (Brunnschweiler, 2008, van der Ploeg and Poelhekke, 2009).
15 An emerging literature, which exploits intra-country rather than across-country variation,
16 provides evidence of impacts of natural resources on local economic growth (Papyrakis and
17 Gerlagh, 2007, Douglas and Walker, 2016) and living standards (Black et al., 2005, Marchand,
18 2012, Michaels, 2011, Allcott and Keniston, 2014, Jacobsen and Parker., 2014, Aragon and
19 Rud, 2013, Kotsadam and Tolonen, 2016, Bernstein et al., 2022).⁷ However, the studies
20 focus on oil, and a paucity of those provide evidence from low- or middle-income countries.
21 The data gathered from Brazil allows me to build on this empirical literature, by extending
22 the analysis on 40 types of mineral resources. Furthermore, by exploiting the richness of
23 administrative data, this study provides evidence on a broader range of indicators of health
24 at birth, still under-investigated in the literature.
25
26

27
28 Second, this paper adds on a dearth of studies linking socio-economic impacts of mining on
29 health, such as APGAR score at birth (Romero and Saavedra, 2016) for gold, and women's
30 anemia (der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018) for lead. While these studies confirm the negative
31 spillovers of pollution, another study (Benshaul-Tolonen, 2018) finds a decrease in infant
32 mortality after the opening of gold mines. By not limiting the analysis to one specific
33 mineral and/or to one specific health outcome, this paper draws a broader picture of the
34 whole trade-off between positive and negative channels of impact, distinguishing between
35 types of minerals (metallic and industrial) and exploring a wide range of outcomes. In
36

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 ⁷A smaller set of research studies describes the effects on corruption and conflict (Brollo et al., 2013, Caselli
56 and Michaels, 2013, Vicente, 2003, Angrist and Kugler, 2008, Dube and Vargas, 2013).
57
58

1
2
3 addition, leveraging international price variation and the rich geographical heterogeneity, I
4 estimate the effects on the local communities at the more aggregate level of a municipality,
5 rather than comparing areas close and far from the mines (Aragon and Rud, 2013, Kotsadam
6 and Tolonen, 2016, der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018, Benshaul-Tolonen 2018).

7
8
9
10
11 Third, this study relates to the literature exploring the relationship between business
12 cycles and health (Belles-Obrero and Castello, 2018). Several studies investigate recessions
13 and mortality outcomes, but a small set focuses on short-term economic expansions or other
14 measures of health at birth. In line with evidence from developed (Dehejia and Lleras-
15 Muney, 2004; Aparicio Fenoll and González, 2014) and developing (Paxson and Schady,
16 2005; Bhalotra, 2010; Baird et al., 2011; Bozzoli and Quintana-Domeque, 2014) countries,
17 this study finds that the measures of health at birth explored are *countercyclical*, while
18 health-care utilization is *procyclical*. As little is known about the precise mechanisms of this
19 relationship (Belles-Obrero and Castello, 2018), it is worth noting that the deterioration of
20 health following natural resource booms is not due to the increase in the opportunity cost
21 of time during the economic expansion, but it is primarily driven by pollution.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the background
33 on mineral resources in Brazil and their potential health effects. Section 3 presents the data
34 sources. Section 4 describes the identification strategy, sample, main results and robustness
35 checks. Section 5 provides evidence of the different channels of impact. Section 6 concludes.

40 2. BACKGROUND

41
42
43 2.1. **Natural Resources in Brazil.** Brazil is one of the most geographically diverse coun-
44 tries in the world. Not only is it approximately 8.5 million kilometers in size, making it the
45 largest country in South America and the fifth largest in the world, but it also ranked seventh
46 in the world for GDP at R\$2.4 trillion in 2013. GDP per capita has grown since 1995, consol-
47 idating its position as a middle-income country (World Bank Group, 2013). Brazil has made
48 outstanding progress in poverty reduction, with the proportion of the population living on
49 less than USD 1.25 per day falling from 7.2% to 3.8% between 2005 and 2012. Nevertheless,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 over one-half of households live with per-capita income at or below the minimum wage, and,
4 the income distribution remains one of the world's most uneven. The abundance of natural
5 resources along with the rise in commodity prices in the 2000s, contributed to the country's
6 recent growth.
7
8
9

10 During the early 21st century there was a rise in the price of many commodities, including
11 oil, metals, fuels, food and chemicals, due to the increased demand from emerging markets
12 such as Brazil, Russia, India, and China (BRIC), and to concerns over long-term supply
13 availability (Erten and Ocampo, 2013).⁸ The price of precious metals such as gold and silver
14 increased since people were saving in stocks. Similarly, the prices of aluminum, copper and
15 other metals increased due to the rise of electronics industries. This paper focuses on the
16 2000–2010 decade and the role that mineral resources played for the economic growth in
17 Brazil.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Brazil's mineral sector includes industrial minerals, metals, and fossil fuels. The mining
27 industry in Brazil not only contributes a significant part to the annual GDP (4.1% in 2013),
28 but was also responsible for the trade surplus until a crisis in 2014–2016 (KPMG Global
29 Mining Institute, 2015; Caputi et al., 2019). According to the Ministry of Mines and Energy,
30 after reaching US\$53 billion in 2011, the mining industry has been on a continuous decline.
31
32
33
34
35

36 In 2014, the production was much lower at US\$43 billion, with the share of the main minerals
37 over the total value produced being: 60.7% for iron ore; 19.0% for construction aggregates;
38 5.2% for gold; 4.2% for copper; 2.0% for nickel and aluminum, while other minerals accounted
39 for less than 2%. Brazil is one of the world's largest producers of iron ore, manganese,
40 aluminum and tin, and one of the largest exporters of gold and producers of copper. It
41 is self-sufficient for limestone, industrial diamonds, tungsten, and talc, while it imports or
42 produces potassium, diatomite, zinc, and rare-earth minerals (Ministry of Mines and Energy,
43 2013; KPMG Global Mining Institute, 2015).
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 ⁸In the 2000s, Brazil was one of the world's fastest-growing economies, justifying it as being named the
52 'B' in the BRIC countries by the economist Jim O'Neill. However, globally, there was a sharp down-turn
53 in prices in mid-2008 to 2009 due to the financial crisis, when most commodity prices plummeted as the
54 global growth slowed down and the consumer demand weakened in most major economies. While commodity
55 prices rebounded after the crisis, and by the end of 2010 prices of many commodities were close to or above
56 pre-crisis peaks (Gruss, 2014), Brazil entered a crisis in 2014–2016 (Caputi et al., 2019).
57
58
59

Mining activities receive the same tax treatment applied to other economic activities. Mining exploration and exploitation are subject to regular federal and state corporate tax regimes. The first source of income is the Financial Compensation for the Exploration of Mineral Resources (CFEM), which is a royalty for the economic exploration of mineral resources created by the Brazilian Constitution. The CFEM is collected by the federal government which is responsible for the distribution of the tax to states where the mineral was extracted (23%) and to municipalities where the production occurs (65%), keeping the remaining 12%. Section 6 of Law 7, 990/89 states that the CFEM can be charged at up to 3% of the net income arising from sales of minerals, obtained after the last stage of processing and before industrial transformation.⁹ Until 2017, a 3% rate was applicable for aluminum, manganese, rock salt, and potassium; a 2% rate was applicable for iron ore, copper, fertilizer, mineral coal, and other mineral substances; a 0,2% rate was applicable for gemstones, colored cuttable stones, carbonate, and precious metals; and a 1% rate for gold.¹⁰

In some states, a state tax is also present. The control, monitoring and supervision of research activities, mining, exploration and exploitation of mineral resources fee (TRFM) is a state tax applicable in Minas Gerais, Pará, Amapá, and Mato Grosso do Sul, which considers the tons of mineral mined, onto which is applied a fixed BRL amount, and set annually by the states. In practice, municipalities, which are the geographical level considered in the analysis, receive most of the revenues primarily from CFEM.

2.2. Existing Evidence on Health Impacts of Minerals. I follow the standard classification of mineral resources as (1) metallic and (2) industrial minerals (Figure 1).

⁹Section 2 of Law 8,001/90 defines net income for the purpose of calculating the CFEM as the gross income from sales, deduced by transactional taxes (ICMS, PIS, and COFINS) levied upon mineral sales, as well as freight and insurance expenses. CFEM is also due when the mineral had been used by the mining companies in their production process instead of being sold.

¹⁰The federal government enacted law No. 13,540/2017 which recently increased the CFEM tax basis as well as the rates. The taxable base is now the gross revenue, excluding only taxes over the sale. Taxation is the following: rocks, sands, gravel, clay, and other mineral products used directly in civil construction (also called construction aggregates), ornamental rocks, as well as mineral and thermal water are levied on a 1% rate; gold has a 1.5% rate; diamonds and other mineral products are now levied on a 2% rate, while aluminum, manganese, niobium, and rock salt have a 3% rate. The rate for iron ore is fixed at 3.5%.

Figure 1: Categorization of minerals

(1) METALLIC MINERALS

Aluminium, Cadmium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Gold,
Iron Ore, Lead, Lithium, Manganese, Nickel, Rare Earths,
Silver, Tantalum, Tin, Tungsten, Vanadium, Zinc, Zirconium

(2) INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

Asbestos, Barite, Beryllium, Clays, Diamond, Diatomite,
Graphite, Gypsum, Feldspar, Fluorspar, Kyanite, Lime,
Mica, Perlite, Quartz, Rubber, Sand and Gravel, Stone-crushed,
Stone-dimension, Sulfur, Talc and Pyrophyllite

Notes: The figure describes the categorization of 40 minerals used in the analysis. The values of the mineral index were winsorized at 99th percentiles for aluminum, gemstones, lime, potassium chloride, quartz, stone dimensions and fertilizer. This resulted in the exclusion of gemstones, potassium chloride, and fertilizer since these minerals were only present in 21, 6 and 4 municipalities.

As summarized in Appendix Table A1, among *metallic minerals*, the existing medical and public health literature finds causal evidence of negative health effects of lead (der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018) on stunting, and of gold on low APGAR scores (Romero and Saavedra, 2016).¹¹ The literature also suggests that minerals such as cadmium, chromium, copper, manganese, and tin might have negative effects on newborns. Instead, research on other metallic minerals, such as aluminum, iron ore, tantalum, vanadium, and zinc, is limited, especially on birth outcomes. Present studies either focus on adults, suggesting negative health effects on the worker population, or they are still at the animal stage. Other research shows no effects on human health for tungsten, zirconium, and rare-earth minerals.

Among *industrial minerals*, studies find evidence of negative health effects, but they are limited to animals or adult workers in mining sites. Most of the industrial minerals, including asbestos, barite, beryllium, graphite, gypsum, feldspar, perlite, talc, and pyrophyllite, are associated to respiratory diseases, lung diseases, and breathing problems. However, I did

¹¹Another study (Benshaul-Tolonen, 2018) finds instead that gold mining leads to a reduction in infant mortality.

not find studies on health at birth. For other industrial minerals, there is a lack of evidence on health effects.

3. DATA

The empirical analysis combines different data sources.

3.1. Health Data. First, the study relies on data on health outcomes and access to health-care which are publicly available from the Brazilian Ministry of Health (DATASUS). Data on mortality are constructed from the Brazilian National System of Mortality Records (SIM), which gathers information on deaths officially registered in Brazil; infant mortality is defined as deaths that occurred before the child turned one year old. Low-weight births (below 2.5 kilograms), premature births (less than 37 weeks), births with congenital anomalies, and indicators of low APGAR score at birth at five minutes are constructed from the System of Information on Life Births (SINACS).¹² I also used SINACS to define Crude Birth Rate (CBR) and births with antenatal care (ANC) visits. Data on the female population and births by mother's age are used to construct measures of the Total Fertility Rate (TFR). The variables are expressed in 1,000 births.

A potential concern with health administrative data is non-random measurement error since the registration of deaths or births could be incomplete. Yet, significant advances have been made since the 1990s and the data are commonly used by researchers investigating child health in Brazil (Gamper-Rabindran et al., 2010; Fujiwara, 2015; Koppensteiner and Manacorda, 2016; Bhalotra et al., 2016; Rangel and Vogl, 2019).¹³ In addition, a robustness check includes state-by-year fixed effects to control for potential time trends in improvements

¹²APGAR is a widely used summary measure of health of newborn children which captures the presence of possible brain damage. It is found to be a significant predictor of health, cognitive ability, and behavioral problems later in life (Douglas et al., 2005). The measure is constructed as the number of births with APGAR scores below 7 out of 10.

¹³In the last decades, the quality of mortality information in Brazil has shown significant advances (Paes, 2005), reaching 100% coverage by 2010 in all states in the south and southeast regions, as well as some in the northeast and midwest. Advances were also made for the poorest states in the northeast and north of Brazil, especially for those that had the worst quality of records in previous periods (Queiroz et al., 2017). The degree of completeness for death registration was estimated to be on average 90% in 2000-2010 (Lima and Queiroz, 2014). Similarly, birth registration has improved steadily from about 80% of registration in the 1990s to over 95% in the most recent years (Lima et al., 2018; Hunter and Sugiyama, 2018).

1
2
3 in registration at the state level (Bhalotra et al., 2016), and I account for municipality-specific
4 linear time trends to capture differential improvements by municipality in the measurement
5 of health outcomes.
6
7

8
9 A related issue is potential measurement error in the number of low-weight births, since
10 some literature (Boerma et al., 1996; Blanc and Wardlaw, 2005; Channon et al., 2011)
11 suggests that birth weight is subject to heaping. Yet, this indicator is a well-validated
12 measure that is often used in research, also in Brazil (Fujiwara, 2015; Bhalotra et al., 2016).
13
14
15

16
17 **3.2. Mineral Endowments and Prices.** Data on metals and industrial minerals were
18 manually collected from the “Anuario Mineral” paper reports of the Brazilian National De-
19 partment of Mineral Production (DNPM). The reports describe reserves of minerals, dividing
20 them into three categories in a decreasing level of confidence: measured, indicated and in-
21 ferred.¹⁴ This analysis uses the most accurate category, i.e., measured endowments. Yearly
22 data on total measured reserves were obtained for all municipalities with positive mineral
23 endowments between 1996 to 1998 and then standardized to the same unit (tons). Zero
24 values were assigned to those municipalities which did not have mineral endowments before
25 the beginning of the panel data.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Data on international commodity prices were gathered from the World Bank Global Eco-
36 nomic Monitor (GEM) commodities database. The prices for each natural resource were
37 matched to the mineral endowments by the name of the commodity. However, since GEM
38 data include a broad category of “minerals and metals”, the prices for each category were
39 supplemented using the U.S. Geological Survey Science Data Catalog (USGS) to have a
40 specific price for each type of mineral. All units of measure are standardized to U.S. dollars
41 per ton. The final dataset for analysis comprises 40 types of minerals with yearly reserves
42 predating the study period (1996-1998) and price data over a decade (1999-2009).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 **3.3. Other Data.** Several variables were constructed to explore the mechanisms from the
52 following data sources: (i) the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), which
53

54 ¹⁴Unfortunately, I do not have access to mineral production at the municipality level, so I cannot use
55 international prices as instrumental variable for production.
56
57
58

collects data on population, GDP, and value of exports; (ii) Brazil's National Treasury through the Ministry of Finance (FINBRA) database, which collects data on revenues, expenses and transfers from taxes; (iii) the National Register of Health Facilities (CNES), which gathers data on health facilities and personnel (Rocha et al., 2018); (iv) the Relacao Anual de Informacoes Sociais (RAIS), a survey of all formal establishments collected by the Ministry of Labor, which provides information on employment and payroll; (v) the population Census in 2000 and 2010, which include employment, wages, and household income; (vi) Emission Dataset for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), which uses nationally reported emissions combined with regional scientific inventories in the format of gridmaps at 0.1 x 0.1 degree resolution, and contains: (1) direct greenhouse gases, (2) ozone precursor gases, and (3) acidifying gases per geographic areas, as defined by the Kyoto Protocol in the two years of 2000 and 2008. The data were reconstructed to match each municipality and expressed in tons per year.¹⁵ The analysis considers air pollutants potentially linked to activities such as Methane (CH_4) and Nitrous Oxide (N_2O) as "greenhouse gases", Carbon Monoxide (CO) as "ozone precursor gases", Sulfur dioxide (SO_2) as "acidifying gases".

Appendix Table B1 presents descriptive statistics on the main outcome variables, while Appendix Table B2 describes mineral endowments and prices. In the 2000s, each municipality in the sample has an average population of 36,000. About 36% of people are employed, and 17% are reported employed in the formal sector, and their average monthly household income is BRL 1,031 (\$248). As far as health is concerned, premature births (60.9/1000) and underweight births (73.2/1000) are frequent, while births with low APGAR scores (34.8/1000) and births with anomalies (5.76/1000) are less common. Infant mortality is 18 deaths per 1,000 births. On average, each municipality has 85.5 health facilities and 743.3 health personnel per 100,000 people. Municipalities spend on average BRL 23 million each year, mostly for health (BRL 8.34 million) or education (BRL 8.74 million). They also receive BRL 23.8 million of transfers from the federal government. In terms of minerals, the average municipalities had about 303,600 tons of minerals between 1996 and 1998, and

¹⁵See https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset_htap_v2#intro for more information.

the average price change they experience year-to-year is a decrease of 5,164 USD. However, there is variation among metals and industrial minerals: Over the study period, the price of industrial mineral declines, while the price of metals increases.¹⁶

4. THE IMPACT OF MINERAL ABUNDANCE ON HEALTH

4.1. Identification. The empirical strategy assesses whether changes in mineral prices affect health disproportionately in municipalities that historically have more endowments of these resources. Time variation comes from movements in annual prices. Cross-section variation comes from historical mineral endowments across different municipalities.

For each commodity in each municipality, I construct an annual mineral index that captures its natural resources endowment between 1996 and 1998 times the (yearly) current international price. Specifically, let $M_{km,96-98}$ be the average endowment of mineral k in municipality m between 1996 and 1998, and let P_{kt} be the international price at time t . Thus, the annual mineral index (MI) for mineral k in municipality m in year t is the local mineral endowments times international prices: $MI_{kmt} = M_{km,96-98} * P_{kt}$. Given the variety of minerals, I construct a measure of the index for each municipality, summing up each single mineral index for the minerals present in the municipality (MI_{mt}). The index is then standardized, subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation to ease the comparison across different types of minerals. A change in the index is then represented by a change in 1 unit, i.e., 1 standard deviation.¹⁷

To study the effects of natural resource booms on health, I estimated the following empirical model:

$$y_{mt} = \alpha_m + \delta_t + \beta MI_{mt-1} + \epsilon_{mt-1} \quad (1)$$

¹⁶Appendix Figures C1 and C2 describe the variation present in the data for each type of metallic and industrial mineral that is exploited in the empirical strategy.

¹⁷Municipalities with no endowments could be included or not in the standardization process. See Appendix Table B3 for definitions (in notes) and alternative estimates.

The unit of observation is at the municipality-year, where y_{mt} captures various measures of municipality health outcomes in municipality m and year t , and α_m and δ_t are municipality and year fixed effects, respectively. Standard errors are clustered at the level of the municipality to account for potential serial correlation within municipalities.¹⁸

I study how changes in the mineral index (at $t - 1$) at the municipality level affect health outcomes one year later (at t). I investigate the impacts on health at birth for children that were conceived or were in utero at the time of the mineral price change. The changes in mineral price at $t - 1$ potentially affect the production of minerals at t . Changes in the mineral production might then impact health at birth for newborn children at t . Equation (1) captures the differential effect of mineral prices on municipality health outcomes, approximately one year later.¹⁹

The identification strategy relies on the reduced-form estimation of the shift-share approach inspired by the work on Bartik instruments (Jaeger et al., 2018; Adao et al., 2019; Goldsmith-Pinkham et al., 2020; Borusyak et al., 2022), and builds on recent empirical studies which use similar indexes (Dube and Vargas, 2013; Rozo and Vargas, 2021; Bernstein et al., 2022). My approach closely resembles the setting studied by Borusyak et al. (2022), who argues that the exogeneity of the time-series shocks is a sufficient condition for identification, and particularly so in panel-data settings with several periods and a large number of shocks that allow for the inclusion of both location and time fixed effects. More specifically, in my context, mineral endowments measure the differential exposure to common shocks, i.e., changes in international prices. The strategy in fact exploits within-municipality variation over time driven by exogenous fluctuation in global commodity prices to study how such shocks affect local birth outcomes.

¹⁸Note that, unfortunately, data on health outcomes at the month level do not exist nationally for the period analyzed, so this prevents me from exploring whether the results on health at birth vary by exposure to minerals at different stages in pregnancy.

¹⁹Consider that most of the outcomes in the analysis (preterm births, births with low weight, with low APGAR scores or anomalies) are evaluated at birth: These newborn children were potentially exposed to booms in mineral resources while in utero during the nine months of gestation. Instead, infant mortality is measured in older children, from birth to the child turning one year old. These children might then be exposed to booms in natural resources for less time while in utero or could have been born before changes in the production of minerals.

The causal identification relies on the exogeneity of the shocks, as [Borusyak et al. \(2022\)](#) show that the Bartik estimator is numerically equivalent to a shock-level regression. I argue that global commodity prices are exogenous to municipality-specific health outcomes, since single municipalities have typically too-small endowments or production capacity to influence international market prices. We might be concerned that some municipalities do indeed drive fluctuations in global commodity prices, as Brazil is one of the top worldwide producers and exporters of few minerals such as iron ore, manganese, aluminum, tin, copper, and gold. To alleviate such a concern, I adopt a conservative approach where I exclude from my main specifications all municipalities that, in any given year, constitute a significant share (more than 1%) of the global mineral endowments.²⁰

I further report robustness tests where I consider the plausibility of cross-sectional endowment shares being themselves exogenous. The exogeneity of shares is an attractive identifying assumption in standard Bartik contexts where the time-series variation (e.g., change in national industry employment) is likely endogenous to local conditions ([Goldsmith-Pinkham et al., 2020](#)). In my context, where I primarily rely on the exogeneity of shocks, such an assumption is not necessary. Nonetheless, I provide evidence strengthening the robustness of the findings, by only using average reserves of minerals at the beginning of the data sample (1996–1998) as cross-sectional exposure, under the assumption that historical endowments are determined by the exogenous spatial distribution of natural resources and are therefore unlikely to reflect endogenous discovery or extraction efforts that might be correlated with population health. I implement a few empirical tests in Section 4.4.1, as suggested by [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. \(2020\)](#).

4.2. Sample. Over the study period, the data contained 5,570 unique municipalities. However, some municipalities changed over the years either because of the borders being redefined, or municipalities being merged or divided. The primary analysis sample consists only

²⁰Notice that only 10 municipalities produce more than 1% of global production of specific minerals over the study period.

of the 4,965 municipalities which remained the same over the period of interest (2000-2010). However, robustness checks with the full sample of municipalities are also presented.


In the analysis sample, each municipality in Brazil covers an area of about 1,500 square kilometers. I define municipalities by type based on the total revenues from mineral reserves between 1996 and 1998. In 2000, about one third of the municipalities (1,047) have positive endowments (Figure 2) and this is pretty stable over time: 70% are endowed only with industrial minerals, about 20% only with metallic minerals, and about 10% with both. The main analysis is implemented using the sample of constant municipalities, assigning zero values to those municipalities which do not have endowments between 1996 and 1998.

4.3. Main Results. Table 1 describes the main effects of changes in international prices of minerals on health outcomes, for municipalities with different levels of reserves. I find that a 1 standard deviation increase in the mineral index increases premature births and births with low APGAR scores. The results are driven by metallic minerals, while there is no impact for industrial minerals. However, the estimates on industrial minerals are similar or bigger in magnitude than the ones for metallic minerals for most of the health outcomes (columns 1-4), but they are imprecise. This might be due to the fact that there is lower variation in international prices of industrial minerals (Appendix Figure C2) compared to metallic ones (Appendix Figure C1). Results are also robust correcting for multiple hypothesis testing (see adjusted p-values reported in Table 1).²¹

The use of the standardized mineral index allows the comparison of the estimates across different categories of minerals. However, it renders the interpretation of the magnitude of the coefficient less straightforward. Before normalization, the mean value of the mineral index is about USD 435.3 million, with a standard deviation of USD 2.37 billion (Table B2, Panel A). 1 standard deviation represents about 1.5 times the total of USD 1.57 billion, which

²¹I followed Newson and Team (2003) and Newson (2011) and report frequentist q-values (or adjusted p-values) for multiple-test procedures, by defining the discovery set to control either the familywise error rate (FWER) or the false discovery rate (FDR). For each input p-value, the corresponding q-value is the lowest input uncorrected critical p-value (FWER or FDR) which would cause the input P-value to be included in the discovery set, if the specified multiple-test procedure was applied to the full vector of P-values. To be conservative, I use a step-up method where the q-value for each p-value is equal to the cumulative minimum of all the r-values corresponding to p-values of rank equal to or greater than that p-value.

Figure 2: Geographical distribution of minerals



Notes: The figure describes the geographical distribution in mineral reserves in the year of 2000 for all municipalities in Brazil.

is a price change of 5,163\$—the average year-to-year price change of minerals in the data (Table B2, Panel D)—for a municipality with an average mineral endowment of 303,600 tons (Table B2, Panel B). Taking as example premature births (Table 1, column 3), 1 standard deviation increase in the mineral index increases premature births by 0.887, representing a change of 1.5% over the mean of 60.91. Then, for a municipality with an average mineral endowment of 303,600 tons between 1996 and 1998, an average price change of 5,163\$ each year increases premature births by 0.66 times the coefficient, that is it increases premature births by 0.59, i.e., about 1% over the mean. A similar interpretation could be drawn for the

TABLE 1. The local health effects

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Infant mortality	Low weight births	Premature ($<37w$) births	Low APGAR score	Births with anomalies
Mineral Index	-0.084	-0.388	0.887***	0.741***	0.124
	(0.119)	(0.306)	(0.255)	(0.234)	(0.103)
<i>Adj pvalue</i>	[0.478]	[0.205]	[0.000]	[0.002]	[0.228]
Metallic Minerals	-0.081	-0.274	0.683***	0.588***	0.104
	(0.096)	(0.244)	(0.201)	(0.160)	(0.083)
<i>Adj pvalue</i>	[0.397]	[0.261]	[0.001]	[0.000]	[0.210]
Industrial Minerals	0.239	-1.009	1.070	0.537	-0.027
	(0.462)	(1.019)	(1.316)	(2.206)	(0.315)
<i>Adj pvalue</i>	[0.605]	[0.322]	[0.416]	[0.808]	[0.933]
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). Adjusted pvalues are calculated to account for multiple hypothesis testing following Newson and Team (2003) and Newson (2011). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects, and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

other coefficients in Table 1: The effects represent 1.4% over the mean for births with low APGAR score; 0.3% for infant mortality; 0.3% for low weight births; and 1.4% for births with anomalies.²²

²²As a reference, over the same period, the average change year to year, from 2000 to 2010, in premature births at the municipality level was a decrease of 0.39. The estimated increase of 0.59 premature births caused by mineral resource booms, then completely overcame the yearly decrease in premature births at the municipality level.

1
2
3 Yet, it is possible that natural resource booms change the composition of the character-
4 istics of households who live within the municipality, and thus of newborn children, due to
5 6 the migration of mothers and/or their fertility choices. In terms of migration, we may worry
7 8 about selective inward migration of women with better child survival (Loayza and Rigolini,
9 10 2016), and selective outward migration of women who have children with worse survival
11 12 chances. Alternatively, there could be inward migration of low-skilled human capital at-
13 14 tracted by new jobs and outward migration of more educated people concerned about the
15 16 pollution created by mining activities. In terms of fertility choices, it is possible that only
17 18 women with certain socio-demographic characteristics (e.g. low-skilled, low educated) decide
19 20 to have children. Hence, we could observe changes in health at birth of children (of recently
21 22 migrated or pre-existing women) that are due to changes in the composition of mothers,
23 24 rather than direct impacts of natural resource booms.
25

26 Appendix Tables B4 and B5 explore these concerns.²³ Appendix Table B4 shows a small
27 28 but statistically significant increase in population levels (column 1), mainly for metallic min-
29 30 erals, and similar changes in the working-age population, even if not statistically significant
31 32 (column 2). When investigating these increases by gender and age groups, I find that the
33 34 changes for metallic minerals are driven by several age groups (15-17, 40-49, 50+) and both
35 36 male and female populations. Since fertility is higher among women in their 20s, this sug-
37 38 gests that net inward migration could exist, but could be limited by the fact that either very
39 40 young (15-17 years old) or older women (40+ years old) of less fertile ages migrate into the
41 42 municipalities.
43

44 Appendix Table B5 explores the potential selection bias using CBR and TFR as proxies
45 46 for fertility. While I do not find changes in CBR, there is a small increase in TFR (0.0049
47 48 children on 1.9 TFR), only for metallic minerals. However, this change is driven by women
49 50 in the age groups 35–49 years old (not shown) who are not the primary age-group migrating
51 52 inward (Appendix Table B4). I cannot fully exclude that selective fertility might play a role,
53

54 ²³There are no data available on yearly migration rates at the municipality level. The best proxy measures
55 I could use to understand whether the population changed after changes in international mineral prices are
56 population levels, by age and gender, specifically focusing on working-age population.
57
58
59

1
2
3 but this should be limited due to the small magnitude of the change, which is not driven by
4 the migrating female population.
5

6
7 To shed light on the plausibility of the mechanisms, I then investigate how the proportion of
8 births, by mothers' characteristics (age, education, and race) changes (Appendix Table B6).
9
10 There is no evidence of selection by race and mixed patterns for age and education.²⁴ Even
11 though selection cannot be fully dismissed as an alternative explanation, by controlling
12 for these changes, the main estimates remain robust (Appendix Table B7 Panel H).
13
14

15
16 Next, I explore the heterogeneity of the health impacts by type of minerals. Recall that
17 some metallic minerals such as aluminum, manganese, copper, iron ore and gold have the
18 highest CFEM tax rates (3% for aluminum and manganese, 2% for copper and iron ore,
19 1% for gold), and thus they generate wealth at the municipality level. On the contrary, it
20 is established in the literature and rigorously empirically tested (Appendix Table A1) that
21 other minerals, such as lead, tin, chromium, cadmium generate high levels of pollution, in
22 addition to gold and manganese. Finally note that a sub-sample of these minerals are both
23 polluting and generating wealth at the municipality level (manganese, copper and gold), thus
24 making the net impacts on population health ambiguous. In Table 2 I categorize selected
25 metallic minerals into: (i) those who are not polluting but generate royalties (Panel A); (ii)
26 those who are polluting and do not generate royalties (Panel B); and, (iii) those who are
27 polluting and generate royalties (Panel C) to shed some initial light on the different channels
28 at play.²⁵
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 Estimates in Table 2 suggest that minerals that generate resource windfalls, and for which
43 negative pollution impacts on birth outcomes are not established in the literature, mainly
44 create positive health effects: a reduction in births with low weight for aluminum and a
45 decrease in infant mortality for iron ore (Panel A). Instead, changes in international prices
46
47
48

49 ²⁴On the one hand, I find an increase in adolescent births and in older women's births, who might be more
50 likely to have riskier pregnancies. Similarly, I also find an increase in births by women with no education, who
51 might be less likely to attend ANC and more likely to have pregnancy complications. This could contribute
52 to explain worse birth outcomes. On the other hand, I find an increase of births for mothers with more than
53 8 years of education who could counterbalance the negative impacts on health at birth.

54 ²⁵Note that most of the industrial minerals are (iv) not polluting and do not generate royalties, so please
55 refer to the results in Table 1 for this remaining category. The impacts of all minerals in the data are
56 reported in Appendix Figures C3 and C4 for each metallic (Figure C3) or industrial (Figure C4) mineral.
57
58

Table 2. The local health effects - Selected minerals

	(1) Infant mortality	(2) Low weight births	(3) Premature ($<37w$) births	(4) Low APGAR score	(5) Births with anomalies
Panel A: Resource windfalls and no pollution					
Aluminum (3%)	-0.993 (0.887)	-4.093*** (1.495)	2.882 (2.800)	-2.884 (6.656)	1.260 (3.786)
Iron ore (2%)	-0.264** (0.128)	-0.257 (0.383)	-0.124 (0.322)	0.483 (0.410)	0.092 (0.122)
Panel B: No resource windfalls and pollution					
Lead	-0.075 (0.145)	0.228** (0.113)	0.334* (0.177)	0.111 (0.136)	-0.069 (0.071)
Tin	0.399 (0.285)	1.148 (1.294)	-0.128 (1.200)	0.819 (0.527)	-0.237 (0.178)
Chromium	-0.024 (0.070)	-0.028 (0.121)	-0.745 (0.681)	0.369*** (0.136)	0.063* (0.035)
Cadmium	0.073*** (0.025)	0.161** (0.073)	0.024 (0.065)	-0.054 (0.058)	0.005 (0.027)
Panel C: Resource windfalls and pollution					
Manganese (3%)	-0.112 (0.197)	0.214 (0.398)	0.100 (0.468)	0.618* (0.360)	-0.157 (0.173)
Copper (2%)	0.016 (0.201)	0.119 (0.112)	0.484*** (0.114)	2.457*** (0.452)	-0.101 (0.062)
Gold (1%)	0.100 (0.114)	0.171 (0.456)	0.913** (0.425)	0.300 (0.876)	0.171* (0.098)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in price of selected metallic minerals on health outcomes at birth. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of each mineral between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). CFEM taxation is reported between parenthesis. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects, total population, and the total mineral index to account for the potential production of other minerals in the municipality. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources:

1
2
3 of most of the untaxed polluting minerals generate negative impacts on health, with the
4 exception of tin that, however, is present only in 27 municipalities (Panel B). More inter-
5 estingly, when there is a trade-off at play between the benefits of resource windfalls and the
6 costs of pollution, the impacts remain on average negative (Panel C), suggesting that the
7 costs of pollution seem to prevail.
8
9
10
11

12 13 4.4. Robustness Checks.

14
15
16 4.4.1. *Pre-shock differences between municipalities.* Following the shift-share literature, I
17 provide additional tests to support the identification strategy.

18
19 First, in some settings, the exogeneity of the shares might be implausible because they can
20 be co-determined with level of outcome of interest (Goldsmith-Pinkham et al., 2020). I then
21 test the correlation between levels of mineral reserves and health outcomes at the beginning
22 of the panel (Appendix Table B8). Even if this is not necessary for the empirical strategy
23 to be valid, it is an additional helpful test that, combined with the plausible exogeneity of
24 the time-series shocks, further strengthen the identification argument behind my strategy.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Second, Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. (2020) further suggests to check whether differential
32 exposure to common shocks leads to differential changes in the outcomes of interest. I there-
33 fore explore whether variables related to population and socio-demographic characteristics,
34 expenditures, employment, income, inequality, pollution, CBR and TFR at the beginning
35 of the panel (2000) predict ex-ante levels of reserves (1996-1998).²⁶ I use a least absolute
36 shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) to select the most important predictors (male
37 working age population, adult employment rate), and I show that results are robust to the
38 inclusion of these controls (Appendix Table B9, Panel A). This is reassuring because move-
39 ments in point estimates when conditioning on observable confounders suggest the potential
40 importance of unobserved confounders.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Finally, I control for differential municipal trends by interacting pre-shock municipal char-
51 acteristics with the year fixed effects to flexibly account for this threat to the identification
52

53
54 ²⁶Note that due to data availability, covariates are defined as early as possible in the panel, but reserves
55 precede the covariates.
56
57
58

strategy. The main health effects remain similar when adding interaction terms between predictors of endowments and time (Appendix Table B9, Panel B).²⁷

4.4.2. *Alternative mineral indexes.* Municipalities with no endowments could be included or not in the standardization process of the mineral index MI_{mt-1} . Appendix Table B3 provides evidence that estimates are robust when using alternative standardized indexes or an unstandardized measure. The mineral index used in the main specification is standardized so that has mean 0 and standard deviation 1 on the full sample of municipalities. Yet, the index takes negative values for those municipalities with no endowments. Alternatively, I construct a standardized index only on municipalities with positive reserves, including municipalities with no endowments with a 0 index value (Panel A). I also construct a similar standardized index only on municipalities with positive reserves, but excluding municipalities with no endowments (Panel B). Finally, I show estimates using an unstandardized mineral index (Panel C).

4.4.3. *Additional tests.* As shown in Appendix Table B7, the estimates are robust when restricting the analysis to the sample of municipalities matched based on ex-ante health outcomes by using a propensity score matching (PSM) without replacement (caliper 0.001). I estimate a logit regression where being treated is defined as having zero vs. any mineral reserves (Panel A) or as having mineral reserves below or above the median level at the beginning of the panel (Panel B). This suggests that the impacts are not driven by ex-ante levels of health differentiating municipalities with lower or higher mineral endowments. I also account for municipality-specific linear time trends to capture differential linear trends in the health outcomes that might predate the price changes occurring in mid-2000s, and differential improvements by municipality in the measurement of health outcomes, and estimates remain similar (Panel C). Furthermore, Appendix Table B7 confirms that the main effects are robust to considering the sample of the entire set of municipalities (Panel D); adding state-per-year fixed effects (Panel E); changing the initial mineral reserves to other years (Panel F);

²⁷Results are robust to using a larger set of covariates rather than the ones selected by LASSO. See Appendix Table B9, Panels C and D.

1
2
3 restricting the sample of municipalities to those with positive mineral endowment at the
4 beginning of the panel (Panel G). Estimates are also robust to controlling for changes in
5 the percentage of births by mother characteristics (Panel H). Finally, Appendix Table B10
6 shows that the estimates are also similar if the indexes for metallic and industrial minerals
7 are included in the same empirical model.
8
9
10
11

12 13 5. MECHANISMS 14 15

16 There are many mechanisms through which natural resource booms, due to changes in
17 international prices, can impact birth outcomes.
18

19
20 5.1. **Resource Windfalls.** Natural resources, and especially metals, are linked to expro-
21 priation taxes that directly increase income at the municipality level. If these resources are
22 used by politicians for investment in public health goods, we might expect a positive health
23 effect on newborn children.²⁸
24
25
26
27

28 Table 3 shows that booms in metallic minerals increase municipality GDP per capita
29 (column 1). While I do not find any change in the value of exports (column 2), estimates
30 provide evidence that municipalities receive higher transfers because of royalties (column
31 3), and more of these resources are spent (column 4), also on health (column 5). Instead,
32 there is no evidence of resource windfalls for industrial minerals. This is consistent with the
33 fact that 65% of royalties (CFEM) are mainly collected and transferred to municipalities for
34 metals. Results on resource windfalls are robust to a battery of robustness checks (Appendix
35 Table B11).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Despite not finding changes in health expenditures (Table 3, column 5), I document an
44 increase in the total amount of health personnel, especially doctors (Table 4, column 2 and
45 3). For industrial minerals, however, the changes appear to be driven by health personnel
46
47
48

49 ²⁸Previous studies in Brazil which studied the impact of oil windfalls on local economy (Caselli and Michaels,
50 2013) and political behavior (Monteiro and Ferraz, 2012), however, found that revenues from oil increase
51 the chance of re-election of the incumbent politicians and expenditures on public goods and services, but
52 they do not directly materialize into an increase in good provision and infrastructure. Instead, oil revenues
53 disappear before turning into the real goods and services.
54
55
56
57
58

Table 3. Resource windfalls

	(1) GDP per capita	(2) Log (tot exports, USD)	(3) Log (transfers <i>received</i>)	(4) Log (transfers <i>spent</i>)	(5) Log (health expendi- tures)
Mineral Index	0.492** (0.218)	-0.023 (0.088)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.015)	0.021* (0.013)
Metallic Minerals	0.395** (0.178)	-0.025 (0.072)	0.029*** (0.009)	0.033*** (0.011)	0.017** (0.008)
Industrial Minerals	0.253 (0.311)	0.142 (0.245)	0.008 (0.134)	-0.000 (0.126)	0.011 (0.130)
Obs	54,505	39,640	54,505	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	7.936	5.171	15.51	14.35	14

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on wealth outcomes. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as GDP per capita (column 1); log of total value of exports in USD (column 2); log of total transfers *received* by the municipality because of oil or mining (column 3); log of total transfers *used* by the municipality (column 4); log of total governmental health expenditures (column 5). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: IBGE, FINBRA, SIOPS.

working in private health facilities, rather than as part of the public health system (not shown).

5.2. Economic Opportunities. On the one hand, higher employment could increase household income and this could be invested in mothers' and children's health, and/or on more quantity and better quality of food. On the other hand, higher female employment could reduce the time spent on health investments (Miller and Urdinola, 2010).

Table 5 presents evidence of the effects of price changes on economic activities, using RAIS (columns 1 and 2) or Census data from 2000 and 2010 (columns 3-5). I find a statistically significant but small increase in the proportion of the population working (columns 1 and

Table 4. Investment in public health goods

	(1) No. health facilities (100,000)	(2) No. total staff (100,000)	(3) No. doctors (100,000)	(4) No. nurses (100,000)
Mineral Index	0.400 (0.467)	3.668** (1.677)	0.975** (0.411)	-0.040 (0.194)
Metallic Minerals	0.261 (0.383)	2.481** (1.238)	0.615** (0.295)	-0.042 (0.153)
Industrial Minerals	1.695 (1.507)	15.369** (7.100)	5.479** (2.358)	0.319 (0.934)
Obs	29,730	19,820	19,820	19,820
Mean Dep Var	85.47	743.3	110.3	48.41

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on investment in health goods. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as number of health facilities (column 1); number of total staff (column 2); number of doctors (column 3); number of nurses (column 4), per 100,000 people. These indicators are constructed as the mean over 12 months in each year. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: CNES.

3).²⁹ Monthly wage (column 4) also increases, and this translates into a 2.5% increase over the mean in household income. Overall, mining activities generate more economic opportunities, but only following economic booms in metallic minerals. Instead, there are no statistically significant effects for industrial minerals. These results are also robust to a battery of robustness checks (Appendix Table B12).

I also find evidence that new local employment opportunities for women do not take away time from child health investments. I find a higher utilization of ANC services and vaccinations, as well as increased hospitalizations (Table 6, columns 1-3) for metallic minerals, while

²⁹The analysis shows that the effects on formal and total employment are identical. Yet, note the two measures are coming from different data sources at different points in time. Given the lack of public available data, I cannot directly test that also informal employment proportionally increases due to better economic opportunities.

Table 5. Economic opportunities

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Data source:	RAIS		Census		
	Formal employment (%)	Monthly payroll (BRL)	Any employment (%)	Monthly wage (BRL)	Household income (BRL)
Mineral Index	0.002*** (0.001)	1.259 (2.078)	0.002*** (0.001)	20.456** (9.465)	26.095* (15.745)
Metallic Minerals	0.002*** (0.001)	1.378 (1.581)	0.003*** (0.000)	21.833*** (8.158)	29.699** (13.802)
Industrial Minerals	-0.000 (0.002)	-7.175 (11.862)	-0.001 (0.002)	-7.357 (14.180)	-16.335 (18.589)
Obs	54,488	54,306	9,908	9,908	9,908
Men Dep Var	0.17	554.6	0.36	630.6	1031

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on economic activities. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as total formal employment rate as percentage of the working population (column 1); monthly payroll (column 2); any formal or informal employment rate as percentage of the population (column 3); monthly wage (column 4); household income (column 5). Columns 1-2 use RAIS dataset, columns 3-5 use Census data in 2000 and 2010. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data source: RAIS.

there are no statistically significant effects for industrial minerals, despite the coefficient on hospitalizations being of a meaningful magnitude.³⁰

5.3. Pollution. There are many environmental factors that can affect maternal and child health. Traditional risks include drinking-water contamination and indoor air pollution, while newer environmental risks are urban air pollution and exposure to toxic chemicals. As far as mineral production is concerned, local communities can be exposed to pollution in

³⁰Because of a lack of data, I could not test whether maternal stress levels change after economic booms. However, following changes in international prices, households become wealthier due to new job opportunities. Pregnant women are expected to worry less about their economic situation or their husband's job, thus potentially reducing their levels of stress.

Table 6. Health services utilization

	(1) 7+ ANC visits (1,000)	(2) Vaccine doses (1,000)	(3) Tot hospital- izations U1 (1,000)
Mineral Index	5.364** (2.406)	1.041 (4.708)	43.657** (17.712)
Metallic Minerals	3.938** (1.975)	0.855 (3.860)	30.232** (12.939)
Industrial Minerals	11.874 (8.742)	-3.673 (9.962)	187.963 (116.073)
Obs	54,504	54,505	14,865
Mean Dep Var	509.1	918.8	1033

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on proxies for the utilization of health services. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as total number of births per 1,000 with more than 7 Antenatal Care Visits (column 1); total number of vaccine doses per 1,000 births (column 2); total number of hospitalizations per 1,000 children under one year old (column 3). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data source: DATASUS - SINACS, SIH, RAIS, Census.

several ways, such as dust and emissions from industrial processing, mine wasting into water and soil, and direct exposures to mine tailings.³¹

There is evidence from lower and middle-income countries that mineral activities could negatively affect health outcomes at birth through both air and water pollution. Metals are found to be negatively associated with psycho-motor and mental development and behavioral

³¹Most of the past literature studying the impacts of pollution on health mainly focuses on developed countries and analyzes the effects on infant health. The shorter time between exposure to pollution and health outcomes at birth (compared to adult outcomes) allows researchers to narrow down channels of impact. Additionally, studying health at birth is easier because of the availability of national registries in many countries. Moreover, health at birth predicts adult outcomes such as education or earnings well (Almond et al., 2011 and Currie and Vogl, 2013). While most of the past studies focused on air pollution related to urbanization and traffic (Currie and Schmieder, 2009, Currie and Walker, 2011, Chay and Greenstone, 2003a, Chay and Greenstone, 2003b), very few studies investigated the effects of water pollution (Currie et al., 2013). Evidence in the fields of public health and epidemiology on health impacts of mining-related pollution is mainly based on correlations, while rigorous empirical identification is still lacking in economics.

problems (Asmus et al., 2016). Hospital admissions for respiratory causes and emergency visits are also found to be higher in areas with high concentrations of air pollutants from industrial mining (Appendix Table A1). Other studies find evidence of negative effects of gold mining on general health among adults in Peru (Aragon and Rud, 2013), on child malnutrition and acute respiratory diseases in Ghana (Aragon and Rud, 2016), on APGAR scores in Colombia (Romero and Saavedra, 2016), and on women's anemia and children's stunting in 44 developing countries (der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018).

Table 7. Hospitalizations (1,000 people), by type

	(1) Respiratory	(2) Perinatal	(3) Infectious	(4) Digestive	(5) Anomalies	(6) Nervous system	(7) Genital and Urinary
Mineral Index	7.880 (5.252)	28.736** (13.088)	3.885* (2.218)	1.425 (0.900)	1.009* (0.574)	0.389 (0.325)	0.203 (0.523)
Metallic Minerals	5.050 (3.848)	20.133** (9.833)	3.137* (1.758)	0.977 (0.659)	0.662 (0.431)	0.121 (0.149)	0.115 (0.410)
Industrial Minerals	46.706*** (17.771)	105.072 (89.935)	-1.613 (12.820)	6.037 (5.353)	5.398 (6.711)	7.199 (8.666)	1.757 (2.332)
Obs	14,865	14,865	14,865	14,865	14,865	14,865	14,865
Mean Dep Var	388.9	385.4	158.9	22.87	20.78	9.667	8.344

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on types of hospitalizations of children under one year old. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. However, hospitalization data are available only between 2008 and 2010 (see Table A1, Panel C). Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as the total number of hospitalizations per 1,000 children under one year, by type: respiratory diseases (column 1); perinatal complications (column 2); infectious diseases (column 3); digestive diseases (column 4); anomalies (column 5); nervous system (column 6); genitourinary diseases (column 7). These are the main categories of hospitalizations (per number of hospitalizations over 1,000 children) as defined by CID 10 chapters. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SIH.

Table 7 shows increased hospitalizations for infectious diseases, anomalies, and perinatal conditions which includes abortive outcomes and complications of labor and delivery, as well as any problem incurred during the 6-week period after birth. This is in line with the negative effects found on health at birth (Table 1), and it is primarily driven by metallic minerals. More interestingly, I find an increased number of hospitalizations for respiratory

diseases (column 1) linked to industrial minerals, suggesting a potential cost of pollution also for certain industrial minerals, as confirmed by (Asmus et al., 2016).

Table 8. Air pollution

	(1) CH_4	(2) N_2O	(3) CO	(4) SO_2
Mineral Index	0.142 (0.139)	0.008** (0.004)	-1.638* (0.985)	0.073*** (0.025)
Metallic Minerals	0.136 (0.104)	0.006** (0.003)	-1.141 (0.761)	0.051*** (0.016)
Industrial Minerals	-0.846 (1.003)	0.013 (0.027)	-6.995 (8.210)	0.322 (0.361)
Obs	10,936	10,936	10,936	10,936
Mean Dep. Var	12.90	0.415	24.21	1.204

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on air pollutants. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities for the years 2000 and 2010, when air pollution data are available (EDGAR). Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as CH_4 , Methane (column 1); N_2O , Nitrous Oxide (column 2); CO , Carbon Monoxide (column 3); SO_2 , Sulfur Dioxide (column 4). All variables are defined in tons per year (in 100,000). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SIH.

Unfortunately, no nationwide data exists in Brazil on water pollution, so the analysis is limited to air pollution. Table 8 shows that there is a statistically significant increase in emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) (columns 2 and 4), and the changes are primarily driven by metallic minerals. N_2O is a gas usually emitted during industrial activities, as well as during the combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste. Similarly, SO_2 is a gas primarily emitted from fossil fuel combustion at power plants and other industrial facilities. These results, especially on SO_2 , remain robust to alternative specifications (Appendix Table B13).

Instead, the majority of CH_4 emissions in Brazil are from agricultural processes (70%), waste (16%), energy (4%) and land-use change (6%) (Tunncliffe et al., 2020), so it is not surprising that there are no changes. A decrease is reported in emissions of carbon monoxide

(CO), which is generated during a spontaneous heating event in a coal mine and is not part of the analysis. However, the results are not robust to alternative specifications (Appendix Table B13).

6. CONCLUSION

Natural resources often receive media and political attention, both in developing and developed countries. Evidence on their economic impacts, however, has been mixed. Some studies show local economic benefits from increased wealth, such as for oil, while others primarily tackle the costs of certain polluting minerals for the health of communities surrounding the mines, such as for gold and lead. This paper investigates the whole trade-off between the benefits and costs which economic booms in natural resources create, focusing on 40 types of minerals produced in Brazil in the 2000s. Exploiting exogenous changes in global commodity prices and the pre-existing spatial variation in mineral endowments, this study draws a broad picture on the net effects of booms in metallic and industrial minerals on health at birth. It also documents the potential channels at play, to shed further light on which benefits or costs of mineral resources prevail.

Following an increase in international prices, municipalities with higher historical endowments of mineral resources experience an increase in premature births and births with low APGAR scores. These results are primarily driven by *metallic minerals*, while there is a lack of statistically significant effects for *industrial minerals*. On the one hand, the results suggest that natural resource booms generate benefits through resource windfalls — at the municipality level — and increased employment opportunities and income — at the household level — along with higher utilization of health services. On the other hand, costs of (air) pollution exist and seem to prevail, contributing to the worse birth outcomes.

Identifying the mechanisms at play is pivotal for policymakers, because the impact of any policy depends on the costs and benefits that each natural resource creates locally. This study finds that the health effects are positive for economic booms in minerals that generate royalties through taxation and for which there is no consistent evidence of pollution, such as

1
2
3 aluminum and iron ore. Instead, some untaxed polluting minerals such as lead, chromium,
4 and cadmium remain more a curse than a blessing. More importantly, for other minerals such
5 as manganese, copper and gold, for which pollution has been established in the literature
6 but also generate royalties, the impacts on health at birth remain on average negative. This
7 suggests that, despite potential benefits from resource windfalls, the costs of pollution seem
8 to prevail.
9

10
11 The study presents some limitations. First, because data on prices and health outcomes
12 are lacking before the beginning of the panel, I cannot provide further evidence on parallel
13 pretrends as suggested in [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. \(2020\)](#). Yet, the identification strategy
14 primarily relies on the exogeneity of global commodity prices ([Borusyak et al., 2022](#)). While
15 not necessary for the identification to be valid, I also consider the plausible exogenous cross-
16 sectional variation in endowments and, following [Goldsmith-Pinkham et al. \(2020\)](#), I provide
17 several tests suggesting that this variation is also not subject to relevant endogeneity con-
18 cern. Second, non-random measurement error in the registration of deaths or births remains
19 a concern, mainly in remote areas. I control for potential time trends in improvements in reg-
20 istration at the state level since the surveillance is implemented by state-level functionaries.
21 However, any residual bias might lead to biased estimates of the effects. Finally, I cannot
22 fully dismiss that selective migration and/or selection into fertility play a contributing role
23 in explaining worse health outcomes.
24

25
26 Despite these limitations, the study provides evidence that pollution appears to overcome
27 the benefits from resource windfalls and economic opportunities. Thus, stakeholders should
28 improve corporate social responsibility as well as transparency standards, at least in the
29 regulation of some metallic minerals. They also need to establish better ways to protect the
30 local population, especially pregnant women and newborns, from the negative spillovers of
31 pollution. Much more needs to be done, at least in Brazil, to make sure that all metallic
32 minerals become a blessing more than a curse.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4
5 **Adao, Rodrigo, Michal Kolesár, and Eduardo Morales**, “Shift-share designs: Theory
6 and inference,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2019, 134 (4), 1949–2010.
- 7 **Allcott, Hunt and Daniel Keniston**, “Dutch Disease or Agglomeration? The Local
8 Economic Effects of Natural Resource Booms in Modern America,” *Working Paper No.*
9 *20508. National Bureau of Economic Research*, 2014.
- 10 **Almond, Douglas and Bhashkar Mazumder**, “Health capital and the prenatal environ-
11 ment: the effect of Ramadan observance during pregnancy,” *American Economic Journal:*
12 *Applied Economics*, 2011, 3 (4), 56–85.
- 13 _____, **Hilary W Hoynes, and Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach**, “Inside the war
14 on poverty: The impact of food stamps on birth outcomes,” *The review of economics and*
15 *statistics*, 2011, 93 (2), 387–403.
- 16 _____, **Janet Currie, and Valentina Duque**, “Childhood circumstances and adult
17 outcomes: Act II,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2018, 56 (4), 1360–1446.
- 18 **Angrist, Joshua D. and Adriana D. Kugler**, “Rural Windfall or a New Resource Curse?
19 Coca, Income, and Civil Conflict in Colombia,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*,
20 2008, 90 (2), 191–215.
- 21 **Anshasy, Aman A. El and Marina-Selini Katsaiti**, “Are natural resources bad for
22 health?,” *Health and Place*, 2015, 32, 29–42.
- 23 **Aragon, Fernando M. and Juan Pablo Rud**, “Natural resources and local communities:
24 evidence from a Peruvian gold mine,” *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*,
25 2013, 5, 1–25.
- 26 _____ and _____, “Polluting industries and agricultural productivity: Evidience from
27 mining in Ghana,” *The Economic Journal*, 2016, 126, 1980–2011.
- 28 _____, **Punam Chuhan-Pole, and Bryan Christopher Land**, “The Local Economic
29 Impacts of Resource Abundance: What Have We Learned?,” *World Bank, Policy Research*
30 *Working Paper 7263*, 2015.
- 31 **Arnetz, Bengt B, Sten-Olof Brenner, Lennart Levi, Robert Hjelm, Inga-Lill**
32 **Petterson, Jerzy Wasserman, Björn Petrini, Peter Eneroth, Anders Kallner,**
33 **Richard Kvetnansky et al.**, “Neuroendocrine and immunologic effects of unemployment
34 and job insecurity,” *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*, 1991, 55 (2-4), 76–80.
- 35 **Asher, Sam and Paul Novosad**, “Digging for Development: Mining Booms and Local
36 Economic Development in India,” *Working Paper*, 2016.
- 37 **Asmus, Carmen I.R. Froes, Volney M. Camara, Philip J. Landrigan, and Luz**
38 **Claudio**, “A Systematic Review of Children’s Environmental Health in Brazil,” *Annals*
39 *of Global Health*, 2016, 82 (1), 132–148.
- 40 **Baird, Sarah, Jed Friedman, and Norbert Schady**, “Aggregate income shocks and
41 infant mortality in the developing world,” *Review of Economics and statistics*, 2011, 93
42 (3), 847–856.
- 43 **Belles-Obrero, Cristina and Judit Vall Castello**, “The business cycle and health,” in
44 “Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Economics and Finance” 2018.
- 45 **Benshaul-Tolonen, Anja**, “Local Industrial Shocks and Infant Mortality,” *The Economic*
46 *Journal*, 2018. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/eoj.12625>.
- 47 **Bernstein, Shai, Emanuele Colonnelli, Davide Malacrino, and Tim McQuade**,
48 “Who creates new firms when local opportunities arise?,” *Journal of Financial Economics*,
49 2022, 143 (1), 107–130.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 **Bhalotra, Sonia**, “Fatal fluctuations? Cyclicity in infant mortality in India,” *Journal of*
4 *Development Economics*, 2010, *93* (1), 7–19.
- 5 **Bhalotra, Sonia R, Rudi Rocha, and Rodigo R Soares**, “Does universalization of
6 health work? Evidence from health systems restructuring and maternal and child health
7 in Brazil,” Technical Report, ISER Working Paper Series 2016.
- 8 **Black, Dan, Terra McKinnish, and Seth Sanders**, “The Economic Impact of the Coal
9 Boom and Bust,” *European Economic Review*, 2005, *115* (503), 449–476.
- 10 **Blanc, Ann K and Tessa Wardlaw**, “Monitoring low birth weight: an evaluation of in-
11 ternational estimates and an updated estimation procedure,” *Bulletin of the World Health*
12 *Organization*, 2005, *83*, 178–185d.
- 13 **Boerma, Jan Ties, KI Weinstein, Shea Oscar Rutstein, and A Elisabeth Som-**
14 **merfelt**, “Data on birth weight in developing countries: can surveys help?,” *Bulletin of*
15 *the World Health Organization*, 1996, *74* (2), 209.
- 16 **Borusyak, Kirill, Peter Hull, and Xavier Jaravel**, “Quasi-experimental shift-share
17 research designs,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 2022, *89* (1), 181–213.
- 18 **Bozzoli, Carlos and Climent Quintana-Domeque**, “The weight of the crisis: Evidence
19 from newborns in Argentina,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2014, *96* (3), 550–562.
- 20 **Brollo, Fernanda, Tommaso Nannicini, Roberto Perotti, and Guido Tabellini**,
21 “The Political Resource Curse,” *The American Economic Review*, 2013, *103* (5), 1759–
22 1796.
- 23 **Brown, Ryan et al.**, “The Intergenerational Impact of Terror: Did the 9/11 Tragedy
24 Impact the Initial Human Capital of the Next Generation?,” *Demography*, 2020, pp. 1–23.
- 25 **Brunnschweiler, Christa N.**, “Cursing the Blessings? Natural Resource Abundance,
26 Institutions, and Economic Growth,” *World Development*, 2008, *36* (3), 399–419.
- 27 **Camacho, Adriana**, “Stress and birth weight: evidence from terrorist attacks,” *American*
28 *Economic Review*, 2008, *98* (2), 511–15.
- 29 **Caputi, Marcos Tadeu, Andra Moreira Cunha, and Priscila Linck**, “O choque nos
30 preasos das commodities e a economia brasileira nos anos 2000,” *Brazilian Journal of*
31 *Political Economy*, 09 2019, *39*, 427 – 448.
- 32 **Carlson, Kyle**, “Fear itself: The effects of distressing economic news on birth outcomes,”
33 *Journal of health economics*, 2015, *41*, 117–132.
- 34 **Caselli, Francesco and Guy Michaels**, “Do Oil Windfalls Improve Living Standards?
35 Evidence from Brazil,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2013, *5* (1),
36 208–238.
- 37 **Channon, Andrew AR, Sabu S Padmadas, and John W McDonald**, “Measuring
38 birth weight in developing countries: does the method of reporting in retrospective surveys
39 matter?,” *Maternal and child health journal*, 2011, *15* (1), 12–18.
- 40 **Chay, Kenneth Y and Michael Greenstone**, “Air Quality, Infant Mortality, and the
41 Clean Air Act of 1970,” *NBER Working Paper No. 10053*, 2003.
- 42 _____ and _____, “The Impact of Air Pollution on Infant Mortality: Evidence from
43 Geographic Variation in Pollution Shocks Induced by a Recession,” *Quarterly Journal of*
44 *Economics*, 2003, *118* (3), 121–1167.
- 45 **Corden, W. Max and J. Peter Neary**, “Booming Sector and De-industrialisation in a
46 Small Open Economy,” *The Economic Journal*, 1982, *92* (368), 825–848.
- 47 **Currie, Janet**, “Pollution and infant health,” *Child development perspectives*, 2013, *7* (4),
48 237–242.

- 1
2
3 _____ and Johannes F Schmieder, “Fetal Exposures to Toxic Releases and Infant
4 Health,” *American Economic Review*, May 2009, 99 (2), 177–183.
- 5 _____ and Reed Walker, “Traffic Congestion and Infant Health: Evidence from E-
6 ZPass,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2011, 3 (1), 65–90.
- 7 _____ and Tom Vogl, “Early-Life Health and Adult Circumstance in Developing Coun-
8 tries,” *Annual Review of Economics*, 2013, 5, 1–36.
- 9 _____, Graff Zivin, Joshua, Meckel, Katherine, Neidell, Matthew, and
10 Schlenker Wolfram, “Something in the water: contaminated drinking water and infant
11 health,” *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 2013, 46 (3), 791–810.
- 12 _____, Matthew Neidell, and Johannes F. Schmieder, “Air pollution and infant
13 health: Lessons from New Jersey,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 2009, 28 (3), 688 – 703.
- 14 de Lima, Everton Emanuel Campos and Bernardo Lanza Queiroz, “Evolution of
15 the deaths registry system in Brazil: associations with changes in the mortality profile,
16 under-registration of death counts, and ill-defined causes of death,” *Cadernos de Saúde
17 Pública*, 2014, 30, 1721–1730.
- 18 Dehejia, Rajeev and Adriana Lleras-Muney, “Booms, Busts, and Babies’ Health,” *The
19 Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2004, 119 (3), 1091–1130.
- 20 Dejmek, Jan, Sherry G Selevan, Ivan Benes, Ivo Solanský, and Radim J Srám,
21 “Fetal growth and maternal exposure to particulate matter during pregnancy.,” *Environ-
22 mental health perspectives*, 1999, 107 (6), 475–480.
- 23 den Bergh, Bea RH Van, Eduard JH Mulder, Maarten Mennes, and Vivette
24 Glover, “Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the neurobehavioural development
25 of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. A review,” *Neuroscience & Biobe-
26 havioral Reviews*, 2005, 29 (2), 237–258.
- 27 der Goltz, Jan Von and Prabhat Barnwal, “Mines: The local welfare effects of
28 mineral mining in developing countries,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2018.
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.05.005>.
- 30 Dole, Nancy, David A Savitz, Irva Hertz-Picciotto, Anna Maria Siega-Riz,
31 Michael J McMahon, and Pierre Buekens, “Maternal stress and preterm birth,”
32 *American journal of epidemiology*, 2003, 157 (1), 14–24.
- 33 Douglas, Almond, Lee David S., and Chay Kenneth Y., “The Costs of Low Birth
34 Weight,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2005, 120 (3), 1031–1083.
- 35 Douglas, Stratford and Anne Walker, “Coal Mining and the Resource Curse in the
36 Eastern United States,” *Journal of Regional Science*, 2016.
- 37 Dube, Oeindrila and Juan F. Vargas, “Commodity Price Shocks and Civil Conflict:
38 Evidence from Colombia,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 2013, 80 (4), 1384–1421.
- 39 Erten, Bilge and José Antonio Ocampo, “Super cycles of commodity prices since the
40 mid-nineteenth century,” *World development*, 2013, 44, 14–30.
- 41 Fenoll, Ainhoa Aparicio and Libertad González, “Newborn health and the business
42 cycle: Is it good to be born in bad times?,” 2014.
- 43 Fujiwara, Thomas, “Voting technology, political responsiveness, and infant health: Evi-
44 dence from Brazil,” *Econometrica*, 2015, 83 (2), 423–464.
- 45 Gamper-Rabindran, Shanti, Shakeeb Khan, and Christopher Timmins, “The im-
46 pact of piped water provision on infant mortality in Brazil: A quantile panel data ap-
47 proach,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2010, 92 (2), 188–200.
- 48 Goldenberg, Robert L, Jennifer F Culhane, Jay D Iams, and Roberto Romero,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- “Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth,” *The lancet*, 2008, 371 (9606), 75–84.
- Goldsmith-Pinkham, Paul, Isaac Sorkin, and Henry Swift**, “Bartik instruments: What, when, why, and how,” *American Economic Review*, 2020, 110 (8), 2586–2624.
- Gruss, Bertrand**, “After the Boom—Commodity Prices and Economic Growth in Latin America and the Caribbean,” IMF Working Papers 14/154, International Monetary Fund 2014.
- Gylfason, Thorvaldur, Tryggvi Thor Herbertsson, and Gylfi Zoega**, “A Mixed Blessing,” *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 1999, 3 (2), 204–225.
- Hatch, Maureen C, Dorothy Warburton, and Regina M Santella**, “Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts in spontaneously aborted fetal tissue,” *Carcinogenesis*, 1990, 11 (9), 1673–1675.
- Hoynes, Hilary, Doug Miller, and David Simon**, “Income, the earned income tax credit, and infant health,” *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 2015, 7 (1), 172–211.
- Hunter, Wendy and Natasha Borges Sugiyama**, “Making the newest citizens: achieving universal birth registration in contemporary Brazil,” *The Journal of Development Studies*, 2018, 54 (3), 397–412.
- Jacobsen, Grant and Dominic Parker.**, “The Economic Aftermath of Resource Booms: Evidence from Boomtowns in the American West,” *The Economic Journal*, 2014.
- Jaeger, David A, Joakim Ruist, and Jan Stuhler**, “Shift-share instruments and the impact of immigration,” Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2018.
- Jayachandran, Seema**, “Air Quality and Early-Life Mortality: Evidence from Indonesia’s Wildfires,” *Journal of Human Resources*, 2009, 44 (4), 916–954.
- Koppensteiner, Martin Foureaux and Marco Manacorda**, “Violence and birth outcomes: Evidence from homicides in Brazil,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2016, 119, 16–33.
- Kotsadam, Andreas and Anja Tolonen**, “African Mining, Gender and Local Employment,” *World Development*, 2016.
- KPMG Global Mining Institute**, “Brazil Country Mining Guide 2015,” Technical Report, KPMG INTERNATIONAL 2015.
- Leite, Carlos A. and Jens Weidmann**, “Does Mother Nature Corrupt? Natural Resources, Corruption, and Economic Growth.,” *IMF Working Paper, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC, June*, 1999.
- Lima, Everton EC, Bernardo Lanza Queiroz, and Krystof Zeman**, “Completeness of birth registration in Brazil: an overview of methods and data sources,” *Genus*, 2018, 74 (1), 11.
- Lindo, Jason M**, “Parental job loss and infant health,” *Journal of health economics*, 2011, 30 (5), 869–879.
- Loayza, Norman and Jamele Rigolini**, “The local impact of mining on poverty and inequality: evidence from the commodity boom in Peru,” *World Development*, 2016, 84, 219–234.
- Mansour, Hani and Daniel I Rees**, “Armed conflict and birth weight: Evidence from the al-Aqsa Intifada,” *Journal of development Economics*, 2012, 99 (1), 190–199.
- Marchand, Joseph**, “Local Labor Market Impacts of Energy Boom-bust-boom in Western Canada,” *Journal of Urban Economics*, 2012, 71 (1), 165–174.

- 1
2
3 **McMahon, Gary and Susana Moreira**, “The Contribution of the Mining Sector to Socioeconomic and Human Development,” *World Bank*,
4 <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/18660>, 2014.
5
6 **Menclova, Andrea Kutinova and Steven Stillman**, “Maternal stress and birth outcomes: Evidence from an unexpected earthquake swarm,” *Health Economics*, 2020.
7
8 **Michaels, Guy**, “The Long Term Consequences of Resource-Based Specialisation,” *The Economic Journal*, 2011, *121* (551), 31–57.
9
10 **Miller, Grant and B. Piedad Urdinola**, “Cyclicality, Mortality, and the Value of Time: The Case of Coffee Price Fluctuations and Child Survival in Colombia,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2010, *118* (1), 113–155.
11
12 **Ministry of Mines and Energy**, “Minerals Yearly Book,” Technical Report 2013.
13
14 **Monteiro, Joana and Claudio Ferraz**, “Does Oil Make Leaders Unaccountable? Evidence from Brazil’s offshore oil boom,” 2012. Working Paper.
15
16 **Newson, Roger**, “QQVALUE: Stata module to generate quasi-q-values by inverting multiple-test procedures,” 01 2011.
17
18 _____ and **ALSPAC Study Team**, “Multiple—test Procedures and Smile Plots,” *The Stata Journal*, 2003, *3* (2), 109–132.
19
20 **Paes, Neir Antunes**, “AvaliaÃ§Ãda cobertura dos registros de Ãdos estados brasileiros em 2000,” *Revista de SaÃP*, 12 2005, *39*, 882 – 890.
21
22 **Papyrakis, Elissaios and Reyer Gerlagh**, “Resource Abundance and Economic Growth in the United States,” *European Economic Review*, 2007, *51* (4), 1011–1039.
23
24 **Paxson, Christina and Norbert Schady**, “Child health and economic crisis in Peru,” *The World bank economic review*, 2005, *19* (2), 203–223.
25
26 **Perera, Frederica P, Robin M Whyatt, Wieslaw Jedrychowski, Virginia Rauh, David Manchester, Regina M Santella, and Ruth Ottman**, “Recent developments in molecular epidemiology: a study of the effects of environmental polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on birth outcomes in Poland,” *American journal of epidemiology*, 1998, *147* (3), 309–314.
27
28 _____, **Wieslaw Jedrychowski, Virginia Rauh, and Robin M Whyatt**, “Molecular epidemiologic research on the effects of environmental pollutants on the fetus.,” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 1999, *107* (suppl 3), 451–460.
29
30 **Persson, Petra and Maya Rossin-Slater**, “Family ruptures, stress, and the mental health of the next generation,” *American economic review*, 2018, *108* (4-5), 1214–52.
31
32 **Queiroz, Bernardo Lanza, Flvio Henrique Miranda de Araujo Freire, Marcos Roberto Gonzaga, and Everton Emanuel Campos de Lima**, “Estimativas do grau de cobertura e da mortalidade adulta (45q15) para as unidades da federaÃ§Ão Brasil entre 1980 e 2010,” *Revista Brasileira de Epidemiologia*, 05 2017, *20*, 21 – 33.
33
34 **Quintana-Domeque, Climent and Pedro Rdenas-Serrano**, “The hidden costs of terrorism: The effects on health at birth,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 2017, *56*, 47–60.
35
36 **Rangel, Marcos A and Tom S Vogl**, “Agricultural fires and health at birth,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 2019, *101* (4), 616–630.
37
38 **Rocha, Rudi and Rodrigo R Soares**, “Water scarcity and birth outcomes in the Brazilian semiarid,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2015, *112*, 72–91.
39
40 **Rocha, Thiago Augusto Hernandes, Nubia Cristina da Silva, Allan Claudius Queiroz Barbosa, Pedro Vasconcelos Amaral, Elaine Thume,**
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 **Joao Victor Rocha, Viviane Alvares, and Luiz Augusto Facchini**, “National Reg-
4 istry of Health Facilities: data reliability evidence,” *Saude Coletiva*, 2018, *23* (1), 219–240.
5 **Romero, Mauricio and Santiago Saavedra**, “The Effect of Gold Mining on the Health
6 of Newborns,” 2016.
7 **Rondó, Patricia HC, Rodrigo Fernando Ferreira, Fabio Nogueira, Maria CN**
8 **Ribeiro, H Lobert, and Rinaldo Artes**, “Maternal psychological stress and distress as
9 predictors of low birth weight, prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation,” *European*
10 *journal of clinical nutrition*, 2003, *57* (2), 266–272.
11 **Rook, Karen, David Dooley, and Ralph Catalano**, “Stress transmission: The effects
12 of husbands’ job stressors on the emotional health of their wives,” *Journal of Marriage*
13 *and the Family*, 1991, pp. 165–177.
14 **Rossin-Slater, Maya**, “WIC in your neighborhood: New evidence on the impacts of geo-
15 graphic access to clinics,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 2013, *102*, 51–69.
16 **Rozo, Sandra V and Juan F Vargas**, “Brothers or invaders? How crisis-driven migrants
17 shape voting behavior,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2021, *150*, 102636.
18 **Sachs, Jeffrey D. and Andrew M. Warner**, “Natural Resource Abundance and Eco-
19 nomic Growth,” *Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge,*
20 *MA*, 1995.
21 **Schetter, Christine Dunkel**, “Psychological science on pregnancy: stress processes,
22 biopsychosocial models, and emerging research issues,” *Annual review of psychology*, 2011,
23 *62*, 531–558.
24 **Simeonova, Emilia**, “Out of sight, out of mind? Natural disasters and pregnancy outcomes
25 in the USA,” *CESifo Economic Studies*, 2011, *57* (3), 403–431.
26 **Stieb, David M, Li Chen, Maysoon Eshoul, and Stan Judek**, “Ambient air pollution,
27 birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Environmental*
28 *research*, 2012, *117*, 100–111.
29 **Thompson, Ross A**, “Stress and child development,” *The Future of Children*, 2014, pp. 41–
30 59.
31 **Tunnicliffe, Rachel L, Anita L Ganesan, Robert J Parker, Hartmut Boesch,**
32 **Nicola Gedney, Benjamin Poulter, Zhen Zhang, Jošt V Lavrič, David Walter,**
33 **Matthew Rigby et al.**, “Quantifying sources of Brazil’s CH 4 emissions between 2010
34 and 2018 from satellite data,” *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 2020, *20* (21), 13041–
35 13067.
36 **van der Ploeg, Frederick and Steven Poelhekke**, “Volatility and the Natural Resource
37 Curse,” *Oxford Economic Papers*, 2009, *61* (4), 727–760.
38 **Vicente, Pedro C.**, “Does Oil Corrupt? Evidence from a Natural Experiment in West
39 Africa,” *Journal of Development Economics*, 2003, *92* (1), 28–38.
40 **Vinokur, Amiram D, Richard H Price, and Robert D Caplan**, “Hard times and
41 hurtful partners: How financial strain affects depression and relationship satisfaction of
42 unemployed persons and their spouses,” *Journal of personality and social psychology*,
43 1996, *71* (1), 166.
44 **Wadhwa, Pathik D**, “Psychoneuroendocrine processes in human pregnancy influence fetal
45 development and health,” *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 2005, *30* (8), 724–743.
46 _____, **Jennifer F Culhane, Virginia Rauh, and Shirish S Barve**, “Stress and
47 preterm birth: neuroendocrine, immune/inflammatory, and vascular mechanisms,” *Ma-*
48 *ternal and child health journal*, 2001, *5* (2), 119–125.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 _____, _____, _____, _____, Vijaya Hogan, Curt A Sandman, Calvin J
4 Hobel, Aleksandra Chicz-DeMet, Christine Dunkel-Schetter, Thomas J Garite
5 et al., "Stress, infection and preterm birth: a biobehavioural perspective," *Paediatric and*
6 *perinatal epidemiology*, 2001, 15, 17–29.

7
8 Wang, Xiaobin, Hui Ding, Louise Ryan, and Xiping Xu, "Association between air
9 pollution and low birth weight: a community-based study.," *Environmental health per-*
10 *spectives*, 1997, 105 (5), 514–520.

11 Weinstock, Marta, "The potential influence of maternal stress hormones on development
12 and mental health of the offspring," *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 2005, 19 (4), 296–308.

13 Westman, Mina, Dalia Etzion, and Esti Danon, "Job insecurity and crossover of
14 burnout in married couples," *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Jour-*
15 *nal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 2001, 22 (5),
16 467–481.

17
18 World Bank Group, "Development Indicators," Technical Report 2013.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

APPENDIX A: LITERATURE REVIEW

Table A1. Literature review

Type	Health Outcome Effects	References
METALLIC MINERALS		
Aluminum	Negative health effects on respiratory diseases due to dust, especially for children Brain and bone diseases in children with high levels. No clear effects on birth defects	Petrela et al. 2001 CDC
Cadmium*	Low growth of newborn baby; low IQ level; cardiac abnormalities; craniofacial abnormalities such as small eyes of newborn baby, nasal bridge is poorly formed; lead to renal abnormalities DNA damage in neonates Maternal exposure during pregnancy induced fetal growth restriction, fetal death and birth defects, sudden infant death, and preterm birth	Neeti et al. 2013 Ni 2014 Turker 2015
Chromium*	Frequent spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, premature births, and neonatal deaths DNA damage in neonates High risk from drinking water contaminated, but no health effects Kidney and lung damage for adults; no effects on child development, behavior or birth defects	Blankenship et al 1994; Costa 1997; Ajayi et al 2012; Amadi et al 2017 Ni 2014 Naz 2016 CDC
Cobalt	Respiratory problems for adults; no clear effects on birth defects, but cobalt can be transferred from mother to child through breastmilk Hematological effects, sometime hearing loss, cardiomyopathy (mainly animal studies) Urine contains metal residuals for both adults and children in DRC, but no investigation of health effects	CDC Paustenbach 2013 Banza 2009

Table A1. Literature review (*continued*)

Type	Health Outcome Effects	References
METALLIC MINERALS (<i>continued</i>)		
Copper*	Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, stomach cramps, liver and kidney damage. No evidence on cancer; No clear effects on birth defects of development of children. In animals can decrease fetal growth Increases chances of miscarriage, complication during delivery, low birth weight, muscular weakness in newborn baby, it leads neurological problems in growing children Children living in villages considered impacted by copper mining have better health outcomes for malaria infection, anemia and stunting than children from comparison sites	CDC Neeti et al 2013 Knoblauch 2017
Iron Ore	Higher rates of waterborne disease, fever, typhus, respiratory diseases for adult workers	Phraden 2014
Lead*	Higher rates of stunting Evidence of intellectual deficits (IQ) if blood level high Review paper which includes lead: miscarriage, premature births, low birth weight, and negative effects on brain and growth of children Frequent spontaneous abortions, stillbirths, premature births, and neonatal deaths Higher risk of premature birth, low birth weight and retarded growth	von der Goltz and Barnwal, 2018 Bruce et al 2005 Neeti et al 2013; Turker 2015 Amadi et al 2017 Iyengar and Nair 2000
Lithium	Used to treat bipolar disorders, can have negative effects on fetus, but no definite evidence Small concentrations of lithium are beneficial to strengthen the cell wall and improve disease resistance	Marcus 1994 Vetrimurugan 2017
Manganese*	Intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) and lower birth weight Negative effects on brain development; no clear effects on birth defects	Wood 2009 CDC

Table A1. Literature review (*continued*)

Type	Health Outcome Effects	References
METALLIC MINERALS (<i>continued</i>)		
Nickel	Chronic toxicity (100 days): respiratory disorders, pulmonary and nasal cavity cancers for male workers. No evidence on female and children Higher mortality in adult working males. Increased ischemic heart disease, diabetes, and lung cancer DNA damage in neonates	Das et al 2008 Pasanen 2012 Ni 2014
Rare Earths	Higher risk heart attacks and lung diseases for adult workers; low IQ for children, leukemia Increased risk of hypertension rates for adults Unclear evidence, but several newspaper articles suggest potential negative effects	US EPA 2012 Wang 2017 Rim 2016
Tantalum	Can cause eye and skin irritation and respiratory problems. No evidence on workers, mostly animal studies	CDC
Tin*	Low neurodevelopment of newborns in a tin mining region, especially among boys	Marques et al., 2014
Tungsten	No main health effects; if high exposure breathing problems; only animal studies Long-term contamination in soil. Health risk assessment, not health effects Vanadium Nausea, mild diarrhea, and stomach cramps; breathing problems and cough in adults; no evidence on children; some other evidence on animals	CDC Guo 2017 CDC
Vanadium	may act as a carcinogen among both adults and children. Risk assessment not evidence of health effects	Yu 2018
Zinc	Need the right amount for mothers and kids. High levels effects can be same as too low zinc: not proper growth or development. Adults: nausea, vomiting, anemia, etc. No effects on cancer	CDC
Zirconium	Studies on animals, no studies in humans	
Gold*	Lower infant mortality, but also lower AGPAR.	Tolonen 2018; Romero and Saavedra 2016
Silver		No specific studies

Table A1. Literature review (*continued*)

Type	Health Outcome Effects	References
INDUSTRIAL MINERALS		
Asbestos	Lung and other cancer, problems breathing in adults. Expected similar results on children. No effects on health at birth	Pira et al. 2011; CDC
Barite	Barium carbonate can cause slightly raised rates of amniotic fluid of fetuses with congenital malformation Limited evidence on adults. Cardiovascular and kidney diseases, metabolic, neurological, and mental disorders Silicosis in adults, evidence of cancer in animals	Barosova et al. 2014 Kravchenko 2014 CDC
Beryllium	Negative effects on respiratory tract on adults (cause the Chronic Beryllium Disease, i.e., fatal lung disease). Gastrointestinal lesions on animals. No clear effects on development and children	CDC
Graphite	Graphite pneumoconiosis (respiratory disease) in adults (similar to asbestos effects from dust)	Uragoda 1997, Vito Lido 2008
Gypsum	Damage to lungs from repeated or extended exposure Air pollution due to cement plants affect pre-term delivery	CDC, Integrated Laboratory Systems, Inc. 2006 Yang et al. 2003
Feldspar	Can contain Uranium, exposure to radiation.	Silveira et al. 1992, Cavariani 2016
Fluorspar	Linked to osteochondral conditions (prolapsed intervertebral disks), mental disorders, endocrine disorders (goiter) in adults.	Davies 1994
Perlite	Increase in allergic rhinitis, pneumonia, and COPD. Irritant to eyes, skin, and respiratory diseases	Schundler Company, 2010; Maxim et al 2014
Talc and Pyrophyllite	Decreased lung function and increase radiological opacities in adult workers. No studies on children	Wild et al. 2008 Mandel et al. 2016
Clays, Diamond, Diatomite, Kyanite, Lime, Mica, Rubber, Sand and Gravel, Stone-crushed, Stone-dimension, Sulfur		No studies found

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-017-0911-0>
 Notes: * indicates the studies that describe negative health effects of minerals on birth outcomes; References are cited next.

Additional References

- **Ajayi, O O, Ma Charles-Davies, and Og Arinola**, “Progesterone, selected heavy metals and micronutrients in pregnant Nigerian women with a history of recurrent spontaneous abortion,” *African health sciences*, 2012,12(2): 153-9. doi:10.4314/ahs.v12i2.12.
- **Amadi, Cecilia and, Zelinjo Igweze and Orish Orisakwe**, “Heavy metals in miscarriages and stillbirths in developing nations,” *Middle East Fertility Society Journal*, 2017, 22. 10.1016/j.mefs.2017.03.003.
- **Banza, Célestin, Tim Nawrot, Vincent Haufroid, Sophie Decrée, Thierry Putter, Erik Smolders, Numbi Luboya, Augustin Ilunga, Alain Mutombo, and Ben Nemery**, “High human exposure to cobalt and other metals in Katanga, a mining area of the Democratic Republic of Congo,” *Environmental research*, 2009, 109:745-52. 10.1016/j.envres.2009.04.012.
- **Barosova, Hana, Jana Dvořáčková, Oldřich, Mamulová Kutlakova, Pavlína Peikertová, Janusz Rak, Hana Bielniková, Jana Kukutschová**, “Metal-based particles in human amniotic fluids of fetuses with normal karyotype and congenital malformation—a pilot study,” *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2015, 22:7582-9. 10.1007/s11356-014-3987-0.
- **Blankenship Lori J, Francis CR Manning, Jan M Orenstein, and Steven R Patierno**, “Apoptosis is the mode of cell death caused by carcinogenic chromium,” *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*,1994, 124(1):25-30.
- **Bruce P. Lanphear, Richard Hornung, Jane Khoury, Kimberly Yolton, Peter Baghurst, David C. Bellinger, Richard L. Canfield, Kim N. Dietrich, Robert Bornschein, Tom Greene, Stephen J. Rothenberg, Herbert L. Needleman, Lourdes Schnaas, Gail Wasserman, Joseph Graziano and Russell Roberts**, “Low-Level Environmental Lead Exposure and Children’s Intellectual Function: An International Pooled Analysis,” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2005, 113(7):894-899.
- **Cavariani, Fulvio**, “Asbestos contamination in feldspar extraction sites: a failure of prevention?,” *Annals Istituto Superiore Sanita*, 2016, 52(1): 6-8.
- **Center for Disease and Control (CDC), Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (ATSDR)**, Available at <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/index.asp> (Substances A-Z).
- **Costa, Max**, “Toxicity and Carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) in Animal Models and Humans,” *Critical reviews in toxicology*, 1997, 27: 431-42. 10.3109/10408449709078442.
- **Das, Kusal, Swastika Das, and SA Dhundasi**, “Nickel, its adverse health effects oxidative stress,” *The Indian journal of medical research*. 2008, 128. 412-25.
- **Davies Theo C**, “Water quality characteristics associated with fluorite mining in the Kerio Valley area of Western Kenya,” *International Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 1994, 4(3):165-175, DOI: 10.1080/09603129409356814
- **Guo, Li, Weituo Zhao, Xiaowen Gu, Xinyun Zhao, Juan Chen, Shenggao Cheng**, “Risk Assessment and Source Identification of 17 Metals and Metalloids on Soils from the Half-Century Old Tungsten Mining Areas in Lianhuashan, Southern

- China,” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2017, 14. 1475. 10.3390/ijerph14121475.
- **Health Sciences National Institutes of Health**, U.S Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC <http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/>.
 - **Integrated Laboratory Systems, Inc.**, Chemical Information Review Document for Synthetic and Naturally Mined Gypsum (Calcium Sulfate Dihydrate) [CAS No. 13397-24-5], 2006, Research Triangle Park, NC Under Contract No. N01-ES-35515. Prepared for: National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental.
 - **Iyengar, G. Venkatesh and Padmanabhan Nair**, *Global Outlook on Nutrition and the Environment: Meeting the Challenges of the Next Millennium. The Science of the total environment*, 2000, 249:331-46. 10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00529-X.
 - **Knoblauch, M Astrid, Mark J Divall, Milka Owuor, et al.**, “Monitoring of Selected Health Indicators in Children Living in a Copper Mine Development Area in Northwestern Zambia,” *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 2017;14(3):315. doi:10.3390/ijerph14030315.
 - **Kravchenko, Julia, Thomas Darrah, Richard Miller, Herbert Lyerly, Avner Vengosh**, “A review of the health impacts of barium from natural and anthropogenic exposure,” *Environmental geochemistry and health*, 2014, 36. 10.1007/s10653-014-9622-7.
 - **Lido, Alessandro, Satoshi Kitamura, Jose Inacio Oliveira, Sergio Roberto Lucca, Valmir Antonio Zulian Azevedo, Ericson Bagatin**, “Occupational exposure and occurrence of pneumoconioses in Campinas, Brazil, 1978-2003,” *Jornal brasileiro de pneumologia: publicação oficial da Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia*, 2008, 34:367-72. 10.1590/S1806-37132008000600006.
 - **Mandel, Jeffrey H., Bruce H. Alexander, and Gurumurthy Ramachandran**, “A Review of Mortality Associated With Elongate Mineral Particle (EMP) Exposure in Occupational Epidemiology Studies of Gold, Talc, and Taconite Mining,” 2016, *American Journal Of Industrial Medicine*, 59:1047–1060
 - **Marques, Rejane, José Bernardi, Luciana Abreu, José Dórea**, “Neurodevelopment Outcomes in Children Exposed to Organic Mercury from Multiple Sources in a Tin-Ore Mine Environment in Brazil,” *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 2014, 68. 10.1007/s00244-014-0103-x.
 - **Maxim L. Daniel, Ron Niebo and Ernest E. McConnell**, “Perlite toxicology and epidemiology – a review,” *Inhalation Toxicology*, 1994, 26(5):259-270.
 - **Naz, Aliya, Abhiroop Chowdhury, Brijesh Kumar Mishra and Sunil Kumar Gupta**, “Metal pollution in water environment and the associated human health risk from drinking water: A case study of Sukinda chromite mine India,” *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, 2016, 22(7):1433-1455, DOI: 10.1080/10807039.2016.1185355.
 - **Neeti, Kapoor and Tiwari Prakash**, “Effects of Heavy Metal Poisoning during Pregnancy,” *International Research Journal of Environment Sciences*, 2013, 2(1):88-92.
 - **Ni, Wenqing, Yue Huang, Xiaoling Wang, Jingwen Zhang and Ku-Sheng Wu**, “Associations of Neonatal Lead, Cadmium, Chromium and Nickel Co-Exposure with DNA Oxidative Damage in an Electronic Waste Recycling

- Town,” *The Science of the total environment*, 2013, 472C. 354-362.
10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.032.
- **Pasanen, Kari, Eero Pukkala, Anu Turunen, Toni Patama, Ilkka Jussila, Sari Makkonen, Raimo Salonen, Pia Verkasalo**, “Mortality Among Population With Exposure to Industrial Air Pollution Containing Nickel and Other Toxic Metals,” *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2012, 54:583-91.
10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182492050.
 - **Paustenbach, Dennis J., Brooke E. Tvermoes, Kenneth M. Unice, Brent L. Finley and Brent D. Kerger**, “A review of the health hazards posed by cobalt,” *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 2013 43(4):316-362, DOI: 10.3109/10408444.2013.779633.
 - **Petrela Jennifer, Volney de Magalhaes Camara, Gregory Kennedy, Bahiya Bouyahi and Joseph Zayed**, “Health Effects of Residential Exposure to Aluminum Plant Air Pollution,” *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*, 2001, 56(5):456-460, DOI: 10.1080/00039890109604482.
 - **Pira Enrico, Canzio Romano, Francesca Donato, Claudio Pelucchi, Carlo La Vecchia, Paolo Boffetta**, “Mortality from cancer and other causes among Italian chrysotile asbestos miners,” *Occupational Environmental Medicine*, 2017;74:558–563.
 - **Pradhan, Priyambada and Sudhakar Patra**, “Impact of Iron Ore Mining on Human Health in Keonjhar District of Odisha,” *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, 2014, 4(4):23-26.
 - **Rim, Kyung**, “Effects of rare earth elements on the environment and human health: A literature review,” *Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences*, 2016, 8:189-200. 10.1007/s13530-016-0276-y.
 - **Schundler Company**, Perlite (Expanded), THE SCHUNDLER COMPANY. Material Safety Data Sheet – Perlite, 2010. Available at <http://www.schundler.com/msdsperl.htm>.
 - **Silveira, C.L., HD Schorscher, Norber Miekeley**, “The geochemistry of albitization and related uranium mineralization, Espinharas, Paraiba (PB), Brazil,” *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 1991, 40:329-347.
10.1016/0375-6742(91)90046-W.
 - **Türker Gülcan**, “The Effect of Heavy Metals on Preterm Mortality and Morbidity,” *Handbook of Fertility*, 2015 – Elsevier – Chapter 5.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800872-0.00005-6>.
 - **Uragoda, Chris G**, “A cohort study of graphite workers in Sri Lanka,” *Occupational Medicine*, 1997, 47(5):269–272,
<https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/47.5.269>.
 - **Marques, Rejane, José Bernardi, Luciana Abreu, José Dórea**, “Neurodevelopment Outcomes in Children Exposed to Organic Mercury from Multiple Sources in a Tin-Ore Mine Environment in Brazil,” *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 2014, 68. 10.1007/s00244-014-0103-x.
 - **Vetrimurugan, Elumalai, Brindha Karthikeyan, Elango Lakshmanan, Osman Muzi Ndwandwe**, “Human exposure risk to heavy metals through

groundwater used for drinking in an intensively irrigated river delta,” *Applied Water Science*, 2017, 10.1007/s13201-016-0472-6.

- **Wang, Bin Lailai Yan, Wenhua Huo, Qun Lu, Zixi Cheng, Jingxu Zhang, Zhiwen Li**, “Rare earth elements and hypertension risk among housewives: A pilot study in Shanxi Province, China,” *Environmental Pollution*, 2016, 220. 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.066.
- **Wild, Pascal, Kurt Leodolter, M Réfrégier, H Schmidt, Bourgard Eve**, “Effects of talc dust on respiratory health: Results of a longitudinal survey of 378 French and Austrian talc workers,” *Occupational and environmental medicine*, 2008, 65:261-7. 10.1136/oem.2007.034298.
- **Wood, Richard J**, “Manganese and birth outcome,” *Nutrition Review*. 2009;67(7):416-20. doi: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2009.00214.
- **Yang, Chun-Yuh, Chih-Ching Chang, Shang-Shyue Tsai, Hung-Yi Chuang, Chi-Kung Ho, Trong-Neng Wu and Fung-Chang Sung**, “Preterm delivery among people living around Portland cement plants,” 2003, *Environmental Research*, 92: 64–68.
- **Yu, Ya-qi and Jin-yan Yang**, “Oral bio accessibility and health risk assessment of vanadium (IV) and vanadium (V) in a vanadium titanomagnetite mining region by a whole digestive system in-vitro method (WDSM),” *Chemosphere*, 2019, 215:294-304. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.042.

APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table B1: Summary statistics on health outcomes and covariates (2000-2010)

	(1) Mean	(2) SD	(3) Min	(4) Max	(5) Obs	(6) Data availability
Panel A: Health outcomes (1,000 births)						
Infant mortality rate	18.0	16.0	0	1000	54499	2000-2010
Births underweight (2.5Kg)	73.2	32.3	0	1000	54505	2000-2010
Births premature (37weeks)	60.9	50.3	0	976.9	54504	2000-2010
Births with anomaly	5.76	8.53	0	166.7	54505	2000-2010
Births with low (less than 7) APGAR 5 minute	34.8	47.3	0	967.7	54505	2000-2010
Crude death rate (CDR)	5.49	1.78	0.071	23.9	54308	2000-2010
Crude birth rate (CBR)	15.6	4.78	0.22	80.4	54314	2000-2010
Total fertility rate (TFR)	1.90	0.59	0.032	12.6	54505	2000-2010
Panel B: Economic activities and government expenditures						
Population	35726.8	206897.6	690	11253503	54505	2000-2010
Working age population	23503.2	142623.4	459	8001784	54505	2000-2010
GDP (BRL)	446980.1	4964763.3	1775	443517625	54505	2000-2010
Total formal employment (%)	0.17	0.14	0	4.84	54488	2000-2010
Number of formal firms	448.1	3568.9	1	234035	54316	2000-2010
Monthly payroll per employee (BRL)	554.6	682.8	2.83	70256.3	54306	2000-2010
Total employment (%)	0.36	0.10	0.074	0.73	9908	2000 and 2010
Monthly wage (BRL)	630.6	345.0	85.8	3157.7	9908	2000 and 2010
Household income (BRL)	1030.8	594.8	151.9	5179.3	9908	2000 and 2010
Exports (million USD)	27.6	222.6	0	10203.7	39640	2003-2010
Transfers received (million BRL)	23.8	132.1	0	11310.9	54505	2000-2010
Total expenditures (million BRL)	22.9	162.7	0	13013.7	54505	2000-2010
Health expenditures (million BRL)	8.34	64.6	0	5558.2	54505	2000-2010
Hospitals (100,000 people)	85.5	53.3	0	682.2	29730	2007-2010
Human resources (100,000 people)	743.3	276.8	0	7723.0	19820	2007-2010
Doctors (100,000 people)	110.3	67.3	0	773.9	19820	2007-2010
Nurses (100,000 people)	48.4	23.8	0	399.5	19820	2007-2010
Panel C: Children outcomes (1,000 people)						
Vaccine doses	918.8	319.6	260.2	8725.7	54505	2000-2010
Births with more than 7 ANC visits	509.1	248.6	0	1000	54504	2000-2010
Hospitalization any illness (U1)	1033.0	2998.3	0	67202.1	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization respiratory	388.9	632.0	0	10365.7	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization perinatal	385.4	2048.5	0	50432.9	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization infectious diseases	158.9	389.0	0	12706.7	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization digestive	22.9	120.6	0	3985.3	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization anomalies	20.8	221.4	0	12252.9	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization nutrition	9.67	68.2	0	2176.7	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization nervous system	8.34	22.2	0	665.1	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization genital	11.4	32.6	0	993.6	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization circulatory	8.18	69.7	0	5268.0	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization skin infections	3.13	14.9	0	965.2	14865	2008-2010
Hospitalization tumors	2.84	29.1	0	1771.8	14865	2008-2010

Table B1: Summary statistics on health outcomes and covariates (2000-2010)
(continued)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Obs	Data availability
Panel D: % of births by mothers' characteristics						
<i>Age</i>						
10-14 years old	0.011	0.011	0	0.33	54505	2000-2010
15-19 years old	0.23	0.066	0	0.67	54505	2000-2010
20-24 years old	0.31	0.060	0	0.80	54505	2000-2010
25-29 years old	0.23	0.052	0	1	54505	2000-2010
30-34 years old	0.14	0.051	0	0.64	54505	2000-2010
35-39 years old	0.068	0.037	0	1	54505	2000-2010
40-44 years old	0.020	0.017	0	0.38	54505	2000-2010
<i>Years of education</i>						
None	0.036	0.053	0	0.76	54505	2000-2010
1-3 years	0.14	0.10	0	0.93	54505	2000-2010
4-7 years	0.37	0.10	0	1	54505	2000-2010
8-11 years	0.31	0.14	0	0.92	54505	2000-2010
12 or more years	0.10	0.074	0	0.89	54505	2000-2010
<i>Race</i>						
White	0.54	0.35	0	1	54505	2000-2010
Black	0.022	0.033	0	0.50	54505	2000-2010
Yellow	0.0045	0.016	0	0.56	54505	2000-2010
Brown	0.38	0.32	0	1	54505	2000-2010
Indigena	0.0075	0.045	0	0.94	54505	2000-2010
Panel E: Pollution						
Methane (CH_4 , tons/year)	13.7	32.6	0.00075	1450.5	9862	2000 and 2008
Nitrous Oxide (N_2O , tons/year)	0.44	1.07	0.000063	73.3	9862	2000 and 2008
Carbon Monoxide (CO , tons/year)	25.5	85.7	0.0027	2919.7	9862	2000 and 2008
Sulfur dioxide (SO_2 , tons/year)	1.30	8.20	0.0043	339.6	9862	2000 and 2008

Notes: The table presents summary statistics for the main variables used in the analysis. Observations are at the year-municipality level over the years defined in data availability column 6. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS, SINAN, SIH; IBGE; FINBRA; SIOPS; CNES; RAIS; Census (2000,2010); EDGAR.

Table B2. Summary statistics on mineral endowments and prices (1999-2009)

	(1) Mean	(2) SD	(3) Min	(4) Max	(5) Obs
Panel A: Natural resources index (USD million)					
All mineral index	435.3	2369.2	0	113106.9	54505
Metallic mineral index	89.8	1630.6	0	107009.9	54505
Industrial minerals index	345.4	1641.9	0	52354.5	54505
Panel B: Endowments in 1996-1998 (1,000 tons)					
Reserves of minerals	303.6	3263.2	0	183744.5	14895
Reserves of metallic minerals	62.2	1294.9	0	68971.0	14895
Reserves of industrial minerals	523.1	6114.4	0	350785	14895
Panel C: Price (1,000 USD)					
Price of minerals	62.6	16.7	41.6	97.9	54505
Price of metallic minerals	14.2	7.53	7.56	35.4	54505
Price of industrial minerals	104.4	28.6	66.2	165.9	54505
Panel D: Average year-to-year price change (USD)					
Price change of minerals	-5163.6	14854.8	-42603.0	10246.0	49550
Price change of metallic minerals	411.5	12092.6	-26498.8	26340.1	49550
Price change of industrial minerals	-9978.4	20923.3	-56511.1	20294.6	49550

Notes: The table presents summary statistics for the main variables used in the analysis. Observations are at the year-municipality level between 1999 and 2009. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Data sources: DNPM; GEM; USGS.

Table B3. Mineral index standardization - Robustness checks

	(1) Infant mortality	(2) Low weight births	(3) Premature ($<37w$) births	(4) Low APGAR score	(5) Births with anomalies
Panel A: Standardization on positive reserves (full sample)					
Mineral Index	-0.175 (0.241)	-0.827 (0.624)	1.743*** (0.522)	1.425*** (0.484)	0.265 (0.208)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Panel B: Standardization on positive reserves (sub-sample)					
Mineral Index	-0.289 (0.248)	-0.724 (0.621)	1.156** (0.558)	0.787 (0.515)	0.284 (0.210)
Obs	15,861	15,862	15,861	15,862	15,862
Panel C: Unstandardized reserves (USD billions) (full sample)					
Mineral Index	-0.032 (0.045)	-0.153 (0.115)	0.322*** (0.097)	0.263*** (0.090)	0.049 (0.039)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in price of selected metallic minerals on health outcomes at birth. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). Panel A shows a standardized index only on municipalities with positive reserves, municipalities with no endowments are included and assigned value 0; Panel B shows a standardized index only on municipalities with positive reserves, municipalities with no endowments are excluded; Panel C shows an unstandardized index, in USD billions. As a reference the analysis uses a standardized index constructed on all municipalities in the sample, with positive or no endowments. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects, and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

Table B4. Selective migration, by age and gender

	(1) Log (pop)	(2) Log (work- ing age pop)	(3) Log (15-17 pop) - male	(4) Log (15-17 pop) - female	(5) Log (18-29 pop) - male	(6) Log (18-29 pop) - female	(7) Log (30-39 pop) - male	(8) Log (30-39 pop) - female	(9) Log (40-49 pop) - male	(10) Log (40-49 pop) - female	(11) Log (50+ pop) - male	(12) Log (50+ pop) - female
Mineral Index	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)	0.005** (0.002)	0.004** (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.007*** (0.002)
Metallic Minerals	0.002* (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.004** (0.002)	0.003** (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.002)	0.001 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)	0.003** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.002)
Industrial Minerals	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.008 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.012)	-0.005 (0.010)	-0.015** (0.007)	-0.016** (0.007)	-0.001 (0.011)	-0.002 (0.009)	-0.006 (0.007)	-0.001 (0.009)	-0.004 (0.009)	0.001 (0.011)
Obs	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	9,480	9,021	6,019	5,964	7,233	7,179	6,813	6,793	6,593	6,571	6,577	6,575

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on selective migration. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as total population in logs (column 1); total working age population in logs (column 2); total 15-17 yrs old male population in logs (column 3); total 15-17 yrs old female population in logs (column 4); total 18-29 yrs old male population in logs (column 5); total 18-29 yrs old female population in logs (column 6); total 30-39 yrs old male population in logs (column 7); total 30-39 yrs old female population in logs (column 8); total 40-49 yrs old male population in logs (column 9); total 40-49 yrs old female population in logs (column 10); total 50+ yrs old male population in logs (column 11); total 50+ yrs old female population in logs (column 12). Working age population is defined as 15-64 years old. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Data sources: IBGE.

Table B5. Fertility

	(1) TFR	(2) CBR
Mineral Index	5.400 (3.518)	0.012 (0.028)
Metallic Minerals	4.904* (2.793)	0.019 (0.023)
Industrial Minerals	-9.286 (21.015)	-0.192 (0.166)
Obs	54,505	54,314
Mean Dep Var	1904	15.57

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on proxies for selective fertility. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as: total fertility rate as total number of live births per 1,000 female residents in the municipality in a year (TFR, column 1); crude birth rate as total number of live births per 1,000 of the population in the municipality in a year (column 2). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data source: DATASUS.

Table B6. Composition of mothers due to selective migration and fertility (% of births), by age, education and race of mothers

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	10-19 years old	20-29 years old	30-44 years old	No educ	1-7 yrs educ	8+ yrs educ	White	African	Asian	Mixed	Indigenous
Mineral Index	0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	-0.002 (0.002)	0.003* (0.002)	-0.000 (0.003)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.005 (0.005)	-0.000 (0.000)
Metallic Minerals	0.000*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001 (0.002)	0.002* (0.001)	-0.000 (0.002)	0.000 (0.000)	-0.000 (0.000)	0.004 (0.004)	-0.000 (0.000)
Industrial Minerals	0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.000 (0.002)	0.001 (0.002)	0.003 (0.004)	0.003 (0.003)	0.000 (0.005)	0.001 (0.001)	0.000 (0.001)	0.002 (0.006)	-0.001 (0.000)
Obs	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	0.236	0.536	0.224	0.0362	0.516	0.415	0.536	0.0222	0.00451	0.384	0.00748

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on the proportion of births by type of mothers. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as percentage of births by mothers of a certain age: 10-19 years old (column 1); 20-29 years old (column 2); 30-44 years old (column 3); of a certain education level (in years): no years of education (column 4); 1-7 years of education (column 5); 8+ years of education (column 6); of a certain race: White (column 7); African (column 8); Asian (column 9); Indigenous (column 10). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Data source: DATASUS.

Table B7. The local health effects - Robustness checks

	(1) Infant mortality	(2) Low weight births	(3) Premature (<37w) births	(4) Low APGAR score	(5) Births with anomalies
A. Matching (No vs any reserves)					
Mineral Index	-0.085 (0.119)	-0.389 (0.307)	0.918*** (0.251)	0.737*** (0.233)	0.123 (0.103)
Obs	54,356	54,360	54,359	54,360	54,360
Mean Dep. Var.	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763
B. Matching (Low vs high reserves)					
Mineral Index	-0.146 (0.136)	-0.149 (0.319)	1.061*** (0.339)	0.446* (0.238)	0.118 (0.112)
Obs	4,327	4,327	4,326	4,327	4,327
Mean Dep. Var.	17.81	78.71	63.36	33.63	6.096
C. Controlling for municipality-specific time trends					
Mineral Index	0.012 (0.144)	-0.153 (0.274)	0.603** (0.268)	0.122 (0.212)	0.039 (0.121)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep. Var.	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763
D. Full sample of municipalities					
Mineral Index	-0.072 (0.119)	-0.396 (0.307)	0.949*** (0.254)	0.810*** (0.233)	0.123 (0.103)
Obs	60,990	61,008	61,007	61,008	61,008
Mean Dep Var	18.06	72.80	60.95	35.07	5.674
E. Controlling for stateXyear FE					
Mineral Index	-0.138 (0.125)	-0.328 (0.307)	1.104*** (0.283)	0.420* (0.229)	0.142 (0.104)
Obs	54,488	54,494	54,494	54,494	54,494
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763
F. Reserves in 1997-1999					
Mineral Index	-0.057 (0.125)	-0.578 (0.357)	0.972*** (0.294)	0.641*** (0.246)	0.142 (0.107)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763
G. Sample of municipalities with positive reserves					
Mineral Index	-0.129 (0.126)	-0.183 (0.299)	1.116*** (0.333)	0.412 (0.251)	0.121 (0.106)
Obs	4,881	4,881	4,880	4,881	4,881
Mean Dep Var	17.64	78.77	63.64	33.12	6.149
H. Controlling for births by type of mothers					
Mineral Index	-0.095 (0.130)	-0.442 (0.326)	0.825*** (0.248)	0.617** (0.250)	0.104 (0.108)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents robustness checks for the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth. Observations are at the year-municipality level. Panel A includes only municipalities matched on pre-existing health outcomes (2000) where being treated is defined as having zero vs any mineral reserves. Panel B includes only municipalities matched on pre-existing health outcomes (2000) where being treated is defined as having mineral reserves below or above the median level at the beginning of the panel. Panel C controls for municipality-specific linear time trends. Panel D includes the full sample of 5,570 municipalities in Brazil; Panel E includes state per year fixed effects. Panel F uses minerals' reserves in 1997-1999 for the mineral index. Panel G includes only municipalities with positive mineral reserves at the beginning of the panel data; Panel H includes controls for the percentage of births by mothers characteristics (age, education, race). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

Table B8. Lack of correlation between ex-ante mineral reserves and health outcomes (2000)

	(1) Infant mortality	(2) Low weight births	(3) Premature ($<37w$) births	(4) Low APGAR score	(5) Births with anomalies
Level of reserves	-0.004 (0.007)	0.046 (0.029)	0.005 (0.049)	-0.034 (0.038)	-0.001 (0.003)
Obs	54,483	54,505	54,505	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents estimates for the (lack of) correlation between mineral reserves and health outcomes at the beginning of the panel, in 2000. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

Table B9: The local health effects - Robustness checks (adding controls)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Infant mortality	Low weight births	Premature (<37w) births	Low APGAR score	Births with anomalies
A. With selected controls (2000)					
Mineral Index	-0.084 (0.119)	-0.394 (0.306)	0.930*** (0.254)	0.772*** (0.233)	0.139 (0.102)
Metallic Minerals	-0.081 (0.096)	-0.275 (0.244)	0.693*** (0.200)	0.593*** (0.159)	0.104 (0.083)
Industrial Minerals	0.232 (0.451)	-1.087 (0.961)	1.638 (1.242)	0.975 (2.190)	0.236 (0.253)
B. With selected controls (2000) and time trends					
Mineral Index	-0.054 (0.118)	-0.262 (0.299)	0.885*** (0.257)	0.737*** (0.233)	0.119 (0.102)
Metallic Minerals	-0.062 (0.095)	-0.192 (0.240)	0.662*** (0.203)	0.574*** (0.159)	0.092 (0.083)
Industrial Minerals	0.372 (0.463)	-0.521 (0.964)	1.479 (1.269)	0.737 (2.204)	0.139 (0.252)
C. With all controls (2000)					
Mineral Index	-0.085 (0.119)	-0.399 (0.307)	0.922*** (0.254)	0.767*** (0.233)	0.140 (0.102)
Metallic Minerals	-0.081 (0.096)	-0.278 (0.244)	0.688*** (0.200)	0.592*** (0.159)	0.105 (0.083)
Industrial Minerals	0.238 (0.452)	-1.125 (0.964)	1.592 (1.237)	0.924 (2.188)	0.240 (0.253)
D. With all controls (2000) and time trends					
Mineral Index	-0.116 (0.119)	-0.257 (0.296)	0.797*** (0.291)	0.605*** (0.225)	0.138 (0.102)
Metallic Minerals	-0.107 (0.096)	-0.190 (0.238)	0.609*** (0.230)	0.475*** (0.155)	0.105 (0.083)
Industrial Minerals	0.231 (0.446)	-0.489 (0.931)	1.056 (1.308)	0.516 (2.146)	0.201 (0.258)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities constant over the period from 2000 to 2010. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). Panel A includes the predictors of endowments as selected by the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), i.e., male working age population, adult and child unemployment rate. Panel B includes several covariates which include population, working age population, employment rates, proportion of mothers by age, education and race, gini coefficient, GDP per capita, size of the municipalities, total expenses and health expenses, transfers received and spent, population, CBR and TFR. Panel C includes interaction terms between selected covariates and time. Panel D includes interaction terms between all covariates and time. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

Table B10. The local health effects - Robustness check (metallic and industrial minerals)

	(1) Infant mortality	(2) Low weight births	(3) Premature ($<37w$) births	(4) Low APGAR score	(5) Births with anomalies
Metallic Minerals	-0.085 (0.096)	-0.261 (0.244)	0.671*** (0.202)	0.582*** (0.162)	0.105 (0.083)
Industrial Minerals	0.258 (0.465)	-0.952 (1.016)	0.924 (1.296)	0.409 (2.198)	-0.049 (0.316)
Obs	54,499	54,505	54,504	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep Var	17.99	73.22	60.91	34.75	5.763

Notes: The table presents robustness checks for the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth. The empirical model includes both the indexes of metallic and industrial minerals in the same regression. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The dependent variables are defined on 1,000 births. Infant mortality is defined as the death of an infant before his or her first birthday (column 1); births with low birth weight are defined as below 2.5 kilograms (column 2); premature births are defined as births which happened before 37 weeks of gestation (column 3); births with low APGAR are defined as with the score measured at five minutes being lower than 7 (column 4); births with anomalies are defined as births with any type of anomalies (column 5). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: DATASUS - SINACS.

Table B11. Resource windfalls - Robustness checks

	(1) GDP per capita	(2) Log (tot exports, USD)	(3) Log (transfers <i>received</i>)	(4) Log (transfers <i>spent</i>)	(5) Log (health expendi- tures)
A. Full sample of municipalities					
Mineral Index	0.491** (0.218)	-0.023 (0.088)	0.036*** (0.013)	0.040*** (0.015)	0.022* (0.013)
Obs	61,023	44,426	61,023	61,023	61,023
Mean Dep. Var	7.885	4.816	15.37	14.21	13.86
B. Controlling for stateXyear FE					
Mineral Index	0.524** (0.220)	-0.040 (0.088)	0.052*** (0.017)	0.057*** (0.019)	0.037** (0.016)
Obs	54,494	39,632	54,494	54,494	54,494
Mean Dep. Var	7.936	5.171	15.51	14.35	14
C. Reserves in 1997-1999					
Mineral Index	0.496** (0.214)	-0.047 (0.113)	0.038*** (0.013)	0.043*** (0.015)	0.022 (0.014)
Obs	54,505	39,640	54,505	54,505	54,505
Mean Dep. Var	7.936	5.171	15.51	14.35	14
D. Sample of municipalities with positive reserves					
Mineral Index	0.472** (0.213)	-0.037 (0.089)	0.023* (0.013)	0.028** (0.014)	0.007 (0.013)
Obs	4,881	3,582	4,881	4,881	4,881
Mean Dep. Var	9.350	9.164	16.18	15.09	14.73

Notes: The table presents robustness checks for the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on wealth outcomes. Observations are at the year-municipality level. Panel A includes the full sample of 5,570 municipalities in Brazil; Panel B includes state per year fixed effects. Panel C uses minerals' reserves in 1997-1999 for the mineral index; Panel D includes only municipalities with positive mineral reserves at the beginning of the panel data. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 (1997-1999 for Panel D) and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as GDP per capita (column 1); log of total value of exports in USD (column 2); log of total transfers *received* by the municipality because of oil or mining (column 3); log of total transfers *used* by the municipality (column 4); log of total governmental health expenditures (column 5). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data sources: IBGE, FINBRA, SIOPS.

Table B12. Economic activities - Robustness checks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Data source:	RAIS			Census	
	Formal employ- ment (%)	Monthly payroll (BRL)	Any em- ployment (%)	Monthly wage (BRL)	Household income (BRL)
A. Full sample of municipalities					
Mineral Index	0.002*** (0.001)	1.113 (2.091)	0.004*** (0.001)	31.954*** (9.082)	43.081*** (14.788)
Obs	60,942	60,714	10,992	10,992	10,992
Mean Dep. Var.	0.163	549.5	0.351	620.1	1010
B. Controlling for stateXyear FE					
Mineral Index	0.002*** (0.001)	1.262 (2.456)	0.004*** (0.001)	31.800*** (8.715)	45.478*** (14.551)
Obs	54,477	54,295	9,906	9,906	9,906
Mean Dep. Var.	0.169	554.6	0.356	630.6	1031
C. Reserves in 1997-1999					
Mineral Index	0.002** (0.001)	1.088 (2.170)	0.003*** (0.001)	30.459*** (8.840)	38.131** (15.031)
Obs	9,908	9,908	9,908	54,488	54,306
Mean Dep. Var.	0.356	630.6	1031	0.169	554.6
D. Sample of municipalities with positive reserves					
Mineral Index	0.002** (0.001)	1.321 (1.938)	0.002*** (0.001)	25.868*** (8.829)	34.740** (14.950)
Obs	4,881	4,873	868	868	868
Mean Dep. Var.	0.209	611	0.371	712	1176

Notes: The table presents robustness checks for the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on economic activities. Observations are at the year-municipality level. Panel A includes the full sample of 5,570 municipalities in Brazil; Panel B includes state per year fixed effects. Panel C uses minerals' reserves in 1997-1999 for the mineral index. Panel D includes only municipalities with positive mineral reserves at the beginning of the panel data. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as total formal employment rate as percentage of the working population (column 1); monthly payroll (column 2); any formal or informal employment rate as percentage of the population (column 3); monthly wage (column 4); household income (column 5). Columns 1-2 use RAIS dataset, columns 3-5 use Census data in 2000 and 2010. Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$. Data source: RAIS.


Table B13. Pollution - Robustness checks

	(1) <i>CH₄</i>	(2) <i>N₂O</i>	(3) <i>CO</i>	(4) <i>SO₂</i>
A. Full sample of municipalities				
Mineral Index	0.152 (0.138)	0.008** (0.004)	-1.661* (0.985)	0.072*** (0.026)
Obs	10,936	10,936	10,936	10,936
Mean Dep. Var.	12.90	0.415	24.21	1.204
B. Controlling for stateXyear FE				
Mineral Index	0.072 (0.150)	0.005 (0.004)	-1.917** (0.937)	0.063** (0.026)
Obs	9,860	9,860	9,860	9,860
Mean Dep. Var.	13.69	0.437	25.53	1.299
C. Reserves in 1997-1999				
Mineral Index	0.123 (0.141)	0.007* (0.004)	-1.619 (0.999)	0.071*** (0.026)
Obs	9,862	9,862	9,862	9,862
Mean Dep. Var.	13.69	0.437	25.53	1.299
D. Sample of municipalities with positive reserves				
Mineral Index	0.054 (0.152)	0.004 (0.004)	-1.396 (1.032)	0.071*** (0.027)
Obs	860	860	860	860
Mean Dep. Var.	26.45	0.660	53.74	4.678

Notes: The table presents robustness checks for the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on air pollutants. Observations are at the year-municipality level. The sample contains about 4,955 municipalities for the years 2000 and 2010, when air pollution data are available (EDGAR) if not otherwise specified. Panel A includes the full sample of 5,570 municipalities in Brazil; Panel B includes state per year fixed effects. Panel C uses minerals' reserves in 1997-1999 for the mineral index; Panel D includes only municipalities with positive mineral reserves at the beginning of the panel data. Mineral Index is defined as the interaction between the levels of reserves of minerals between 1996 and 1998 and the yearly average international price. The dependent variables are defined as *CH₄*, Methane (column 1); *N₂O*, Nitrous Oxide (column 2); *CO*, Carbon Monoxide (column 3); *SO₂*, Sulfur Dioxide (column 4). All variables are defined in tons per year (in 100,000). Controls not shown include year and municipality fixed effects and total population. Standard errors are clustered at the municipality level. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Data sources: DATASUS - SIH.


APPENDIX C: ADDITIONAL FIGURES

Figure C1: Average price levels for metallic minerals between 1999 and 2009




Notes: The figure describes the average price (USD, in 1,000), for metallic minerals, between 1999 and 2009 for all municipalities in Brazil. The prices of cobalt, nickel, rare earths, and tin are on 10,000 scale. The price of talc and pyrophyllite is on 100,000 scale. The prices of tantalum, tungsten, vanadium, zinc are excluded because of low number of municipalities with these minerals and limited space.

Figure C2: Average price levels for industrial minerals between 1999 and 2009




Notes: The figure describes the average price (USD, in 1,000), for industrial minerals, between 1999 and 2009 for all municipalities in Brazil. The prices of lime, mica and rubbers are on 10,000 scale. Prices of asbestos, beryllium and graphite are excluded because of low number of municipalities with these minerals and limited space.

Figure C3. The local health impacts - (1) Metallic minerals




a. Infant Mortality

b. Low-weight births



c. Premature births


d. Births with low APGAR score




e. Births with anomalies

Notes: The figure plots the estimated coefficients of the the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth, for *metallic minerals*.

Figure C4. The local health impacts - (2) Industrial minerals





d. Births with anomalies

Notes: The figure plots the estimated coefficients of the the local effects of changes in prices of minerals on health outcomes at birth, for *industrial minerals*.