

## NEWTON POLYHEDRA AND FACTORIAL RINGS

Igor DOLGACHEV

*Dept. of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*

Communicated by P.J. Freyd

Received 10 August 1979

### 1. Introduction

Let

$$F = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} T_1^{i_1} \dots T_n^{i_n} \in k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, \dots, T_n, T_n^{-1}] = k[T, T^{-1}]$$

be a Laurent polynomial over a field  $k$ . The convex hull of the set  $\{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n : a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \neq 0\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is called the Newton polyhedron of  $F$  and will be denoted by  $\Delta_F$ . Following Hovanskii [5] we say that  $F$  is nondegenerate if for any face  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta_F$  the hypersurface  $\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \Delta'} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} = 0$  is non-singular in  $\bar{k}^{*n}$  ( $\bar{k}$  is the algebraic closure of the field  $k$ ). The main result of the present paper is the following:

**1.1. Theorem.** *Assume that  $F$  is nondegenerate and  $\dim \Delta_F \geq 4$ . Then the factor ring  $A_F = k[T, T^{-1}]/(F)$  is factorial (= UFD).*

For ordinary polynomials  $F \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n] = k[T]$  we have

**1.2. Corollary.** *Assume that  $F$  is nondegenerate as a Laurent polynomial,  $\dim \Delta_F \geq 4$  and  $\Delta_F$  intersects each coordinate hyperplane in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then the factor ring  $k[T]/(F)$  is factorial.*

It is easy to see that the second condition for  $\Delta_F$  says that  $F$  cannot be represented in the form  $F = T_i F'$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Certainly, this condition is necessary for  $k[T]/(F)$  to be a domain.

If  $F$  is a homogeneous polynomial, then the hypotheses of the corollary mean that  $n \geq 5$  and the hypersurface  $F = 0$  in  $\bar{k}^n$  has an isolated singularity at the origin. In that case the result is well known ([1]).

Now let  $\Delta$  be any compact convex polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whose vertices have integral coordinates. The set of all Laurent polynomials  $F \in k[T, T^{-1}]$  such that  $\Delta_F \subset \Delta$  is a vector space of dimension  $\# \Delta \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ , we denote it by  $\Gamma(\Delta)$ . It is shown in [5] that for an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero almost all Laurent polynomials

$F \in \Gamma(\Delta)$  are nondegenerate (as usually, “almost” means belonging to some open subset of  $\Gamma(\Delta)$  in the Zarisky topology).

**Conjecture.** If  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , then for any  $\Delta$  of dimension  $\leq 3$  and almost all  $F \in \Gamma(\Delta)$  the divisor class group  $C(A_F)$  does not depend on  $F$  and can be computed via  $\Delta$  only.

For ordinary polynomials we have a similar conjecture for the divisor class group  $C(k[T]/(F))$  assuming additionally that  $\Delta$  intersects each coordinate hyperplane. The only case where I know that this conjecture has been verified is the homogeneous case:  $\Delta = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : t_1 + \dots + t_n = d\}$ . Here  $\Gamma(\Delta)$  is the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ . For  $\dim \Delta = 3$  and almost all such  $F$  we have (see [1])  $C(k[T]/(F)) = 0$  for  $d = 1, d \geq 4, = \mathbf{Z}^6$  for  $d = 3$  and  $= \mathbf{Z}$  for  $d = 2$ . Also the homogeneous case shows that one can expect that this conjecture is valid for  $\Delta$  of smaller dimension.

## 2. Grothendieck's Lefschetz type theorem

Let  $A$  be any noetherian normal commutative ring,  $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ . Recall that  $A$  is factorial if and only if  $C(X) = 0$  ([3]). Here for any locally noetherian scheme  $X$ ,  $C(X)$  denotes the divisor class group of  $X$ , that is the free abelian group generated by the set  $X^{(1)}$  of points  $x \in X$  such that  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = 1$  modulo the subgroup of principal divisors  $(f) = \sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \nu_x(f)x$  ( $f$  is a rational function on  $X$ ,  $\nu_x(f)$  is the value at  $f$  of the discrete valuation defined by the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ). If all local rings of  $X$  are factorial (e.g.  $X$  is a regular scheme), then  $C(X)$  coincides with the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X)$ , the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on  $X$ .

The following result is the main ingredient of the proof of our theorem.

**2.1. Theorem** (Grothendieck [4]). *Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme,  $\mathcal{I}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals on  $X$ ,  $Y = V(\mathcal{I})$  the corresponding closed subscheme of  $X$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *for any point  $x \in X - Y$  the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is factorial of depth  $\geq 3$  (for example,  $X$  is regular of dimension  $\geq 3$ );*

(ii)  *$H^i(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1}/\mathcal{I}^{n+2}) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Then the restriction homomorphism  $r: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is bijective.*

## 3. A construction of Hovanskii

To deduce our main result from the Grothendieck theorem we need the following construction of Hovanskii [5] which is based in its turn on the theory of torical varieties (see [2, 7]).

Let  $\Delta$  be a compact convex polyhedron in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whose vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  have integral coordinates. The function  $f_\Delta : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f_\Delta(x) = \min_{y \in \Delta} \langle x, y \rangle = \min_i \langle x, v_i \rangle$$

is called the supporting function of the polyhedron  $\Delta$ .

**3.1. Definition.** A finite set  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of convex rational polyhedron cones in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is said to be a  $\Delta$ -fan if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) if  $\sigma$  is a face of some  $\sigma_\alpha$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ ;
- (ii) for any  $\alpha, \beta$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha \cap \sigma_\beta$  is a face of  $\sigma_\alpha$  and  $\sigma_\beta$ ;
- (iii)  $\bigcup_\alpha \sigma_\alpha = \mathbf{R}^n$ ;
- (iv) each  $\sigma_\alpha$  has a vertex (i.e.  $\pm x \in \sigma_\alpha$  implies  $x = 0$ );
- (v)  $f_\Delta|_{\sigma_\alpha}$  is a linear function for each  $\alpha$ .

The first three conditions say that  $\Sigma$  is a finite rational polyhedral decomposition of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  in terms of [7] or a complete fan in terms of [2]. Given a  $\Delta$ -fan  $\Sigma$  let  $X_\Sigma$  be the corresponding torical variety. Recall that  $X_\Sigma$  is constructed as a glueing of affine varieties  $X_{\sigma_\alpha} = \text{Spec}(A_\alpha)$ , where  $A_\alpha$  is the subalgebra of the group algebra  $k[\mathbf{Z}^n]$  generated by  $e^r$ ,  $\langle r, x \rangle \geq 0$  any  $x \in \sigma_\alpha$ . The natural injection  $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow k[\mathbf{Z}^n]$  defines an open embedding of  $T^n = \text{Spec}(k[\mathbf{Z}^n])$  into  $X_{\sigma_\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ , they are glued to an open embedding of  $T^n$  ( $n$ -torus) into  $X_\Sigma$ . Condition (iii) of 3.1 implies that  $X_\Sigma$  is a complete algebraic variety.

For each  $\sigma_\alpha \in \Sigma$  let  $M_\alpha$  be a  $A_\sigma$ -submodule of  $k[\mathbf{Z}^n]$  spanned by the  $e^r$ 's,  $\langle r, x \rangle \geq f_\Delta(x)$  for all  $x \in \sigma_\alpha$ . It can be checked that the  $M_\alpha$ 's are glued to a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma$  on  $X_\Sigma$ , a subsheaf of the constant sheaf  $(k[\mathbf{Z}^n])_{X_\Sigma}$ . Condition (v) of 3.1 implies that each  $M_\alpha$  is a cyclic  $A_\sigma$ -module generated by any  $e^r$ , where  $f_\Delta(x) = \langle r, x \rangle$ ,  $x \in \sigma_\alpha$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma$  is an invertible sheaf.

**3.2. Lemma (Hovanskii).** For any  $\Delta$ -fan  $\Sigma$

- (a)  $H^0(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma) = k[\Delta \cap \mathbf{Z}^n]$ , the subspace of  $k[\mathbf{Z}^n]$  spanned by  $e^r$ ,  $r \in \Delta$ ;
- (b)  $H^i(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{\otimes k}) = 0$ ,  $0 < i < \dim \Delta$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly,

$$H^0(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_\Sigma) = \bigcap_\alpha M_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\substack{r: \langle r, x \rangle \geq f_\Delta(x) \\ \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n}} ke^r.$$

Obviously, for any  $r \in \Delta$ ,  $\langle r, x \rangle \geq f_\Delta(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , then by the supporting hyperplane lemma of H. Weyl there exists a point  $x$  and a number  $b$  such that  $\langle r, x \rangle < b$  and  $\langle y, x \rangle \geq b$  for all  $y \in \Delta$ . This shows that for such  $x$ ,  $\langle r, x \rangle < f_\Delta(x)$ . The assertion is proven.

(b) In notation of [7, Ch. I] the sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma^{\otimes k}$  coincides with the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{f_k}$  associated with the function  $f_k = kf_\Delta$ , the supporting function of the polyhedron  $k\Delta = \{kx, x \in \Delta\}$ . If  $k \geq 0$ , then  $f_k$  is convex upward and hence by loc. cit.  $H^i(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F}_{f_k}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . If  $k < 0$ , then we have

$$H^i(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F}_{f_k}) = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^n} H^i_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n; k),$$

where  $Y_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, s \rangle \geq f_k(x)\}$ . Using the standard sequence of local cohomology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{R}^n - Y_s) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we easily get that  $H^i_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$  ( $H^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{R}^n - Y_s)$  is always surjective) and

$$H^i_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - Y_s), \quad i \geq 2.$$

Now

$$Y_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, s \rangle \geq k \min_i \langle x, v_i \rangle\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, s - kv_i \rangle \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

is a convex cone such that the maximal vector subspace contained in it coincides with the space

$$E_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, s - kv_i \rangle = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

We easily compute its dimension

$$\dim E_s = \begin{cases} n - \dim \Delta & \text{if } s \text{ belongs to the affine hull of } k\Delta, \\ n - \dim \Delta - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Retracting  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  and applying the Alexander duality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - Y_s) &= H^{i-1}(S^{n-1} - Y_s \cap S^{n-1}) = H_{n-1-i}(Y_s \cap S^{n-1}) \\ &= H_{n-1-i}(E_s \cap S^{n-1}) = H_{n-1-i}(S^{\dim E_s - 1}) = 0, \quad \text{if } i < \dim \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we get for  $i \geq 2$

$$H^i_{Y_s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^{i-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - Y_s) = 0, \quad i < \dim \Delta; s \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and hence  $H^i(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F}_{f_k}) = 0$  for  $0 < i < \dim \Delta$ .

**Remark.** The assertions of the lemma were stated in [5], proofs were omitted there.

Let us identify the algebra  $k[\mathbb{Z}^n]$  with the algebra of Laurent polynomials  $k[T, T^{-1}]$  by assigning  $e^r$  to  $T^r = T_1^{r_1} \cdots T_n^{r_n}$ ,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . Then we may identify the spaces  $k[\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n]$  and  $\Gamma(\Delta)$  (resp. Laurent polynomials  $F \in \Gamma(\Delta)$  and global sections  $s_F$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma$ ). Let  $Y_F^\Sigma$  be the closed subscheme of  $X_\Sigma$  defined by the section  $s_F$  and  $U_F^\Sigma = Y_F^\Sigma \cap T^n$  be its intersection with the open subset  $T^n$  of  $X_\Sigma$ . It follows immediately from our constructions that

$$(3.2) \quad U_F^\Sigma \simeq \text{Spec}(A_F)$$

and hence does not depend on the choice of a  $\Delta$ -fan  $\Sigma$ .

**3.3. Lemma** (Hovanskii [5]). *Suppose that  $F \in \Gamma(\Delta)$  is a nondegenerate Laurent polynomial. Then there exists a  $\Delta$ -fan  $\Sigma$  such that  $X_\Sigma$  and  $Y_F^\Sigma$  are non-singular.*

#### 4. Proof of the main result

Let  $F$  be a nondegenerate polynomial with  $\dim \Delta_F \geq 4$ . Choose some  $\Delta_F$ -fan  $\Sigma$  satisfying the assertion of Lemma 3.3, let  $X = X_\Sigma$ ,  $Y = Y_F^\Sigma$ ,  $U_F = U_F^\Sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\Sigma$ . Since by Lemma 3.1  $H^i(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) = 0$  for  $k < 0$  and  $i < \dim \Delta_F$  we easily get that the conditions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied for the pair  $(X, Y)$  (obviously,  $Y = V(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -1})$ ). Thus the restriction homomorphism  $r: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  is bijective. Now,  $X$  contains as an open dense subset the  $n$ -torus  $T^n$ , since  $\text{Pic}(T^n) = 0$  we get that each divisor on  $X$  is linearly equivalent to a divisor supported in  $X - T^n$ . This implies that each divisor on  $Y$  is linearly equivalent to a divisor supported in  $Y - Y \cap T^n = Y - U_F$ . Next, we have a canonical surjection  $C(Y) \rightarrow C(U_F)$  whose kernel is generated by the classes of divisors supported in  $Y - U_F$  [3, Corollary 7.2]. Because  $Y$  is non-singular  $C(Y) = \text{Pic}(Y)$  and we get that the above surjection is trivial, thus  $C(U_F) = C(A_F) = 0$ .

To get Corollary 1.2 we notice that under its hypotheses the singular locus of the variety  $F = 0$  in  $\bar{k}^n$  has codimension  $\geq 2$ . By the Krull-Serre normality criterion [3, Theorem 4.1] this implies that the ring  $k[T]/(F)$  is normal. It remains to consider the canonical restriction homomorphism  $C(k[T]/(F)) \rightarrow C(A_F)$  and apply [3, Corollary 7.3] to get that  $C(k[T]/(F))$  is zero as soon as  $C(A_F)$  is zero.

**Remark.** The similar technique is applied to the case of complete intersection rings  $k[T, T^{-1}]/(F_1, \dots, F_r)$  (see the needed definitions and facts in [5]).

#### Acknowledgements

This paper arose as a by-product of my lectures devoted to beautiful papers of Hovanskii [5, 6] and Danilov [2]. It owes them very much.

#### References

- [1] A. Andreotti and P. Salmon, Anelli con unica decomponibilita in fattori primi, *Monatsh. Math.* 61 (1957) 97-142.
- [2] V. Danilov, Geometry of torical varieties, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk.* 33 (1978) 85-134 (in Russian; Engl. transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys*, 33 (1978)).
- [3] R. Fossum, The divisor class group of a Krull domain, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Bd. 74 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973).
- [4] A. Grothendieck, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux coherents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux [SGA II] (North-Holland, Paris-Amsterdam, 1969).

- [5] A. Hovanskii, Newton polyhedra and torical varieties, *Funkt. Analiz i Ego Prilozhenia*, 11 (1977) 56–67 (in Russian; Engl. transl.: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 11 (1977) 289–296).
- [6] A. Hovanskii, Newton polyhedra and the genus of complete intersections, *Funkt. Analiz i Ego Prilozhenia* 12 (1978) 51–61 (in Russian; Engl. Transl.: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 12 (1978) 38–46).
- [7] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford and B. Saint-Donat, Toroidal embeddings, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, Vol. 339 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972).