Tail Pinch Induced Stress-Arousal Facilitates Brain Stimulation Reward
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KATZ, R. J. AND K. ROTH. Tail pinch induced stress-arousal facilitates brain stimulation reward. PHYSIOL. BEHAV. 22:1 193–194, 1979.—Adult male Sprague Dawley rats with chronic access to self stimulation were subjected to handling, tail pinch, or left undisturbed. Tail pinch increased responding for positive reinforcement while the other conditions did not. The stress related properties of tail pinch may therefore facilitate responding in the present and other situations.

Method

Animals

Nine adult male Sprague Dawley rats (350–500 g each) were obtained locally (Charles River Farms, Portage, MI) and maintained on ad lib food (Teklad 4.0% fat diet S-0836) and tap water, and automatically programmed lighting cycles of 12 hr (lights on = 8:00-20:00 hr).

Apparatus and Procedure

The self stimulation design of Wolf et al. [12] was employed for all testing. Animals were implanted under sodium pentobarbital (Nembutal 50 mg/kg) anesthesia with unipolar 0.025 cm nichrome wire electrodes insulated to the tip. Each electrode was attached to a head mounted brass brushing, and secured to the skull with stainless steel screws and acrylic dental cement. Each animal's cage (individual 25×18×17 cm, of stainless steel) contained a 14×16 cm steel plate located 14 cm from the floor which served as a contact plate and manipulandum. Upwards directed pressure of approximatively 20 g applied to the plate resulted in the completion of a circuit for which the cage floor served as ground. A pulse of 0.3 sec sinusoidal 60 cps current was delivered through the brushing to the rat for each response. Current ranged from 50–300 μA in intensity for individual rats, and was delivered through a series of capacitors and high resistances and across an isolation transformer to maintain approximately constant current conditions. All electrodes were aimed at the medial forebrain bundle (coordinates from Bregma = −5.0, 1.0--8.0) and were verified histologically in a subsample of 4 rats. A minimum of 2 weeks access to the apparatus and behavioral contingency preceded testing. During this period, animals remained undisturbed except for daily weighing and periodic cage maintenance (i.e. replacement of food, water, bedding). The animals were examined for self stimulation during a period of normal quiescence (for all animals 11:00-14:00 hr), and 3 measurements separated by at least 24 hr were taken for each animal. Rates of self stimulation behavior were taken for 1 hr during normal undisturbed quiescence, immediately after weighing, and after tail pinch. For the latter, a rubber dam forceps closed to the first notch was manually applied to the tail 3–4 cm from its base for 30 sec. This produced a mild nontraumatic pressure when tested on human fingers. Order of treatments was random with respect to animals.
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RESULTS

Table 1 indicates that the testing period is normally a time characterized by low rates of self-stimulation. Visual observations of the rats indicated that they were not sleeping. It may also be seen in Table 1 that weighing and tail pinch both increased self stimulation. Repeated measures analysis of variance [4] revealed a significant effect across groups (F(2,16)=4.17, p<0.05). Post hoc comparisons by Duncan’s multiple range test [3] indicated significant (p<0.05) differences for quiescence and tail pinch, and handling and tail pinch (observed values were 23.8, 20.8). No significant difference was observed in comparing quiescence and weighing (observed value=3.0, p>0.05). Therefore, tail pinch significantly elevated behavior from either of two baseline conditions which did not themselves differ. We have had occasion to test 2 adrenalectomized animals using the above procedure, and our results were essentially similar in all respects. Rates after behavior quiescence, handling and tail pinch were 0, 0, and 600±420. These preliminary results suggest an extra-adrenal mediation of behavioral change.

| TABLE 1 |
| HRULY RATES OF SELF STIMULATION UNDER THREE CONDITIONS (MEAN ± SEM) |
| Quiescence | 187 ± 187 |
| Handling | 276 ± 153 |
| Tail Pinch | 900 ± 482 |

Mean and standard error calculated across animals. All analysis is based upon within animals changes.

Linear regression analysis of tail pinch induced self stimulation upon previous 24 hr self stimulation scores indicated a correlation coefficient of r=0.92 (p<0.05 by Fisher’s transformation t=6.3). High baseline responders therefore were the most active responders to tail pinch. This may be interpreted as an overall individual responsiveness which is evident across situations but specific to animals, and also as a further indicator of the specificity of the manipulation with respect to reward.

DISCUSSION

Tail pinch has been reported to affect a variety of behaviors. Our results confirm and extend the motivational generality of the phenomenon. These findings are consistent with other reports suggesting stressors may affect brain stimulated reward [5,8]. For example, a buzzer paired with shock selectively reinstated a bar-pressing habit in rats which were trained to respond for stimulation to tegmental sites, and subsequently extinguished [5]. Moreover, normally quiescent monkeys sought hypothalamic stimulation strictly in conjunction with emotionally arousing stimulation (i.e. the presentation of a toy snake or dominant animal) [8]. Other findings, e.g. the reversal of conditioned emotional suppression by brain stimulation reward [3] also indirectly suggest that selected CNS areas are rewarding in response to stress. They may represent a “relief” producing system which requires prior emotional activation. In the present report animals again sought stimulation after stress.

Our results suggest that merely arousing an animal i.e. through weighing produces a minor facilitation of responding which actually is not significantly different from a control baseline. On the other hand, however, the consequences of tail pinch upon reward are substantially higher than those associated with resting or handling. It might be argued that handling is perhaps less arousing, or, more likely arousing but less stressful. The stress related consequences of tail pinch may therefore be important for the observed facilitation. These findings extend the generality of tail pinch related behavioral change, stress, and behavior.
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