

Construct Validity Indicators from the ARMADA STUDY for the Tablet Computer-Based NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery

Bruno Giordani¹ | Felicia C Goldstein² | Taylor Rigby^{1,3} | Allyson Gregoire¹ |
Jonathan M Reader¹ | Jordan Fisher⁴ | Sydney Gurecki⁴ | Arijit K Bhaumik¹ |
Emily Ho⁵ | Vitali Ustsinovich⁵ | Richard C. Gershon⁵ | Sandra Weintraub⁶

¹Michigan Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

²Emory University Goizueta Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Atlanta, GA, USA

³University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁴Michigan Alzheimer's Disease Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁵Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

⁶Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

Correspondence

Bruno Giordani, Michigan Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Email: giordani@med.umich.edu

Abstract

Background: The Advancing Reliable Measurement in Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitive Aging (ARMADA) study was designed to validate and further develop the full tablet-based NIH Toolbox Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function within an older, racially diverse sample. This ARMADA cohort study of the Toolbox Cognition Battery (NIHTB-CB) reports construct validity, assessed through its two components: convergent (high correlations to related constructs) and discriminant (low correlations with dissimilar constructs) validity.

Methods: Participants (age range 65–99 years, 142 aged 85+) were consensus conference-diagnosed with normal cognition (N = 367), amnesic mild cognitive impairment (N = 136), and Alzheimer's disease (N = 68). NIHTB-CB assesses domains of *Fluid Executive*, including Set Shifting (Dimensional Card Sort/DCCS), Inhibitory Control and Attention, (Flanker) and Working Memory (List Sort Working Memory/LSWM); *Fluid Processing Speed* (Pattern Comparison/PCPS), *Fluid Episodic Memory* (Picture Sequence Memory/PSM) and *Crystallized Language* (Oral Reading/ORR, Vocabulary/TPVT). Participants were also given the National Alzheimer's Disease Coordinating Center Unified Data Set (UDS3) cognitive measures: *Memory Composite* (Benson Figure and Craft Stories Delayed Recall); *Executive Composite* (Trail Making, Semantic Fluency), *Auditory Attention* (Digit Span Forward), *Figure Copy* (Benson), and *Language* (Multi-lingual Naming Test). Unadjusted, (uncorrected) standard scores were created based on the full Toolbox and UDS populations.

Result: Based on the large sample size, most all correlations between NIHTB-CD and UDS3 were significant, though patterns were clear. NIHTB-CB/Fluid Executive tests had highest correlations with the related UDS3 Executive factor composite ($r = 0.53$ – 0.60), approaching threshold for a “good” designation (>0.6), and “low” designation correlations ($r < 0.3$) for Auditory Attention. PSM correlated highest with UDS Episodic Memory ($r = 0.55$), and lowest with Auditory Attention ($r = 0.23$). PCPS was highest with the Executive Composite ($r = 0.53$) and lowest with Language ($r = 0.26$). Crystal-

ized NIHTB-CB correlated highest with UDS Language ($r = 0.41-0.50$) versus ORR with Memory ($r = 0.22$) and TPVT with Copy ($r = 0.26$).

Conclusion: Results demonstrated that the highest correlations between NIHTB-CD and UDS3 measures were between related constructs and lowest correlations were between dissimilar constructs. Thus, convergent/discriminant expectations were supported across NIHTB-CB measures, reinforcing NIHTB-CB construct validity. Consistent results across diagnoses and other analytic approaches are also presented, supporting these findings.