G. S. Nowakowski

Consultant or advisory role: Celgene, MorphoSys AG, Genentech, Selvita, Debiopharm Group, Kite/Gilead

Research funding: Celgene, MorphoSys AG, NanoString Technologies

439 | SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF ACALABRUTINIB, BENDAMUSTINE, AND RITUXIMAB IN PATIENTS WITH TREATMENT-NAIVE OR RELAPSED/REFRACTORY MANTLE CELL LYMPHOMA: PHASE IB TRIAL

<u>T. Phillips</u>¹, M. Wang², T. Robak³, D. Gallinson⁴, D. Stevens⁵, K. Patel⁶, S. Ramadan⁷, C. Wun⁸, W. Jurczak⁹, S. D. Smith¹⁰ ¹University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, ²MD Anderson Cancer Center, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA, ³Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ⁴Summit Medical Group, Florham Park, New Jersey, USA, ⁵Norton Cancer Institute, Louisville, Kentucky, USA, ⁶Swedish Medical Center, Seattle, USA, ⁷AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK, ⁸AstraZeneca, South San Francisco, California, USA, ⁹Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland, ¹⁰Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington, USA

Background: Acalabrutinib (A) is a next-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor approved for relapsed/refractory (R/R) mantle cell lymphoma (MCL). Bendamustine (B) + rituximab (R) is used for treatment-naive (TN) and R/R MCL. We present updated ABR safety and efficacy data in patients (pts) with TN or R/R MCL.

Methods: Eligible adults received ABR (phase 1b; NCT02717624) as follows: ABR for 6 28-d cycles, maintenance A+R for up to 2 y (TN cohort responders), then oral A continuously until progressive disease (PD) or treatment discontinuation due to toxicity (both cohorts). Primary endpoint was safety. Secondary endpoints were overall response rate (ORR), progression-free survival (PFS), and duration of response (DOR), all per Lugano.

Results: Overall, 38 pts were enrolled (TN, n = 18; R/R, n = 20) with median age 66 y (range 47–86). Baseline characteristics were (TN and R/R cohorts, respectively): stage IV disease, 88.9% and 95.0%; high-risk simplified MIPI score, 11.1% and 15.0%; bulky disease >5 cm, 16.7% and 30.0% or \geq 10 cm, 5.6% and 10.0%; blastoid

morphology, 5.6% and 15.0%. R/R pts had a median of 2 prior lines of therapy. At data cutoff (6/15/22), 6 (33.3%) TN pts and 4 (20.0%) R/R pts were receiving A monotherapy (Table). Most common any-grade AEs were nausea (n = 14, 77.8%; TN) and neutropenia (n = 11, 55.0%; R/R). Grade 3-4 AEs occurred in 13 (72.2%) TN pts and 17 (85.0%) R/ R pts, most commonly neutropenia (n = 7, 38.9% [TN]; n = 10, 50.0%[R/R]). Serious AEs occurred in 11 (61.1%) TN pts and 13 (65.0%) R/R pts. There were no reports of ventricular tachvarrhythmia. Grade 3 atrial fibrillation occurred in 1 pt outside the safety reporting period and was unrelated to study treatment. Grade \geq 3 major hemorrhage was reported in 2 (11.1%) TN pts and 3 (15.0%) R/R pts. Grade \geq 3 hypertension was reported in 3 (16.7%) TN pts and 2 (10.0%) R/R pts. Five TN pts died (AE: pneumonitis, n = 1; unknown, n = 4) and 6 R/R pts died (AE: COVID and cerebrospinal meningitis, n = 2; PD, n = 2; unknown, n = 2). ORR was 94.4% (n = 17) in the TN cohort and 85.0% (n = 17) in the R/R cohort, with CR rates of 77.8% (n = 14) and 70.0% (n = 14), respectively. Median DOR was not estimable (NE) in the TN cohort and 43.5 mo in the R/R cohort. Median PFS and overall survival were NE in the TN cohort (median follow-up 47.6 mo) and 28.6 mo and NE, respectively, in the R/R cohort (median follow-up 20.4 mo).

Conclusions: Triple-combination ABR was tolerable and effective in pts with TN or R/R MCL.

Encore Abstract - previously submitted to ASCO 2023 and EHA 2023

The research was funded by: AstraZeneca

Keywords: Aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Molecular Targeted Therapies

Conflicts of interests pertinent to the abstract.

T. Phillips

Consultant or advisory role: Seattle Genetics, Pharmacyclics, Incyte, Genentech, Genmab, Bayer, Gilead Sciences, Curis, Kite/Gilead, Celgene, Genmab, TG Therapeutics, ADC Therapeutics, Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Beigene, BMS, Merck, Morphosys, Xencor

Research funding: Abbvie, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Bayer, Genentech Educational grants: Abbvie

Patients, n (%)	TN (n=18)	R/R (n=20)	Total (N=38)
Time on study, median (min–max), mo	47.6 (0.6–72.4)	20.4 (1.2–64.2)	26.4 (0.6–72.4
Completed 6 cycles of BR	15 (83.3)	10 (50.0)	25 (65.8)
Completed 6 cycles of ABR	14 (77.8)	10 (50.0)	24 (63.2)
Receiving A at end of study	6 (33.3)	4 (20.0)	10 (26.3)
Discontinued A	12 (66.7)	16 (80.0)	28 (73.7)
AE	6 (33.3)	9 (45.0)	15 (39.5)
Disease progression	2 (11.1)	5 (25.0)	7 (18.4)
Withdrawal by PI	2 (11.1)	1 (5.0)	3 (7.9)
Other	2 (11.1)	1 (5.0)	3 (7.9)

Table. Disposition

M. Wang

Consultant or advisory role: AbbVie, Acerta Pharma, ADC Therapeutics America, Amphista Therapeutics Limited, AstraZeneca, Be Biopharma, BeiGene, BioInvent, Deciphera, DTRM Biopharma (Cayman) Limited, Genentech, InnoCare, Janssen, Kite Pharma, Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, Lilly, Merck, Miltenyi Biomedicine, Milken Institute, Oncternal, Parexel, Pepromene Bio, Pharmacyclics, VelosBio

Honoraria: AbbVie, Acerta Pharma, AstraZeneca, Bantam Pharmaceutical, BeiGene, BioInvent, Bristol Myers Squibb, CAhon, Dava Oncology, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Genmab, IDEOlogy Health, Janssen, Kite Pharma, Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, Medscape, Meeting Minds Experts, MD Education, MJH Life Sciences, Merck, Moffit Cancer Center, Nurix, Oncology Specialty Group, OncLive, Pharmacyclics, Physicians Education Resources (PER), Practice Point Communications (PPC), Scripps, Studio ER Congressi, WebMD

Research funding: Acerta Pharma, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Biolnvent, Celgene, Genmab, Genentech, Innocare, Janssen, Juno Therapeutics, Kite Pharma, Lilly, Loxo Oncology, Molecular Templates, Oncternal, Pharmacyclics, VelosBio, Vincerx

Educational grants: AstraZeneca, Celgene, DAVA Oncology, Kite/a Gilead Company, Physician's Education Resources (PER)

T. Robak

Consultant or advisory role: AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen Oncology

Honoraria: AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen

Research funding: AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen

K. Patel

Consultant or advisory role: AstraZeneca, Genentech, BeiGene, Pharmacyclics, Bristol-Meyers Squibb/Celgene/Juno, Morphosys, Kite/a Gilead Company, TG Therapeutics, Loxo/Lilly, Abbvie, Seattle Genetics, Epizyme, ADC Therapeutics, Pfizer

Research funding: AstraZeneca, Xencor, Pharmacyclics, Curis, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Celgene, MEI Pharma, Trillium Therapeutics, Kite/Gilead, Roche/Genentech, Fate Therapeutics, Takeda, Epizyme, Aptevo Therapeutics, Nurix, Loxo/Lilly, Century Therapeutics Other remuneration: AstraZeneca, Bristol-Meyers Squibb/Celgene,

Kite/a Gilead Company, TG Therapeutics

S. Ramadan

Employment or leadership position: AstraZeneca

C. Wun

Employment or leadership position: AstraZeneca

W. Jurczak

Consultant or advisory role: Abbvie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Lilly, Roche, Takeda

Research funding: Abbvie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen, Lilly, Roche, Takeda

S. D. Smith

Consultant or advisory role: ADC Therapeutics, Astrazeneca, Beigene, Epizyme, Karyopharm, KITE pharma, Incyte, Numab, Therapeutics AG, Abbvie, Coherus Biosciences advisory board, Genentech Research funding: ADC Therapeutics, Astrazeneca, Ayala, Bayer, Beigene, Bristol Myers Squibb (spouse), De Novo Biopharma, Enterome, Genentech, Ignyta, Incyte Corporation, Kymera Therapeutics, Merck Sharp and Dohme Corp., MorphoSys, Nanjing Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Portola Pharmaceuticals, Viracta Therapeutics

440 | PHASE 1/2 STUDY OF ZILOVERTAMAB AND IBRUTINIB IN MANTLE CELL LYMPHOMA (MCL), CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (CLL), OR MARGINAL ZONE LYMPHOMA (MZL)

<u>H. Lee</u>¹, M. Choi², T. Siddiqi³, J. Rhodes⁴, W. Wierda⁵, I. Isufi⁶, J. Tuscano⁷, N. Lamanna⁸, S. Subbiah⁹, J. Koff¹⁰, L. Leslie¹¹, A. Goldenberg¹², G. Chung¹³, J. Breitmeyer¹⁴, S. Yazji¹⁴, T. Shih¹⁴, M. Wang¹, C. Jamieson¹⁵, T. Kipps²

¹The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Lymphoma, Houston, Texas, USA, ²Moores Cancer Center, UC San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA, ³Department of Hematology and Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation, California, USA, ⁴Northwell Health Cancer Institute, New Hyde Park, New York, USA, ⁵The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Leukemia, Houston, Texas, USA, ⁶Yale University School of Medicine, Hematology, New Haven, Connecticut, USA, ⁷UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, Sacramento, California, USA, ⁸Columbia University Medical Center, New York-Presbyterian/Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, USA, ⁹LSU Health Science Center, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, ¹⁰Emory University School of Medicine, Hematology and Medical Oncology, Atlanta, GeorgiaUSA, ¹¹Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Hackensack, New Jersey, USA, ¹²Manhattan Hematology Oncology Associates, New York, New York, USA, ¹³The Christ Hospital Lindner Center for Research and Education, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA, ¹⁴Oncternal Therapeutics, San Diego, California, USA, ¹⁵Moores Cancer Center and Sanford Stem Cell Clinical Center. Division of Regenerative Medicine, Dept. of Medicine, La Jolla, California, USA

Background: Zilovertamab (Zilo) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that inhibits the tumor promoting activity of the cancer stem cell receptor, ROR1, which is highly expressed in many hematologic malignancies but not on normal adult tissues.

Methods: Patients (Pts) with relapsed or refractory (RR) MCL or MZL or treatment-naïve (TN) or RR CLL were enrolled. Part 1 (Dose Escalation in CLL & MCL) evaluated multiple doses up to Zilo 600 mg IV q4wks + Ibr 420 mg (CLL) or 560 mg (MCL) daily which was selected for Part 2 (Dose Expansion in CLL, MCL & MZL) and Part 3 (CLL only; pts randomized 2:1 to Zilo+Ibr vs. Ibr alone).

Results: To date, 33 MCL, 62 CLL & 4 MZL (99) pts were treated in Parts 1, 2 & 3. In Parts 1&2, 28 RR MCL and 34 CLL (12 TN and 22 RR) on zilo+ibr were efficacy evaluable (MZL not yet evaluable). In Part 3, 23 CLL pts on Zilo+Ibr (16) or Ibr (7) were evaluable. Safety & efficacy results were as of 11 October 2022.