

**SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced immunity and the duration of viral shedding: results from a  
Nicaraguan household cohort study**

Short title: SARS-CoV-2 immunity and viral shedding

Hannah E. Maier, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Plazaola, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Roger Lopez, MPH<sup>2,3</sup>, Nery Sanchez,  
M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Saira Saborio, MS<sup>2,3</sup>, Sergio Ojeda, M.D.<sup>2,4</sup>, Carlos Barilla, BA<sup>2</sup>, Guillermina Kuan,  
M.D.<sup>2,4</sup>, Angel Balmaseda, M.D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Aubree Gordon, Ph.D.<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, <sup>2</sup>Sustainable Sciences Institute, Managua, Nicaragua, <sup>3</sup>Centro Nacional de Diagnóstico y Referencia, Ministry of Health, Managua, Nicaragua, <sup>4</sup>Centro de Salud Sócrates Flores Vivas, Ministry of Health, Managua, Nicaragua,

\* corresponding author email: [gordonal@umich.edu](mailto:gordonal@umich.edu) (A.G.)

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/irv.13074](https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.13074)

**Data and code availability.** De-identified data needed to create the figures and R code is available on GitHub ([https://github.com/hannahma/SARS-CoV-2\\_shedding](https://github.com/hannahma/SARS-CoV-2_shedding)). As this is a human subjects study, the full data are not publicly available. However, individual-level data may be shared with outside investigators who submit a proposal for review by the study executive committee following University of Michigan and Nicaraguan IRB approval.

**Funding.** This work was supported by the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases at the National Institute of Health [award no. R01 AI120997 to A.G., and contract nos. HHSN272201400006C and 75N93021C00016 to A.G.], and a grant from Open Philanthropy.

**Competing interests.** Aubree Gordon serves on an advisory board for Janssen and has received consulting fees from Gilead Sciences. All other authors report no competing interests.

**Ethics and Consent.** This study was approved by the institutional review boards at the Nicaraguan Ministry of Health and the University of Michigan (HUM00119145 and HUM00178355). Informed consent or parental permission was obtained for all participants. Assent was obtained from children aged  $\geq 6$  years.

**Acknowledgements.** We are extremely grateful to the families who participated in this study and to the incredibly dedicated teams at the Centro de Salud Sócrates Flores Vivas, the Nicaraguan National Virology Laboratory at the Nicaraguan Ministry of Health and the Sustainable Sciences Institute who have continued to work through this pandemic. We would like to thank Leo Poon for providing the protocol and controls for RT-PCR testing,

and Florian Krammer for sharing RBD and Spike constructs as well as technical advice. We are grateful to Janet Smith, Melanie Ohi and their groups at the Center of Structural Biology at the UM Life Sciences Institute for producing proteins and antibodies for the ELISAs.

**Background.** Much of the world's population has been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Thus, immunity from prior infection will play a critical role in future SARS-CoV-2 transmission. We investigated the impact of infection-induced immunity on viral shedding duration and viral load.

**Methods.** We conducted a household cohort study in Managua, Nicaragua with an embedded transmission study that closely monitors participants regardless of symptoms. Real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were used to measure infections and seropositivity, respectively. Blood samples were collected twice annually, and surrounding household intensive monitoring periods. We used accelerated failure time models to compare shedding times. Participants vaccinated  $\geq 14$  days prior to infection were excluded from primary analyses.

**Results.** There were 600 RT-PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections in unvaccinated participants between May 1, 2020 and March 10, 2022 with prior ELISA data. Prior infection was associated with 48% shorter shedding times, event time ratio (ETR) 0.52 (95% CI: 0.39-0.69, mean shedding: 13.7 vs 26.4 days). A 4-fold higher anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike titer was associated with 17% shorter shedding (ETR 0.83, 95% CI: 0.78-0.90). Similarly, maximum viral loads (lowest CT) were lower for previously infected individuals (mean CT 29.8 vs 28.0,  $p = 4.02 \times 10^{-3}$ ), for adults and children  $\geq 10$  years, but not for children 0-9 years; there was little difference in CT levels for previously infected vs naïve adults above age 60.

**Conclusions.** Prior infection-induced immunity was associated with shorter viral shedding and lower viral loads, which may be important in the transition from pandemic to endemicity.

**Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; viral shedding; immunity; reinfection

As the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic continues into its third year, over 40% of the world's population has been infected (Covid-19 Cumulative Infection Collaborators, 2022), making it critical to understand how immunity from prior infection affects repeat infection and transmission, particularly for low- and middle-income countries where vaccination rates are lower (Ritchie et al., 2020).

We used data from an existing household cohort study of 2,539 individuals 0 to 94 years of age in Managua, Nicaragua (Maier et al., 2021). We compare SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding duration and viral load between previously infected and serologically naïve individuals, as well as by antibody levels.

## **METHODS**

### **The Household Influenza Cohort Study (HICS)**

HICS is an ongoing prospective cohort study of influenza in households that are free of disease at baseline (Fig S1). Located in district II of Managua, Nicaragua, HICS began in 2017 and was expanded in February 2020 to examine SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease. At the first indication of any illness, participants are requested to report to the study health center, where they are provided with their primary care. A transmission study is nested within HICS (Fig S2), in which participants are monitored closely and tested regardless of symptoms once a SARS-CoV-2 case is detected in their household allowing for the detection of cases regardless of symptoms. Once households are activated, study staff visit regularly, at approximately days 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 30, to collect combined nasal/oropharyngeal swabs and symptom diaries. Blood samples for serology

were collected annually in March-April (annual samples) and October-December (midyear samples) and surrounding intensive monitoring periods (IMPs; at household activation and 30-45 days later, Fig 1A-C).

This study was approved by the institutional review boards at the Nicaraguan Ministry of Health and the University of Michigan (HUM00119145 and HUM00178355). Informed consent or parental permission was obtained for all participants. Assent was obtained from children aged  $\geq 6$  years.

### **Laboratory Assays**

Real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed according to the protocol from Chu et al. (Chu et al., 2020). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were run on paired serum samples (current vs baseline) with a protocol adapted from the Krammer laboratory (Amanat et al., 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain (RBD) and spike proteins for ELISAs were produced in single batches at the Life Sciences Institute at the University of Michigan; these were generated based on the original SARS-CoV-2 strain. RBD was used for screening (positive/negative) as it is more specific than spike, and spike was used to titer samples that screened positive by RBD ELISA. The limits of detection for endpoint titers were 100 (lower) and 6,400 (upper). All RT-PCR and most ELISAs were performed at the Nicaraguan National Virology Laboratory, with a minority of 2020 annual samples processed at the University of Michigan. Sequencing information was described previously (Maier et al., 2022).

### **Viral shedding**

RT-PCR-positive episodes were considered separate episodes if they were  $\geq 60$  days apart.

Viral shedding durations (Fig S3) were defined as either 1) equal to or greater than the number of days detected RT-PCR-positive (right censored) or 2) between the number of days RT-PCR-positive and the time between prior and subsequent negative RT-PCR tests (interval censored).

### **Vaccination**

To assess seropositivity resulting from prior infection, individuals with any vaccine dose  $\geq 14$  days prior to shedding onset were excluded for primary analyses. Additionally, an analysis was run to compare full vaccination to otherwise seropositive (could have incomplete vaccination) and seronegative.

### **Analysis**

Participant age was calculated at the time of infection. Antibody titers were log-transformed and rounded for all analyses ( $\log_4(\text{titer}/5)$ ) to reflect serial dilutions (original titer values of 5, 80, 320, 1280, 5120 were analyzed as 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5). Detectable spike titers without an RBD screen (e.g. when participants were previously RBD-positive) were coded as seropositive and negative RBD-screened samples without a spike titer (e.g. titers not available for most 2021 midyear samples) were coded as a non-detectable spike titer. Because respiratory samples were not collected continuously, but at intervals (Fig S3), the precise duration of viral shedding could not be known, but models can account for this uncertainty. Viral shedding durations were classified as 'right censored' if either the first or last respiratory sample was RT-PCR positive, so that only the minimum shedding duration was known; or they were classified as 'interval censored' if a positive RT-PCR was observed between two negative RT-PCRs, so that the minimum and maximum days of shedding were known (Fig S3). Accelerated failure time (AFT) models, which can handle



censored outcomes, were used to compare shedding durations by prior immunity, using the ‘survreg’ function with a Weibull distribution (from the ‘survival’ R package) and the ‘weibullReg’ function (from the SurvRegCensCov’ R package) (Hubeaux & Rufibach, 2015; Thernau, 2021).

Censored shedding times were stored as survival objects (e.g. 1+, 15+ or [1,6], etc days, as shown in Fig S3) using the survival package (Thernau, 2021), which were then used in the AFT models. To compare viral load by prior immunity, we used Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (serostatus), linear regression (titer), and plotted by age with loess smoother (ggplot’s geom\_smooth). All analyses were performed in R version 4.1.2. (R Core Team, 2021) using the tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019) and code is available on GitHub ([https://github.com/hannahma/SARS-CoV-2\\_shedding](https://github.com/hannahma/SARS-CoV-2_shedding)).

## RESULTS

Three SARS-CoV-2 waves occurred in Managua, taking place roughly from May-July 2020, April-October 2021, and January-March 2022 (Fig 1A). The second wave was predominantly gamma and delta variants (Maier et al., 2022), and third was presumably omicron (Hodcroft & Neher, 2022). Across the three waves, 757 RT-PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections were detected, 745 of which had prior ELISA data (Table S1, Fig S4); 262 (35%) of infections were in index cases and 483 (65%) were in household contacts. The full cohort of 2,539 participants aged 0 to 94 years with average household size of 5.1 people (range 2-12) has been described previously (Maier et al., 2022; Maier et al., 2021)). Sampling and RT-PCR results for each of the 745 infections is displayed in Fig S5. The age and sex distribution of participants with SARS-CoV-2 infections was similar to that of the full cohort—sex is balanced among children, but there is somewhat lower representation by adult

males (Fig S6). Blood samples for ELISAs nicely book-ended the first 2 waves and preceded the 3<sup>rd</sup> wave (Fig. 1; specific timing of screening and titer samples preceding infections are shown in Fig1B&C, and their results are shown in Fig1D&E). There were 145 infections having  $\geq 1$  vaccine dose  $\geq 14$  days prior which were excluded from primary analysis, and 53 infections among those fully vaccinated  $\geq 14$  days prior (Table S1, Fig 1F).

### **Viral shedding duration and prior infection**

Using the accelerated failure time model, the mean shedding duration was 17.1 days (IQR: 9.91-26.3 days). Overall, there were no apparent differences in SARS-CoV-2 shedding duration by age group, sex, or obesity (Fig S7). Prior infection was associated with 48% shorter shedding (event time ratio [ETR]: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.39-0.69, Fig 2A). The mean shedding for prior infected versus naïve individuals were 13.7 days (IQR: 8.1-20.7) versus 26.4 days (IQR: 15.7-39.9, Fig 2A). A 4-fold higher spike titer was associated with 17% shorter shedding (ETR 0.83, 95% CI: 0.78-0.90, Fig 2B); those with the highest titers of 5120 shed on average 10.2 days (IQR 6.0-15.4). Full vaccination was associated with a similar level of shortened shedding (ETR fully vaccinated vs seronegative: 0.46, 95%CI: 0.31-0.68, Fig 2C).

In adults and older children (10-17 years), prior infection was associated with shortened shedding (ETRs: 0.31, 95%CI: 0.17-0.56 and 0.54, 95%CI: 0.32-0.88, respectively), but there was little difference for children 0-9 years by prior infection status (ETR 0.77, 95%CI: 0.46-1.28, Fig 3A). Similarly, 4-fold higher titers in adults and older children were also associated with shorter shedding, but not significantly associated for children aged 0-9 years (Fig 3B, ETRs for adults,

older children, and younger children: 0.78, 95%CI: 0.70-0.88; 0.84, 95%CI: 0.74-0.96; and 0.88, 95%CI: 0.76-1.02, respectively).

When stratified by serostatus, naïve adults shed 3 times as long as naïve children aged 0-9y (ETR 3.1, 95%CI: 1.48-6.52) but there was no difference in shedding times between prior infected children and adults (Fig S6A). Sex and obesity were not associated with shedding duration (Fig SB&C).

### **Prior infection and viral load**

Maximum viral loads, measured by RT-PCR cycle threshold (CT; higher CT = lower viral load), were slightly lower for previously infected vs naïve individuals (mean 29.8 vs 28.0 cycles,  $p = 0.0004$ , Fig 4A). Higher anti-spike titers were also associated a lower maximum viral load (32.1 vs 28.3 cycles for titers of 5120 vs negative Fig 4C). Viral loads were somewhat lower across all ages for those with prior immunity (Fig 4B&D), except for participants above age 60y.

## **DISCUSSION**

We found that prior infection-induced immunity was associated with shorter shedding duration in adults and older children, but not in younger children. And naïve adults shed longer than naïve children but previously infected adults and children shed for similar durations. Although RT-PCR is not a direct indicator of viral viability or infectiousness, RT-PCR trajectories did roughly track with viable virus in a human challenge study (Killingley et al., 2022). Given this, our finding of shorter durations of RT-PCR-detected viral shedding among prior infected may still translate to

Author Manuscript

lower transmission from people who have been previously infected. These results suggest that as immunity is established, children may contribute proportionally more to transmission, and transmission will decrease (due to both lower susceptibility and lower transmissibility from shorter shedding times).

Our long shedding times are in line with what others have found—a meta-analysis of 79 studies also found a mean shedding time of 17 days (Cevik et al., 2021). Vaccination has been shown to shorten viral shedding (Singanayagam et al., 2022). Lower viral loads (higher CT) have been found in reinfections (4.0 cycles) and vaccine breakthrough infections (1-3 cycles) (Abu-Raddad et al., 2022). We could not find any other studies comparing viral shedding duration by level of infection-induced prior immunity.

A limitation of our work is that blood samples were not available shortly before all infections—a few were from ~a year prior (Fig 1B&C). During long delays, 1) subsequent undetected infections could occur and 2) antibody titers may decline. Fortunately, the study design allows us to detect many even inapparent infections. And if the antibodies were lower than at time of measurement, we would expect to see an even stronger association. Another limitation is that some of our observed infections were already positive on their first samples (right censored shedding durations), which also means we may not have sampled at the highest viral loads; we would have more accurate estimates if we could observe the full shedding durations. We did not have a large enough sample size (with the censored data) to look at viral shedding in older adults, as we did with viral load. Due to epidemiological patterns, where everyone infected in the first wave was naïve and by the time 2022 began and Omicron was introduced, almost everyone in the cohort had

been previously infected at least once, we were underpowered to examine the association of shedding duration with prior infection by year or variant.

In addition to vaccination, prior infection will have a major impact on the future of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and should be duly considered.

**Author contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Aubree Gordon.

**Data curation:** Hannah E. Maier.

**Formal analysis:** Hannah E. Maier.

**Funding Acquisition:** Aubree Gordon.

**Investigation:** Angel Balmaseda, Carlos Barilla, Guillermina Kuan, Roger Lopez, Sergio Ojeda Miguel Plazaola, Nery Sanchez, Saira Saborio.

**Methodology:** Angel Balmaseda, Aubree Gordon, Guillermina Kuan, Hannah E. Maier.

**Project administration:** Angel Balmaseda, Aubree Gordon, Sergio Ojeda, Guillermina Kuan.

**Resources:** Aubree Gordon.

**Software:** Hannah E. Maier.

**Supervision:** Angel Balmaseda, Aubree Gordon, Guillermina Kuan.

**Visualization:** Hannah E. Maier.

**Writing – original draft:** Hannah E. Maier.

**Writing – review and editing:** Angel Balmaseda, Carlos Barilla, Aubree Gordon, Guillermina Kaun, Roger Lopez, Hannah E. Maier, Sergio Ojeda, Miguel Plazaola, Saira Saborio, Nery Sanchez.

## References

- Abu-Raddad, L. J., Chemaitelly, H., Ayoub, H. H., Tang, P., Coyle, P., Hasan, M. R., . . . Bertollini, R. (2022). Relative infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine breakthrough infections, reinfections, and primary infections. *Nat Commun*, *13*(1), 532. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28199-7
- Amanat, F., Stadlbauer, D., Strohmeier, S., Nguyen, T. H. O., Chromikova, V., McMahon, M., . . . Krammer, F. (2020). A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. *Nat Med*, *26*(7), 1033-1036. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0913-5
- Boucau, J., Marino, C., Regan, J., Uddin, R., Choudhary, M. C., Flynn, J. P., . . . Barczak, A. K. (2022). Duration of Shedding of Culturable Virus in SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (BA.1) Infection. *N Engl J Med*, *387*(3), 275-277. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2202092
- Cevik, M., Tate, M., Lloyd, O., Maraolo, A. E., Schafers, J., & Ho, A. (2021). SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV viral load dynamics, duration of viral shedding, and infectiousness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Microbe*, *2*(1), e13-e22. doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30172-5
- Chu, D. K. W., Pan, Y., Cheng, S. M. S., Hui, K. P. Y., Krishnan, P., Liu, Y., . . . Poon, L. L. M. (2020). Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. *Clin Chem*, *66*(4), 549-555. doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029
- Covid-19 Cumulative Infection Collaborators. (2022). Estimating global, regional, and national daily and cumulative infections with SARS-CoV-2 through Nov 14, 2021: a statistical analysis. *Lancet*. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00484-6
- Hodcroft, E., & Neher, R. (2022, 2022-04-07). Phylogenetic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 clusters in their international context - cluster 21K.Omicron. Retrieved from <https://nextstrain.org/groups/neherlab/ncov/21K.Omicron>
- Hubeaux, S., & Rufibach, K. (2015). SurvRegCensCov: Weibull Regression for a Right-Censored Endpoint with Interval-Censored Covariate. R package version 1.4.
- Killingley, B., Mann, A., Kalinova, M., Boyers, A., Goonawardane, N., Zhou, J., . . . Chiu, C. (2022). Safety, tolerability and viral kinetics during SARS- CoV-2 human challenge. *Nature Portfolio*, preprint. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1121993/v1

- Maier, H. E., Balmaseda, A., Ojeda, S., Cerpas, C., Sanchez, N., Plazaola, M., . . . Gordon, A. (2022). Protection Associated with Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Nicaragua. *N Engl J Med*. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2203985
- Maier, H. E., Kuan, G., Saborio, S., Bustos Carrillo, F. A., Plazaola, M., Barilla, C., . . . Gordon, A. (2021). Clinical spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 infection and protection from symptomatic re-infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. doi:10.1093/cid/ciab717
- R Core Team. (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- Ritchie, H., Mathieu, E., Rodés-Guirao, L., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Ortiz-Ospina, E., . . . Roser, M. (2020). Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). *Our World in Data*.
- Singanayagam, A., Hakki, S., Dunning, J., Madon, K. J., Crone, M. A., Koycheva, A., . . . Investigators, A. S. (2022). Community transmission and viral load kinetics of the SARS-CoV-2 delta (B.1.617.2) variant in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals in the UK: a prospective, longitudinal, cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 22(2), 183-195. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00648-4
- Thernau, T. (2021). A Package for Survival Analysis in R. R package version 3.2-13.
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., D'Agostino McGowan, L., François, R., . . . Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4, 1686. doi:10.21105/joss.01686



## Figure legends

**Fig 1. Epidemic timing.** Weekly RT-PCR counts are colored according to A) epidemic wave, B-C) most recent blood sample for ELISA RBD screen and spike titer, D-E) RBD screen and spike titer results, and F) full vaccination status. Panel F) is subset to show only infections in people with  $\geq 1$  vaccination  $\geq 14$  days prior to infection. IMP = intensive monitoring period. In B and C, annual and midyear blood sampling periods are colored to match the legend so the timing of prior blood samples associated with infections can be easily identified; it can be seen here that many 2022 infections have blood samples for titers from the annual 2021 sample.

**Fig 2. SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding duration by prior immunity.** Prior immunity was measured as A) serostatus and B) anti-spike titer. C) compares fully vaccination and serostatus. Results are from accelerated failure time (AFT) models. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals. Estimated mean and interquartile range (IQR) shedding durations are displayed graphically and in text below each figure. Individuals with  $\geq 1$  vaccination  $\geq 14$  days prior to infection were excluded from analyses in A) and B).

**Fig 3. SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding duration by prior immunity and age.** Prior immunity was measured as A) serostatus and B) anti-spike titer. Results are from accelerated failure time (AFT) models. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals. Estimated mean and interquartile range (IQR) shedding durations are displayed graphically and in text below each figure. Individuals with  $\geq 1$  vaccination  $\geq 14$  days prior to infection were excluded.

**Fig 4. SARS-CoV-2 viral load by prior immunity and age.** Prior immunity was measured as serostatus (A and B) and anti-spike titer (C and D). Each data point represents an infection. Violin plots (A and C) show the distribution of viral load, by each level of immunity; horizontal lines indicate median CT values and printed numbers represent mean CT values. Asterisks in C) indicate mean CT values significantly different from those in the negative group ( $p < 0.05$ ). Line plots (B and D) are fitted with a loess smoother and shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals.

### Supporting information

Table S1 - Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infections

Fig S1 - Cohort study diagram for HICS

Fig S2 - Nested transmission study diagram for SARS-CoV-2 activations in HICS

Fig S3 - Example of shedding time censoring

Fig S4. Study flowchart

Fig S5 - Sampling and RT-PCR results for all 745 infections

Fig S6. Population pyramid for SARS-CoV-2 infections

Fig S7 - SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding duration by age, sex, and obesity among prior seronegative and seropositive

Author Manuscript