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SUMMARY

A model is proposed which explains the penetrative wear of a soft material by a harder one. Three distinct modes of penetration are present depending on the applied load. During the most severe penetration plate-like wear debris is ejected at the leading edge of the slider. A series of slip line fields is presented to approximate this debris formation process. Plastic constraint is seen to be an important factor in wear particle formation.

INTRODUCTION

While the problem of dry friction and wear is of great technological interest, there has been surprisingly little research done to develop models for the mechanics of the process. Although Tabor\(^1\) related frictional properties to the shear strengths of the interface and the substrates, no definitive work has been published relating the wear process to mechanical strengths. Archard\(^2\) has proposed a widely quoted wear equation:

\[
V = \frac{KL}{H} D
\]

where \(V\) is the volume of material removed; \(L\) is the load; \(D\) is the distance slid; \(H\) is the hardness of the softer material and \(K\) is a “constant” peculiar to the operating conditions of the system. The wear mechanism associated with this equation is ill defined, however, and the values of \(K\) are so widely scattered that the theory becomes questionable in value. Antler\(^3\) and Cocks\(^4,5\) each made critical observations concerning prow and wedge formation that were never resolved into a viable theory. In addition, Suh\(^6,7\) and his co-workers have offered a delamination theory which attempts to relate wear particle shape to dislocation behavior in the substrate. While the above theories have contributed important concepts none has related wear mechanics to material strengths in convincing fashion.

Recently, however, Bill and Wisander\(^8\) published a model for the wear of copper on bearing steel. In it they outlined a slip line field for the migration of
copper to the exit surface of the wear scar and the formation of plate-like debris at the trailing edge of the contact zone. The research in the present paper is a refinement of the slip line model based on scaled-up experiments done with modeling clay and wax as well as with pin-on-disk experiments conducted in a scanning electron microscope.

One factor which complicates the wear literature is the use of such ambiguous terms as abrasive and adhesive wear. While adhesive wear generally connotes metal to metal contact and abrasive wear implies use of a very hard grit neither term is defined in terms of the mechanics of wear. For example, Antler\textsuperscript{3} has shown that wear of copper, gold and aluminum pins on similar flats can generate a severely work hardened prow on the pin. This prow proceeds to gouge out the surface of the flat. This type of wear would conventionally be called severe adhesive wear and yet the gouging process is very similar to what is generally called abrasion. For this reason a new mechanistically defined term is proposed—penetrative wear. The term penetrative wear is meant to classify all those situations where a locally harder surface penetrates and wears a locally softer surface. This would include classic abrasion of a soft material by abrasive paper but only in those cases where the load on the individual grit is sufficient to cause penetration. This definition would also include wear resulting from local variations in hardness due to work hardening, impurities, or phase difference which cause penetration on contact. The mechanics of penetrative wear debris formation are explained later in terms of the plastic constraint imposed by the penetrating surface and the flow properties of the softer material.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

In studies of wear mechanisms it is most desirable to observe the processes while they occur rather than afterward, if possible. Because of the small scale of the events this has not been possible in the past. However, a pin-on-disk machine mounted in a scanning electron microscope (described else\textsuperscript{where}) allows the wear particle formation process to be photographed and video taped as it occurs. In order to describe the subsurface events that occur it is necessary to enlarge the scale with more traditional modeling techniques.

\textit{In situ SEM experiments}

The pin for this work was a commercial grade 25 micrometer diameter hemispherically tipped diamond cone with a 75 degree included angle. Since the penetration in all cases was not deep enough to cause contact with the conical surface the slider can be considered hemispherical. The diamond was mounted in a steel holder and inserted in a flexible beam loading device\textsuperscript{9}, (see Fig. 1). This unit gave both normal and transverse load measuring capability. Both steel and cast iron disks were used in this set of experiments. The steel disks were machined from 15 mm diam., 4 mm thick AISI 1075 round stock which had been austenitized at 760°C for one hour in a salt bath, oil quenched, and tempered at 370°C for one hour. The white cast iron disks were electrical discharge machined from 50 mm thick sections, as cast. The composition of the white iron as supplied by Climax Molybdenum Company was approximately 2.9 C, 0.75 Mn,
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Fig. 1. Pin-on disk wear machine mounted in a scanning electron microscope.

0.65 Si, 17.5 Cr, with the balance being iron. The pearlitic matrix, carbide distribution, hardness, and heat treatments are described in another paper\(^ {10} \). The heat treatment for the 1075 steel was selected to give approximately the same hardness and microstructure as the matrix of the white iron. Both types of disks were run in the scanning electron microscope chamber with varying loads on the diamond stylus to determine different wear regimes.

Results

Observation of the behavior of diamond on steel and diamond in the matrix of white iron leads to the definition of three distinct wear regimes relative
to the applied load for single pass experiments. At very low loads (Regime I) there is plastic deformation but no visible wear debris. At intermediate loads and intermediate penetration (Regime II) there is plastic deformation around the diamond slider, from the center of the track toward the outside—side flow. A built up ridge is observed at the outside edges of the wear track and occasionally a wear particle is seen to separate from the bulk. At higher loads (Regime III) a semicontinuous chip is evolved in front of the diamond. This chip appears to be made up of discrete platelets which have adhered to one another. In addition to the front flow in Regime III there is substantial side flow present. A pictorial definition of each wear regime is given in Fig. 3.

The modeling experiments show clearly the definitions of Regimes I and III and give insight into the processes of Regime II. Figure 4 shows the equivalent of Regime I with the brass cylinder sliding on modeling clay. At light penetration and consequently light loads, the clay “recovers” plastically behind the slider. This can be seen in the grid patterns and by measuring the recovered height of the clay. Figure 5 shows the equivalent of Regime III in modeling clay. It is apparent that the plastic constraint induced by the slider forces the removal of material ahead of the slider. This is analogous to large negative rake machining without a relief face on the tool and analogous to impact erosion

The front flow chipping mechanism prevalent in the clay is shown in sequential order in Fig. 6. Video taped replays show that the process starts when
Fig. 3. (a) Regime I. The etch marks are blurred over in the wear track (b) Regime II. Wear debris builds up along the sides of the track. (c) Regime III. Wear chips are ejected ahead of the slider.

Fig. 4. Plastic recovery behind the slider at light penetration. Shown in gridded modeling clay.
the slider travels a critical distance building up a bulge ahead of the slider (Fig. 6(a)). Johnson and Rowe\textsuperscript{12} have demonstrated a similar effect previously in light reduction extrusion of metals through low angle dies. When the bulge in the
clay reaches a critical height a shear crack starts to form at the junction of the original surface and the bulged surface (Fig. 6(b)) and propagates into the substrate along a plane roughly parallel to the surface of the slider. As the crack proceeds the platelet or chip is forced up along the surface of the slider where it adheres, under high compressive stress, to the next platelet (Fig. 6(c)). This behavior was shown to occur in modeling experiments done with cast paraffin wax (Fig. 7) as well as the in situ pin-on-disk experiments (Figs. 8 and 9). Because of the constraints to side flow induced by the modeling fixture Regime II (side flow regime) was impossible to model with clay or wax. Review of the video tapes of the pin-on-disk experiments indicates, however, that the same bulge formation mechanism applies in Regime II with an altered flow geometry.

The separation of wear behavior into Regimes I, II, and III is easily explained in terms of the flow behavior of the softer material relative to the constraint offered by the harder. At very low loads and consequently low penetrations plastic recovery behind the slider is possible. Johnson and Sowerby\textsuperscript{13} explain that for similarly light

![Image of platelike debris collected from modeling fixture during Regime III wax simulation.](image-url)
reductions during plate extrusion plastic recovery must take place behind the die. It is clear that the similar geometric constraints lead to the behavior shown in Regime I. At intermediate penetrations, however, material is forced around the sides of the slider in a flow pattern requiring less work than complete recovery behind the slider. Finally, at large penetrations plane strain conditions are met at the center of the contact track due to pressure exerted normal to the track by the surrounding material. This constraint combined with the continuity condition required behind the slider causes ejection of wear debris ahead of the slider.

The results of this research give an alternative explanation for the findings of Suh\textsuperscript{6} and his associates\textsuperscript{7}. It is quite apparent that the platelike wear debris found in this study is the result of plastic flow combined with surface initiated shear cracks required by the constraint induced by the slider. No void coalescence was observed in modeling clay and wax. Yet wax and clay appear to form debris in the same manner as steel and white iron for similar constraints. Clearly, in these experiments a plastic flow mechanism and not delamination causes platelike debris to form. It must also be seen from the sequence of events leading to the formation of a wear particle (Fig. 6) that the shear fracture properties must be included in any quantitative model of penetrative wear.

\textit{Slip line field analysis}

Repeted observation of the flow and fracture process indicated in Fig. 6
leads to the approximate slip line analysis shown in Fig. 10. Figure 10(a) shows the approximate field during bulge formation. While the angles $\phi$, $\psi$, and $\alpha$ can be determined experimentally for clay it is clear that they are functions of the relationship of the flow stress to the shear fracture stress. Hence, while modeling clay is traditionally used to show flow patterns during metal plasticity the angles mentioned above will not necessarily be similar for different materials. Thus the shape of the debris in other materials could be different while the mechanics remain essentially the same. In fact, the particle shape for wax, steel and white cast iron was roughly platelike (as opposed to triangular for clay) but the debris formation process is apparently the same. Figure 10(b) shows the severe shear taking place along the shear fracture line. This is shown vividly in modeling clay in Fig. 6(b). Figure 10(c) shows the last stage of the wear mechanism, the ejection of the particle and the subsequent reindentation of the new surface. Again the angles $\phi$ and $\psi$ are material specific; $\alpha$ however, is equal to 45 degrees.

While the slip line fields shown in Fig. 10 do not have compatible hodograph
CONCLUSIONS

A mechanism for the formation of plate-like wear debris found in penetrative wear has been proposed. These particles form in a three part process. First, as sliding proceeds a bulge forms ahead of the slider. Second, a shear crack initiates at the surface and propagates into the substrate parallel to the slider face. Third, the platelet is pushed up the face of the slider and attaches to next particle. In situ scanning electron microscope experiments and work with modeling clay and wax confirm this analysis. A series of slip line fields has been presented to approximate the flow patterns of the wear process. The shear crack that occurs indicates the need for future wear models to include fracture toughness in shear for quantitative or comparative analysis.
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