Automatic Real-Time Tracking of Soleus Muscle Fascicles By Connor Williams, Dr. Leia Stirling, Dr. Paul Pridham

Introduction

- Ankle exoskeletons (Fig. 1) can support walking and running in
- people, but multi-use control algorithms haven't been perfected. Energy usage in calf muscle cells can be modeled with muscle fascicle length and velocity information and can be used to control ankle exoskeletons, potentially in multiple scenarios [1]. In ultrasound image sequences, fascicles appear as dark spaces
- parallel to light diagonal lines that terminate at aponeuroses (Fig. 2). These images are very difficult to process because of noise, differences across leg architecture, and differences in image quality.
- Previous fascicle tracking attempts feature either heuristics [2], affine optical flow [3], or deep learning [4].
- These approaches each have their own limitations which prevent their use in real-time applications such as processing rate, drift, and image quality sensitivity.
- This project explores combining approaches and assesses their performance.



Fig. 1: Ankle exoskeleton [5]



Methods

Challenges:

Affine flow approaches are prone to drifting.

Heuristic approaches can jitter, introducing large amounts of noise. **Algorithm approach:** Balance strengths and weaknesses of approaches.

- Track endpoint positions using affine flow (reduce jitter).
- Detect aponeuroses with a heuristic, approximate them as lines.
- Constrain affine flow output to aponeurosis lines (reduce drift).
- Filter length output with a 4Hz low-pass Butterworth filter.
- **Endpoint constraints:**
- **Line constraint:** move the endpoint to the nearest aponeurosis. **Line region constraint:** move the endpoint to the nearest
- aponeurosis if it has drifted more than 10 pixels away.

Data: We used two ultrasound videos recorded at 60FPS of people walking for ~24 seconds, obtained from [4].

Metrics: The following metrics were used for analysis:

- Frames per second (FPS, Hz): Processing speed, used to evaluate real-time feasibility.
- **Drift (mm):** The difference in fascicle length between the first and last steps when the toe pushes off the ground.

Results

Affine flow operates faster without the constraint step in both videos.

- Affine flow with no constraints operates with similar processing speed in both videos, but the processing speed for algorithms with constraints is ~28FPS lower in Video 2 than in Video 1. The line region constraint reduces drift the most in Video 2 but
- performed the worst in Video 1.
- No algorithm completely eliminated drift in Video 2.

				Со
Algorithm	FPS	Drift	70	
Affine flow	216	2.2	60 (E 50	
Affine flow + line constraint	104	-0.5	Length (
Affine flow + line region constraint	101	-35.3	30	Affine fl Affine fl Affine fl
			20	-

Video 2 Results

			65	Co
Algorithm	FPS	Drift	00	Affine Affine
Affine flow	217	17.0	60 (E 55	Affine
Affine flow + line constraint	77	8.0	r) (150 45	
Affine flow + line region constraint	73	7.2	40	M
			35	

Discussion

- As shown by the line region constraint's drift in Video 1, these constraints may not work in all situations.
- These constraints only keep the fascicle endpoints near the aponeuroses. They do not prevent drift along aponeuroses, which caused the divergence in the line region constraint in Video 1.
- The line constraint reduced drift in both videos, producing similar or better results than the line region constraint.
- Because no algorithm mitigated drift in Video 2, these constraints are likely not sufficient for general use.
- The processing speed difference in constrained algorithms indicates that performance depends on the video.
- All algorithms operated at a higher framerate than the speed at which the videos were recorded (60Hz), which is promising for realtime exoskeleton use.

Video 1 Results





Data analysis

Exoskeleton use

- on both without alterations between them. The aponeurosis from these videos, it cannot detect them.
- This algorithm depends on an initial fascicle, which was entered manually. For the exoskeleton control algorithm, it would be ideal for the initialization to be automatic.
- These algorithms ran on a laptop with an Intel 8th Gen. i5 CPU and an NVIDIA GTX 1050 GPU. Exoskeletons typically require lightweight hardware, which may not yield the same performance statistics.

Conclusions & Future Work

- The line constraint reduced drift found in affine flow, demonstrating potential for future drift mitigating strategies.
- More work must be done to reduce the computational complexity of the algorithm, allowing use on small exoskeleton hardware.
- A large set of accurately-labeled comparison metrics would ensure that the output is correct and usable.
- Once a functional fascicle measurement algorithm has been created, we can integrate it into the untested exoskeleton control algorithm proposed in [1] to see its effects on wearers.



[6] D. J. Farris and G. A. Lichtwark, "UltraTrack: Software for semi-automated tracking of muscle fascicles in sequences of B-mode ultrasound images," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 128, pp. 111-118, 2016. 7] J. G. Gillett, R. S. Barrett and G. A. Lichtwark, "Reliability and accuracy of an automated tracking algorithm to measure controlled passive and active muscle fascicle length changes from ultrasound," Computer Methods in Biomedics and Biomechanical Engineering, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 678-687, 2013.



Limitations

We did not assess the accuracy of the output against a ground truth. Hand-labeling is ongoing for comparison to a ground truth. While UltraTrack, a software for semi-automated fascicle measurements [6], is currently the most supported method for labeling data without professional examination [7], we did not use it for comparison because its underlying algorithm is also affine flow. This would have been comparing an algorithm against itself.

Video 1 and Video 2 were selected because the algorithms could run detection expects to find aponeuroses within a specific region, and if a person has calf muscles of significantly different shape or size

References

1] P. S. Pridham and L. Stirling, "Ankle exoskeleton torque controllers based on soleus muscle models," PLoS One,

2] O. R. Seynnes and N. J. Cronin, "Simple Muscle Architecture Analysis (SMA): An ImageJ macro tool to automate

[3] D. J. Farris and G. A. Lichtwark, "UltraTrack: Software for semi-automated tracking of muscle fascicles in sequences of B-mode ultrasound images," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 128, pp. 111-118, 2016. 4] L. G. Rosa, J. S. Zia, O. T. Inan and G. S. Sawicki, "Machine learning to extract muscle fascicle length changes from

[5] "Understanding exoskeleton use: Neurobionics lab," Neurobionics Lab | A new generation of wearable robotics, 06-

Mar-2023. [Online]. Available: https://neurobionics.robotics.umich.edu/research/biomechanical-science/dephy-ankle-