2019-05

Frequency and Effects of Search Strategy Characteristics on Relevant Article Retrieval in Systematic Reviews

Townsend, Whitney A; Ginier, Emily C; MacEachern, Mark P;

Saylor, Kate M

https://dx.doi.org/10.7302/7860

https://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/177126

http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/

Downloaded from Deep Blue, University of Michigan's institutional repository



Frequency and Effects of Search Strategy Characteristics on Relevant Article Retrieval in Systematic Reviews

Whitney A. Townsend, MLIS

Emily C. Ginier, MLIS; Mark P. MacEachern, MLIS; Kate M. Saylor, MSI

A. Alfred Taubman Health Sciences Library
University of Michigan



Objectives


To identify common characteristics of highly effective search strategies for a clinical systematic review topic.

Methods

Before attending an in-person systematic review workshop, participants asked to draft a reproducible search strategy based on a brief scenario and a research question from a published systematic review related to blood transfusion and radical prostatectomy. Participants are provided with three studies that were included in the published systematic review, but are not given the systematic review itself. The scenario proposes three commonly-requested limits: date range, inclusion of specific outcome, and human studies that participants can choose to apply or not. The submitted strategies are evaluated for reproducibility and effectiveness of retrieval of the 10 studies included in the published systematic review. Strategies were considered "highly successful" if they returned all 10 included studies. We conducted a thematic analysis on the 14 highly successful strategies to identify common characteristics between them that could guide future searchers. Two studies were disproportionately missed by the other 98 search strategies, and their PubMed records were analyzed to identify what made them particularly challenging to find.

We thank the MLA Research Training Institute for its training, support and encouragement to carry out this research. This project was made possible in part by the Institute of Museum and Library Services (RE-95-17-0025-17).

This study is IRB Exempt under HUM00128315.



Characteristics of Highly Successful (10/10) Search Strategies

LIMITS applied in 10/10 and <10 searches

THEMES from 10/10 Searches

100%

Truncated or used variants of key terms

Ex: transfus*

Ex: prostatectomy,prostatectomies


Ex: prostate, prostatic, prostates

100%

Used field codes instead of relying solely on

PubMed Automatic Term Mapping; 57% used

[tw], 43% used [tiab] for keyword searching



Date Limits

- Requested by Team
- "Custom" Date Limit
- "Last 10 Years" checkbox

Human Limits

- *Cochrane Sensitive (NOT/NOT) – counts as no limit
- Humans[Mesh]/checkbox
- Other (hybrid)

Outcomes terms in search

Requested by teamWide variety of terms

100% heir searches so the

Structured their searches so that broad and variant terms for each concept were combined Ex: blood AND transfusion rather than "blood transfusion"

Characteristics of Frequently Missed Citations

Before all limits removed

- Out of arbitrary researcher-requested date range
- Unindexed at the time of search (missed by Humans[MeSH] limit or MeSH-heavy strategies
- Did not define specified outcomes in abstract

After limits removed

- Used only variants of prostatectomy or transfusion
- Used broader terminology than researcher request (transfusion instead of "blood transfusion"
- More than one/unusual index terms

Transfusion[mesh]

