

Athabasca River avulsion underway in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada

Bo Wang^{1,2}, Laurence C. Smith^{1,2}, Colin Gleason³, Ethan D. Kyzivat^{1,2}, Jessica V. Fayne⁴, Merritt E. Harlan³, Theodore Langhorst⁵, Dongmei Feng⁶, Emily Eidam⁷, Sebastian Munoz^{1,2}, Julianne Davis⁵, Tamlin M. Pavelsky⁵, Daniel L. Peters⁸

¹Institute at Brown for Environment and Society, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

²Department of Earth, Environmental & Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA

⁴Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁵Department of Earth, Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

⁶Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA

⁷College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA

⁸Watershed Hydrology & Ecology Research Division, Environment Climate Change Canada, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

Corresponding author: Bo Wang (bo_wang1@brown.edu)

Key Points:

- We assess a potential avulsion of the Athabasca River in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada using field measurements and remote sensing.
- Analysis of hydrological and morphological observations affirm that a slow avulsion is currently underway.
- The avulsion may accelerate in the future and cause transformative effects on the delta's vegetation, habitat, and ecosystems.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2022WR034114](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR034114).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract

Avulsions change river courses and transport water and sediment to new channels impacting infrastructure, floodplain evolution, and ecosystems. Abrupt avulsion events (occurring over days to weeks) are potentially catastrophic to society and thus receive more attention than slow avulsions, which develop over decades to centuries and can be challenging to identify. Here, we examine gradual channel changes of the Peace-Athabasca River Delta (PAD), Canada using in situ measurements and 37 years of Landsat satellite imagery. A developing avulsion of the Athabasca River is apparent along the Embarras River - Mamawi Creek (EM) distributary. Its opening and gradual enlargement since 1982 are evident from multiple lines of observation: Between 1984 and 2021 the discharge ratio between the EM and the Athabasca River more than doubled, increasing from 9% to 21%. The EM has widened by +53% since 1984, whereas the Athabasca River channel width has remained stable. The downstream Mamawi Creek delta is growing at a discharge-normalized rate roughly twice that of the Athabasca River delta in surface area. Longitudinal GNSS field surveys of water surface elevation reveal the EM possesses a ~2X slope advantage (8×10^{-5} vs. 4×10^{-5}) over the Athabasca River, and unit stream power and bed shear stress suggest enhanced sediment transport and erosional capacity through the evolving flow path. Our findings: 1) indicate that a slow avulsion of the Athabasca River is underway with potentially long-term implications for inundation patterns, ecosystems, and human use of the PAD; and 2) demonstrate an observational approach for identifying other slow avulsions at river bifurcations globally.

Plain Language Summary

Avulsions shift river courses and move water and sediment to new channels, which affect infrastructure, floodplains, and ecosystems. Slow avulsions take decades to develop and are more difficult to identify. Using on-the-ground measurements and 37 years of Landsat satellite imagery, we analyze gradual channel changes in the Peace-Athabasca River Delta (PAD), Canada. The Athabasca River is changing course such that more of its water enters its westernmost outlet, the Embarras River - Mamawi Creek (EM) channel. Multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that the EM channel has been gradually opening since 1982. Between 1984 and 2021, the water entering the EM channel increased from 9% to 21% of the river's total flow. Since 1984, the EM channel has widened by 53%, while the Athabasca River channel has remained stable. The delta forming at the EM mouth (i.e., Mamawi Creek delta) has grown twice as fast as the Athabasca River delta. Field measurements of water surface elevation show the slope of the EM channel is twice as steep as the slope of the lower Athabasca River (8×10^{-5} vs. 4×10^{-5}). Because water tends to flow down the steepest slope, we expect more water to flow down the EM channel in the future. Our findings indicate a slow capture of Athabasca River water into its EM channel, with potential long-term implications for the delta's inundation pattern, ecosystems, and traditional Indigenous activities.

1 Introduction

An avulsion is the abandonment of a river channel and the formation of a new channel at a lower elevation of the floodplain (Allen, 1965). It is a normal and vital process in the formation of multi-channel rivers, floodplains, and alluvial basins (Fisk, 1944; Mohrig *et al.*, 2000; Sun *et al.*, 2002; Slingerland and Smith, 2004). Avulsions reroute water and sediment across existing floodplains, in some cases leading to the creation of new floodplains along previously unoccupied flow paths (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Smith *et al.*, 1998; Roberts *et al.*, 2003; Rosen and

Xu, 2013; Zhang and Fang, 2017; Smith, 2020). For example, avulsion of the Saskatchewan River (Canada) replaced over 500 km² of wetlands with anabranching channels, splay complexes, and small lakes (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Smith *et al.*, 1998), avulsion of the Taquari River (Brazil) changed ecosystem services in the Brazilian Pantanal wetlands (Louzada *et al.*, 2021), and avulsions of the Yellow River (China) are intimately linked to socioeconomic developments in Chinese history (Chen *et al.*, 2012). Avulsions, therefore, can have major impacts on landforms, ecosystems, and human societies.

Causal factors of avulsions have been well studied. Topographic slope (i.e., gradient) advantage of a prospective new river course is necessary for an avulsion to occur (Slingerland and Smith, 1998; Ethridge *et al.*, 1999; Jones and Schumm, 1999). Modeling investigations demonstrate that a potential avulsion course (e.g. a new flow path through a levee crevasse) having a slope ~4X steeper than the main-channel slope is likely to trigger an avulsion (Slingerland and Smith, 1998). From field studies, ongoing channel avulsions can be associated with even smaller slope advantages, e.g., just 1.2 to 1.5X the main channel slope in low-relief deltaic environments (Phillips, 2012; Wang and Xu, 2020). Jones and Schumm (1999) reviewed various factors that can increase the ratio of a potential avulsion course slope (S_a) to existing channel slope (S_e) through decreased S_e or increased S_a . Decreased S_e can be caused by increased sinuosity, downstream aggradation, or tectonic uplift of the existing channel, while increased S_a typically results from development of an alluvial ridge. Such processes decrease river channel stability and increase the probability of avulsion forming (Jones and Schumm, 1999).

In addition to topographic slope, other factors influencing river avulsion include substrate composition, abandoned channels, vegetation, bank cohesion, and floodplain width (Nanson and Knighton, 1996; Gradziński *et al.*, 2003; Aslan *et al.*, 2005; Makaske *et al.*, 2012). In multi-thread anabranching rivers, stable cohesive banks and vegetation are favorable preconditions for channel avulsion (Nanson and Knighton, 1996; Gradziński *et al.*, 2003). In the meandering Mississippi River, avulsions are rare despite widespread slope advantages – an avulsion at the Mississippi-Atchafalaya diversion was more likely driven by an erodible substrate and presence of abandoned floodplain channels available for reoccupation (Aslan *et al.*, 2005). The importance of easily erodible substrates and relict channels (in addition to a slope advantage) is reported in many other avulsion sites (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Ethridge *et al.*, 1999; Mohrig *et al.*, 2000; Makaske *et al.*, 2012).

Avulsion processes are widely studied through field measurements (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Tornqvist, 1994; Mohrig *et al.*, 2000; Smith *et al.*, 2014), modeling (Slingerland and Smith, 1998; Tornqvist and Bridge, 2002; Jerolmack and Paola, 2007; Kleinhans *et al.*, 2008; Chadwick *et al.*, 2020; Ratliff *et al.*, 2021), and laboratory experiments (Bryant *et al.*, 1995; Ashworth *et al.*, 2004; Edmonds *et al.*, 2009; Ganti *et al.*, 2016). Useful field data for the study of channel avulsion include river discharge (Mackey and Bridge, 1995; Lauzon and Murray, 2022), river stage (Wang and Xu, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2020), water surface slope (Jones and Schumm, 1999; Prasojo *et al.*, 2022), water depth (Bryant *et al.*, 1995), channel width (Buehler *et al.*, 2011), sediment cores (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Tornqvist, 1994; Donselaar *et al.*, 2013), and estimates of stream power and/or shear stress (Slingerland and Smith, 2004; Edmonds *et al.*, 2009; Hajek and Edmonds, 2014; Yochum *et al.*, 2017).

Satellite remote sensing is also an important tool used to study river avulsions (Buehler *et al.*, 2011; Lombardo, 2016; Li *et al.*, 2018; Iacobucci *et al.*, 2020; Valenza *et al.*, 2020; Brooke *et*

al., 2022). DEMs and topographic maps are often used to calculate ratios between cross-valley and down-valley gradients (Aslan *et al.*, 2005; Phillips, 2009; Sinha *et al.*, 2014). Examples using Landsat imagery: Buehler *et al.* (2011) found decreasing channel widths from 1996 to 2008 downstream of an avulsion node; Rosen and Xu (2013) reported rapid growth of the Atchafalaya-Wax Lake delta due to a potential avulsion of the Atchafalaya River; Lombardo (2016) discovered that 29 out of 41 crevasses along tributaries of the Rio Mamaore led to avulsions; Edmonds *et al.* (2016) demonstrated that avulsion courses are controlled by floodplain topography; Brooke *et al.* (2022) documented 113 avulsions over the past 50 years and indicate three distinct controls on avulsion locations. Other Landsat-based studies include mapping of avulsion styles (i.e. incisional vs. depositional) and floodplain disturbance (Valenza *et al.* (2020), crevasse splays (Iacobucci *et al.* (2020), and paleochannels (Weissmann *et al.*, 2015).

Avulsions can occur abruptly over a period of days to weeks (Smith *et al.*, 1989; Qian, 1990; Sinha, 2009) or take decades to centuries to complete (Fisk, 1944; Tornqvist, 1994; Schumm *et al.*, 1996; Jones and Harper, 1998; Stouthamer and Berendsen, 2001). The aforementioned studies focused mainly on the examination of avulsions' control factors, locations, and floodplain impacts. However, monitoring slow, ongoing avulsions through field and remote sensing observations is uncommon. Such studies can improve understanding of avulsion processes, floodplain and/or delta evolution and current and future impacts of river avulsions on ecosystems, infrastructure, and local communities.

An outstanding example of this is found within the Peace-Athabasca Delta (PAD), Canada (**Figure 1**), where 1) a major Athabasca River avulsion was narrowly averted in 1972 through a deliberately engineered cutoff through a meander bend (Bayrock and Root, 1972); and 2) the Embarras River, a large tributary of the Athabasca R., avulsed abruptly into the adjacent Mamawi Creek in 1982. Nearly two-thirds of the Embarras discharge now flows through this recently formed pathway (Timoney and Lee, 2016), and at least one study has linked it to altered PAD flooding patterns (Kay *et al.*, 2019). Although this Embarras River - Mamawi Creek (EM) pathway opened some forty years ago, it remains uncertain if the Athabasca River will fully avulse through the EM into Mamawi Lake (**Figure 1**). Should it do so, the avulsion would have transformative hydrological, ecological, and social impacts on the PAD.

Here, we merge field measurements and remote sensing to assess the status of a potential avulsion of the Athabasca River through the EM pathway which first opened in 1982. To do this, we: (1) analyze long-term hydrological data from the Water Survey of Canada along the EM watercourse and the Athabasca River mainstem; (2) analyze long-term changes of channel width and bar morphology along both flow paths using 37 years of archived Landsat satellite imagery processed in Google Earth Engine; (3) calculate surface area changes of downstream deltas in Mamawi Lake and Lake Athabasca; (4) present and interpret novel longitudinal profiles of in situ water surface elevation (WSE), water depth, and discharge collected in 2018 along the Athabasca River, Embarras River, and Mamawi Creek; and (5) calculate unit stream power and bed shear stress along both flow paths from these field measurements. We conclude that the Athabasca-Embarras-Mamawi flow path is indeed an active ongoing avulsion site and recommend that it be closely monitored to inform the protection and management of the PAD, as well as to provide the international scientific community an example of an ongoing case study.

2 Study area

The Peace-Athabasca Delta (PAD) is located at the confluence of the Peace, Athabasca, and Birch Rivers at the west end of Lake Athabasca in northeastern Alberta, Canada (**Figure 1**). It began forming about 10,000 years ago, has experienced major lobe-forming avulsions (Smith, 1994). Today it covers some ~6000 km² in area. The PAD continuously receives relatively large infusions of water and sediment from the Athabasca River (Pavelsky and Smith, 2008; 2009), and occasionally receives Peace River water during ice-jam floods and extreme flows events (Bayrock and Root, 1972; Prowse and Lalonde, 1996; Beltaos *et al.*, 2006a; Peters *et al.*, 2006). Beaver dams, above-average precipitation, wind seiches, and spring and summer floods are also agents of water recharge to floodplain basins (Timoney, 2021). The PAD is one of the world's largest and most productive inland freshwater deltas and is home to abundant populations of birds, waterfowl, fish, muskrat, beaver, moose, and wood bison (Prowse and Lalonde, 1996; Timoney, 2013). It was designated a RAMSAR wetland of international importance in 1982 and a 80% within the Wood Buffalo National Park which is a UNESCO World Heritage Site since 1983. The region is home to the Athabasca-Chipewyan, Mikisew Cree, and Métis Indigenous peoples, who rely on the PAD ecosystem for traditional hunting, fishing, trapping, and cultural activities.

The PAD has experienced several wetting and drying periods since the mid-1970s, with local residents noticing severe disturbance to wildlife and vegetation during the latter (see examples in Timoney, 2002). Previous research attributed the extended drying periods in the Peace Delta to reduced ice-jam flooding along the lower Peace River (Prowse and Lalonde, 1996; Prowse and Conly, 1998; Toyra *et al.*, 2002; Smith, 2003; Beltaos *et al.*, 2006b; Wolfe *et al.*, 2006). Reduced frequency of ice-jam floods is expected in the future under climate-related projections (Beltaos, 2023). In the Athabasca Delta, Kay *et al.* (2019) found that a Mamawi Creek avulsion (also known as the “Cree Creek diversion, Prowse *et al.* 1996, or Embarras Breakthrough, Kay *et al.* 2019) that occurred in 1982 (**Figure 1b&1c**) has increased inundation patterns along Mamawi Creek and decreased flooding along the lower Athabasca River sectors of the delta (Kay *et al.*, 2019).

A 1970 field investigation discovered that the Athabasca River mainstem was at risk of avulsing into its adjacent major Embarras River distributary. By 1972, these two river channels were separated by only 50 m at the meander bend (see large oxbow NW of “1972 cutoff location” in **Figure 1b**). To prevent an Athabasca River avulsion, an artificial cutoff of the meander bend was completed in 1972 (Bayrock and Rook, 1972, see also **Figure 1b**). Despite these engineering efforts, a partial avulsion of the Embarras River into Mamawi Creek occurred abruptly in 1982, diverting some Embarras water to Mamawi Lake through a newly formed crevasse (**Figure 1b&1c**) that connected to an existing channel. The fraction of summertime Athabasca River mainstem flow diverted through this new Embarras River - Mamawi Creek pathway increased from 5% in 1987 to 15% by 2014 (Timoney and Lee, 2016), while the downstream Mamawi Creek delta prograded at a faster rate than Athabasca River delta (i.e., sediment-normalized areal growth of 0.63 vs. 0.22 km² Mt⁻¹ between 1981 and 2014 (Timoney and Lee, 2016). This small but growing flow path for Athabasca River water through the Embarras River and Mamawi Creek is thus a potential slow avulsion course for the Athabasca River mainstem, and we hereafter refer to it as “Embarras-Mamawi” or EM channel.




Figure 1. a) The Peace-Athabasca River Delta is located in northeastern Alberta, Canada. b) The Embarras River is a distributary of the Athabasca River that flows east into Lake Athabasca, but has diverted a growing share of Athabasca mainstem discharge northward into Mamawi Lake following its partial avulsion into Mamawi Creek in 1982. This developing Embarras-Mamawi (EM) channel thus represents a potential slow avulsion course for the Athabasca River and is the

focus of this study. Historical discharge and stage records are available from 6 Water Survey of Canada gauging stations (green symbols) distributed throughout the PAD and another ~200 km upstream. Red lines indicate our two study reaches: the 40 km Embarras-Mamawi channel and a 40 km length of Athabasca River. Supplementary in situ measurements of discharge, water depth, and water surface elevation data along these two channels were collected in the summer 2018. c) Enlarged view of the Athabasca-EM bifurcation node. d) Enlarged view of the 1982 Mamawi Creek avulsion site. e) Landsat-derived time series of channel width variations at eight locations along the two study reaches, together with Mann-Kendall trend test statistics (increasing, decreasing, or no trend). Mann-Kendall tau (τ) coefficient values greater (less) than +0.30 (-0.30) indicate significant positive (negative) trend. The numbers 1 through 8 in the graphs correspond to numbers found in the b, c, and d panels.

3 Data and methods

In this study, we evaluate the EM channel as a potential avulsion course for the Athabasca River. Two ~40 km channel reaches are selected for analysis, the EM and the lower Athabasca River (red lines in **Figure 1b**), both starting 1 km upstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation (RK0, **Figure 1b&1c**). The downstream ends of these two ~40 km courses are Mamawi Lake and Fletcher Channel inlet (indicated as “RK40” at two locations on the location map, **Figure 1b**), respectively. We assess the hydrological and geomorphological characteristics along these two courses using in situ and remotely sensed datasets, as described next.

3.1 Hydrological measurements from gauging stations

Multiple river and lake gauges are located in the PAD, operated by the Water Survey of Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) as part of the National Hydrometric Network. We obtain discharge and stage data for from six ECCC stations (**Table 1** and **Figure 1**). Because the Athabasca River discharges at station 07DD001 (established 1971) were not collected from 1984-2014 (**Figure S1**), we estimate missing data for 07DD001 using the station 07DA001, located ~200 km upstream near Fort McMurray (**Figure 1a**). Lagged correlation analysis reveals a time lag of 2 days between the two stations (**Figure S2**). A linear regression model with these two stations based on 1971-1984 and 2014-2020, with 80% of overlapping data used for regression training and 20% for validation, was used to estimate missing data with a mean absolute error (MAE) of $59 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.97$) (**Figure S3**).

Table 1. Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) gauging stations used in this study.

Station ID	Station name	Discharge	Stage
07DA001	Athabasca R. below Fort McMurray	1958-present	2012-present
07DD001	Athabasca R. at Embarras Airport	1971-1984, 2014-present	2014-present
07DD003	Athabasca R. below divergence	1987-present	--
07KF015	Embarras R. breakout to Mamawi Lake	1987-present	2015, 2017-2018
07KF003	Mamawi Lake Channel at Old Dog Camp	--	1971-present
07MD001	Lake Athabasca at Fort Chipewyan	--	1930-present

The observed/simulated discharge data on the lower Athabasca River (07DD001) were then used to analyze the flow ratios between Embarras River (07DD003) and Mamawi Creek (07KF015) during 1984-2021. 1984 was chosen as the start year of our study as it corresponds to the availability of Landsat data. We divide this period into seven sub-periods determined by available remote sensing imagery (**Section 3.3**). ANOVA analysis (**Table S2**) is then used to test the significance level of discharge ratios between the Embarras River and the Athabasca River, during seven different subperiods (1984-1988, 1988-1993, 1993-1998, 1998-2002, 2002-2008, 2008-2015, 2015-2021). The 1984-1988 ratio is calculated based on 241 matching data pairs between 07/30/1987 and 08/03/1988 because there are no discharges available from Embarras River before 1987.

3.2 Time-series of channel widths from Landsat satellite imagery

Channel width can be estimated from remote sensing imagery for channels that are at least ~2X wider than the pixel length dimension (Jensen, 2008). Traditionally, historical time series analysis of channel width changes was time-intensive due to the need to download and analyze Landsat images individually, but cloud computing now makes this task straightforward. Here, we use RivWidth Cloud, an algorithm implemented in Google Earth Engine, to automatically extract channel widths from remotely sensed time series (Yang *et al.*, 2020). For a single Landsat image (Landsat 5, 7, and 8), RivWidth Cloud returns channel widths at the 30-m resolution, extracted along predetermined centerlines from the Global River Widths from Landsat (GRWL) data product (Allen and Pavelsky, 2018). Users may select points of interest along GRWL centerlines, and the algorithm automatically returns a time series of channel width estimates from all available Landsat images satisfying user-specified conditions, such as cloud coverage and time period.

In this study, we select eight locations along the two 40 km channels (labeled 1-8, **Figure 1b**). Channel width variations from 1984 to 2020 are calculated at these locations using RivWidth Cloud. All available Landsat images with a cloud cover of <10% are used to generate a time series of width variations. No location is selected along Mamawi Creek as its channel is too narrow for satisfactory width estimation using 30 m Landsat imagery. The non-parametric Mann-Kendall trend test (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975) is used to determine the presence or absence of a statistically significant trend in each of the 8 channel width time-series, with a Mann-Kendall tau (τ) coefficient value greater (less) than +0.30 (-0.3) indicates a significant positive (negative) trend based on our sample size.

3.3 Time-series of delta and channel bar surface areas from Landsat Imagery

Landsat images between 1984 and 2021 are used to estimate surface area changes of the Mamawi Creek and Athabasca River deltas, and of large channel bars found in two locations along the Athabasca River. The selection of Landsat images acquired during similar water levels (stage) is critical for such surface area estimates to be useful for long-term change detection. Therefore, we first match all available Landsat imagery to lake stage observations at 07MD001 (Lake Athabasca) and 07KF003 (Mamawi Lake), and river stage observations at 07DD011 (Athabasca River), respectively. Next, images with an approximate 5-yr sampling frequency and acquired only when stages were within 0.01 m of a fixed elevation (209.246 m, 209.182 m, and 212.000 m, for stations 07MD001, 07KF003, and 07DD011, respectively) are selected for quantification of surface area changes of these deltas and bars (**Table 2**). This process minimizes the effects of stage fluctuations on surface area estimation.

For each of the selected Landsat images, we calculate the Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI, Xu (2006)) to distinguish land from water:

$$MNDWI = \frac{Green-SWIR}{Green+SWIR} \quad (1)$$

For Landsat 5 and 7, *Green* and *SWIR* bands are bands 2 and 5. For Landsat 8, *Green* and *SWIR* are bands 3 and 6. Delta shorelines on July 30, 1984, are used as a baseline for the Athabasca and Mamawi deltas, with subsequent land surface area changes calculated from each MNDWI to create a time series of delta surface areas. These surface area time series are normalized by time (in years) and total flow volume (in km³) for each subperiod using available discharge data (Table 1).

Table 2. Selected Landsat images used to estimate surface area changes of the Athabasca River delta, Mamawi Creek delta, and two large channel bars in the Athabasca River. The images are selected during times of similar WSC gauge stages between acquisitions.

Location	Number	Date	Station ID	Stage (m)	Landsat_ID
Athabasca delta	1	7/30/1984	07MD001	209.25	LT05_043019_19840730
Athabasca delta	2	6/10/1989	07MD001	209.24	LT05_043019_19890610
Athabasca delta	3	6/8/1994	07MD001	209.28	LT05_043019_19940608
Athabasca delta	4	8/22/1998	07MD001	209.24	LT05_043019_19980822
Athabasca delta	5	8/5/2003	07MD001	209.33	LE07_042019_20030805
Athabasca delta	6	7/23/2007	07MD001	209.23	LT05_042019_20070723
Athabasca delta	7	7/28/2012	07MD001	209.27	LE07_042019_20120728
Athabasca delta	8	10/3/2019	07MD001	209.23	LC08_043019_20191003
Mamawi delta	1	7/30/1984	07KF003	209.18	LT05_043019_19840730
Mamawi delta	2	5/21/1990	07KF003	209.18	LT05_042019_19900521
Mamawi delta	3	6/8/1994	07KF003	209.23	LT05_043019_19940608
Mamawi delta	4	8/22/1998	07KF003	209.22	LT05_043019_19980822
Mamawi delta	5	8/5/2003	07KF003	209.27	LE07_042019_20030805
Mamawi delta	6	7/23/2007	07KF003	209.20	LT05_042019_20070723
Mamawi delta	7	7/28/2012	07KF003	209.21	LE07_042019_20120728
Mamawi delta	8	10/3/2019	07KF003	209.20	LC08_043019_20191003
Channel bars	1	7/30/1984	07DD011	212.08	LT05_043019_19840730
Channel bars	2	8/3/1988	07DD011	212.04	LT05_042019_19880803
Channel bars	3	5/29/1993	07DD011	212.13	LT05_042019_19930529
Channel bars	4	7/30/1998	07DD011	211.93	LT05_042019_19980730
Channel bars	5	6/14/2002	07DD011	211.93	LT05_043019_20020614
Channel bars	6	8/9/2008	07DD011	212.11	LE07_043019_20080809
Channel bars	7	5/26/2015	07DD011	211.99	LC08_042019_20150526
Channel bars	8	9/22/2021	07DD011	211.94	LC08_043019_20210922

3.4 Longitudinal depth and water surface elevation profiles from GNSS surveys

From August 3 to 25, 2018, we measured longitudinal profiles of water surface elevation (WSE) and channel depth along the two study channels (Figure S4). Measurements were collected by motorboat using a Septentrio PolaRX5 GNSS (global navigation satellite systems) receiver with a PolaNt-x MF antenna mounted on a 2 m pole, and a single-frequency (200 kHz) HydroLite-TM (Seafloor Systems) depth sounder. Since the GNSS receiver and depth sounder were left logging throughout the day, the draft or tilt of the boat could change as people or equipment moved within the vessel. To mitigate errors associated with such weight distribution changes, offsets from the water surface were measured against both the GNSS antenna and depth sounder transducer and used to correct the final dataset. GNSS measurements were logged at 1 Hz sampling frequency and processed to 3D coordinates via Natural Resources Canada's Canadian Spatial Reference System Precise Point Positioning (CSRS-PPP) online tool (<https://webapp.geod.nrcan.gc.ca/geod/tools-outils/ppp.php?locale=en>), with typical 95% uncertainty bounds per measurement of 3 cm horizontally and 7 cm vertically. Depth measurements with a nominal accuracy of 0.1% were logged at a 2 Hz sampling frequency, averaged to 1 Hz, and then joined to the GNSS measurements based on timestamp. Measurements were excluded if they were equal to 0 or greater than 30 m, there were no concurrent GNSS measurements within 1 second, there was no depth sounder offset taken, or if the two 2 Hz measurements used for averaging differed by >8%. In sum, continuous longitudinal measurements of WSE and channel depth were collected for ~80 km of the Athabasca River, Embarras River, and Mamawi Creek over the 21-day field campaign. A further ~100 km was collected at other locations and times on the PAD that are not utilized here.

We transform the datum of WSE and depth measurements from the default NAD83 to CGVD2013 Epoch2010 to match the reference system for ECCC stage data. The transformation is completed using a model published by Natural Resources Canada (<https://webapp.geod.nrcan.gc.ca/geod/data-donnees/geoid.php?locale=en>), which has a 3.7 km resolution in the study area. The elevation difference between the two datums is extracted from the geoid based on location and is then added to the NAD83 data to obtain CGVD2013 height.

To calculate water surface slope (WSS), we first manually digitize centerlines down the Athabasca River, Embarras River, and Mamawi Creek (red lines in **Figure 1**). These lines are close as possible to the channel centers and approximately coincide with our in situ GNSS profiles. Next, 30-m interval points along these lines are generated in ArcGIS Pro 2.7. For each point, we calculate the average WSE and standard deviation of the surrounding GNSS points within a user-specific search radius, using the spatial join function. To minimize the effects of riverbanks and trees on the GNSS signal, 100-m and 20-m search radii are used for the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel, respectively, due to their different channel widths. Average WSS is then calculated using a linear regression within a 5 km moving window along the Athabasca, Embarras, and Mamawi Creek centerlines.

3.5 Longitudinal discharge measurements from ADCP

Together with the WSE and depth measurements, river discharge was measured by ADCP every ~ 500-1000 m along the studied river channels at 93 locations during August 2018 (Harlan et al. 2021). At least two discharge transects were collected at every location with a SonTek RiverSurveyor M9 ADCP mounted to the side of the motorboat. Stringent quality control criteria were used to exclude lower-quality measurements, including verifying that at least two discharge

measurements per location agreed to within 5%, sufficiently slow boat speed, sampling covering at least 70% of each river cross-section, and others as described in Harlan *et al.* (2021).

3.6 Stream power and bed shear stress calculations

Stream power is a useful tool to characterize potential sediment erosion, transport, and deposition through a channel (Bagnold, 1960; 1966; Richards, 1982; Gartner *et al.*, 2015). To create longitudinal profiles of stream power along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel, we calculate it from our in situ measurements as (Bagnold, 1960; 1966):

$$\Omega = rqs \quad (2)$$

where Ω is the stream power per unit of flow length (W m^{-1}), r is the specific weight of water (9800 N m^{-3}), q is the discharge ($\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) from Harlan *et al.* (2021), and s is the water surface slope (m m^{-1}) from our GNSS measurements (see **section 3.4**). Unit stream power (ω), which is strongly correlated to total bed-material load (Yang, 1979), was computed based on channel width (b) as measured in the field by Harlan *et al.* (2021):

$$\omega = \frac{rqs}{b} \quad (3)$$

Bed shear stress of the flow acting on the bed material is frequently employed as a measure of a stream's ability to entrain bed material:

$$\tau = rRs \quad (4)$$

where τ is the bed shear stress and R is the hydraulic radius, here approximated as mean water depth (Babaeyan-Koopaei *et al.*, 2002) across the ADCP cross-section.

4 Results

4.1 Discharge analysis of the Athabasca River and Embarras-Mamawi channel

Comparison of discharge records show that the fraction of Athabasca River water entering the Embarras River increased notably from 1987 to 2021 (**Figure 2**). The average discharge in the Athabasca River (07DD001) increased from $634 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 1984-1988 to $765 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 2015-2021 (**Table 3**). The average discharge in the Embarras River (07DD003) increased from $102 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 1984-1988 to $224 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 2015-2021 (**Table 3**). Therefore, although discharge increased ~20% in the Athabasca River, the amount of water entering the Embarras River more than doubled. The discharge ratio between the Embarras and Athabasca Rivers increased from 9% during 1984-1988 to 21% during 2015-2021 over seven different periods between 1984 and 2021 (**Figure 3 & Table 3**). The only exception is the period 1998-2002, but this exception may be an artifact of missing discharge data during this time (i.e., only 145 observations during 1998-2002 vs. 241-1196 observations during other periods).

The fraction of Embarras River discharge entering Mamawi Creek also increased from 1984 to 2021. The average discharge at Mamawi Creek (07KF015) more than doubled from $59 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 1984-1988 to $128 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ during 2015-2021 (**Table 4**). Accordingly, the discharge ratio between Mamawi Creek and Embarras River increased from 64% to 68% between 1984 and

2021. The discharge ratio between the Mamawi Creek and the much larger Athabasca main channel (07DD001) increased from 5% to 12% during the same period (**Table 4**). Therefore, relative to the Athabasca River, the discharge increase in Embarras River and Mamawi Creek has a similar rate (both doubled).




Figure 2. Daily discharges in the Athabasca River upstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation (ECCC gauging station 07DD001, orange line) and in the Embarras River (07DD003, blue line) from 1984 to 2021. The Embarras River at 07DD003 has no data before 1987.




Figure 3. Boxplot of discharge ratio between the Embarras River (07DD003) and the Athabasca River (07DD001) during seven different periods between 1984 and 2021. Periods of 1984-1988 and 1998-2002 only have partial data (**Figure 2**).

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of Athabasca River (ECCC station 07DD001) and Embarras River (07DD003) discharges during seven different time periods between 1984 and 2021.

Period	Count	Embarras ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)				Athabasca ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)				Ratio (Embarras/Athabasca)			
		mean	std	min	max	mean	std	min	max	mean	std	min	max
1984-1988	241	103	98	4	433	634	508	104	4720	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.21
1988-1993	616	137	114	14	579	637	565	134	3458	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.22
1993-1998	606	209	160	20	1010	765	635	135	4148	0.15	0.05	0.02	0.38
1998-2002	145	95	117	4	566	450	422	90	3119	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.20
2002-2008	840	135	73	44	479	596	476	107	3373	0.16	0.03	0.10	0.32
2008-2015	968	191	155	36	782	608	597	122	4689	0.18	0.03	0.12	0.29
2015-2021	1196	226	170	57	923	765	644	110	4330	0.21	0.05	0.13	0.45

Table 4. Mean discharge and discharge ratios in the Athabasca River (07DD001), Embarras River (07DD003), and Mamawi Creek (07KF015) during seven different time periods between 1984 and 2021.

Period	Mean discharge ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)			Mean discharge ratio		
	Athabasca	Embarras	Mamawi	Embarras/Athabasca	Mamawi/Athabasca	Mamawi/Embarras
1984-1988	634	103	59	0.09	0.05	0.64
1988-1993	637	137	80	0.11	0.07	0.66
1993-1998	765	209	121	0.15	0.09	0.65
1998-2002	450	95	88	0.10	0.10	1.78*
2002-2008	596	136	94	0.16	0.10	0.65
2008-2015	608	191	119	0.18	0.12	0.68
2015-2021	765	226	128	0.21	0.12	0.68

*ECCC reported only 145 discharge measurements during 1998-2002 for the Embarras River, which may impact this discharge ratio

4.2 Channel width variations along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel

Time-series analysis of remotely sensed channel widths from 1984 to 2020 reveals that the Embarras River has gradually widened upstream of the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation (1982 avulsion site) but has narrowed downstream of the bifurcation (**Figure 1e**; **Table 5**). From 1984 to 2020, the Embarras River channel upstream of Mamawi Creek widened by +43 m (+85%) at location 3 and +18 m (+21%) at location 4. Downstream of Mamawi Creek, the lower Embarras River at location 5 narrowed by 60 m (-12%) from 1984-2020. All of these width trends are statistically significant (Mann Kendall $\tau = 0.65, 0.31, 0.31$ for locations 3, 4, and 5 respectively). While the Mamawi Creek channel is too narrow to be accurately measured from 30-m Landsat

imagery, the observed widening/narrowing trends upstream/downstream of its bifurcation from the Embarras strongly suggest its enlargement.

In contrast to the Embarras River, the lower Athabasca River (downstream of the Athabasca-bifurcation) shows little change in channel width, narrowing by -43 m (-11%) at location 7 and no change at location 8 (**Figure 1 & Table 5**). No statistically significant trends are found at these locations (Mann Kendall $\tau = 0.08, 0.02$, respectively).

Approximately 4 km upstream of the Embarras bifurcation, the Athabasca River widened slightly at location 1 (+35 m, or +9%) from 1984 to 2020 (statistically significant, $\tau = 0.59$). Immediately upstream and downstream of the bifurcation, a left channel of the Athabasca widened +48 m (+53%) and +61 m (+91%) at locations 2 and 6, respectively (statistically significant, $\tau = 0.61, 0.73$, respectively). Such dramatic widening suggests that this left channel is becoming a dominant path for water and sediment transport immediately upstream of its partial diversion into the EM channel (**Figure 1d**).

Table 5. Channel width analysis from Landsat images and RivWidth Cloud. The Mann-Kendall test is used to establish statistical significance ($\tau > +0.3$ or $\tau < -0.3$) for all available Landsat-derived widths between 1984 and 2020 (#images). Mean widths are computed for the first (1984-1989) and last (2016-2020) five years of the satellite record.

River	Location	#images	Mean width 1984-1989 (m)	Mean width 2016-2020 (m)	Width change (m)	Change rate	Mann Kendall
Athabasca	1	67	407	442	+35	+9%	Increasing ($\tau=0.59$)
bifurcation	2	60	91	139	+48	+53%	Increasing ($\tau=0.61$)
Embarras	3	73	52	95	+43	+85%	Increasing ($\tau=0.65$)
Embarras	4	64	86	104	+18	+21%	Increasing ($\tau=0.31$)
Embarras	5	49	68	60	-8	-12%	Decreasing ($\tau=0.31$)
bifurcation	6	85	67	128	61	+91%	Increasing ($\tau=0.73$)
Athabasca	7	86	404	358	-46	-11%	No trend ($\tau=0.08$)
Athabasca	8	93	225	225	0	0%	No trend ($\tau=0.02$)

4.3 Changes in surface area of deltas, channel bars, and floodplain inundation

The Mamawi Creek delta is growing faster (proportionally) than the Athabasca River delta, suggesting a higher (discharge-normalized) sediment transport rate (**Figure 4**). Since 1984, a bird's foot delta at the Embarras-Mamawi channel mouth has rapidly prograded into Mamawi Lake (**Figure 5**). The delta's surface area growth averaged +2810 m² per cubic kilometer of annual discharge (m² km⁻³ yr⁻¹) between 1984 and 1998. Over the same period, the Athabasca

River delta experienced a surface area loss averaging $-890 \text{ m}^2 \text{ km}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (**Figure 6**). After that, both deltas briefly expanded at a comparable rate (i.e., $97,884$ vs. $92,139 \text{ m}^2 \text{ km}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) between 1998 and 2003 (**Figures 4-6**). After 2003, the Athabasca delta growth rate stabilized, averaging $10,145 \text{ m}^2 \text{ km}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ over the three periods between 2003 and 2019 (**Figure 4**). However, the Mamawi delta growth rate steadily increased with an average rate of $23,623 \text{ m}^2 \text{ km}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Aside from a brief period of rapid, commensurate growth from 1998-2003, these discharge-normalized delta growth rates suggest increasing sediment transport through the Embarras-Mamawi channel.




Figure 4. Discharge-normalized surface area change rate ($\text{m}^2 \text{ km}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) of the Athabasca River delta (left) and Mamawi Creek delta (right) during seven time periods between 1984 and 2019. Areal changes are mapped from Landsat imagery; discharges are from ECCC gauging stations (07KF003 and 07MD001).




Figure 5. Growth of the Mamawi Creek delta as tracked by Landsat imagery between 1984 and 2019. Dark tones indicate land and light tones indicate water in these Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI) images. Landsat images were selected to be cloud-free and at the same Mamawi Lake stage (ECCC station 07KF003, Table 2, generally within ± 5 cm).




Figure 6. Surface area changes of the Athabasca River delta as tracked by Landsat imagery between 1984 and 2019. Dark tones indicate land and light tones indicate water in these Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI) images. Landsat images were selected to be cloud-free and at the same Lake Athabasca stage (ECCC station 07MD001, Table 2, generally within ± 5 cm).

Channel bars grew significantly in two areas of the Athabasca River mainstem during our study period (**Figure 7 & Figure S5**). In 1984, a dominant right-bank Athabasca channel near the Embarras bifurcation contained several small bars with a combined surface area of 0.6 km^2 (Area 1, Figure 7; **Table S1**). By 2021, the combined surface area of these bars had grown to 1.3 km^2 . Our own 2018 discharge measurements in this area (Harlan et al., 2021) documented 58% of Athabasca River discharge flowing through the left-bank channel at that time (i.e. $578 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ out of $993 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ total, recorded August 13, 2018). The observed geometry of channel bar growth suggests that a channel switch is underway, with the left channel now conveying more discharge than the right. Some 13 km downstream, two large bars have also been developing (Area 2, **Figure 7**). Their combined surface area increased from 0.2 km^2 in 1984 to 0.6 km^2 in 2021, suggesting sediment deposition in the lower Athabasca River below the Embarras bifurcation.




Figure 7. Growth of Athabasca River channel bars between 1984 and 2021 as reconstructed from Landsat satellite images. Dark tones indicate land and light tones indicate water in these Modified Normalized Difference Water Index (MNDWI) images. Landsat images were selected to be cloud-free and at the same Athabasca River stage (ECCC station 07DD011, Table 2, generally within ± 5 cm). In 1984, the right channel near the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation was dominant (Area 1). By 2018 the left channel was dominant, carrying $\sim 60\%$ of Athabasca River discharge according to our field measurements. By 2021 the left channel is visibly dominant in Landsat imagery. The oxbow lake after the 1972 cutoff was relatively wide in 1984 but shrunk over time.

As a consequence of the increasing diversion of Athabasca River flow into the Embarras-Mamawi channel, there are significant changes in downstream inundation patterns on the PAD. The Landsat-based global water occurrence change product of Pekel *et al.* (2016) reveals higher inundation frequency along the EM in 2000-2020 versus 1984-1999 (**Figure 8a & 8b**). In contrast, the lower Embarras River (downstream of the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation) exhibits a narrowing channel and decreased inundation frequency (**Figure 8c**), and several large lakes have desiccated (**Figure 8d**). Similar drying is observed along the lower Athabasca River (**Figure 8e**). We also note significant drying nearer the Peace River northwest of Mamawi Lake, where several perched basins that were inundated in 1984-1999 were dry in 2000-2020 (**Figure 8f**).




Figure 8. Landsat-derived water occurrence change frequency (Pekel et al. 2016) reveals both increased and decreased inundation across the PAD. Blue (red) colors indicate increased (decreased) water occurrence frequency between 1984-1999 and 2000-2020. Black tones indicate no change in water occurrence frequency. Increasing inundation is observed along the Athabasca-EM avulsion course (blue boxes a and b), with decreasing water occurrence downstream of Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation (red boxes c and d) and lower Athabasca River (box e). Significant drying is also noted in perched lake basins near the Peace River (red box f).

4.4 Longitudinal profiles of WSE, WSS, discharge, and water depth

GNSS profiles of water surface elevation (WSE) show that downstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation, the Embarras-Mamawi channel (EM) has a much steeper water surface slope (WSS, 8×10^{-5}) than the Athabasca River when measured in 2018 (4×10^{-5} , **Figure 9**). A locally weighted regression line indicates that the EM has relatively uniform WSS through the 40 km channel, while the Athabasca River has varying WSS. The latter exhibits a gentler slope in the first 13 km and the last 10 km reach prior to its bifurcation at Fletcher Channel (**Figure 9**).

During the 2018 ADCP measurements, the average discharge was $937 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $214 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $144 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the Athabasca River, Embarras River, and Mamawi Creek, respectively. The discharge

ratio of Embarras/Athabasca and Mamawi/Embarras was 23% and 67%, respectively. These 2018 ratios are consistent with our calculated average discharge ratio for 2015-2021 using ECCC gauging station data (Tables 3 & 4).




Figure 9. Longitudinal profiles of water surface elevation (WSE) and discharge (Q) collected August 5-13, 2018 along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi (EM) channel downstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation. Regression lines are from locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS). Uncertainty bounds (shaded envelopes) represent the standard deviation for each averaged WSE measurement.

Average water depths collected during the 2018 field campaigns were 4.6 m and 1.6 m for the Athabasca River and the EM, respectively (Figure 10). Average EM water depth is 2.2 m upstream of the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation and drops to 1.3 m in Mamawi Creek. Despite noisy data in both channels caused by the boat not always passing over the thalwegs, depth variation is much larger in the wider Athabasca River due to apparent crossings and pools. Bed elevation differences also exist between bifurcated and main channels. At the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation, the bed elevation in the Embarras River is 0.6 m higher than the Athabasca River. At the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation, the bed elevation in the Mamawi Creek is about 2.5 m higher the Embarras River.




Figure 10. Longitudinal water surface elevation (WSE) and riverbed elevation along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel downstream of the two rivers' bifurcations. Regression lines are locally weighted scatterplot smoothing lines (LOWESS). At the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation, the Embarras riverbed is ~0.6 m higher than the Athabasca riverbed. At the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation, the Mamawi Creek bed is ~2.5 m higher than the Embarras riverbed.

4.5 Longitudinal profiles of unit stream power and bed shear stress

Calculations of unit stream power (ω) from in situ WSS and discharge measurements reveal broadly similar values, averaging ~2.0 and 1.7 W m⁻² for the Athabasca and the Embarras-Mamawi channel, respectively. However, EM ω is highest below the Mamawi Creek bifurcation, whereas Athabasca River ω peaks far downstream of the potential avulsion course (**Figure 11**). Athabasca River ω declines sharply when the river approaches Fletcher Channel (~RK 32), owing mainly to reduced WSS (**Figure 9**). Along the EM, ω briefly dips in the Embarras (~RK12 - RK19) but then increases ~19% downstream of the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation, suggesting enhanced erosion potential along Mamawi Creek.

In terms of bed shear stress τ , the Athabasca River and the EM exhibit approximately similar values ($\tau \sim 1.5$) upstream of the Embarras-Mamawi bifurcation, but increase in Mamawi Creek downstream of the bifurcation (mean $\tau \sim 2.9$), suggesting a greater ability to transport bedload (**Figure 12**). In contrast, mean bed shear stress decreases significantly in the Athabasca River

downstream of RK 30, indicating greater deposition and less erosion approaching Fletcher Channel (**Figure 12**).




Figure 11. Longitudinal profiles of unit stream power (ω) along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel downstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation. Regression lines are from locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS).




Figure 12. Longitudinal profiles of bed shear stress (τ) along the Athabasca River and the Embarras-Mamawi channel downstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation. Regression lines are from locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS).

5 Discussion and Conclusion

The Embarras River - Mamawi Creek flow course appears to be a developing avulsion of the Athabasca River. Its gradual enlargement since 1982 is evident from multiple lines of observation including ECCC gauging stations, satellite images, and in situ field measurements. First, between 1984 and 2021 the discharge ratio between the Embarras-Mamawi channel and the Athabasca River more than doubled, increasing from 9% to 21%. Second, the Embarras-Mamawi channel has been widening since 1984, whereas the Athabasca River channel width has remained stable. Third, the Mamawi Creek delta is growing at a faster rate than the Athabasca River delta. Fourth, our longitudinal field GNSS surveys reveal that the Embarras-Mamawi channel possesses a significant slope advantage over the Athabasca River. Finally, unit stream power and bed shear stress suggest enhanced sediment transport and erosional capacity through the Embarras-Mamawi channel.

The ongoing slow Athabasca River avulsion was initiated by the abrupt 1982 avulsion of the Embarras River into Cree Creek, a tributary of Mamawi Creek, which opened the Embarras River - Mamawi flow course with a higher water surface slope than the main Athabasca River. The potentially transformative impact that this avulsion pathway presents to the PAD's hydrology has been recognized since at least the 1970s (Appended correspondence, Bayrock and Root, 1972). Jones and Schumm (1999) attributed reasons of increases potential avulsion course slope (S_a) to natural levee/alluvial ridge growth, alluvial fan and delta growth (convexity), and tectonism (resulting in lateral tilting). Our study finds an additional process, by demonstrating that an abrupt avulsion in a river's distal distributary can also trigger a mainstem avulsion due to the opening of a new flow path having an overall higher slope.

This slow avulsion appears to be triggering some broader inundation pattern changes on the PAD. Previous studies have focused primarily on impacts of declining ice-jam floods on the lower Peace River (Prowse and Lalonde, 1996; Prowse and Conly, 1998; Toyra *et al.*, 2002; Smith, 2003; Beltaos *et al.*, 2006b; Wolfe *et al.*, 2006), an important cause of drying in the Peace Delta since the 1970s and a phenomenon we observe as well (see **Figure 8f**). However, in addition to this well-known change, we observe that the ongoing Embarras-Mamawi avulsion is directing increasing fluxes of Athabasca River water and sediment northwards towards Mamawi Lake. This finding is consistent with a paleohydrology study by Kay *et al.* (2019), who report increased flooding along Mamawi Creek but reduced flooding along the lower Athabasca River and attribute these changes to the 1982 Mamawi Creek avulsion. Our results support their conclusion and furthermore suggest that in addition to Mamawi Creek (**Figure 8b**), wetlands surrounding the upper Embarras River (**Figure 8a**) are receiving more water as well. Conversely, wetlands along the lower Embarras River (downstream of the Mamawi Creek bifurcation) are drying, likely due to diverted discharge (**Figure 8c** and **8d**). Viewed collectively, these observations suggest that in addition to the impacts of reduced ice-jam flooding in the northern PAD (**Figure 8f**), inundation patterns in the southern PAD are also changing in areas not typically impacted by ice-jam floods (**Figure 8**). We attribute these changes in the southern PAD to increased diversion of Athabasca River water and sediment northward down the Embarras-Mamawi avulsion course.

Like Timoney and Lee (2016), we find that remote sensing of historical delta surface areas offers a useful tool for comparing relative sediment transport rates of neighboring channels. We build upon the approach of Timoney and Lee (2016) by processing more images in a cloud computing

environment (Google Earth Engine), restricting satellite image selections to times of similar lake/river stage (to minimize the impact of fluctuating water levels on surface area determination), and using shorter sub study periods. Our 4-7-yr sampling frequency helps to pinpoint 1998-2003, for example, as a period when both the Athabasca and Mamawi deltas expanded rapidly (identified as 1992-2002 by Timoney and Lee, 2016). Both studies find faster relative growth of the Mamawi delta, suggesting higher sediment transport efficiency down the Embarras-Mamawi channel. Importantly, our study finds continued Mamawi delta growth during three consecutive periods after 2003, unlike the Athabasca delta (**Figure 4**). This recent deltaic growth averages $\sim 0.71 \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ which if continued at this rate suggests that Mamawi Lake (91 km^2) would infill in ~ 130 years (**Figure S9**).

It is plausible that this current slow Athabasca River avulsion may accelerate in the future. Although a recent study by Peters *et al.* (2022) found a significant decline in March to October mean flows on the lower Athabasca River over the 1958 to 2017 period, Eum *et al.* (2017) projected an increase in mean annual and peak flows in the second half of the 21st century. In response to projected changes in discharge, Dibike *et al.* (2018) estimated that a $>50\%$ increase by the 2080s in the mean annual Athabasca River sediment load delivered to the PAD compared with sediment load in the 1980s. Elsewhere, modeling studies show that increased sediment transport can result in more avulsions and frequent switching of dominant channels (Bryant *et al.*, 1995; Lauzon and Murray, 2022). Now originating on an outer bend of the Athabasca (**Figure 1**), the Embarras River receives water with somewhat lower bed materials and suspended sediment concentration (SSC) (e.g., 87 and 102 mg L^{-1} for the Embarras River and the Athabasca River, respectively (Pavelsky and Smith, 2009). This is because the higher riverbed in the Embarras River (i.e., 0.6 m higher, **Figure 10**) restricts bed material intaking from the main Athabasca River. Such disproportional water-sediment partitioning at avulsion bifurcations is similarly reported in modeling studies (Slingerland and Smith, 1998; Kleinhans *et al.*, 2008). Increased sediment load could thus lead to enhanced sediment deposition and bar growth within the lower Athabasca River channels, further reducing water surface slope (i.e., reduced S_e , Jones and Schumm, 1999) and enhancing flow diversion northward through the Embarras-Mamawi channel towards Mamawi Lake.

At the turn of the last century, Timoney (2002) found that the PAD showed more signs of ecosystem health than problems by scoring of 26 physical and ecological attributes (e.g., flooding frequency, wetland productivity, open water area, etc.). Continued enlargement of the Embarras-Mamawi channel, however, could plausibly lead to full avulsion of the Athabasca River, a transformative impact that was likely narrowly averted in 1972 through human intervention. Throughout the Holocene, such major lobe-switching events have been a rare but normal process in PAD evolution (Smith, 1994), and indeed most other major deltas of the world (Fisk, 1944; Smith *et al.*, 1989; Xue, 1993; Correggiari *et al.*, 2005; Bentley *et al.*, 2016; Vespremeanu-Stroe *et al.*, 2017). A full Athabasca River avulsion into the Embarras-Mamawi channel, may lead to increasing wetland inundation around the new course, rapid sedimentary infilling of Mamawi Lake, and possibly more drying along the lower Athabasca River. These hydrological effects would in turn alter spatial patterns of PAD water bodies, vegetation, habitat, and ecosystems as changes to inundation frequency influence plant succession over large areas (Prowse *et al.*, 1996). There could also be negative impacts on Indigenous people cultural and traditional activities (e.g., fishing, trapping, hunting, and navigation). Future research should

apply hydraulic avulsion models to the southern PAD, parameterized with field measurements of bed material, bank material, bank cohesion, and other critical variables.

Limitations of this study include knowledge gaps regarding long-term suspended sediment concentrations (SSC), water surface slope dynamics, and channel bank properties. SSC measurements at ECCC gauging stations were discontinued in the 1980s. The WSS data used in this study are from one-time field surveys conducted over seven days of moderately fluctuating discharge. The average Athabasca River discharge during these measurements was $1124\text{m}^3\text{ s}^{-1}$, which is somewhat higher than the long-term average discharge of $676\text{ m}^3\text{ s}^{-1}$. WSS during high flow conditions (i.e., $>2000\text{ m}^3\text{ s}^{-1}$) is unknown. High-flow SSC and WSS would be particularly useful for studying sediment transport capacity and gradient advantage of the competing Athabasca and Embarras-Mamawi channels during large channel-forming events. Finally, we suspect that the observed rapid growth in surface area of both deltas from 1998-2003 may be related to a combination of wildfire and large backwater effects during extensive 1996-1997 flooding but cannot confirm this (**Text S1& Figure S6-S8**). This short period of rapid delta growth overwhelms subsequent avulsion-driven contrasts and deserves further investigation. Repeated bathymetry measurements may be needed in the Mamawi Lake and Lake Athabasca to further estimate volume changes of the delta.

Regardless of these limitations, we conclude that field measurements and remote sensing support that a slow but important river avulsion is currently underway in the southern portions of the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada. In light of its growing discharge capture and steeper flow path, the Embarras-Mamawi channel represents a plausible alternate flow course for the Athabasca River that is enlarging. An eventual capture of the Athabasca River and an associated major PAD lobe switching event cannot be ruled out, especially in light of projected future increases in Athabasca River discharge and sediment load.

The case study presented here for the PAD indicates that slow or ongoing avulsions may be identified and monitored through hydrologic measurements of water surface slope and discharge, together with remote sensing of channel width, floodplain inundation patterns, and downstream delta growth. The recently launched NASA Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) satellite will provide repeated global surveys of water surface elevations globally (i.e., lakes, reservoirs, wetlands, and rivers wider than 100 m) as well estimates of river discharge (Biancamaria et al., 2016). We propose that SWOT measurements of water surface elevation, surface slope, and discharge in competing distributaries of large rivers, together with field measurements and other remotely sensed products, should aid identification of particular channels having the highest stream power, channel growth, and avulsion potential. Such information could aid studies of bifurcation stability (Pittaluga et al., 2015), future avulsion potential, and guide the development of long-term river and floodplain management strategies.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the NASA Surface Water and Ocean Topography mission (grant #80NSSC20K1144S), managed by Dr. Nadya Vinogradova-Shiffer. We would like to thank Queenie Gray and Wood Buffalo National Park for enabling our field visits. We would also like

to thank Robert and Barbara Grandjambe for guiding and boat piloting services during the field measurements.

Open Research

Field measurements of water surface elevation, water depth, and ADCP data can be found at <https://zenodo.org/record/7622504#.Y-PuG3bMJJaQ>. Landsat imagery can be downloaded at <https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/> and <https://earthengine.google.com/>. Long-term river stage and discharge data for the studied rivers can be downloaded at https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/map/index_e.html.

References

- Allen, G. H., and T. M. Pavelsky (2018), Global extent of rivers and streams, *Science*, 361(6402), 585-588, doi:10.1126/science.aat0636.
- Allen, J. R. L. (1965), A review of the origin and characteristics of recent alluvial sediments, *Sedimentology*, 5(2), 89-191, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1965.tb01561.x.
- Ashworth, P. J., J. L. Best, and M. Jones (2004), Relationship between sediment supply and avulsion frequency in braided rivers, *Geology*, 32(1), 21-24, doi:10.1130/g19919.1.
- Aslan, A., W. J. Autin, and M. D. Blum (2005), Causes of River Avulsion: Insights from the Late Holocene Avulsion History of the Mississippi River, U.S.A., 75(4), 650-664, doi:10.2110/jsr.2005.053.
- Babaeyan-Koopaei, K., D. A. Ervine, P. A. Carling, and Z. Cao (2002), Velocity and Turbulence Measurements for Two Overbank Flow Events in River Severn, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 128(10), 891-900, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2002)128:10(891).
- Bagnold, R. A. (1960), Sediment discharge and stream power--A preliminary announcement, *Report Rep. 421*.
- Bagnold, R. A. (1966), An approach to the sediment transport problem from general physics, *Report Rep. 422I*.
- Bayrock, L. A., and J. D. Root (1972), Geology of the Peace-Athabasca River Delta Region, *AlbertaRep.*, 58 pp, Alberta Research Council, ARC/AGS Open File Report.
- Beltaos, S. (2023), The Drying Peace–Athabasca Delta, Canada: Review and Synthesis of Cryo-Hydrologic Controls and Projections to Future Climatic Conditions, *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2103, doi:10.3390/su15032103.
- Beltaos, S., T. Prowse, B. Bonsal, R. MacKay, L. Romolo, A. Pietroniro, and B. Toth (2006a), Climatic effects on ice-jam flooding of the Peace-Athabasca Delta, *Hydrological Processes*, 20(19), 4031-4050, doi:10.1002/hyp.6418.
- Beltaos, S., T. D. Prowse, and T. Carter (2006b), Ice regime of the lower Peace River and ice-jam flooding of the Peace-Athabasca Delta, *Hydrological Processes*, 20(19), 4009-4029, doi:10.1002/hyp.6417.
- Bentley, S. J., M. D. Blum, J. Maloney, L. Pond, and R. Paulsell (2016), The Mississippi River source-to-sink system: Perspectives on tectonic, climatic, and anthropogenic influences, Miocene to Anthropocene, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 153, 139-174, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.11.001>.

- Brooke, S., A. J. Chadwick, J. Silvestre, M. P. Lamb, D. A. Edmonds, and V. Ganti (2022), Where rivers jump course, *Science*, 376(6596), 987-990, doi:doi:10.1126/science.abm1215.
- Bryant, M., P. Falk, and C. Paola (1995), Experimental study of avulsion frequency and rate of deposition, *Geology*, 23(4), 365-368, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0365:ESOAFA>2.3.CO;2.
- Buehler, H. A., G. S. Weissmann, L. A. Scuderi, and A. J. Hartley (2011), Spatial and Temporal Evolution of an Avulsion on the Taquari River Distributive Fluvial System from Satellite Image Analysis, *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 81(8), 630-640, doi:10.2110/jsr.2011.040.
- Biancamaria, S., D. P. Lettenmaier, and T. M. Pavelsky (2016), The SWOT mission and its capabilities for land hydrology, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 37(2), 307-337, doi:10.1007/s10712-015-9346-y.
- Chadwick, A. J., M. P. Lamb, and V. Ganti (2020), Accelerated river avulsion frequency on lowland deltas due to sea-level rise, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201912351, doi:10.1073/pnas.1912351117.
- Chen, Y., J. P. M. Syvitski, S. Gao, I. Overeem, and A. J. Kettner (2012), Socio-economic Impacts on Flooding: A 4000-Year History of the Yellow River, China, *AMBIO*, 41(7), 682-698, doi:10.1007/s13280-012-0290-5.
- Correggiari, A., A. Cattaneo, and F. Trincardi (2005), The modern Po Delta system: Lobe switching and asymmetric prodelta growth, *Marine Geology*, 222-223, 49-74, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.039>.
- Dibike, Y., A. Shakibaeinia, H. I. Eum, T. Prowse, and I. Droppo (2018), Effects of projected climate on the hydrodynamic and sediment transport regime of the lower Athabasca River in Alberta, Canada, *River Research and Applications*, 34(5), 417-429, doi:10.1002/rra.3273.
- Donselaar, M. E., M. C. Cuevas Gozalo, and S. Moyano (2013), Avulsion processes at the terminus of low-gradient semi-arid fluvial systems: Lessons from the Río Colorado, Altiplano endorheic basin, Bolivia, *Sedimentary Geology*, 283, 1-14, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.10.007>.
- Edmonds, D. A., E. A. Hajek, N. Downton, and A. B. Bryk (2016), Avulsion flow-path selection on rivers in foreland basins, *Geology*, 44(9), 695-698, doi:10.1130/g38082.1.
- Edmonds, D. A., D. C. J. D. Hoyal, B. A. Sheets, and R. L. Slingerland (2009), Predicting delta avulsions: Implications for coastal wetland restoration, *Geology*, 37(8), 759-762, doi:10.1130/G25743A.1.
- Ethridge, F. G., R. L. Skelly, and C. S. Bristow (1999), Avulsion and Crevasing in the Sandy, Braided Niobrara River: Complex Response to Base-Level Rise and Aggradation, in *Fluvial Sedimentology VI*, edited, pp. 179-191, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304213.ch14>.
- Eum, H.-I., Y. Dibike, and T. Prowse (2017), Climate-induced alteration of hydrologic indicators in the Athabasca River Basin, Alberta, Canada, *Journal of Hydrology*, 544, 327-342, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.11.034>.
- Fisk, H. N. (1944), Geological investigation of the alluvial valley of the lower Mississippi River: U.S. Department of the Army, Mississippi River Commission *Rep.*, 78 pp.

- Ganti, V., A. J. Chadwick, H. J. Hassenruck-Gudipati, and M. P. Lamb (2016), Avulsion cycles and their stratigraphic signature on an experimental backwater-controlled delta, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 121(9), 1651-1675, doi:10.1002/2016JF003915.
- Gartner, J. D., W. B. Dade, C. E. Renshaw, F. J. Magilligan, and E. M. Buraas (2015), Gradients in stream power influence lateral and downstream sediment flux in floods, *Geology*, 43(11), 983-986, doi:10.1130/g36969.1.
- Gradziński, R., J. Baryła, M. Doktor, D. Gmur, M. Gradziński, A. Kędzior, M. Paszkowski, R. Soja, T. Zieliński, and S. Żurek (2003), Vegetation-controlled modern anastomosing system of the upper Narew River (NE Poland) and its sediments, *Sedimentary Geology*, 157(3), 253-276, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738\(02\)00236-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00236-1).
- Hajek, E., and D. Edmonds (2014), Is river avulsion style controlled by floodplain morphodynamics?, *Geology*, 42, doi:10.1130/G35045.1.
- Harlan, M. E., et al. (2021), Discharge Estimation From Dense Arrays of Pressure Transducers, *Water Resources Research*, 57(3), doi:10.1029/2020wr028714.
- Iacobucci, G., F. Troiani, S. Milli, P. Mazzanti, D. Piacentini, M. Zocchi, and D. Nadali (2020), Combining Satellite Multispectral Imagery and Topographic Data for the Detection and Mapping of Fluvial Avulsion Processes in Lowland Areas, *Remote Sensing*, 12(14), doi:10.3390/rs12142243.
- Jensen, J. R. (2008), *Remote sensing of the environment : an earth resource perspective*, Pearson Education, [Delhi, India].
- Jerolmack, D. J., and C. Paola (2007), Complexity in a cellular model of river avulsion, *Geomorphology*, 91(3-4), 259-270, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.04.022.
- Jones, L. S., and J. T. Harper (1998), Channel avulsions and related processes, and large-scale sedimentation patterns since 1875, Rio Grande, San Luis Valley, Colorado, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 110(4), 411-421, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0411:Caarpa>2.3.Co;2.
- Jones, L. S., and S. A. Schumm (1999), Causes of Avulsion: An Overview, in *Fluvial Sedimentology VI*, edited by N. D. Smith and J. C. Roger, pp. 169-178, doi:10.1002/9781444304213.ch13.
- Kay, M. L., et al. (2019), Bi-directional hydrological changes in perched basins of the Athabasca Delta (Canada) in recent decades caused by natural processes, *Environmental Research Communications*, 1(8), 081001, doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ab37e7.
- Kendall, M. G. (1975), *Rank correlation methods*, Griffin, London.
- Kleinhans, M. G., H. R. A. Jagers, E. Mosselman, and C. J. Sloff (2008), Bifurcation dynamics and avulsion duration in meandering rivers by one-dimensional and three-dimensional models, *Water Resources Research*, 44(8), doi:10.1029/2007wr005912.
- Lauzon, R., and A. B. Murray (2022), Discharge determines avulsion regime in model experiments with vegetated and unvegetated deltas, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, doi:10.1029/2021jf006225.
- Li, J. G., X. C. Yang, C. Maffei, S. Tooth, and G. Q. Yao (2018), Applying Independent Component Analysis on Sentinel-2 Imagery to Characterize Geomorphological Responses to an Extreme Flood Event near the Non-Vegetated Rio Colorado Terminus, Salar de Uyuni, Bolivia, *Remote Sensing*, 10(5), doi:10.3390/rs10050725.
- Lombardo, U. (2016), Alluvial plain dynamics in the southern Amazonian foreland basin, *Earth System Dynamics*, 7(2), 453-467, doi:10.5194/esd-7-453-2016.
- Louzada, R. O., I. Bergier, F. O. Roque, M. M. McGlue, A. Silva, and M. L. Assine (2021), Avulsions drive ecosystem services and economic changes in the Brazilian Pantanal

- wetlands, *Current Research in Environmental Sustainability*, 3, 100057, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crsust.2021.100057>.
- Mackey, S. D., and J. S. C. Bridge (1995), Three-dimensional model of alluvial stratigraphy; theory and applications, *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 65, 7-31.
- Makaske, B., B. H. P. Maathuis, C. R. Padovani, C. Stolker, E. Mosselman, and R. H. G. Jongman (2012), Upstream and downstream controls of recent avulsions on the Taquari megafan, Pantanal, south-western Brazil, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 37(12), 1313-1326, doi:10.1002/esp.3278.
- Mann, H. B. (1945), Nonparametric tests against trend, *Econometrica*, 13(3), 245-259, doi:10.2307/1907187.
- Mohrig, D., P. L. Heller, C. Paola, and W. J. Lyons (2000), Interpreting avulsion process from ancient alluvial sequences: Guadalupe-Matarranya system (northern Spain) and Wasatch Formation (western Colorado), *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 112(12), 1787-1803, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<1787:Iapfaa>2.0.Co;2.
- Nanson, G. C., and A. D. Knighton (1996), Anabranching rivers: their cause, character and classification, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 21(3), 217-239, doi:10.1002/(sici)1096-9837(199603)21:3<217::Aid-esp611>3.0.Co;2-u.
- Pavelsky, T. M., and L. C. Smith (2008), Remote sensing of hydrologic recharge in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(8), doi:10.1029/2008gl033268.
- Pavelsky, T. M., and L. C. Smith (2009), Remote sensing of suspended sediment concentration, flow velocity, and lake recharge in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada, *Water Resources Research*, 45(11), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2008wr007424.
- Pekel, J.-F., A. Cottam, N. Gorelick, and A. S. Belward (2016), High-resolution mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes, *Nature*, 540(7633), 418-422, doi:10.1038/nature20584.
- Peters, D. L., T. D. Prowse, A. Pietroniro, and R. Leconte (2006), Flood hydrology of the Peace-Athabasca Delta, northern Canada, *Hydrological Processes*, 20(19), 4073-4096, doi:10.1002/hyp.6420.
- Peters, D. L., D. Watt, K. Devito, W. A. Monk, R. R. Shrestha, and D. J. Baird (2022), Changes in geographical runoff generation in regions affected by climate and resource development: A case study of the Athabasca River, *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 39, 100981, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2021.100981>.
- Phillips, J. D. (2009), Avulsion regimes in southeast Texas rivers, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34(1), 75-87, doi:10.1002/esp.1692.
- Phillips, J. D. (2012), Log-jams and avulsions in the San Antonio River Delta, Texas, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 37(9), 936-950, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3209>.
- Prasojo, O. A., T. B. Hoey, A. Owen, and R. D. Williams (2022), Slope Break and Avulsion Locations Scale Consistently in Global Deltas, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(2), e2021GL093656, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093656>.
- Prowse, T. D., B. Aitken, M. N. Demuth, and M. Peterson (1996), Strategies for restoring spring flooding to a drying northern delta, *Regulated Rivers-Research & Management*, 12(2-3), 237-250, doi:10.1002/(sici)1099-1646(199603)12:2/3<237::Aid-rrr392>3.3.Co;2-b.
- Prowse, T. D., and F. M. Conly (1998), Effects of climatic variability and flow regulation on ice-jam flooding of a northern delta, *Hydrological Processes*, 12(10-11), 1589-1610, doi:10.1002/(sici)1099-1085(199808/09)12:10/11<1589::Aid-hyp683>3.3.Co;2-7.

- Prowse, T. D., and V. Lalonde (1996), Open-Water and Ice-Jam Flooding of a Northern Delta: Paper presented at the 10th Northern Res. Basin Symposium (Svalbard, Norway – 28 Aug./3 Sept. 1994), *Hydrology Research*, 27(1-2), 85-100, doi:10.2166/nh.1996.0021.
- Pittaluga, M. B., G. Coco, and M. G. Kleinhans (2015), A unified framework for stability of channel bifurcations in gravel and sand fluvial systems, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(18), 7521-7536, doi:10.1002/2015gl065175.
- Qian, N. (1990), Fluvial processes in the lower Yellow River after levee breaching at Tongwaxiang in 1855, *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 5(2), 1-13.
- Ratliff, K. M., E. W. H. Hutton, and A. B. Murray (2021), Modeling long-term delta dynamics reveals persistent geometric river avulsion locations, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 559, 116786, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.116786>.
- Richards, K. S. (1982), *Rivers, form and process in alluvial channels*, Methuen, London.
- Roberts, H. H., J. M. Coleman, S. J. Bentley, and N. Walker (2003), An embryonic major delta lobe; a new generation of delta studies in the Atchafalaya-Wax Lake delta system, *Transactions - Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies*, 53, 690-703.
- Rosen, T., and Y. J. Xu (2013), Recent decadal growth of the Atchafalaya River Delta complex: Effects of variable riverine sediment input and vegetation succession, *Geomorphology*, 194, 108-120, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.04.020>.
- Schumm, S. A., W. D. Erskine, and J. W. Tilleard (1996), Morphology, hydrology, and evolution of the anastomosing Ovens and King Rivers, Victoria, Australia, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 108(10), 1212, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1996)108<1212:mhaeot>2.3.co;2.
- Sinha, R. (2009), The Great avulsion of Kosi on 18 August 2008, *Current Science*, 97(3), 429-433.
- Sinha, R., K. Sripriyanka, V. Jain, and M. Mukul (2014), Avulsion threshold and planform dynamics of the Kosi River in north Bihar (India) and Nepal: A GIS framework, *Geomorphology*, 216, 157-170, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.035>.
- Slingerland, R., and N. D. Smith (1998), Necessary conditions for a meandering-river avulsion, *Geology*, 26(5), 435-438, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0435:NCFAMR>2.3.CO;2.
- Slingerland, R., and N. D. Smith (2004), River avulsions and their deposits, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 32(1), 257-285, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32.101802.120201.
- Smith, D. G. (1994), Glacial lake McConnell: Paleogeography, age, duration, and associated river deltas, mackenzie river basin, western Canada, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 13(9), 829-843, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791\(94\)90004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(94)90004-3).
- Smith, D. G. (2003), 1100 Years of Ice-jam Flooding in the Peace River Delta Interpreted from Flood Bed Sediments and Ice-scarred Trees *Rep.*
- Smith, L. C. (2020), *Rivers of power : how a natural force raised kingdoms, destroyed civilizations, and shapes our world*, Little Brown Spark/Hachette Book Group, New York.
- Smith, N. D., T. A. Cross, J. P. Dufficy, and S. R. Clough (1989), Anatomy of an avulsion, 36(1), 1-23, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1989.tb00817.x.
- Smith, N. D., G. S. Morozova, and M. R. Gibling (2014), Channel enlargement by avulsion-induced sediment starvation in the Saskatchewan River, *Geology*, 42(4), 355-358, doi:10.1130/g35258.1.

- Smith, N. D., R. L. Slingerland, M. Pérez-Arlucea, and G. S. Morozova (1998), The 1870s avulsion of the Saskatchewan River, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 35(4), 453-466, doi:10.1139/e97-113.
- Stouthamer, E., and H. J. A. Berendsen (2001), Avulsion frequency, avulsion duration, and interavulsion period of Holocene channel belts in the Rhine-Meuse Delta, the Netherlands, *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 71(4), 589-598, doi:10.1306/112100710589.
- Sun, T., C. Paola, G. Parker, and P. Meakin (2002), Fluvial fan deltas: Linking channel processes with large-scale morphodynamics, *Water Resources Research*, 38(8), 26-21-26-10, doi:10.1029/2001wr000284.
- Timoney, K. (2002), A dyin delta? A case study of a wetland paradigm, *Wetlands*, 22(2), 282-300, doi:10.1672/0277-5212(2002)022[0282:addacs]2.0.co;2.
- Timoney, K. (2013), *The Peace-Athabasca Delta : portrait of a dynamic ecosystem*, The University of Alberta Press, Alberta, Canada.
- Timoney, K., and P. Lee (2016), Changes in the areal extents of the Athabasca River, Birch River, and Cree Creek Deltas, 1950-2014, Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada, *Geomorphology*, 258, 95-107, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.01.011.
- Timoney, K. P. (2021), Flooding in the Peace-Athabasca Delta: climatic and hydrologic change and variation over the past 120 years, *Climatic Change*, 169(3-4), 26, doi:10.1007/s10584-021-03257-z.
- Törnqvist, T. E. (1994), Middle and late Holocene avulsion history of the River Rhine (Rhine-Meuse delta, Netherlands), *Geology*, 22(8), 711-714, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0711:malhah>2.3.co;2.
- Törnqvist, T. E., and J. S. Bridge (2002), Spatial variation of overbank aggradation rate and its influence on avulsion frequency, *Sedimentology*, 49(5), 891-905, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3091.2002.00478.x.
- Toyra, J., A. Pietroniro, L. W. Martz, and T. D. Prowse (2002), A multi-sensor approach to wetland flood monitoring, *Hydrological Processes*, 16(8), 1569-1581, doi:10.1002/hyp.1021.
- Valenza, J. M., D. A. Edmonds, T. Hwang, and S. Roy (2020), Downstream changes in river avulsion style are related to channel morphology, *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 2116, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15859-9.
- Vespremeanu-Stroe, A., et al. (2017), Holocene evolution of the Danube delta: An integral reconstruction and a revised chronology, *Marine Geology*, 388, 38-61, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.04.002>.
- Wang, B., and Y. J. Xu (2018), Decadal-Scale Riverbed Deformation and Sand Budget of the Last 500 km of the Mississippi River: Insights Into Natural and River Engineering Effects on a Large Alluvial River, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123(5), 874-890, doi:doi:10.1029/2017JF004542.
- Wang, B., Y. J. Xu, W. Xu, H. Cheng, Z. Chen, and W. Zhang (2020), Riverbed Changes of the Uppermost Atchafalaya River, USA—A Case Study of Channel Dynamics in Large Man-Controlled Alluvial River Confluences, *Water*, 12(8), 2139.
- Weissmann, G. S., A. J. Hartley, L. A. Scuderi, G. J. Nichols, A. Owen, S. Wright, A. L. Felicia, F. Holland, and F. M. L. Anaya (2015), Fluvial geomorphic elements in modern sedimentary basins and their potential preservation in the rock record: A review, *Geomorphology*, 250, 187-219, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.09.005>.

- Wolfe, B. B., R. I. Hall, W. M. Last, T. W. D. Edwards, M. C. English, T. L. Karst-Riddoch, A. Paterson, and R. Palmi (2006), Reconstruction of multi-century flood histories from oxbow lake sediments, Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada, *Hydrological Processes*, 20(19), 4131-4153, doi:10.1002/hyp.6423.
- Xu, H. (2006), Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(14), 3025-3033, doi:10.1080/01431160600589179.
- Xue, C. (1993), Historical changes in the Yellow River delta, China, *Marine Geology*, 113(3), 321-330, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227\(93\)90025-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(93)90025-Q).
- Yang, C. T. (1979), Unit stream power equations for total load, *Journal of Hydrology*, 40(1-2), 123-138, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(79)90092-1.
- Yang, X., T. M. Pavelsky, G. H. Allen, and G. Donchyts (2020), RivWidthCloud: An Automated Google Earth Engine Algorithm for River Width Extraction From Remotely Sensed Imagery, *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 17(2), 217-221, doi:10.1109/lgrs.2019.2920225.
- Yochum, S. E., J. S. Sholtes, J. A. Scott, and B. P. Bledsoe (2017), Stream power framework for predicting geomorphic change: The 2013 Colorado Front Range flood, *Geomorphology*, 292, 178-192, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.03.004>.
- Zhang, X., and X. Fang (2017), Temporal and spatial variation of catastrophic river floodings in the Lower Yellow River from AD 960 to 1938, *The Holocene*, 27(9), 1359-1369, doi:10.1177/0959683617690590.

Athabasca River avulsion underway in the Peace-Athabasca Delta, Canada

**Bo Wang^{1,2}, Laurence C. Smith^{1,2}, Colin Gleason³, Ethan D. Kyzivat^{1,2}, Jessica V. Fayne⁴,
Merritt E. Harlan³, Theodore Langhorst⁵, Dongmei Feng⁶, Emily Eidam⁷, Sebastian
Munoz^{1,2}, Julianne Davis⁵, Tamlin M. Pavelsky⁵, Daniel L. Peters⁸**

¹Institute at Brown for Environment and Society, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

²Department of Earth, Environmental & Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence, RI,
USA

³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Massachusetts Amherst,
Amherst, MA, USA

⁴Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI,
USA

⁵Department of Earth, Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina at
Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

⁶Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH, USA

⁷College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR,
USA

⁸Watershed Hydrology & Ecology Research Division, Environment Climate Change Canada,
Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S9

Tables S1 to S2

Text S1




Figure S1. Discharge data along the Athabasca River above the Athabasca-Embarras River bifurcation at Athabasca River below Fort MacMurray (07DA001) and Athabasca River at Embarras Airport (07DD001). No data were available for 07DD001 between 1984 and 2014 and were thus estimated based on a relationship with upstream data at 07DA001.




Figure S2. Discharge relationship between upstream 07DA001 and downstream 07DD001. A 2-day travel time from 07DA001 to 07DD001 was chosen as optimal based on the best R-squared value.




Figure S3. R-square value and mean absolute error ($\text{MAE} = 59 \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$) between observed values and predicted values at 07DD001 (Left). Error distribution for predicted discharge at 07DD001 (Right).




Figure S4. Dates of field GPS measurements in 2018 (Red stars). We use the GPS data from the eight dates between 08/05/2018 and 08/14/2018 because they have similar discharge (average discharge = $1124 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and the GPS measurements from these dates cover the entirety of the studied river channels.

Table S1. Surface area changes in the Athabasca River Delta, Mamawi Creek Delta, and the two channel bars in the studied reach downstream of the Athabasca-Embarras bifurcation.

Location	Date	Stage (m)	Surface area (km ²)	Location	Date	Stage (m)	Surface area (km ²)
Athabasca Delta	7/30/1984	209.25	3.0	Bar 1	7/30/1984	212.08	0.6
Athabasca Delta	6/10/1989	209.24	2.6	Bar 1	8/3/1988	212.04	0.5
Athabasca Delta	6/8/1994	209.28	3.0	Bar 1	5/29/1993	212.13	0.7
Athabasca Delta	8/22/1998	209.24	1.9	Bar 1	7/30/1998	211.93	0.9
Athabasca Delta	8/5/2003	209.33	26.1	Bar 1	6/14/2002	211.93	0.9
Athabasca Delta	7/23/2007	209.23	28.7	Bar 1	8/9/2008	212.11	0.9
Athabasca Delta	7/28/2012	209.27	35.1	Bar 1	5/26/2015	211.99	1.1
Athabasca Delta	10/3/2019	209.23	42.0	Bar 1	9/22/2021	211.94	1.3
Mamawi Delta	7/30/1984	209.18	0.0	Bar 2	7/30/1984	212.08	0.2
Mamawi Delta	5/21/1990	209.18	0.0	Bar 2	8/3/1988	212.04	0.2
Mamawi Delta	6/8/1994	209.23	0.2	Bar 2	5/29/1993	212.13	0.3
Mamawi Delta	8/22/1998	209.22	0.3	Bar 2	7/30/1998	211.93	0.3
Mamawi Delta	8/5/2003	209.27	2.8	Bar 2	6/14/2002	211.93	0.4

Mamawi Delta	7/23/2007	209.20	3.6	Bar 2	8/9/2008	212.11	0.4
Mamawi Delta	7/28/2012	209.21	5.5	Bar 2	5/26/2015	211.99	0.5
Mamawi Delta	10/3/2019	209.20	8.9	Bar 2	9/22/2021	211.94	0.6

Table S2. ANOVA analysis for discharge ratio between the Embarras River and the Athabasca River. Bolded values indicate no differences in discharge ratios between the two periods.

	group1	group2	Diff	Lower	Upper	q-value	p-value
0	1984-1988	1988-1993	0.02089	0.011303	0.030476	9.089642	0.001
1	1984-1988	1993-1998	0.055203	0.045594	0.064812	23.9646	0.001
2	1984-1988	1998-2002	0.010432	-0.00283	0.023693	3.281431	0.234
3	1984-1988	2002-2008	0.068106	0.058886	0.077326	30.81221	0.001
4	1984-1988	2008-2015	0.085032	0.075948	0.094115	39.04964	0.001
5	1984-1988	2015-2021	0.111903	0.102994	0.120812	52.39507	0.001
6	1988-1993	1993-1998	0.034313	0.027094	0.041532	19.827	0.001
7	1988-1993	1998-2002	0.010458	-0.00119	0.022104	3.745705	0.112
8	1988-1993	2002-2008	0.047216	0.040523	0.053909	29.4267	0.001
9	1988-1993	2008-2015	0.064142	0.057639	0.070645	41.14292	0.001
10	1988-1993	2015-2021	0.091013	0.084756	0.097271	60.6714	0.001
11	1993-1998	1998-2002	0.044771	0.033107	0.056436	16.01044	0.001
12	1993-1998	2002-2008	0.012903	0.006178	0.019628	8.003402	0.001
13	1993-1998	2008-2015	0.029829	0.023293	0.036364	19.03736	0.001
14	1993-1998	2015-2021	0.0567	0.050408	0.062991	37.59319	0.001
15	1998-2002	2002-2008	0.057674	0.046327	0.069021	21.20258	0.001
16	1998-2002	2008-2015	0.0746	0.063364	0.085836	27.69588	0.001
17	1998-2002	2015-2021	0.101471	0.090376	0.112566	38.14879	0.001
18	2002-2008	2008-2015	0.016926	0.010976	0.022876	11.86675	0.001
19	2002-2008	2015-2021	0.043797	0.038117	0.049477	32.16363	0.001
20	2008-2015	2015-2021	0.026871	0.021416	0.032326	20.54783	0.001




Figure S5. Surface area change rate of channel bars in area 1 (left) and area 2 (right) in the Athabasca River (Figure 7) during seven periods between 1984 and 2019. The area changes are normalized by year and total flow amount over each period.

Text S1.

In Figure 4, we observe that the largest delta growth appeared between 1998 and 2003. We investigate this by examining the water discharge, lake levels, and wildfires that occurred in the Athabasca River Basin during this time period. Unfortunately, there is no available sediment concentration data after the 1980s at all the gauging stations from headwater to river mouth along the Athabasca River, so we could not test it as a factor. The average discharge during 1998-2003 was $467 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ which is lower than the long-term average discharge of $676 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Therefore, due to positive correlation between discharge and suspended sediment concentration in the Lower Athabasca River (Long and Pavelsky, 2013), the largest delta growth due to high sediment delivery from upstream of the Athabasca River is unlikely to be true. Second, we find that the Athabasca River Basin experienced the most wildfires during 1998-2003 (Figure S6). Suspended sediment concentration can increase by 2 orders of magnitude following a wildfire (Malmon *et al.*, 2007). The wildfire area was also large during 2007-2012, although there was no significant delta growth at the same time. Overall, the most rapid delta growth during 1998-2003 may be related to the sediment production from burned areas in sub-watersheds. Third, the highest stage in the Athabasca Lake (Figure S7) and the Mamawi Lake (Figure S8) over the past 37 years appeared in summers of 1996 and 1997. We suspect that consecutive years of high lake levels caused backwater effects in the lower Athabasca River and the Mamawi Creek, which resulted in sediment deposition in the channels during 1996-1997. The deposited sediment would have been washed out in the following years between 1998 and 2003, resulting in the observed rapid delta growth.




Figure S6. Average annual wildfire area in the Athabasca River Basin during seven periods between 1984 and 2019. Data source: Alberta Agriculture and Forestry (<https://wildfire.alberta.ca/resources/historical-data/spatial-wildfire-data.aspx>).




Figure S7. River stage data for the Athabasca Lake (07MD001) between 1984 and 2020. The blue and green dashed lines are mean stage and standard deviation, respectively. The highest stage occurred in 1996 and 1997.




Figure S8. River stage at the Mamawi Lake (07KF003) between 1984 and 2020. The blue and green dashed lines are stage mean and standard deviation. The highest stage occurred in 1996 and 1997.




Figure S9. Mamawi Lake extent in 2019. Green lines show its boundary in 1984. The infilling is mainly from the growth of the Mamawi Delta. However, sediment is also delivered into the lake from the east and west sides. Using the same method described in section 3.3, we estimate that the sediment accretion rate for the Mamawi Lake is $0.71 \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ during 2003-2019. With a 91 km^2 lake area in 2019, and known shallow bathymetry, Mamawi Lake, excluding central channels, could be completely infilled in ~ 130 years.

References

- Long, C. M., and T. M. Pavelsky (2013), Remote sensing of suspended sediment concentration and hydrologic connectivity in a complex wetland environment, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 129, 197-209, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.10.019.
- Malmon, D. V., S. L. Reneau, D. Katzman, A. Lavine, and J. Lyman (2007), Suspended sediment transport in an ephemeral stream following wildfire, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 112(F2), doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000459.