

Colwell Mathia L (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5008-8520)  
Wanner Nicole (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4213-5195)  
Faulk Christopher (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9749-9658)

**Title**  
Intergenerational Arsenic Exposure on the Mouse Epigenome and Metabolic Physiology

**Authors**

Mathia Colwell\* <sup>1,2</sup>, Nicole Flack<sup>3</sup>, Amanda Rezabek<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Faulk<sup>2</sup>

**Affiliations**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Michigan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Minnesota College of Food, Agricultural and Natural Resource Sciences; <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota College of Veterinary Medicine

\*Denotes corresponding author. Contact: *email:* [mathiac@umich.edu](mailto:mathiac@umich.edu) *phone:* (507) 213-8635

**Keywords**

DNA Methylation; DOHaD; Arsenic; Intergenerational; Mouse; Germ Cell.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/1742-6723.22526](https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.22526)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Abstract

Inorganic Arsenic (iAs) is one of the largest toxic exposures to impact humanity worldwide. Exposure to iAs during pregnancy may disrupt the proper remodeling of the epigenome of F1 developing offspring and potentially their F2 grand-offspring via disruption of fetal primordial germ cells (PGCs). There is a limited understanding between the correlation of disease phenotype and methylation profile within offspring of both generations and whether it persists to adulthood. Our study aims to understand the intergenerational effects of *in utero* iAs exposure on the epigenetic profile and onset of disease phenotypes within F1 and F2 adult offspring, despite the life-long absence of direct arsenic exposure within these generations. We exposed F0 female mice (C57BL6/J) to the following doses of iAs in drinking water 2 weeks before pregnancy until the birth of the F1 offspring: 1 ppb, 10 ppb, 245 ppb, and 2300 ppb. We found sex- and dose-specific changes in weight and body composition that persist from early time to adulthood within both generations. Fasting blood glucose challenge suggests iAs exposure causes dysregulation of glucose metabolism, revealing generational, exposure, and sex specific differences. Toward understanding the mechanism, genome-wide DNA methylation data highlights exposure-specific patterns in liver, finding dysregulation within genes associated with cancer, T2D, and obesity. We also identified regions containing persistently differentially methylated CpG sites between F1 and F2 generations. Our results indicate the F1 developing embryos and their PGCs, [which will result in F2 progeny](#), retain epigenetic damage established during the prenatal period and are associated with adult metabolic dysfunction.

## 1. Introduction

Arsenic (iAs) exposure via drinking water is one of the largest global exposures affecting prenatal health, and has a growing body of evidence that suggests gestational iAs exposure influences intergenerational epigenetic inheritance (National Research Council (U.S.) Subcommittee on Arsenic in Drinking Water. 1999; Hossain et al. 2017; Nohara et al. 2011; Nohara, Suzuki, and Okamura 2020). Data from mother-infant pairs show prenatal iAs exposure alters DNA methylation (Xie et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2002; Tsang et al. 2012) and birth outcomes (Marie et al. 2018; Laine et al. 2015; Gilbert-Diamond et al. 2016; Fei et al. 2013) in newborns. Studies using rodent models find prenatal iAs exposure causes type 2 diabetes (T2D) (Young, Cai, and States 2018; Liu et al. 2014), obesity (Rodriguez et al. 2016b; C et al. 2019), and differential methylation (Martin, Stýblo, and Fry 2017; Rojas et al. 2015; Bailey et al. 2013) in adult mice. However, most rodent studies use doses of iAs that are considered carcinogenic (“TOXICOLOGICAL PROFILE FOR ARSENIC | Enhanced Reader,” n.d.) thus the role of methylation and disease may be skewed, and methylation data reported from epidemiological studies are confounded by co-exposures. Due to its prevalence as a health threat in the United States and around the world, it is important to characterize the multigenerational epigenetic damage caused by iAs exposure and its long-term health effects in adult offspring. However, there are no studies to date investigating the intergenerational impact of maternal iAs exposure inherited through the female germline.

Epigenetic inheritance occurs by escape from complete epigenetic reprogramming. Epigenetic reprogramming is critical for regulation of gene expression and tissue differentiation in the developing embryo, and imperative for sex differentiation within primordial germ cells (PGCs)(Feng, Jacobsen, and Reik 2010; Cedar and Bergman 2012). Reprogramming occurs during early and late embryogenesis, where DNA methylation is erased then re-established in two waves of demethylation/re-methylation. The first reprogramming event takes place within the primordial germ cells (F2, PGCs that result in the F2 progeny) of F1 offspring, and the second in the somatic F1 post-fertilization pluripotent stem cells(Sasaki and Matsui 2008). Since the epigenome is vulnerable during development, disruption of epigenetic reprogramming by environmental exposures impacts both the developing zygote (F1 generation) and the PGCs (F2 generation), and is known to cause the onset of disease in subsequent generations(Painter et al. 2008; Skinner and Guerrero-Bosagna 2014; Titus-Ernstoff et al. 2008). When the F1 PGCs develop into oocytes that will give rise to the F2 progeny, become fertilized, and undergo the waves of somatic demethylation/re-methylation as the developing F2 zygote during pregnancy, it is unclear if grand-maternal epigenetic damage caused by *in utero* iAs exposure will persist in adult F2s, despite the reprogramming event. Thus, we designed an exposure paradigm to 1) identify the intergenerational epigenetic scarring caused by *in utero* iAs exposure and 2) assess the contribution to the onset of disease in adulthood using a mouse model.

The epigenetic damage of iAs exposure during pregnancy is hypothesized to be associated with iAs metabolism and the 1-carbon pathway. iAs metabolism acts as an inhibitor of DNA methylation due to competition for DNA methylation precursors. Biotransformation of iAs utilizes the one carbon metabolism pathway, the same metabolic pathway that generates methyl groups for the synthesis of DNA. The universal methyl donor, S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM), is utilized by iAs-3-methyltransferase (AS3MT) to generate metabolites monomethylarsonic acid (MMA) and dimethylarsonic acid (DMA) for urinary excretion(Spratlen et al. 2017). SAM is also used by DNMTs to add methyl groups to convert unmodified cytosines to 5-methylcytosine (5-mC)(Thomas, Waters, and Styblo 2004). Since both AS3MT and DNMT1 compete for SAM, evidence suggests utilization of SAM is responsible for the lower global methylation changes seen in exposed individuals(Nohara et al. 2011; Zhao et al. 2002; Xie et al. 2007). Thus, *in utero* iAs exposure has the potential to disrupt normal methylation patterning during epigenetic reprogramming.

We hypothesized the disruption of DNA methylation by *in utero* iAs exposure would target both the developing fetus (F1) and primordial germ cell (F2) epigenomes leading to the onset of adulthood diseases. We exposed F0 females to human relevant doses of iAs throughout pregnancy that represented the WHO limit (10 ppb)(National Research Council (U.S.). Subcommittee on Arsenic in Drinking Water. 1999), the highest average global exposure (245 ppb) (Argos 2015), and the known prenatal carcinogenic exposure in mice (2300 ppb)(Waalkes et al. 2004). Our *gestational* exposure window was chosen to restrict the impact of iAs to development and causally link early exposure to later-in-life metabolic diseases. We assess the effects of maternal *in utero* iAs exposure on eight, total body fat, behavior, and glucose tolerance at multiple time points into adulthood in both sexes of the F1 and F2 generations. We found arsenic causes physiological metabolic changes in a sex-, dose-, and generation-specific manner. Further, we found differentially methylated CpGs (DMCs) and differentially methylated regions (DMRs) that were unique or persisted between sex, dose, and generations, identifying DMCs within genes associated T2D or obesity.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### *Mouse model, exposure, and breeding paradigm*

F0 C57BL/6j mice (n=16, 8 weeks of age) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine). Mice were maintained on a 12:12 light/dark cycle and had ad libitum access to the standard lab diet Envigo 2018 (18% protein) chow and tap water (tested at 1ppb iAs) until the beginning of the exposure. All animals were individually housed until breeding. Tap water from the University of Minnesota was treated with iAs<sup>III</sup> (Millipore Sigma) at the following doses: 10 ppb, 245 ppb, and 2300 ppb. Water quality and arsenic concentrations were tested at Tri-City/ William Lloyd Analytical Lab (Bloomington, MN). Female mice from the F1 generation (n=4 per treatment), were used to produce the F2 generation. Mice used for glucose tolerance were selected at random for the initial 8 week measurement and remained enrolled for glucose tolerance at the 25 and 38 week time points throughout the study. *The following quantity of mice survived the 40 weeks without health confounding factors, and were used for behavior testing and weekly body weight; (F1 1 ppb: male n= 10, female n=7; F1 10 ppb: male n=9, female n = 4; F1 245 ppb: male n= 8, female n=4; F1 2300 ppb: male n=7, female n=7; F2 1 ppb: male n=10, female n=8; F2 10 ppb: male n=10, female n=12; F2 245 ppb: male n=10, female n=11; F2 2300: male n=6, female n=5). Total mice, litter size, and sex distribution are found in Table 1.* Mice were treated humanely and in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, 1996). The study protocol was approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

### *Glucose Tolerance Testing*

At ages 8, 25, and 38 weeks, mice (n=4 per treatment) underwent a 6 hour fast before receiving a 100  $\mu$ L glucose bolus by oral gavage. Blood glucose was monitored before glucose administration (0 minutes) and recorded every 15 minutes for 1.5 hours by Accu-Check Blood Glucose Meter (Roche Diabetes Care, Inc.). Statistical significance was determined by a 2-way ANOVA (p <0.05), and Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated using the AUC function in Prism 9.

### *Behavior Testing*

To assess anxiety and compulsive behavior, we performed marble burying in a standard marble burying condition. A set of 20 glass marbles were evenly spaced in a rat cage on sawdust bedding. For the duration of 20 minutes, mice explored and buried marbles undisturbed. Marbles that were at least two-thirds covered by corn cob bedding at the end of the testing period were counted as buried. The number of marbles buried was scored by a single skilled observer; inter-group differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA (p-value < 0.05).

To assess spatial working memory and cognitive integrity, we performed a Y-maze behavior test (“Purpose and Cognition: The Determiners of Animal Learning.” n.d.; Hughes 2004). Mice were placed in one arm of a standard Y-maze (MazeEngineers, Boston, MA), consisting of a high walled chamber with three arms connected at 120°. Mice were video recorded exploring the maze undisturbed for 10 minutes. After each test, the maze was cleaned with 70% ethanol and then water to eliminate confounding scents for the subsequent trials. The total number of maze arm entries and spontaneous alterations (SA) were scored by a treatment-blinded skilled observer. Spontaneous alternation percentage was calculated as the number of spontaneous alterations  $\div$  (number of entries -2)  $\times$  100. One spontaneous alternation was counted

when three consecutive entries into unique arms (e.g., A, B, C) were recorded on video. Arm entries were recorded when the test mouse was positioned with all four feet inside the maze arm. The total number of arm entries was also recorded as a measure of exploration. Results were determined significant by a one-way ANOVA (p-value < 0.05).

### *Body Composition*

After weaning (3 weeks of age), F1 and F2 mice were weighed weekly for 40 weeks. Final body weight was recorded at the time of euthanasia. Wet weight was recorded for adipose deposits on the right abdomen and the left kidney. To determine differences in body composition, ratios of bodyweight to adipose mass (body weight/fat pad mass) and bodyweight to kidney mass (body weight/kidney weight) were calculated and compared using a one-way ANOVA (p-value < 0.05).

### *DNA Extractions and RRBS Data Analysis*

Reduced Represented Bisulfite Sequencing (RRBS) Genomic DNA from F1 and F2 liver were isolated using the Zymo Quick-DNA Mini Prep Kit (Zymo Research Corp.). Upon submission, the gDNA samples were quantified using a fluorometric PicoGreen assay and analyzed for quality using the Nanodrop. All samples passed the Input DNA Requirements outlines in Tecan's Ovation RRBS Methyl-Seq System (Publication Number: M01394v7). gDNA samples were converted to sequencing libraries using Tecan's Ovation RRBS Methyl-Seq with TrueMethyl oxBS System following manufacturers protocols (Part # 9522-A01). In summary, extracted gDNA was digested by MspI and then ligated to indexed sequencing adapters. Libraries were bisulfite converted (oxBS module was not used) and amplified. Final library size distribution was validated using capillary electrophoresis and quantified using fluorimetry (PicoGreen). Libraries were pooled and sequenced on one lane of an S4 2x150 paired-end run on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 System.

R (R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>) and Linux command line tools were used for RRBS analysis. FastQC (version 1.13) assessed overall sequencing quality, and TrimGalore (F. Krueger 2015) (version 4.5) with default settings trimmed low-quality bases, adapter sequences, and end-repair bases from 3' ends. Bismark (Felix Krueger and Andrews 2011) (version 19.0) aligned and called methylation. Reads were aligned to mm10 mouse reference genome using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) (version 2.3.4) using default parameters. Methylation calls were reported for all nucleotides with the minimum read depth of 5. Paired raw fastq.gz reads were uploaded to the Sequence Read Archive and can be accessed under Bioproject Number (PRJNA881737). The DSS package in R (version 2.32.0) detected differential methylation in liver for F1 and F2 females versus sex- and generation-matched 1ppb controls (n = 3 per group) for sites with a minimum coverage of 10 reads. The DMLtest and callDML functions were used to detect differentially methylated CpGs (DMCs). Smoothing was set to FALSE in DMLtest as is recommended for sparse data. Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) were identified with callDMR. The p-value threshold was set to FDR < 0.001 for both tests with delta > 0.01 required to call DMCs. A delta value was not enforced for DMRs; all CpGs within a potential DMR need not exhibit the same direction of change, meaning a delta threshold could lead to false negatives. The Annotatr R package was used to annotate DMCs and DMRs to CpG islands, genes, and intergenic regions in the mm10

genome(Cavalcante and Sartor 2016). The functions `plot_annotation` and `plot_categorical` functions were used to generate figures.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Maternal iAs exposure *alters* wean weights, adult weight gain, and final body weight ratios in of F1 offspring

To understand the effects of *in utero* iAs exposure on the developing embryo and primordial germ cells, we exposed F0 females to iAs treated water for 2 weeks prior to mating (**Figure 1**). To ensure intergenerational effects were passed through the maternal line, unexposed male mice were placed in female cages to generate the F1 population. Male mice were separated from females after 48 hours to avoid confounding paternal exposure. Treated water was removed within 24 hours after the F1 offspring were born. F1 mice were weaned at 3 weeks of age and weekly weights were recorded until 40 weeks of age. F1 female mice (n=4 per treatment, 8 weeks of age) were bred with unexposed, unrelated C57BL/6J male mice (8 weeks of age) to produce the F2 generation. F2 mice were weaned at 3 weeks of age. None of the F1 or F2 mice were directly exposed to iAs drinking water during their lifetime.

Male and female F1 mice born to mothers exposed to 10 ppb and 2300 ppb had lower wean weights compared to control, where there were no significant differences in body weight to fat pad mass ratio (**Figure 2.A-E**). Over a period of 40 weeks, male F1 offspring from 10 ppb and 2300 ppb dams had lower final body weight compared to the control average (**Figure 2.C**). Despite low final body weight, F1 male mice had no significant difference in weight gain for the 40 weeks, or body weight to fat pad mass ratio (**Figure 2.D, Figure 2.E**). Thus, maternal exposure to iAs at three ranges alters wean weight and final body weight in a sex-specific manner.

#### 3.2 F1 Females, but not males, show delayed glucose response similar to type II diabetes

Blood glucose was measured at three lifetime points (8, 25, and 38 weeks) to understand if *in utero* iAs exposure causes type II diabetes (T2D) associated phenotypes in F1 offspring (**Figure 3.A and Figure 3.B**). Throughout the study, F1 female offspring of 10 ppb dams exhibited consistent (i.e. multi-timepoint) dysregulated metabolism of glucose during the 25- and 38-week oral glucose challenges (**Figure 3.A**). F1 male mice exhibited no differences in glucose metabolism (**Figure 3.B**). No significant differences were identified in Area Under the Curve (AUC) analysis. Our data indicate a low exposure of 10 ppb iAs during pregnancy contributes to irregular metabolism of glucose in late life F1 females.

#### 3.3 Grandmaternal iAs exposure alters life-long body composition in male and female F2 offspring

Wean weights, weekly body weight, and final body composition in F2 offspring were altered by grandmaternal exposure (**Figure 4.A-E**). Wean weights in F2 females were normal, whereas F2 10 ppb male mice had significantly higher wean weight compared to the F2 control (**Figure 4.B and Figure 4.C**). F2 females had no significant differences in body weight through 40 weeks of age (**Figure 4.B**). Remarkably, F2 male mice in all treatment groups had significantly lower body weight compared to control starting at week 28 that persisted through 40 weeks of age (**Figure 4.C**). Similar results were seen in body mass to fat pad mass ratios (**Figure 4.D and Figure 4.E**). No significant differences were seen in F2 female final body

composition (**Figure 4.D**). Consistent with low body weight, F2 males in the 10 ppb and 2300 ppb iAs exposure groups had significantly lower body mass to fat pad mass ratio (**Figure 4.E**).

### 3.4 *Dysregulated glucose metabolism in adult F2 male and F2 female mice*

We measured blood glucose at three lifetime points (8, 25, and 38 weeks) within the F2 exposure groups to understand the effects of grandmaternal exposure on adult F2 diabetic phenotypes (**Figure 5.A and Figure 5.B**). The F0 exposure of 245 ppb causes a T2D phenotype in F2 female mice starting at an early age (3 weeks) that is persistent through adulthood (38 weeks) (**Figure 5.A**). In addition, F2 male mice developed dysregulated glucose metabolism only in late life (38 weeks) (**Figure 5.B**). We identified no significant changes in AUC analysis. These results indicate primordial germ cells are damaged by grandmaternal iAs exposure and result in adult metabolic disruption that is dose-specific.

### 3.5 *Maternal iAs exposure does not influence anxiety or memory behaviors in F1 or F2 offspring*

We performed marble burying to determine whether gestational iAs exposure induced anxiety and a Y-maze assessment for spatial memory within adult F1 and F2 mice (**Figure 6.A and Figure 6.B**). We identified no significant differences between exposure groups and control for either generation in both the marble burying and spontaneous alteration behavior in the Y-maze. These results suggest that in utero iAs exposure does not cause anxiety or impair spatial memory in F1 and F2 adult mice.

### 3.6 *Preferential Hypermethylation in DMCs and DMRs of F1 Generation*

To identify a potential mechanism for epigenetic damage, we assessed differentially methylated CpGs (DMCs) and differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in the liver of 10 ppb and 245 ppb F1 mice (**Table 2**) since these dose levels are most relevant to human exposures. In the F1 animals of both sexes, we found an excess of hypermethylated CpG sites at both doses. Specifically, in F1 females, we found 259 (66%) hypermethylated and 132 (34%) hypomethylated DMCs within the 10-ppb group, and 157 (61%) hypermethylated and 99 (39%) hypomethylated DMCs within the 245-ppb group. Only 4 (80%) DMRs were hypermethylated and 1 DMR hypomethylated in the 10-ppb group, whereas no DMRs were identified in the 245-ppb group. In F1 males, we identified 299 (65%) hypermethylated and 196 (42%) hypomethylated DMCs within the 10-ppb group, and 265 (64%) hypermethylated and 151 (36%) hypomethylated DMCs in the 245-ppb group. Only 3 (43%) hypermethylated and 4 (57%) hypomethylated DMRs were identified in the 10 ppb iAs group, whereas 9 (82%) hypermethylated and 2 (18%) hypomethylated DMRs were identified in the 245-ppb group. Our results show F1 males have more dysregulated methylation in DMCs and DMRs compared to F1 females, regardless of the exposure group.

The F2 mice sustained similar overall numbers of differentially methylated CpG sites and recapitulated the hypermethylation bias seen in F1 animals. Our F2 results indicate higher DMC and DMR content within F2 females compared to F2 males, a contrast to the F1 generation (**Table 2**). Females of the 10-ppb group had preferential DMC hypermethylation in the liver, where 320 (60%) hypermethylated and 217 (40%) hypomethylated DMCs were identified in the 10-ppb group. We identified 9 (56%) hypermethylated and 7 (44%) hypomethylated DMRs within the 10-ppb group. Females of the 245-ppb group had a slight bias towards hypomethylation, where 208 (57%) hypomethylated and 156 (43%) hypermethylated DMCs were identified. We found 1 (20%) hypermethylated and 4 (80%) hypomethylated DMRs in the

245 ppb F2 females. Within the 10-ppb F2 males, we identified 140 (54%) hypermethylated and 118 (46%) hypomethylated DMCs, detecting 1 hypermethylated DMR. F2 males in the 245-ppb group had 144 (52%) hypermethylated and 133 (48%) hypomethylated DMCs, and only 1 hypermethylated DMR. Our findings show female DMCs and DMRs within the liver are more reactive to prenatal exposure to iAs in both the 10 ppb and 245 ppb groups when compared to males.

### 3.7 Generational Intersect of DMCs and DMRs and Top DMC Containing Genes

The overlap of DMC-containing genes was assessed as no single-nucleotide positional DMCs were shared between generations. Overall, few DMC-containing genes were shared between F1 and F2, with most overlapping genes occurring between iAs doses within-generation (**Figure 7**). The top three greatest DMC containing gene overlap was identified within generations and sex (Male F1 10 ppb vs 245 ppb, Female F2 10 ppb vs 245 ppb, and F1 Female 10 ppb vs. 245 ppb). We identified generational DMCs, finding males exposed to 245 ppb in utero had the highest overlap (8 DMCs) compared to other generational comparisons.

No DMR-containing genes were shared intergenerationally, although the genes *Rlbp1* and *Tcf4* both contained DMRs in 10ppb and 245ppb F1 males (Supplemental file “DMR\_all\_hits.xlsx”). The *Rlbp1* DMRs were hypomethylated and the *Tcf4* DMRs were hypermethylated in both doses relative to control. One hypermethylated intronic DMC was identified in *Tcf4* in F2 females at the 245ppb dose but not in any other F2 animals (Supplementary file “DML\_all\_hits.xlsx”). No *Rlbp1* DMCs were observed in the F2 generation. This data shows limited persistence of DMCs and DMRs through the F1 to F2 generation.

### 3.8 Differentially Methylated Genes and Targeted Genic Regions for Dysregulation

To evaluate the potential molecular consequences of iAs exposure, we identified genes containing DMCs and DMRs. Identified genes were catalogued based on DMC content (**Table 3**) and based on the degree of differential methylation (**Table 4**). Several top DMC and DMR contain genes were associated with neurological function, retina or cornea function and anatomy, along with cell junction and membrane integrity. Genes identified with the greatest degree of differential methylation were associated with DNA helicase activity, cell adhesion, signal receptor binding, cell growth, muscle development, and nervous system development. In relation to the specific diseases connected to iAs exposure, we identified genes essential to liver function and associated diseases. For example, our data included genes associated with T2D and insulin resistance (Ali 2013) such as *Pik3c2g* (Saeed 2018) (F2 female 245 ppb), *Ptprn2* (Ouni et al. 2020) (F2 male 245 ppb), *Adcy5* (Sommese et al. 2018) (F1 male 10 ppb and F2 female 10 ppb), and *Slc27a4* (Rowlands et al. 2014) (F1 male 10 ppb). We also found dysregulated methylation within *Slc43a2* (Owaydhah et al. 2021) (F2 female 245 ppb) and *Bicc1* (Park et al. 2016) (F1 male 245 ppb), genes that are associated with placental development and embryogenesis. Within the generational overlap, we found several genes associated with T2D such as *Irs2* (10 ppb females), *Tcf12* (245 ppb males), *Jazf1* (10 ppb females), *Adcy5* (10 ppb males), and *Slc27a* (245 ppb females) (Ali 2013). We also identified genes associated with obesity, such as *Adipor1* (F1 female 10 ppb), *Fto* (F1 male 10 ppb), *Pparg* (F2 male 245 ppb), and *Adcy3* (F1 male 245 ppb) within our DMC list (Loos and Yeo 2021).

Using AnnotatR, we determined introns, 1 to 5 kb regions were the most sensitive while intergenic regions were most protected from iAs exposure by using the randomize regions function (**Figure 8.A-C**). This function determines if differential methylation happens by chance



or if specific regions are more responsive to exposure compared to others. Across sex, dose, and generation, we found introns and 1 to 5 kb regions contained more DMCs compared to other genic regions. In addition, intergenic regions were less sensitive to iAs exposure, indicating intergenic regions may be protected from dysregulation caused by iAs exposure.

### Discussion

There is increasing evidence suggesting the early life environment has an impact on multigenerational health. This study characterizes the intergenerational effects of iAs on offspring health, as inherited through the female germline. We provide evidence that iAs has sex-specific effects, such that dysregulated glucose metabolism affects both F1/F2 females and F2 males. More specifically, these effects were dose-specific with a late life onset. We show exposed F1 and F2 males have impaired growth as indicated by weekly body weight, where only a subset of the exposed F2 female lineage had surpassed the weight gain of F2 female control mice. We probed DNA methylation changes as a potential mechanism for intergenerational damage. We found DMCs and DMRs occur across sex and generations, identifying genes with high DMC/DMR content. Together, this data suggests *in utero* arsenic exposure alters metabolic phenotypes into adulthood despite the absence of iAs exposure during adult lifetimes though with limited recapitulation of methylation changes across generations. The effects presented are sex-, dose-, and generation-specific indicating the prenatal environment influences the onset of disease that persists throughout generations; more studies exploring the intergenerational effects on epigenetic inheritance and the associated functionality are needed to link iAs exposure to changes in gene expression and protein function.

It has been well established that maternal *in utero* iAs exposure is associated with dysregulated glucose metabolism in the F1 populations (Young, Cai, and States 2018; Navas-Acien et al. 2019; Tinkelman et al. 2020). In a rat model, the maternal exposure of 500 ppb and 50 ppm throughout gestation and 2 months post-partum resulted in the onset of T2D phenotype in F1 offspring (Bonaventura et al. 2017). Mouse studies investigating *in utero* iAs of 100 ppb also identify diabetes related phenotypes such as increased plasma insulin, decreased pancreatic insulin production, or the onset of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease within offspring (Huang et al. 2018; Martin, Stýblo, and Fry 2017; Ditzel et al. 2016). Unlike our study using standard chow, these studies are coupled with nutritional arms (total western diet or supplementation with folate) and doses of iAs that exceed doses found in drinking water. Our exposure paradigm was designed to identify if iAs targeted the developing fetus and germ cells using human relevant exposures. Thus, our results indicate the dysregulated glucose metabolism phenotype in F1 and F2 generations are present at doses relevant to the human population.

Our findings on generational impacts on body weight and fat mass contributes to the growing body of *in utero* iAs exposure on offspring metabolism. Our data show maternal exposure causes sex- and dose-specific weight changes in F1 offspring. Additionally, grand maternal exposure alters the life-long body composition in both male and female F2 offspring. Previous studies using exposures ranging from 10 ppb – 42.5 ppm show maternal iAs exposure contributes to higher body weight in female offspring (Rodriguez et al. 2016a). Additionally, a study using a similar exposure of 250 ppb found no changes in body weight within the F1 offspring, early life stunted growth in F2 offspring that was restored in late life, and increased adiposity in F3 males (Gong et al. 2021). These studies focusing on rodent models are but a few indicating dose of iAs and sex have a role in adiposity. Further research is needed to identify the

influence of iAs early- and late-life body composition and the implications on human metabolic health.

Our data show glucose metabolism and body composition are dysregulated by *in utero* iAs exposure, yet it is unclear if the onset of disease in our study is influenced by epigenetic alterations or other factors. The onset of T2D in adults chronically exposed to iAs may be a result of non-epigenetic mechanisms such as inhibition of a GLUT4, damage to  $\beta$ -cells by ROS production, inhibition of glucose stimulated insulin secretion, or increased stimulation of liver gluconeogenesis (Martin, Stýblo, and Fry 2017). Chronic iAs exposure is known to cause increased body weight and alterations in lipid metabolism (Castriota et al. 2018; C et al. 2019). Additionally, studies show the maternal metabolic milieu influences the onset of obesity in offspring ranging from adolescence and into adulthood (Tequeanes et al. 2009; Derraik et al. 2015). Despite the role of iAs in direct damage to tissues and the maternal metabolism, increasing evidence from mouse and human studies shows developmental iAs exposure alters DNA methylation in offspring with associations to metabolic phenotypes. In a longitudinal mother-child cohort in Bangladesh, differential methylation of CpG sites within in blood mononuclear cells were characterized, where 12 hypermethylated CpG sites were associated with prenatal iAs exposure (Gliga et al. 2018). Of the differentially methylated CpG sites, several were associated with proteins essential to insulin secretion by  $\beta$ -cells in the pancreas. Similar to previously reported studies, our data finds differential methylation within genes associated with T2D (Ali 2013) and obesity (Loos and Yeo 2021) - *Pik3c2g*, *Ptprn2*, *Adcy5*, *Slc27a4*, *Irs2*, *Tcf12*, *Jazf1*, *Adcy5*, *Slc27a*, *Adipor1*, *Fto*, *Pparg*, and *Adcy3*. While *in utero* exposure is known to cause long-term health effects in developing fetuses and young children, often impacting the establishment of DNA methylation (Smeester and Fry 2018), further research is needed to explore the association between our DMCs and the onset of disease. It is clear the identification of differential methylation in offspring is associated with maternal iAs exposure, where differential methylation may be a plausible contributor to the onset of metabolic phenotypes. Although our DMC and DMR data provide insight on genes associated with disease, the exploration of differential methylation on gene expression is still necessary. The correlation between DMCs and DMRs with dysregulated gene expression would potentially link our data with the characterized disease phenotypes. Additionally, the exploration of the physiological state of the liver, such as morphology, lipid accumulation, or RNA expression, could provide context if differential methylation is an artifact of cell composition. While levels of iAs that exceed our dose regimen (up to 85 ppm iAs) are known to cause intergenerational carcinogenesis targeting the liver (Waalkes et al. 2007), we found no visible carcinomas or immediate morphological changes such as color across treatment groups. Further investigation of liver morphology, cell composition, and RNA transcription is needed to understand the effects of iAs on hepatotoxicity and metabolism.

Sex-, dose-, and generation-specific effects are common among prenatal environmental exposures. Previous studies have reported similar effects of paternal iAs exposure on glucose homeostasis through the F1 and F2 generations (Gong et al. 2021). Glucose intolerance and hepatic insulin resistant was present in F1 females, not F1 males, without altering body weight. Despite low body wean weights, body weight was restored into adulthood within the F2 generation. In contrast to our study, Gong et. al explores the transmission of metabolic phenotypes through the paternal lineage (mating F1 male offspring), not the maternal lineage (mating F1 female offspring). These results indicate a paternal epigenetic influence on adult F1 and F2 offspring metabolic disease phenotypes. Another study exploring both maternal and

paternal exposure on transgenerational health found altered DNA methylation and reproductive phenotypes (Nava-Rivera et al. 2021). Methylation in the ovary and testes was significantly lower in the F1 generation, remained unchanged in the F2 generation, and increased within the F3 generation. Sperm quality parameters were also lower in the F1 and F3 male offspring, not within the F2 offspring. Results from these two intergenerational studies are foundational for the understanding of iAs exposure and epigenetic reprogramming. Our shared DMC containing genes across sex and generation provides an exciting foundation for genes targeted by prenatal iAs exposure. Despite the absence of overlap between generations, it is possible CpG methylation within the detected DMCs was re-established during F2 embryogenesis. Our findings could indicate female PGCs may retain epigenetic damage from F0 exposure that persists regardless of epigenetic reprogramming. Our results join the few novel studies indicating intergenerational iAs exposure alters metabolic phenotypes and the epigenome in a sex-, dose-, and generation-specific manner.

### **Funding**

This work was supported by NIEHS F31ES030967 (Colwell), NIH Office of the Director T32OD010993 (Flack) NIH R21AG071908 (Faulk), Impetus Grant (Norn Foundation) (Faulk), USDA-NIFA MIN-16-129 (Faulk)

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors acknowledge the anonymous reviewers of this manuscript for their valuable input.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### **Authors' contributions**

MLC and CF designed the study. MLC, NW, AR, and CF performed the animal experiments. MC performed the molecular experiments. NW performed the bioinformatics. MLC and NF wrote the manuscript. CF edited the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript prior to submission.

### **Citations**

- Ali, Omar. 2013. "Genetics of Type 2 Diabetes." *World Journal of Diabetes* 4 (4): 114. <https://doi.org/10.4239/WJD.V4.I4.114>.
- Argos, Maria. 2015. "Arsenic Exposure and Epigenetic Alterations: Recent Findings Based on the Illumina 450K DNA Methylation Array." *Current Environmental Health Reports* 2 (2): 137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40572-015-0052-1>.
- Bailey, Kathryn A., Michael C. Wu, William O. Ward, Lisa Smeester, Julia E. Rager, Gonzalo García-Vargas, Luz Maria Del Razo, Zuzana Drobná, Miroslav Stýblo, and Rebecca C. Fry. 2013. "Arsenic and the Epigenome: Interindividual Differences in Arsenic Metabolism

- Related to Distinct Patterns of DNA Methylation.” *Journal of Biochemical and Molecular Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbt.21462>.
- Bonaventura, María Marta, Nadia Soledad Bourguignon, Marianne Bizzozzero, Diego Rodriguez, Clara Ventura, Claudia Cocca, Carlos Libertun, and Victoria Adela Lux-Lantos. 2017. “Arsenite in Drinking Water Produces Glucose Intolerance in Pregnant Rats and Their Female Offspring.” *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2016.12.025>.
- C, Rivas-Santiago, González-Curiel I, Zarazua S, Murgu M, Ruiz Cardona A, Lalalde B, Lara-Ramírez EE, et al. 2019. “Lipid Metabolism Alterations in a Rat Model of Chronic and Intergenerational Exposure to Arsenic.” *BioMed Research International* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4978018>.
- Castriota, Felicia, Johanna Acevedo, Catterina Ferreccio, Allan H. Smith, Jane Liaw, Martyn T. Smith, and Craig Steinmaus. 2018. “Obesity and Increased Susceptibility to Arsenic-Related Type 2 Diabetes in Northern Chile.” *Environmental Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.07.022>.
- Cavalcante, Raymond G, and Maureen A Sartor. 2016. “Annotatr: Associating Genomic Regions with Genomic Annotations.” *BioRxiv*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1101/039685>.
- Cedar, Howard, and Yehudit Bergman. 2012. “Programming of DNA Methylation Patterns.” *Annual Review of Biochemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-052610-091920>.
- Derraik, José G.B., Fredrik Ahlsson, Barbro Diderholm, and Maria Lundgren. 2015. “Obesity Rates in Two Generations of Swedish Women Entering Pregnancy and Associated Obesity Risk among Adult Daughters.” *Scientific Reports* 2015 5:1 5 (1): 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16692>.
- Ditzel, Eric J., Thu Nguyen, Patricia Parker, and Todd D. Camenisch. 2016. “Effects of Arsenite Exposure during Fetal Development on Energy Metabolism and Susceptibility to Diet-Induced Fatty Liver Disease in Male Mice.” *Environmental Health Perspectives* 124 (2): 201. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP.1409501>.
- Fei, Dennis Liang, Devin C. Koestler, Zhigang Li, Camilla Giambelli, Avencia Sanchez-Mejias, Julie A. Gosse, Carmen J. Marsit, Margaret R. Karagas, and David J. Robbins. 2013. “Association between in Utero Arsenic Exposure, Placental Gene Expression, and Infant Birth Weight: A US Birth Cohort Study.” *Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-12-58>.
- Feng, Suhua, Steven E. Jacobsen, and Wolf Reik. 2010. “Epigenetic Reprogramming in Plant and Animal Development.” *Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1190614>.
- Gilbert-Diamond, Diane, Jennifer A. Emond, Emily R. Baker, Susan A. Korrick, and Margaret R. Karagas. 2016. “Relation between in Utero Arsenic Exposure and Birth Outcomes in a Cohort of Mothers and Their Newborns from New Hampshire.” *Environmental Health Perspectives* 124 (8): 1299–1307. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP.1510065>.
- Gliga, Anda R., Karin Engström, Maria Kippler, Helena Skröder, Sultan Ahmed, Marie Vahter, Rubhana Raqib, and Karin Broberg. 2018. “Prenatal Arsenic Exposure Is Associated with Increased Plasma IGFBP3 Concentrations in 9-Year-Old Children Partly via Changes in DNA Methylation.” *Archives of Toxicology* 92 (8): 2487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00204-018-2239-3>.
- Gong, Yingyun, Yanfeng Xue, Xin Li, Zhao Zhang, Wenjun Zhou, Paola Marcolongo, Angiolo Benedetti, et al. 2021. “Inter- and Transgenerational Effects of Paternal Exposure to Inorganic Arsenic.” *Advanced Science* 8 (7). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ADVS.202002715>.

- Hossain, Khaled, Takehiro Suzuki, M. M. Hasibuzzaman, Md Shofikul Islam, Atiqur Rahman, Sudip Kumar Paul, Tanzina Tanu, et al. 2017. “Chronic Exposure to Arsenic, LINE-1 Hypomethylation, and Blood Pressure: A Cross-Sectional Study in Bangladesh.” *Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0231-7>.
- Huang, Madelyn C., Christelle Douillet, Ellen N. Dover, and Miroslav Stýblo. 2018. “Prenatal Arsenic Exposure and Dietary Folate and Methylcobalamin Supplementation Alter the Metabolic Phenotype of C57BL/6J Mice in a Sex-Specific Manner.” *Archives of Toxicology* 92 (6): 1925–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00204-018-2206-Z/FIGURES/7>.
- Hughes, Robert N. 2004. “The Value of Spontaneous Alternation Behavior (SAB) as a Test of Retention in Pharmacological Investigations of Memory.” *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 28 (5): 497–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUBIOREV.2004.06.006>.
- Krueger, F. 2015. “Trim Galore!: A Wrapper Tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to Consistently Apply Quality and Adapter Trimming to FastQ Files.” Babraham Institute. 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1002/maco.200603986>.
- Krueger, Felix, and Simon R. Andrews. 2011. “Bismark: A Flexible Aligner and Methylation Caller for Bisulfite-Seq Applications.” *Bioinformatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167>.
- Laine, Jessica E., Kathryn A. Bailey, Marisela Rubio-Andrade, Andrew F. Olshan, Lisa Smeester, Zuzana Drobná, Amy H. Herring, Miroslav Stýblo, Gonzalo G. García-Vargas, and Rebecca C. Fry. 2015. “Maternal Arsenic Exposure, Arsenic Methylation Efficiency, and Birth Outcomes in the Biomarkers of Exposure to ARsenic (BEAR) Pregnancy Cohort in Mexico.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307476>.
- Langmead, Ben, and Steven L. Salzberg. 2012. “Fast Gapped-Read Alignment with Bowtie 2.” *Nature Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>.
- Liu, Su, Xuechao Guo, Bing Wu, Haiyan Yu, Xuxiang Zhang, and Mei Li. 2014. “Arsenic Induces Diabetic Effects through Beta-Cell Dysfunction and Increased Gluconeogenesis in Mice.” *Scientific Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06894>.
- Loos, Ruth J.F., and Giles S.H. Yeo. 2021. “The Genetics of Obesity: From Discovery to Biology.” *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2021 23:2 23 (2): 120–33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-021-00414-z>.
- Marie, Cécile, Stéphanie Léger, Aline Guttmann, Olivier Rivière, Nathalie Marchiset, Didier Lémery, Françoise Vendittelli, and Marie Pierre Sauvart-Rochat. 2018. “Exposure to Arsenic in Tap Water and Gestational Diabetes: A French Semi-Ecological Study.” *Environmental Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.11.016>.
- Martin, Elizabeth M., Miroslav Stýblo, and Rebecca C. Fry. 2017. “Genetic and Epigenetic Mechanisms Underlying Arsenic-Associated Diabetes Mellitus: A Perspective of the Current Evidence.” *Epigenomics*. <https://doi.org/10.2217/epi-2016-0097>.
- National Research Council (U.S.). Subcommittee on Arsenic in Drinking Water. 1999. *Arsenic in Drinking Water*. National Academy Press. <http://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/arsenic>.
- Nava-Rivera, Lydia Enith, Nadia Denys Betancourt-Martínez, Rodrigo Lozoya-Martínez, Pilar Carranza-Rosales, Nancy Elena Guzmán-Delgado, Irma Edith Carranza-Torres, Hector Delgado-Aguirre, José Omar Zambrano-Ortíz, and Javier Morán-Martínez. 2021. “Transgenerational Effects in DNA Methylation, Genotoxicity and Reproductive Phenotype by Chronic Arsenic Exposure.” *Scientific Reports* 2021 11:1 11 (1): 1–16.


- <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87677-y>.
- Navas-Acien, Ana, Miranda J. Spratlen, Ahlam Abuawad, Nancy J. LoIacono, Anne K. Bozack, and Mary V. Gamble. 2019. "Early-Life Arsenic Exposure, Nutritional Status, and Adult Diabetes Risk." *Current Diabetes Reports* 2019 19:12 19 (12): 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11892-019-1272-9>.
- Nohara, Keiko, Takashi Baba, Hikari Murai, Yayoi Kobayashi, Takehiro Suzuki, Yukiyo Tateishi, Michiyo Matsumoto, Noriko Nishimura, and Tomoharu Sano. 2011. "Global DNA Methylation in the Mouse Liver Is Affected by Methyl Deficiency and Arsenic in a Sex-Dependent Manner." *Archives of Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-010-0611-z>.
- Nohara, Keiko, Takehiro Suzuki, and Kazuyuki Okamura. 2020. "Gestational Arsenic Exposure and Paternal Intergenerational Epigenetic Inheritance." *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 409 (December): 115319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TAAP.2020.115319>.
- Ouni, Meriem, Sophie Saussenthaler, Fabian Eichelmann, Markus Jähnert, Mandy Stadion, Clemens Wittenbecher, Tina Rönn, et al. 2020. "Epigenetic Changes in Islets of Langerhans Preceding the Onset of Diabetes." *Diabetes* 69 (11): 2503–17. <https://doi.org/10.2337/DB20-0204>.
- Owaydhah, Wejdan H., Nick Ashton, François Verrey, and Jocelyn D. Glazier. 2021. "Differential Expression of System L Amino Acid Transporter Subtypes in Rat Placenta and Yolk Sac." *Placenta* 103 (January): 188–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PLACENTA.2020.10.034>.
- Painter, R. C., C. Osmond, P. Gluckman, M. Hanson, D. I.W. Phillips, and T. J. Roseboom. 2008. "Transgenerational Effects of Prenatal Exposure to the Dutch Famine on Neonatal Adiposity and Health in Later Life." *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2008.01822.x>.
- Park, Sookhee, Susanne Blaser, Melissa A. Marchal, Douglas W. Houston, and Michael D. Sheets. 2016. "A Gradient of Maternal Bicaudal-C Controls Vertebrate Embryogenesis via Translational Repression of MRNAs Encoding Cell Fate Regulators." *Development (Cambridge, England)* 143 (5): 864–71. <https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.131359>.
- "Purpose and Cognition: The Determiners of Animal Learning." n.d. Accessed December 1, 2021. <https://psycnet.apa.org/fulltext/1927-00608-001.pdf>.
- Rodriguez, Karina F., Erica K. Ungewitter, Yasmin Crespo-Mejias, Chang Liu, Barbara Nicol, Grace E. Kissling, and Humphrey Hung Chang Yao. 2016a. "Effects of in Utero Exposure to Arsenic during the Second Half of Gestation on Reproductive End Points and Metabolic Parameters in Female CD-1 Mice." *Environmental Health Perspectives*. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509703>.
- . 2016b. "Effects of in Utero Exposure to Arsenic during the Second Half of Gestation on Reproductive End Points and Metabolic Parameters in Female CD-1 Mice." *Environmental Health Perspectives* 124 (3): 336–43. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP.1509703>.
- Rojas, Daniel, Julia E Rager, Lisa Smeester, Kathryn A Bailey, Zuzana Drobná, Marisela Rubio-Andrade, Miroslav Stýblo, Gonzalo García-Vargas, and Rebecca C Fry. 2015. "Prenatal Arsenic Exposure and the Epigenome: Identifying Sites of 5-Methylcytosine Alterations That Predict Functional Changes in Gene Expression in Newborn Cord Blood and Subsequent Birth Outcomes." *Toxicological Sciences: An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology* 143 (1): 97–106. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfu210>.
- Rowlands, David S., Rachel A. Page, William R. Sukala, Mamta Giri, Svetlana D. Ghimbovski, Irum Hayat, Birinder S. Cheema, et al. 2014. "Multi-Omic Integrated Networks Connect

- DNA Methylation and MiRNA with Skeletal Muscle Plasticity to Chronic Exercise in Type 2 Diabetic Obesity.” *Physiological Genomics* 46 (20): 747–65.  
<https://doi.org/10.1152/PHYSIOLGENOMICS.00024.2014>.
- Saeed, Mohammad. 2018. “Locus and Gene-Based GWAS Meta-Analysis Identifies New Diabetic Nephropathy Genes.” *Immunogenetics* 70 (6): 347–53.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S00251-017-1044-0/TABLES/2>.
- Sasaki, Hiroyuki, and Yasuhisa Matsui. 2008. “Epigenetic Events in Mammalian Germ-Cell Development: Reprogramming and Beyond.” *Nature Reviews Genetics*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2295>.
- Skinner, Michael, and Carlos Guerrero-Bosagna. 2014. “Role of CpG Deserts in the Epigenetic Transgenerational Inheritance of Differential DNA Methylation Regions.” *BMC Genomics* 15 (1): 692. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-692>.
- Smeester, Lisa, and Rebecca C. Fry. 2018. “Long-Term Health Effects and Underlying Biological Mechanisms of Developmental Exposure to Arsenic.” *Current Environmental Health Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-018-0184-1>.
- Sommese, Linda, Giuditta Benincasa, Michele Lanza, Antonio Sorriento, Concetta Schiano, Roberta Lucchese, Roberto Alfano, Giovanni Francesco Nicoletti, and Claudio Napoli. 2018. “Novel Epigenetic-Sensitive Clinical Challenges Both in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes.” *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications* 32 (11): 1076–84.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JDIACOMP.2018.08.012>.
- Spratlen, Miranda Jones, Mary V. Gamble, Maria Grau-Perez, Chin Chi Kuo, Lyle G. Best, Joseph Yracheta, Kevin Francesconi, et al. 2017. “Arsenic Metabolism and One-Carbon Metabolism at Low-Moderate Arsenic Exposure: Evidence from the Strong Heart Study.” *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.05.004>.
- Tequeanes, Ana Lilia Lozada, Denise Petrucci Gigante, Maria Cecilia Formoso Assunção, David Alejandro Gonzalez Chica, and Bernardo Lessa Horta. 2009. “Maternal Anthropometry Is Associated with the Body Mass Index and Waist:Height Ratio of Offspring at 23 Years of Age.” *The Journal of Nutrition* 139 (4): 750–54. <https://doi.org/10.3945/JN.108.100669>.
- Thomas, David J., Stephen B. Waters, and Miroslav Styblo. 2004. “Elucidating the Pathway for Arsenic Methylation.” *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2003.10.020>.
- Tinkelman, Naomi E., Miranda Jones Spratlen, Arce Domingo-Relloso, Maria Tellez-Plaza, Maria Grau-Perez, Kevin A. Francesconi, Walter Goessler, et al. 2020. “Associations of Maternal Arsenic Exposure with Adult Fasting Glucose and Insulin Resistance in the Strong Heart Study and Strong Heart Family Study.” *Environment International* 137 (April): 105531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVINT.2020.105531>.
- Titus-Ernstoff, Linda, Rebecca Troisi, Elizabeth E. Hatch, Marianne Hyer, Lauren A. Wise, Julie R. Palmer, Raymond Kaufman, et al. 2008. “Offspring of Women Exposed in Utero to Diethylstilbestrol (DES): A Preliminary Report of Benign and Malignant Pathology in the Third Generation.” *Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e318163152a>.
- “TOXICOLOGICAL PROFILE FOR ARSENIC | Enhanced Reader.” n.d.
- Tsang, Verne, Rebecca C. Fry, Mihai D. Niculescu, Julia E. Rager, Jesse Saunders, David S. Paul, Steven H. Zeisel, Michael P. Waalkes, Miroslav Styblo, and Zuzana Drobná. 2012. “The Epigenetic Effects of a High Prenatal Folate Intake in Male Mouse Fetuses Exposed in Utero to Arsenic.” *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2012.08.022>.

## Intergenerational Arsenic Exposure on the Mouse Epigenome and Metabolic Physiology

- Waalkes, Michael P., Jie Liu, Jerrold M. Ward, and Bhalchandra A. Diwan. 2004. "Animal Models for Arsenic Carcinogenesis: Inorganic Arsenic Is a Transplacental Carcinogen in Mice." *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2003.10.028>.
- Xie, Yaxiong, Jie Liu, Lamia Benbrahim-Tallaa, Jerry M. Ward, Daniel Logsdon, Bhalchandra A. Diwan, and Michael P. Waalkes. 2007. "Aberrant DNA Methylation and Gene Expression in Livers of Newborn Mice Transplacentally Exposed to a Hepatocarcinogenic Dose of Inorganic Arsenic." *Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2007.03.021>.
- Young, Jamie L., Lu Cai, and J. Christopher States. 2018. "Impact of Prenatal Arsenic Exposure on Chronic Adult Diseases." *Systems Biology in Reproductive Medicine*, June, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19396368.2018.1480076>.
- Zhao, C. Q., M. R. Young, B. A. Diwan, T. P. Coogan, and M. P. Waalkes. 2002. "Association of Arsenic-Induced Malignant Transformation with DNA Hypomethylation and Aberrant Gene Expression." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.20.10907>.







**Figure 1** Breeding and exposure paradigm F0 females (n=4 per treatment) were exposed to iAs treated water two weeks prior to breeding and throughout pregnancy. F0 females were bred with unexposed males to produce the F1 generation. F1 females were mated with unexposed males to produce the F2 generation. F1 and F2 mice did not consume iAs treated water.

EM\_22526\_Figure 1.tiff


### A F1 Wean Weights



### B F1 Female Weekly Weight




### C F1 Male Weekly Weight




**Figure 2 F1 Body weight and composition** A) Wean weight of in utero exposed F1 mice were compared to F1 control mice. B) F1 Female weekly weight over a 40 week time period C) F1 Male weekly weight over a 40 week time period. Fat pad mass to body weight ratio and kidney mass to body weight ratio percentages at 40 weeks of age. C) Final body composition of F1 female mice. D) Final body composition of F1 male mice. All data were compared with control, presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM and determined significant by a one-way ANOVA \*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ ; standard error of mean. (F1 1 ppb: male  $n = 10$ , female  $n = 7$ ; F1 10 ppb: male  $n = 9$ , female  $n = 4$ ; F1 245 ppb: male  $n = 8$ , female  $n = 4$ ; F1 2300 ppb: male  $n = 7$ , female  $n = 7$ )


### D F1 Female Final Body Weight Ratios



### E F1 Male Final Body Weight Ratios



EM\_22526\_Figure 2.tiff




—●— Control  
—●— 10 ppb  
—●— 245 ppb  
—●— 2300 ppb

**Figure 3 Blood Glucose Metabolism in F1 Female and Male Mice** At ages 8, 25, and 38 weeks, the glucose tolerance test was performed after a 6 hour fasting period followed by an oral administration of glucose. Tail vein blood glucose concentrations were measured at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, and 90 minutes A) F1 female mouse blood glucose data with Area Under the Curve analysis B) F1 male blood glucose data with Area Under the Curve Analysis. All exposed data were compared to the control values to determine significant differences. Statistical significance was determined using a two-way ANOVA \* $p < 0.05$ ; Standard Error of Mean (SEM) (n= 4 per treatment, per sex)


EM\_22526\_Figure 3.tiff

AutopsyManuscript




**Figure 4 F2 Body weight and composition** A) Wean weight of in utero exposed F2 mice were compared to F2 control mice. B) F2 Female weekly weight over a 40 week time period C) F2 Male weekly weight over a 40 week time period. Fat pad mass to body weight ratio and kidney mass to body weight ratio percentages at 40 weeks of age. D) Final body composition of F2 female mice. E) Final body composition of F2 male mice. All data were compared to control, presented as mean  $\pm$ SEM, and were calculated as significantly different by a one-way ANOVA \* $p < 0.05$ . \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; standard error of mean. (F2 1 ppb: male n=10, female n=8; F2 10 ppb: male n=10, female n=12; F2 245 ppb: male n=10, female n=11; F2 2300: male n=6, female n=5).

EM\_22526\_Figure 4.tiff




**Figure 5 Blood Glucose Metabolism in F2 Female and Male Mice** At ages 8, 25, and 38 weeks, the glucose tolerance test was performed after a 6 hour fasting period followed by an oral administration of glucose. Tail vein blood glucose concentrations were measured at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, and 90 minutes A) F2 female mouse blood glucose data and Area Under the Curve analysis B) F2 male blood glucose data and Area Under the Curve Analysis. All exposed data were compared to the control values to determine significant differences. Statistical significance was calculated by a

EM\_22526\_Figure 5.tiff




**Figure 6:** F1 Male and Female Behavior Data A) F1 y-maze scores and percent of marbles buried. B) F2 y-maze scores and percent of marbles buried. Control values were compared to exposed data to determine significance. (F1 1 ppb: male n= 10, female n=7; F1 10 ppb: male n=9, female n = 4; F1 245 ppb: male n= 8, female n=4; F1 2300 ppb: male n=7, female n=7; F2 1 ppb: male n=10, female n=8; F2 10 ppb: male n=10, female n=12; F2 245 ppb: male n=10, female n=11; F2 2300: male n=6, female n=5).

EM\_22526\_Figure 6.tiff



EM\_22526\_Figure 7.tiff



**Figure 8** Differentially Methylated Loci localization A) DML comparisons in Females within generations B) DML comparisons in males within generations C) DML comparisons within sex across generations. Statistical significance was determined by running a Wald Test in *DSS*, where data displayed have a p-value threshold set to FDR <0.001. ( $n=3$  per treatment, per sex).

EM\_22526\_Figure 8.tiff



<i>F0 Female Breeders</i>	<b>F1 Offspring</b>			<i>F1 Female Breeders</i>	<b>F2 Offspring</b>		
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Total</b>		<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Total</b>
1 ppb Breeder 1	1	2	3	1 ppb Breeder 1	2	1	3
1 ppb Breeder 2	2	3	5	1 ppb Breeder 2	3	4	7
1 ppb Breeder 3	4	2	6	1 ppb Breeder 3	5	3	8
1 ppb Breeder 4	3	4	7	1 ppb Breeder 4	-	-	-
10 ppb Breeder 1	4	3	7	10 ppb Breeder 1	2	4	6
10 ppb Breeder 2	4	3	7	10 ppb Breeder 2	4	5	9
10 ppb Breeder 3	1	2	3	10 ppb Breeder 3	4	3	7
245 ppb Breeder 1	4	3	7	245 ppb Breeder 1	1	5	6
245 ppb Breeder 2	3	3	6	245 ppb Breeder 2	4	4	8
245 ppb Breeder 3	0	3	3	245 ppb Breeder 3	5	2	7
2300 ppb Breeder 1	4	2	6	2300 ppb Breeder 1	2	4	6
2300 ppb Breeder 2	2	6	8	2300 ppb Breeder 2	4	1	5
2300 ppb Breeder 3	1	3	4	2300 ppb Breeder 3	-	-	-

**Table 1** *F1 and F2 Litter Size* Reported litter size for F1 and F2 generation.

EM\_22526\_Table 1.tiff

F1 Generation: DMC and DMR count in Female and Male Offspring								
Differentially Methylated CpGs (DMCs)								
5mC	10 ppb iAs				245 ppb iAs			
	Female		Male		Female		Male	
Hypermethylated	259	66%	299	65%	157	61%	265	64%
Hypomethylated	132	34%	196	42%	99	39%	151	36%
<b>Total</b>	<b>391</b>		<b>462</b>		<b>256</b>		<b>416</b>	
Differentially Methylated Regions (DMRs)								
5mC	10 ppb iAs				245 ppb iAs			
	Female		Male		Female		Male	
Hypermethylated	4	80%	3	43%	-	0%	9	82%
Hypomethylated	1	20%	4	57%	-	0%	2	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>7</b>		<b>-</b>		<b>11</b>	
F2 Generation: DMC and DMR count in Female and Male Grand-Offspring								
Differentially Methylated CpGs (DMCs)								
5mC	10 ppb iAs				245 ppb iAs			
	Female		Male		Female		Male	
Hypermethylated	320	60%	140	54%	156	43%	144	52%
Hypomethylated	217	40%	118	46%	208	57%	133	48%
<b>Total</b>	<b>537</b>		<b>258</b>		<b>364</b>		<b>277</b>	
Differentially Methylated Regions (DMRs)								
5mC	10 ppb iAs				245 ppb iAs			
	Female		Male		Female		Male	
Hypermethylated	9	56%	1	100%	1	20%	1	100%
Hypomethylated	7	44%	-	-	4	80%	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>5</b>		<b>1</b>	

**Table 2** Differential Methylation Across Generation, Sex, and Dose in Liver by RRBS Data Liver tissue from F1 and F2 offspring at exposures 10 ppb and 245 ppb were assessed for differential DNA methylation as a result of in utero exposure. RRBS differential methylation was identified by DSS package in R studio. Statistical significance was determined by running a Wald Test in DSS, where data displayed have a p-value threshold set to FDR <0.001 (n= 3 per treatment, per sex).

Top Ten DMC Containing Genes: RRBS															
F1 Female 10 ppb				F2 Female 10 ppb				F1 Female 245 ppb				F2 Female 245 ppb			
Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD
Lrp1	8	62.89	5.28	Dok7	5	-62.24	2.73	Mad11	6	48.44	5.96	Tmem116	5	-55.60	7.31
Nav2	5	68.59	7.53	Eda	4	47.12	0.10	Nav2	4	69.01	8.64	Slc43a2	3	55.32	0.85
Dock9	4	70.26	10.16	Fgf12	4	-75.69	4.59	Abcb10	2	-59.89	2.22	Cacna2d3	2	62.62	8.56
St3gal3	4	-66.09	7.30	Sox5	4	76.07	5.24	Dock9	2	72.59	15.58	Dip2c	2	-68.37	7.87
Tfr2	4	-48.99	1.16	Cacna1f	3	47.52	5.61	Gpx1	2	61.04	4.50	Fam13c	2	64.70	0.00
Adgrg4	2	-59.26	2.03	Cdk5	3	46.04	4.35	Pde10a	2	83.57	11.72	Gipc3	2	-61.91	0.73
Afap112	2	-66.62	0.19	Entpd8	3	60.13	1.78	Pelp1	2	71.91	7.09	Igsf21	2	-54.54	9.16
Ak5	2	-3.46	88.54	Eya2	3	60.24	8.43	Shroom3	2	60.72	0.00	Kank1	2	-2.66	71.40
Cnksr2	2	76.22	0.62	Srgap1	3	-27.63	88.53	Acacb	1	-67.30	NA	Pik3c2g	2	-40.53	2.78
Cpne8	2	-77.88	0.20	Ar	2	45.33	1.44	Acvr2b	1	73.53	NA	Slc7a5	2	-69.36	6.40
F1 Male 10 ppb				F2 Male 10 ppb				F1 Male 245 ppb				F2 Male 245 ppb			
Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Mean Differential Methylation	SD
Tcf4	6	54.21	2.23	Agxt	2	-60.46	5.95	Acot7	6	57.15	2.33	Katna1	4	73.97	8.40
Rilbp1	4	-68.24	4.62	Btla	2	-76.68	0.00	Tcf4	6	64.40	4.32	Pou2f1	4	0.19	79.44
Smarca2	4	-73.41	3.83	Clmn	2	65.79	2.91	Bicc1	4	56.25	2.37	Abca4	3	-80.70	1.48
Atp8b4	3	48.99	5.33	Pcdhb16	2	57.51	3.38	Irag1	4	70.52	1.69	Ddx46	3	-69.67	0.00
Cdk7	3	51.65	2.05	Sptb	2	-66.01	2.31	Cdk7	3	50.44	0.68	Ptpm2	3	-22.65	67.25
Gzmk	3	56.50	6.98	Acot7	1	69.40	NA	Erc2	3	58.84	9.66	Spidr	2	63.63	1.33
Pkd113	3	44.99	2.86	Acox1	1	-71.26	NA	Pick1	3	61.41	9.90	Zbtb12	2	-51.57	2.22
Slc27a4	3	66.19	8.23	Adamts14	1	46.30	NA	Rilbp1	3	-64.19	0.13	Acly	1	69.99	NA
Acp7	2	63.06	2.36	Aff3	1	-64.38	NA	Scpep1	3	66.22	15.71	Acot7	1	70.18	NA
Adcy5	2	60.53	1.18	Al314278	1	68.79	NA	Atp8b4	2	49.51	2.14	Actr2	1	-59.52	NA

**Table 3 Top Ten DMC Containing Genes.** Genes are categorized first by number of DMCs, then in alphabetical order. Statistical significance was determined by running a Wald Test in DSS, where data displayed have a p-value threshold set to FDR <0.001 ( $n = 3$  per treatment, per sex).

EM\_22526\_Table 3.tiff

Degree of Differential Methylation in DMC Containing Genes																							
F1 Female 10 ppb						F2 Female 10 ppb						F1 Female 245 ppb						F2 Female 245 ppb					
Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs		
Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation
Cpnb8	2	-77.88	Trim33	1	92.35	Huh1	1	-83.85	Cops2	1	84.71	Mrc1	1	-77.94	Catpserel	1	92.43	Tenn2	1	-84.28	Ltbp1	1	85.44
Alpl1	1	-76.12	Clec4a2	1	83.79	KiH	2	-77.74	Myc1d	1	82.42	Slc14a2	1	-74.93	Ptd10a	2	83.57	Fbxo42	1	-76.12	Mpal3	1	78.48
Finc	1	-75.46	Gpct1	1	83.79	Cpox	1	-77.28	Ubr4	1	81.19	Ccni	1	-73.53	Fhl1	1	82.64	Srrm4	1	-77.31	Irf43	1	78.05
Tox2	1	-74.79	Srrp2	1	83.79	Tspan9	1	-77.22	Alp8a2	1	78.00	Ptprd	1	-69.60	Rad54l2	1	80.39	Prickle2	1	-75.88	Ttc41	1	76.07
Usp7	1	-74.12	Glim	1	83.78	Acacb	1	-76.50	Sox5	4	76.07	Camk2a	1	-69.41	Myo18a	1	79.17	Trim13	1	-75.71	Pitpnc1	1	75.98
Snr8	1	-74.08	Rad54l2	1	83.27	Fgl2	4	-75.69	Slx1p	1	75.51	Gucy1a2	1	-68.64	Atg9b	1	77.84	Arhgap44	1	-75.54	Wdr26	1	75.74
Hjcc44	1	-73.60	Ppm1e	1	82.62	Rhe1	1	-75.64	Emilin1	1	73.99	Arhgef10	1	-68.39	Tmem94	1	75.28	Myo6	1	-75.47	Prorip	1	75.60
Cp3	1	-73.05	Cgcs	1	82.03	Hdre	1	-75.57	Roca5	1	73.69	Pde1b	1	-68.14	Apl1	1	79.21	Alp2	1	-74.79	Apcc1	1	79.22
Cdrl0s	1	-73.05	Catpserel	1	79.40	Zfp169	1	-75.48	Ptgn14	1	73.48	Muc3	1	-67.62	Sort1	1	74.55	Zmat4	1	-74.67	Tspan	1	74.55
Runs1	1	-72.18	Nsd2	1	78.53	Rps25	1	-74.92	Thap4	1	73.33	Serca1	1	-67.42	Mtor	1	73.78	Gak3b	1	-74.50	Snrp92	1	72.71
F1 Male 10 ppb						F2 Male 10 ppb						F1 Male 245 ppb						F2 Male 245 ppb					
Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypomethylated CpGs			Genes with Hypermethylated CpGs		
Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation	Gene Name	Number of DMCs	Degree of Differential Methylation
Foxl2	1	-83.85	Irfm3	1	79.14	Cdh13	1	-84.41	Scn8a	1	84.25	Kcnn3	1	-76.86	Fam102a	1	77.90	Abca4	3	-80.70	Sng5	1	76.13
Smp5	1	-76.06	Camk2b	1	77.24	Pum1	1	-80.87	Ddx39b	1	75.64	Sirt1	1	-76.16	Slc22a14	1	75.62	Snc1b	1	-77.88	Dapl1	1	76.06
Col23a1	1	-75.85	Zmi2	2	74.89	Bta	2	-76.68	Mir9094	1	75.64	Sh3gl2	1	-76.06	Igf3	1	75.46	Gse1	1	-77.15	Slc20a2	1	74.82
Gdh1	1	-75.53	Hoxb7	1	74.89	Mu2	1	-76.25	H2-Aa	1	73.38	Rimkb	1	-75.43	Adam9	1	75.26	Lipc	1	-76.49	Pogut1	1	74.49
Fgfr4	1	-75.47	Hoxb8	1	74.89	Cep85l	1	-75.75	Kalrn	1	73.18	Fbxw10	1	-74.42	Katna1	1	74.04	Ehmt2	1	-75.52	Kpna4	1	74.24
Cellf1	1	-75.22	Tsamin	1	74.89	Rpn2	1	-75.15	Pias2	1	73.18	Gba2	1	-72.95	Sltp1	1	73.62	Nub1	1	-70.93	Katna1	4	73.97
Scm2	1	-73.45	Igpc1p	1	73.42	Pgcb1	1	-74.88	Pdm2	1	72.96	Pecr	1	-71.68	Rccal5	1	72.25	Ddx46	3	-69.67	Tspan15	1	73.64
Zehc17	1	-73.45	Uba2	1	73.42	Dusp1	1	-73.77	Nesmf1	1	72.14	Gri1	1	-71.19	Srns5	1	72.25	Hspa8	1	-69.63	Hspa5	1	73.94
Sma2a2	4	-73.41	Codc107	2	73.00	H3st6E	1	-73.72	Tmem247	1	71.72	Ube2el	1	-69.02	Irag1	4	70.52	Mam13	1	-68.20	Ndubf2	1	72.74
Fbw10	1	-72.11	Myo1d	1	72.75	Alp8a1	1	-73.34	Vps8	1	71.53	Dak	1	-68.96	Hlob	1	70.49	Arhgap28	1	-68.18	Smin15	1	72.74

**Table 4** Degree of Differential Methylation in DMC Containing Genes  
 DMC containing genes were categorized by highest degree of hypo- or hypermethylation. Statistical significance was determined by running a Wald Test in DSS, where data displayed have a p-value threshold set to FDR <0.001 ( $n = 3$  mice per sex, per treatment)

EM\_22526\_Table 4.tiff