ABSTRACT

Results from in-situ measurements relevant to the interaction of bodies in flowing plasmas are reviewed. A brief discussion of the interaction in the general context of SPACE PLASMA PHYSICS, including possible applications to solar-system plasmas is given. The mode of experimentation in the Shuttle/Spacelab era is also mentioned.

1. BACKGROUND COMMENTS

Understanding the phenomena involved in the interaction between highly rarefied plasmas and rapidly moving bodies is fundamental to Solar-System Plasma Physics and to Space Plasma Physics at large. The study of flow interactions between planets and some of their natural satellites with the solar wind and planetary magnetospheres is now over a decade old. However, only recently [1] have attempts been made to seek a "unified-theory" for body-plasma interactions. Body-plasma interaction in the solar system can be: (1) between the solar wind and a planetary magnetic field, (2) between the solar wind and a planetary ionosphere-atmosphere, and (3) between a planetary environment and/or solar wind with the surface of the body. Hence, the structure of the flow fields ahead of and behind the body have specific characteristics for each case. A particular case of both scientific and practical interest is that of a spacecraft orbiting the earth. Here, the interaction takes place in a supersonic and sub-Alfvenic flow regime, which may suggest application to the motion of natural satellites orbiting their parent planets in the outer solar system. Although the motion of an artificial satellite in a planetary ionosphere can serve as a 'model' for some typical interactions in the solar system, the entire complex of phenomena involved in such interactions is not yet well-known. In the past, the interaction between satellites and the terrestrial ionosphere was of interest because of (1) its relevance to the reliability of in-situ measurements performed by current-collection devices (e.g. [2,3]), and (2) the effects such interactions have on the scattering of electromagnetic waves [4] from satellite trails. Many theoretical papers dealing with different aspects of the interaction were published [2, 4-12]. Various aspects of the interaction of earth-orbiting satellites were investigated experimentally via in-situ observations [13-17] and via laboratory simulation experiments [3, 18-20]. However, despite the above efforts, the information currently available from both theoretical and experimental work is fragmentary and insufficient for an adequate exami-
nation of the physical processes involved in such interactions. Some of the processes involved in the above interactions are of interest to the physics of rarefied plasmas in general, and are applicable to specific cases of body-plasma interactions in the solar system. The in-situ information available for analysis is limited and fragmentary due to: (1) the fact that most diagnostic probes were flush-mounted on the surfaces of the satellites and/or on relatively short booms confining the measurements to the very near vicinity of the satellite's surface, (2) the fact that most in-situ data are obtained as by-products of experiments designed for geophysical purposes, hence the data results from non-optimal space experiments and (3) the fact that experiments could not be performed in a controlled manner.

With the advent of the Shuttle/Spacelab, it becomes possible to use the terrestrial environment as a 'working' rarefied plasma and the Spacelab/Orbiter as a 'near-earth' laboratory suitable for performing body-plasma interaction studies in a wide scientific context. The approach of using the Spacelab/Orbiter as an active laboratory where controlled experiments can be performed is a new experimental concept, which combines the experience and methods used in space research together with the practices and methods of experimentation used in plasma-chamber research. A wide spectrum of problems can be studied via the utilization of the Spacelab/Orbiter and its wide range of capabilities. Among problems of fundamental interest to space plasma physics are: (1) the nature of collisionless super sonic and sub-Alfvenic flows including body-plasma interactions in this regime (applicable for example, to the motion of natural satellites such as Io and Titan in the environment of their parent planets), (2) parametric conditions for the applicability of magnetohydrodynamics and kinetic approaches in dealing with body-plasma interactions in the solar system. We expect the next generation of experiments relevant to BODY-PLASMA interactions in its widest context to be performed on board large space platforms. Such in-situ studies could be complemented by laboratory-simulation experiments performed in plasma chambers, thereby extending the range of plasma flow regimes to be studied. This introduces the question of 'scaling'. Traditionally, when 'simulation' of cosmic, astrophysics or planetary phenomena was attempted, the efforts focused on the simulation of 'entire systems' or 'configurations' in space. In attempting the latter, the effort appeared sometimes useless. However, as discussed by Falthammar [21]; Block [22]; Podgorny and Andrijanov [23] and others, it is possible to use the principle of 'qualitative scaling' and achieve 'process simulation'. Experimental work, both in-situ on board large space platforms and via laboratory-simulation can utilize this principle and achieve results of fundamental significance to Space Plasma Physics. In sum, the next generation of well-conceived experiments in the general area of BODY-PLASMA interactions should proceed along two avenues: (1) controlled and active in-situ measurements performed on board the Spacelab/Orbiter or any other large space platform and (2) laboratory-simulation measurements in plasma chambers. For each mode of experimentation the principle of 'qualitative scaling' could be used, thereby extending the range of scientific return.

2. IN-SITU MEASUREMENTS

2.1: General Comments

Most of the information available at the present from in-situ measurements is relevant to the interaction between a spacecraft and the terrestrial ionosphere. This information stems from selected samples of measurements made by planar, spherical and cylindrical Langmuir probes, retarding potential analyzers and ion mass spectrometers mounted on board ionospheric satellites. During the 1960's, measurements from the U.K./Ariel 1, Explorer 31 and the Gemini-Agena 10 were used, and during the 1970's measurements from the Explorer 31, Atmosphere Explorer C and E and the USAF/S3-2 satellites were used. For all cases, measurements of:
Both $I_e$, $N_e$, $I_i$, $N_i$, $T_e$, $T_i$, $\Phi_S$ and $M_+$ (where $I$ and $N$ represent current and number densities for electrons and ions respectively, $T$ = temperature, $\Phi_S$ = satellite potential with respect to the ambient plasma and $M_+$ = ionic composition) were sought. In most cases (e.g. Samir [24]), the probes were flush-mounted on the surfaces of the satellite except for the cylindrical electrostatic probes (Brace et al. [25] on board the Atmosphere Explorer C (AE-C) and the guarded planar probe which was mounted on a 5 m long boom on board the Ariel 1 satellite. The available information is mostly relevant to: $I = f(r = R_0, -2 R_0, 5 R_0, \theta)$ where: $R_0$ = the 'effective radius' of the satellite and $\theta$ = the angle of attack. Hence, an a-priori limitation is imposed on the measurements namely, being confined to the nearest vicinity of the satellite surface. Far regions, namely, regions for which $d > S R_0$ (where: $d$ = distance from satellite center, $S$ = ionic Mach number) ahead and behind the satellites could not have been studied via direct measurements. Figure 1 is a schematic drawing showing satellite geometry and probe location. During the 1960's most observations were exploratory and phenomenological [13] and showed the existence of a wake depleted of ions and electrons with a net negative charge. The early results from the Explorer 31 (late 1960's and early 1970's) confirmed the gross features observed earlier.

Fig. 1. A schematic diagram showing the Ariel 1, Explorer 31 and AE-C satellites including the location of some probes.
During the 1970's, attempts were made to compare theoretical wake models with relevant in-situ measurements, e.g. [4, 6, 14, 26-29]. The main deficiency of early studies is that no systematic parametric investigations were performed. Hence, the major effort during the 1970's focused on the latter (e.g. [15, 16]).

2.2. Discussion of some significant results.

A. Electron ion and potential distribution in the near wake of an ionospheric satellite.

1) Electron and ion distribution near the surface (d = R0).

The distribution of electrons, ions and local potential around ionospheric satellites was studied via measurements of probes mounted on the surfaces of the: Ariel 1, Explorer 31, AE-C and S3-2 satellites [15, 24, 30-33].
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Fig. 2. Variation of $I_e = f(\theta)$ at the surface of an ionospheric satellite in the altitude ranges: (a) 430-600 km, (b) 620-910 km, (c) 1175-1685 km and (d) 2060-2280 km (After: Samir and Willmore, 1965; Samir and Wrenn, 1969).

Figure 2 shows an average picture of $I_e = f(\theta)$ at $d = R_0$ based on measurements from the Ariel 1 and the Explorer 31 satellites. As seen from the figure the ratio $\alpha = |I_e(\text{wake})/I_e(\text{ambient})|$ varies in the range $10^{-2}$ to $\approx 1$ with $\alpha > 1$ for the higher altitudes. Hence, $\alpha \approx 10^{-2}$ depicts the amount of electron
depletion in the wake for a plasma whose major ionic constituent is $[O^+]$ and $\alpha \neq 1$ depicts the amount of electron depletion in the wake for a plasma whose major ionic constituent is $[H^+]$. Another finding was that no build-up of electrons exists at any altitude ahead of the satellite. Figure 3 shows the variation of normalized ion and electron currents with the angle of attack ($\theta$) in the wake of the Explorer 31 satellite, in the altitude ranges: 520-570 km, 600-900 km and 700-930 km. The solid lines represent the ion current variation and the dashed lines represent the electron current variation. The result shows quantitatively the difference between $I_e$ and $I_i$ and as expected the difference increases as we proceed further into the wake region (i.e. larger angles of attack). The plasma parameters referring to each of the altitude ranges are: (1) $R_D = 20$, $S_{AV} = 4.8$, $\phi_N = -4.6$, $M_e = 12$, $|T_e/T_i| = 1.09$ for the altitude range 700-900 km, (2) $R_D = 19$, $S_{AV} = 3.9$, $\phi_N = -3.1$, $M_e = 12.6$, $|T_e/T_i| = 1.06$ for the altitude range 600-900 km, and (3) $R_D = 56$, $S_{AV} = 5/6$, $\phi_N = -3.6$, $M_e = 16.0$, $|T_e/T_i| = 1.28$ for the altitude range 520-570 km. It should be noted that the results shown in Figure 3 are from measurements of a retarding potential analyzer (R.P.A) whereas the results shown in Figure 2 are from guarded planar probes whose method (a.c. probe) differs from that used by the R.P.A. Recently, measurements from planar ion probes mounted on the surface of the S3-2 satellite were used to examine the variation of $\beta = |I_i(\text{wake})/I_i(\text{ambient})|$ with altitude. Preliminary results show the amount of ion depletion in the wake of the S3-2 satellite to be larger compared with that obtained for the Explorer 31. This can be understood in terms of the variation of ion current in the wake with the parameter

![Figure 3. Variation of normalized ion currents](image-url)
This study is in progress.

The angular variation of the equilibrium potential ($\phi_S$) (or: 'space potential') of an ionospheric satellite with ionospheric properties was studied using measurements from the Ariel 1, the Explorer 31 and the AE-C satellite [15,31,33]. It was found that the difference between space potential values and environmental plasma potential do not exceed (0.5 - 0.7) volts. Measurements from the cylindrical electrostatic probe (CEP) on board the AE-C satellite and measurements from a planar guarded (a.c.) probe on board the Explorer 31 satellite were used to examine the variation of $\gamma = \frac{|\phi_S(\text{measured})/\phi_S(\text{computed})|}{T_e}$ for: $950^\circ K < T_e < 3300^\circ K$, where $|\phi_S(\text{computed})|$ is the commonly used simple expression. It was found that $\gamma > 1$. For the AE-C results where: $950^\circ K < T_e < 1050^\circ K$ we have $\gamma \approx 2.5$ whereas for the Explorer 31 results where: $1400^\circ K < T_e < 3300^\circ K$, a dependence of $\gamma = f(T_e)$ is suggestive with $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ for higher values of $T_e$. The result is shown in Figure 4. Further details on this study are given in Samir et al. [15].

Miller [34] studied the $I_e = f(\theta)$ at a distance $d \approx 2 R_0$ from the center of the satellite, using measurements from the cylindrical Langmuir probe on board the Explorer 31 satellite (see Figure 1). He indicated the possible existence of an enhancement in $I_e$ at $\theta \approx 120$. Examination of Ariel 1 data [30] shows the possibility of a similar enhancement in $I_e$. However, since the sample of data used is relatively small, the latter claim needs further confirmation. If this result is confirmed, it may be used to assess the role played by electric fields in the near wake zone [4]. Figure 5 shows the angular variation of the normalized electron current (i.e. $|I_e/I_o| = f(\theta)$) behind: (a) the main body of the Ariel 1 satellite and (b) the spherical ion probe as measured by the planar probe mounted on the boom, (see Figure 1) at a distance of $d \sim 5 R_0$ from the center of the satellite. The main conclusions from the results shown in Figure 5 are that similar wake structures can be obtained from bodies which differ in their $R_D$ and $\phi_N$ parameters. That both wakes indicate an enhancement of current on the wake axis and show the wake to be wider than the 'geometrical wake' width. It should be noticed that $R_0$ (satellite/Ro(probe)) $\approx 6$ and a similar value existed for $|\phi(probe)/\phi(satellite)|$. The ionic Mach number for the sample of data used in the above study was $S \approx 4$. Further details on this study are given in [32].
A useful quantitative result obtained by comparing the average value \( I_e/I_o \), at \( d = 5R_0 \) with the average value of \( I_e/I_o \) at \( d = R_0 \) shows \( I_e/I_o \) at \( d = 5R_0 \) to be about 50, for a plasma with \( R_P = 10 \) and \( S = 3.75 \). This result was also used for theory-experiment comparison \([4,6,28]\). Studies relevant to the distribution of ions and electrons around an ionospheric satellite and particularly the distribution in the wake were also made by: Oya \([35]\), Well and Yorks \([36]\), and by Medved, Troy and Samir \([37,38]\). The work by Medved et al. was done using the Agena-Gemini 10 system. The Agena was a large body compared with ionospheric satellites like Ariel and Explorer and indeed the normalized ion current \( I_e/I_o \) at \( \theta = 150 - 180 \) showed this ratio to be several orders of magnitude smaller than the larger values obtained for the Explorer 31 (see Figure 3). Furthermore, during an axial maneuver performed by the Gemini capsule relative to the Agena, an approximate \( I_e/I_o \) and \( I_i/I_o \) axial profile was obtained, indicating an enhancement in current at a distance of about \( z = 5R_0 \) in the wake. This result is in general accord with results shown in Figure 5. The implication of this result to interesting wake characteristics in terms of a 'two stream' flow model is given in \([20]\).

B. About an electron temperature enhancement in the wake.

A study of the angular distribution of electron temperature around a satellite at \( d = R_0 \) indicated the electron temperature in the wake to exceed that of the ambient electron temperature. Figure 6 shows the variation of electron temperature with altitude for two angle of attack ranges: (a) \( 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 60^\circ \) and (b) \( 150^\circ \leq \theta \leq 180^\circ \) as measured by a planar probe flush-mounted on the surface of the Explorer 31 satellite \([39]\). A similar study, using measurements from an R,P.A. mounted on the surface of the same satellite \([40]\) showed a similar result. Electron temperature measurements made by a similar probe mounted on the boom on the Ariel 1 satellite namely, at a distance \( d = 5R_0 \) from the satellite center (Fig. 1) did not show any such temperature enhancement. The \( T_e = f(\theta) \) study was extended and possible effects of the geomagnetic field on electron temperature were examined (e.g. \([39,40]\). The outcome of the study shows \( T_e = f(\theta) \) to be more significant than \( T_e = f(B_E) \), where: \( B_E = \) geomagnetic field. Moreover, it has been shown that the magnitude of the enhancement does not depend on the average ion mass, although the electron density depletion in the wake is strongly correlated with ionic mass \([40]\). It should be noticed further that the Gemini-Agena 10...
experiment also indicated an electron temperature enhancement in the wake [38]. The cause of the temperature enhancement is not yet clear. Since we know [2] that behind the satellite a negative potential well exists, it is possible that in this well, wave-particle interactions take place and apply an energy filtering mechanism to the electrons that leave it. The resulting population close to the satellite can then have an effective temperature higher than that of the ambient thermal electrons. Alternatively, it is possible to infer the existence of heating mechanisms in the wake region due to stream interactions and/or plasma instabilities correlated with plasma oscillations in the near wake [39]. The existence of the latter was suggested by Samir and Willmore [30], and discussed in [4,6]. Iliiano and Storey [41] suggested that the $T_e(\text{wake})$ enhancement is 'apparent' rather than real, based on the possible usage of a truncated Maxwellian produced by a shift in the space charge potential. As discussed by Troy et al [40], this suggestion is unlikely. Gurevich et al, [52] discuss the existence of ion acceleration mechanisms upon the expansion of a rarefied plasma into a vacuum. Such mechanisms may be relevant to the above discussion.

C. Evidence for the existence of plasma oscillations in the near wake zone.

Measurements from the Ariel 1 satellite have indicated the possible existence of plasma oscillations near the steep density gradients at the wake boundary. The oscillations refer to temporal variations of the plasma in the satellite's frame of reference and their frequency has a component of about 3 kc/sec. This finding is in accord with theoretical calculation [2,4,6], in particular with the plasma instability in the wake of a moving body which generates oscillations with frequencies of the order of 5 kc/sec for a plasma with $N = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $M_e = 16$ similar to the experimental conditions of $N_0 \approx 10^6 - 10^5$ and $M_e = 16$ given in [30]. This result may be connected to the electron temperature enhancement discussed in the preceding section. It is expected that Spacelab/Orbiter experi-
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flows would include measurements directly aimed at searching for such plasma
oscillations.

D. About some recent parametric studies.

Parametric studies which could contribute to a better understanding of the
physical processes involved in BODY—PLASMA interactions seldom materialized in the
past (Section 2.1). This situation was rectified somewhat by using Atmosphere
Explorer data. And indeed, the analysis of measurements performed by the
ensemble of instruments on board the AE-C and AE-E satellites contributed signi-
ficantly to parametric studies. The results discussed below are a partial out-
come of analyses which made use of measurements from the cylindrical electro-
static probe (CEP) (Brace et al.)[25] and the Bennett Ion Mass Spectrometer (BIMS)
(Brinton et al.,)[43]. Using measurements of ion current, electron temperature,
ionic composition, and values of space potential the parameters: \( R_D \), \( T_e / T_i \), \( S \); \( \Phi_N \)
were computed for each of the data samples used. The angular distribution of
ion current at a distance of \( d \approx 0.5 \ R_D \) from the satellite's surface, was investiga-
ted for the parameter ranges: \( 58 \leq R_D \leq 162 \); \( 1.07 \leq |T_e/T_i| \leq 1.21 \);
\( 5.93 < S < 8.04 \); \( 8.4 < \Phi_N < 9.5 \) which far extend parametric ranges used in
earlier studies. It was found that \( I_+/I_o = f(\theta) \) behaves differently for different
sets of parameters, particularly having different values of \( R_D \). For example,
the amount of ion depletion in the wake (i.e. \( |I(\theta = 165^\circ)/I_o(\text{ambient})| \)) for
the parametric conditions: \( R_D = 162.5 \); \( |T_e/T_i| = 1.1 \); \( S = 7.7 \); \( \Phi_N = 8.4 \) was by at
least one order of magnitude larger than the ion depletion for the parametric
conditions: \( R_D = 83.8 \); \( |T_e/T_i| = 1.1 \); \( S = 7.9 \); \( \Phi_N = 9.3 \). Moreover, it was found
that \( I_+(\text{wake})/I_+(\text{ambient}) \) displays an exponential dependence on \( R_D \) for 'constant'
values of other relevant parameters \([15;44]\). Figure 7 shows the variation of
\( |N_+(\theta = 160^\circ)/N_+(\text{ambient})| \) with \( R_D \) for \( 37 \leq R_D \leq 247 \). The exponential dependence
can be written in the form: \( \beta = a_0 \exp(a_1 \cdot R_D) \) where: \( \beta \) = the ratio of the
density in the wake to ambient density and \( a_0 = 0.06 \); \( a_1 = -0.009 \). It should be
realized that the establishment of such empirical relationships should aid in
testing theoretical wake models, i.e. help assess the validity and range of
applicability of physical assumptions used in the models. Samir and Kaufman \([29]\)
performed a partial parametric theory-experiment comparison, and found that a
combined approximation which is a weighted sum of a neutral approximation for
\( \text{H}^+ \) and a quasi-neutral approximation for \( \text{O}^+ \) yield better results than any of
these approximations separately. Another parametric investigation \([16]\) indicated
that both electron temperature and ionic composition significantly influence the
amount of ion depletion in the wake as shown in Figure 8. In this figure the
variation of \( \beta \) with electron temperature for various values of \( N(\text{O}^+)/N(\text{H}^+) \) are
shown. It should be realized that in addition to the scientific value of these
parametric investigations, results can be used in the planning and design phase of
CONTROLLED experiments to be performed in the future on board large space
platforms.
Fig. 7. Variation of $\frac{N_+ (\theta = 160^\circ)}{N_+ (\theta = 90^\circ)}$ with $R_D = R_0/\lambda_D$.
After Samir et al, 1980.

Fig. 8. Variation of $\beta = \frac{|I_4\text{ (wake)}|}{I_4\text{ (ambient)}}$ with $T_e$ for various values of $R = |N(0^\circ)/N(90^\circ)|$.
After Samir et al 1979.
SUMMARY

Most in-situ investigations performed during the past decade were limited to the very near surface of ionospheric satellites. An extension of the studies to the exploration of further regions would have required the use of multi-body systems or long booms. Such were not available for scientific work. It is expected that experiments to be performed on board the Spacelab/Orbiter will allow the performance of well-planned controlled experiments in the area of body-plasma interactions in its widest sense. Despite the a-priori limitations mentioned, the parametric studies already performed have contributed significantly towards a better understanding of the parametric interplay hence the acting physical processes.
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