

THE EXPONENT OF UNil

F. T. FARRELL†

(Received December 1976)

INTRODUCTION

CAPPELL [3] HAS introduced obstruction groups for his splitting theorem— $\text{UNil}_k^h(R; M_1, M_2)$ and $\text{UNil}_{2k}^s(R; M_1, M_2)$. (Here R is a ring, M_1 and M_2 are R -bimodules, and k is an integer.) He showed they are 2-primary in the geometrically interesting cases. In these cases, we prove the exponent of $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(, ,)$ divides 4. (See Theorem 1.3.) Our techniques probably give the same result for $\text{UNil}_{2k+1}^h(, ,)$ and $\text{UNil}_{2k}^s(, ,)$; we don't attempt this to avoid obscuring our argument with technical details. It occurred to the author, after completing this paper, that a sufficiently general localization theorem in L -theory would probably yield reasoning as in [9] and [5], a direct proof that 8 annihilates $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(, ,)$ (for the same cases as above). Ranicki [10] has recently constructed such a localization theorem.

We obtain some additional information about $L_3(Z_2 * Z_2)$. (See Theorem 4.1.)

§1. MAIN RESULT

Let R be a ring with 1 and involution $r \rightarrow \bar{r}$, and M a R -bimodule with involution also denoted by $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ (see e.g. [3]). Let $\mathcal{F} = (P, \lambda, \mu)$ be a $(-1)^k$ Hermitian form over M and $f: V \times V \rightarrow Z$ a symmetric (integral valued) bilinear form on a finitely generated, free, abelian group V . Define $f\mathcal{F} = (V \otimes P, \lambda', \mu')$ to be a new $(-1)^k$ Hermitian form over M . We explain the terms occurring in $f\mathcal{F}$. First, $V \otimes P$ is tensor product with respect to Z ; $V \otimes P$ inherits a right R -module structure from P ; clearly, $V \otimes P$ is a free, finitely generated R -module. Next, the bilinear pairing λ' is determined by the equation

$$(1) \quad \lambda'(v \otimes x, w \otimes y) = f(v, w)\lambda(x, y)$$

for $v, w \in V$ and $x, y \in P$. Finally, the quadratic map μ' is determined by

$$(2) \quad \mu'(v \otimes x) = f(v, v)\mu(x)$$

for $v \in V$ and $x \in P$.

We collect together some notation. Let $P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ and $\lambda^*: P \rightarrow P^* \otimes_R M$ be the adjoint of λ ; i.e. the composite of the map $P \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ defined by $x \rightarrow \lambda(x, \cdot)$ with the inverse of the canonical isomorphism

$$P^* \otimes_R M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M).$$

Similarly, let $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, Z)$ and define $f^*: V \rightarrow V^* \otimes (P^* \otimes_R M)$ by $f^*(m) = f(m, \cdot)$. The following diagram commutes

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes P & \xrightarrow{(\lambda')^*} & (V \otimes P)^* \otimes_R M \\ & \searrow f^* \otimes \lambda^* & \uparrow \\ & & V^* \otimes (P^* \otimes_R M) \end{array}$$

†The author was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

where the vertical map is the canonical isomorphism. Recall f is non-singular if f^* is an isomorphism. When f is non-singular, define

$$f^{-1}: V^* \times V^* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$$

by requiring $(f^{-1})^* = (f^*)^{-1}$.

Let D_{2n} denote the dihedral group of order $2n$. Fix generators α and γ for D_{2n} with $\alpha^2 = 1 = \gamma^n$ and $\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}$; define $\beta = \gamma\alpha$. (Note $\beta^2 = 1$.) Let $\mathcal{L} = (V, f)$ be a $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -lattice; i.e. V is a finitely generated, \mathbf{Z} -free, D_{2n} -module and $f: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a symmetric, D_{2n} -invariant, non-singular form. Define associated, symmetric, non-singular forms $f_1, f_2: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by

$$(4) \quad f_1(v, w) = f(\alpha v, w), \quad f_2(v, w) = f(\beta v, w)$$

for $v, w \in V$. Notice that f_1^* is the composite of f^* and multiplication by α ; f_2^* the composite of f^* with multiplication by β . Set $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = (V^*, f^{-1})$, then \mathcal{L}^{-1} is also a $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -lattice.

Let M_1 and M_2 be R -bimodules with involution which are free as left R -modules, $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{F}_1; \mathcal{F}_2)$ a $(-1)^k$ UNil form over (M_1, M_2) , where $\mathcal{F}_i = (P_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i)$ are $(-1)^k$ Hermitian forms over M_i ($i = 1, 2$) with $P_2 = P_1^*$ (see e.g. [3]). Define a new $(-1)^k$ UNil form $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{F}'_1, \mathcal{F}'_2)$ by $\mathcal{F}'_1 = f_1\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}'_2 = (f^{-1})_2\mathcal{F}_2$. (To be precise, \mathcal{F}'_2 is the pullback of $(f^{-1})_2\mathcal{F}_2$ to $(V \otimes P_1)^*$ via the canonical isomorphism $(V \otimes P_1)^* \rightarrow V^* \otimes P_1^*$.) Using (3), we see $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the nilpotent condition in the definition of a $(-1)^k$ UNil form. (See [3].)

Recall \mathcal{C} is a kernel if there exist free summands S_i of P_i ($i = 1, 2$) with $S_2 \subset P_2 = P_1^*$ the annihilator of $S_1 \subset P_1$, and with $\lambda_i|_{S_i \times S_i}$ and $\mu_i|_{S_i}$ zero; we call the pair (S_1, S_2) a subkernel for \mathcal{C} .

LEMMA 1.1. *If either \mathcal{C} is a kernel or \mathcal{L} is a split lattice, then $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ is a kernel.*

Proof. First, assume $\mathcal{C} = (P_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1; P_2, \lambda_2, \mu_2)$ is a kernel with subkernel (S_1, S_2) and $\mathcal{L} = (V, f)$, then $(V \otimes S_1, V^* \otimes S_2)$ is a subkernel for $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$.

Next, assume \mathcal{L} is split (see [6], p. 294) and let W be a Lagrangian in V ; i.e. W is a D_{2n} -submodule such that $W = W^\perp$ where

$$(5) \quad W^\perp = \{v \in V | f(v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\},$$

then $(W \otimes P_1, f^*W \otimes P_2)$ is a subkernel for $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$.

COROLLARY 1.2. *The pairing $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C}) \mapsto \mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ induces a unital $GW(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$ -module structure on $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(R; M_1, M_2)$.*

(See [6] for the definition of $GW(\cdot, \cdot)$.)

In certain cases, Cappell constructs a map from UNil to the Wall surgery group. Namely, let $R \subset \Lambda_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be inclusions of rings with identity and involution. Assume that Λ_i has an R -bimodule with involution decomposition $\Lambda_i = R \oplus \hat{\Lambda}_i$, $\hat{\Lambda}_i$ a free left R -module. Let Λ denote the amalgamation ring $\Lambda_1 *_R \Lambda_2$, then there is a map

$$(6) \quad \rho: \text{UNil}_{2k}^h(R; \hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_2) \rightarrow L_{2k}^h(\Lambda).$$

(See [3].) We now describe the situation of particular interest to us. Let H, G_1, G_2 be finitely presented groups with $H \subset G_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\omega_i: G_i \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ homomorphisms

with $\omega_1|H = \omega_2|H$; these determine involutions on $\mathbf{Z}[H]$, $\mathbf{Z}[G_1]$, $\mathbf{Z}[G_2]$, $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ where $G = G_1 *_H G_2$. Let $\mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_i]$ denote the $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ subbimodule with involution of $\mathbf{Z}[G_i]$ additively generated by $g \in G_i - H$. This fits into the above terminology with $R = \mathbf{Z}[H]$, $\Lambda_i = \mathbf{Z}[G_i]$, $\hat{\Lambda}_i = \mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_i]$, and $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[G]$. But, in this specific situation, Cappell[3] shows the map ρ of (6) is a monomorphism. We use this fact in proving our main result.

THEOREM 1.3. *The exponent of $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(\mathbf{Z}[H]; \mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_1], \mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_2])$ divides 4 (for all k).*

To prove this, we first show that ρ factors through $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(\Lambda; \Lambda, \Lambda)$ which we abbreviate to $\text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda)$. Let the $(-1)^k$ UNil form $\mathcal{C} = (P_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1; P_2, \lambda_2, \mu_2)$ represent an element in $\text{UNil}_{2k}^h(R; \hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_2)$; associate to it the $(-1)^k$ UNil form over (Λ, Λ)

$$(7) \quad \hat{\mathcal{C}} = (P_1 \otimes_R \Lambda, \hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\mu}_1; P_2 \otimes_R \Lambda, \hat{\lambda}_2, \hat{\mu}_2)$$

where $\hat{\lambda}_i$ and $\hat{\mu}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are determined by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_i(x \otimes s, y \otimes t) &= \bar{s}\lambda_i(x, y)t, \quad \text{and} \\ \hat{\mu}_i(x \otimes s) &= \bar{s}\mu_i(x)s \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in P_i$ and $s, t \in \Lambda$. The correspondence $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ induces a homomorphism

$$(9) \quad \hat{\rho}: \text{UNil}_{2k}^h(R; \hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_2) \rightarrow \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda).$$

Cappell's procedure for defining ρ also gives a map

$$\rho': \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda) \rightarrow L_{2k}^h(\Lambda).$$

Namely, ρ' is determined by associating to a $(-1)^k$ UNil form $(P_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1; P_2, \lambda_2, \mu_2)$ over (Λ, Λ) a $(-1)^k$ Hermitian form (P, λ, μ) over Λ with $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ and

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(x, y) &= \langle x, y \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in P_2 = P_1^*, \quad y \in P_1; \\ \lambda(x, y) &= \lambda_i(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in P_i; \\ \mu(x) &= \mu_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in P_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the factorization.

LEMMA 1.4. *The map ρ factors as the composite of $\hat{\rho}$ with ρ' .*

Therefore, it suffices to show the exponent of image ρ' divides 4; for this, we need some more lemmas. Denote the identity of D_{2n} by e and the cyclic subgroups generated by α, β, γ , and e , respectively, by (α) , (β) , (γ) , and (e) ; their inclusion maps into D_{2n} by i, j, k , and l , respectively.

LEMMA 1.5. *For each $r \in \text{GW}((\gamma), \mathbf{Z})$ and $x \in \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda)$, $k_*(r)x = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = (V, f)$ represent r and $\mathcal{C} = (P_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1; P_2, \lambda_2, \mu_2)$ represent x , then k_*r is represented by the $\mathbf{Z}D_{2n}$ -lattice (W, g) where $W = V \oplus V$,

$$(11) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$$

and α, β act (relative to this decomposition) via the matrices

$$(12) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ \gamma^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Then, $V_1 \otimes P_1$ is a subkernel for $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ where V_1 is the first component of W .

PROPOSITION 1.6. *For each $x \in \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda)$, there exists an integer N_x such that for all $n > N_x$ and every $r \in \text{GW}((\alpha), \mathbf{Z})$ and $s \in \text{GW}((\beta), \mathbf{Z})$,*

$$\rho'(i_*(r)x) = 0 = \rho'(j_*(s)x).$$

PROPOSITION 1.7. *When n is a power of 2,*

$$i_*(2) + j_*(2) + k_*(2) - l_*(2) = 4$$

is an equation in $\text{GW}(D_{2n}, \mathbf{Z})$.

We postpone the proofs of these propositions to §2 and §3 and complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. As already observed, it suffices to show $4\rho'(x) = \rho'(4x) = 0$ for all $x \in \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda)$. Let n be a power of 2; $n > N_x$. By Proposition 1.7,

$$(13) \quad i_*(2)x + j_*(2)x + k_*(2)x - l_*(2)x = 4x,$$

but Lemma 1.5 shows $k_*(2)x = 0 = l_*(2)x$. (Note that l factors through k .) Applying ρ' to (13), we obtain

$$\rho'(i_*(2)x) + \rho'(j_*(2)x) = \rho'(4x).$$

The result now follows from Proposition 1.6.

Remark 1.8. Proposition 1.6 was geometrically motivated by Browder’s paper[1] and Lemma 1.5 by the Browder–Levine paper[2].

§2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.6.

The proof of Proposition 1.6 divides into a few slightly different cases; we prove only one of these (Proposition 1.6') and leave the others to the reader.

PROPOSITION 1.6'. *For each $x \in \text{UNil}_{2k}(\Lambda)$, there exists an integer N_x such that for all even integers $n > N_x$ and every $r \in \text{GW}((\alpha), \mathbf{Z})$, $\rho'(i_*(r)x) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = (V, f)$ represent r and $\mathcal{C} = (P, \lambda_1, \mu_1; P^*, \lambda_2, \mu_2)$ represent x . For any even integer $n = 2m$, $\rho'(i_*(r)x)$ is represented by a $(-1)^k$ Hermitian form (Q, λ, μ) with

$$(14) \quad Q = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n \oplus P_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n^*$$

where $P_i = V \otimes P$. The forms μ and λ have certain nice properties; first, $\mu|P_i^* = 0$ for all i and $\mu|P_i = 0$ for $i \neq m$ and n . Next, we discuss the properties of λ ; define

forms

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi: V \otimes P \times V \otimes P &\rightarrow \Lambda, \text{ and} \\ \psi: V^* \otimes P^* \times V^* \otimes P^* &\rightarrow \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

by the equations

$$(16) \quad \varphi(v \otimes x, w \otimes y) = f(\alpha v, w)\lambda_1(x, y)$$

for $v, w \in V$ and $x, y \in P$, and

$$(17) \quad \psi(v \otimes x, w \otimes y) = f^{-1}(\alpha v, w)\lambda_2(x, y)$$

for $v, w \in V^*$ and $x, y \in P^*$. Then, λ is described by the equations (where $x_i \in P_i^*$ and $y_j \in P_j$)

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(x_i, y_j) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \langle x_i, y_j \rangle & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \\ \lambda(y_i, y_j) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i + j \neq n \\ \varphi(y_i, y_j) & \text{if } i + j = n, \text{ and} \end{cases} \\ \lambda(x_i, x_j) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i + j \neq n + 1 \\ \psi(x_i, x_j) & \text{if } i + j = n + 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In matrix terminology, λ has the form

$$(19) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & \pm I \\ I & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where I is the identity matrix; B a “ $n \times n$ -matrix” with ψ along the skew diagonal and zero elsewhere; and A a “ $n \times n$ -matrix” with φ along the diagonal above the skew diagonal, also in the bottom, right corner and zero elsewhere.

Since \mathcal{C} is a UNil form, $\lambda^* \lambda^* : P^* \rightarrow P^*$ is nilpotent; i.e. there is an integer N' such that $(\lambda^* \lambda^*)^p = 0$ for all $p \geq N'$, hence $h^p = 0$ for $p \geq N'$ where $h = \varphi^* \psi^*$. Now, if $m - 1 \geq N'$, we can construct a subkernel S for (Q, λ, μ) ; namely,

$$(20) \quad S = P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_{m-1} \oplus W \oplus P_{m+1}^* \oplus \dots \oplus P_n^*$$

where it remains to describe W . To each $x \in (V \otimes P)^*$, associate $x' \in Q$ where the i -th component x'_i of x' is given by the formula

$$(21) \quad x'_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if either } i \leq m \text{ or } i > 3m \\ -\psi^* h^j(x) & \text{if } m < i \leq n, \text{ where } j = i - (m + 1) \\ h^{3m-i}(x) & \text{if } n < i \leq 3m; \text{ i.e.,} \end{cases}$$

$$x' = (0, \dots, -\psi^*(x), \dots, -\psi^* h^{m-1}(x), h^{m-1}(x), \dots, x, 0, \dots);$$

let W be the submodule consisting of all x' . A straightforward calculation verifies that S is a subkernel.

§3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.7.

Let \mathbb{Q} denote the rational numbers, E_r the equation posited in Proposition 1.7 for $n = 2r$, and $D^r = D_{2n}$. Since Dress ([6], Theorem 5) has shown that the map

$GW(D^r, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow GW(D^r, \mathbf{Q})$ is a monomorphism, it suffices to verify E_r in $GW(D^r, \mathbf{Q})$. We proceed by induction on r ; the case D^1 (the Klein 4-group) can be checked directly and is left to the reader. When $r \geq 1$, Wall (see e.g. [12], p. 68) has observed that

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{Q}D^{r+1} \simeq \mathbf{Q}D^r \oplus M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$$

where $\theta = \pi/n$ and $M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$ denotes the 2×2 -matrix ring over the field $\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)$. In this decomposition, the map $\mathbf{Q}D^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}D^r$ is induced by the group homomorphism $D^{r+1} \rightarrow D^r$ which sends γ, α in D^{r+1} to γ, α , respectively, in D^r ; the map $\mathbf{Q}D^{r+1} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$ is determined by sending

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \gamma &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Fröhlich and McEvert[8] have defined for a ring R with involution a group $\mathcal{M}(R)$ which reduces to the Witt ring when R is a field with trivial involution, and for a finite group G , $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}G) = GW(G, \mathbf{Q})$. Applying $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$ to (22), we obtain

$$(24) \quad GW(D^{r+1}, \mathbf{Q}) \simeq GW(D^r, \mathbf{Q}) \oplus \mathcal{M}(M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)));$$

therefore, to verify E_{r+1} , it suffices that it projects to a valid equation on each factor of (24). One shows, without much difficulty, that E_{r+1} projects to E_r on the first factor of (24).

Next, observe that both 4 and $k_*(2)$ project to 0 in the second factor of (24). Now, $M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$ is Morita equivalent (in the standard way) to $\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)$; via which, we identify $\mathcal{M}(M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)))$ to $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$ —the ordinary Witt ring of the field $\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)$. After this identification, $l_*(2)$ clearly projects to $4 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$; also, $i_*(2)$ goes to 2, while $j_*(2)$ projects to the element represented by the form $(1 + \sin \theta) \perp (1 + \sin \theta)$. Since 2 is the sum of two squares ($2 = 1^2 + 1^2$), $(1 + \sin \theta) \perp (1 + \sin \theta)$ and $(2 + 2 \sin \theta) \perp (2 + 2 \sin \theta)$ represent the same element. But, $2 + 2 \cos \theta$ is also the sum of two squares in $\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)$; namely,

$$(25) \quad 2 + 2 \sin \theta = (\cos \theta)^2 + (1 + \sin \theta)^2.$$

(Note that $\sin \theta \in \mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta)$ since $\theta = \pi/2'$.) Hence, $(2 + 2 \sin \theta) \perp (2 + 2 \sin \theta)$ and $1 \perp 1$ represent the same element in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Q}(\cos \theta))$; namely, 2.

§4. EXAMPLE

Let D be the infinite dihedral group generated by α, γ subject to relations $\alpha^2 = 1$ and $\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}$, $D(n)$ the subgroup of index n generated by α and γ^n , and T_n the normal subgroup generated by γ^n . Note $D(n)$ is isomorphic to D and T_n is infinite cyclic; $T_n \subset D(n) \subset D$; denote these inclusions by i and j_n , respectively. Equip D with the trivial homomorphism $\omega: D \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ and let \mathbf{Z}_2 denote the cyclic group of order 2. Let $\beta_n = \gamma^n\alpha$ and $(\alpha), (\beta_n)$ denote the subgroups of $D(n)$ generated by these elements. (These subgroups are cyclic of order 2.) Wall ([11], p. 162) shows $L_3(\mathbf{Z}(\alpha)) = \mathbf{Z}_2 = L_3(\mathbf{Z}(\beta_n))$; identify the sum of their images in $L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(n))$ with $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Either $L_3(\mathbf{Z}D)$ is $\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ or it is not finitely generated*

We deduce this from two lemmas whose proofs are postponed to the end of this section. When $j: G \rightarrow H$ is an inclusion where G is a subgroup with finite index in H , recall there is a transfer map $j^*: L_*(\mathbf{Z}H) \rightarrow L_*(\mathbf{Z}G)$.

LEMMA 4.2. *To each $x \in L_3(\mathbf{Z}D)$ corresponds an integer N_x such that*

$$j_p^*(x) \in \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$$

for all primes $p \geq N_x$.

LEMMA 4.3. *When p is an odd prime,*

$$j_p^* j_{p*}(x) = x + \frac{p-1}{2} i_* i^*(x)$$

for all $x \in L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(p))$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By [3],

$$(26) \quad L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(n)) = \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \text{UNil}_3(\mathbf{Z})$$

where \mathbf{Z} has the trivial involution. Our proof is by contradiction, hence assume $\text{UNil}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ is non-zero but finitely generated. Since $\text{UNil}_3(\mathbf{Z})$ is a quotient group (by definition) of $\text{UNil}_4(\mathbf{Z}[H]; \mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_1], \mathbf{Z}[\hat{G}_2])$ for appropriate choices of H, G_1 , and G_2 , its exponent divides 4 (Theorem 1.3); in particular, $L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(n))$ is a finite group annihilated by 4. It is well known there are arbitrarily large primes of the form $8m + 1$, hence there is a prime p such that

$$(27) \quad j_p^*: L_3(\mathbf{Z}D) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \subsetneq L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(p)), \text{ and}$$

$$(j_p)_*(j_p)_* = \text{identity}: L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(p)) \rightarrow L_3(\mathbf{Z}D(p)).$$

(Use Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.) But, (27) is self-contradictory.

It remains to discuss Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. The first can be proven geometrically. Let N be a 10-dimensional, connected, orientable manifold containing a simply connected (connected), codimension-1 sub-manifold M which separates N into two components A and B with cyclic fundamental groups of order 2 and universal covers diffeomorphic to $M \times [0, 1]$. (Such spaces are easily constructed.) Note that $\pi_1 N \cong D$ and its universal cover is diffeomorphic to $M \times \mathbf{R}$. By Wall ([11], p. 66), each $x \in L_3(\mathbf{Z}D)$ determines a surgery problem

$$(28) \quad f: W \rightarrow N \times [0, 1], \text{ with}$$

$$f_-: \partial_- W \rightarrow N \times 0 \text{ the identity map}$$

and having obstruction x . Associated to $D(p) \subset D$, we have p -sheeted covers \hat{N}, \hat{W} and an induced surgery problem

$$(29) \quad \hat{f}: \hat{W} \rightarrow \hat{N} \times [0, 1]$$

with obstruction $j_p^*(x)$. Now, M lifts to \hat{N} and

$$(30) \quad \hat{f}_+: \partial_+ \hat{W} \rightarrow \hat{N} \times 1$$

splits along M for all p sufficiently large by Browder's result[1]. Making \hat{f} transverse to the rest of $M \times [0, 1]$ and completing surgery on this membrane, we see that $j_p^*(x)$ is the sum of elements coming from $L_3(\mathbf{Z}(\alpha))$ and $L_3(\mathbf{Z}(\beta_p))$.

Finally, Lemma 4.3 would be an immediate consequence of the Mackey subgroup property. Dress ([6], p. 302) shows that L -theory satisfies such a property for finite groups and subgroups. It's probably true for arbitrary groups and subgroups of finite index. In any event, a simple direct argument, similar to that used to prove ([7], Lemma 2.7), can be given for Lemma 4.3; the details are left to the reader.

Remark 4.4. Our proof of Theorem 4.1 was motivated by Cappell's paper[4] where he showed that $L_2(\mathbf{ZD})$ is not finitely generated.

REFERENCES

1. W. BROWDER: Structures on $M \times \mathbf{R}$, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **61** (1965), 337-345.
2. W. BROWDER and J. LEVINE: Fiberings manifolds over a circle, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **40** (1966), 153-160.
3. S. E. CAPPELL: Unitary nilpotent groups and Hermitian K -theory. I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1974), 1117-1122.
4. S. E. CAPPELL: On connected sums of manifolds, *Topology* **13** (1974), 395-400.
5. F. X. CONNOLLY: Linking numbers and surgery, *Topology* **12** (1973), 389-410.
6. A. DRESS: Induction and structure theorems for orthogonal representations of finite groups, *Ann. of Math.* **102** (1975), 291-325.
7. F. T. FARRELL and W. C. HSIANG: Rational L -groups of Bieberbach groups, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **52** (1977), 89-109.
8. A. FRÖHLICH and A. M. MCEVETT: Forms over rings with involution, *J. Alg.* **12** (1969), 79-104.
9. D. S. PASSMANN and T. PETRIE: Surgery with coefficients in a field, *Ann. of Math.* **95** (1972), 385-405.
10. A. RANICKI: The algebraic theory of surgery, preprint.
11. C. T. C. WALL: *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*. Academic Press, New York (1970).
12. C. T. C. WALL: Classification of Hermitian forms. VI Group rings, *Ann. of Math.* **103** (1976), 1-80.

The University of Michigan