

Elevation of Tissue Coenzyme Q (Ubiquinone) and Cytochrome *c* Concentrations by Endurance Exercise in the Rat¹

ROBERT E. BEYER,² PEDRO G. MORALES-CORRAL,³ BOBBI J. RAMP,
KEVAN R. KREITMAN, MICHAEL J. FALZON, STEPHEN YUNG SHIK RHEE,
THOMAS W. KUHN, MONA STEIN, MITCHELL J. ROSENWASSER,
AND KENNETH J. CARTWRIGHT

Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1048

Received April 11, 1984, and in revised form June 25, 1984

Six months of enforced and voluntary endurance training of young female Wistar rats resulted in significant decreases of body weight and gastrocnemius muscle wet weight and protein content, and increases in heart weight and protein content, and liver protein content. The coenzyme Q and cytochrome *c* concentrations of cardiac, gastrocnemius, and deep red region of the vastus lateralis muscles were increased, while small or nonsignificant trends toward increases in cytochrome *c* and coenzyme Q were seen in kidney, brain, lung, liver, internal + external oblique muscles, and the superficial white region of the vastus lateralis muscle. These results are discussed with regard to several roles for coenzyme Q in cellular function. © 1984 Academic Press, Inc.

Skeletal muscle adapts to prolonged endurance training by a large number of biochemical modifications (1), including the synthesis of an increased number of mitochondria (2, 3). As a consequence, skeletal muscle adapted to endurance training contains elevated concentrations of cytochrome *c*⁴ (4, 5), flavin (3), and an increased capacity to catalyze the oxidation of pyruvate (6), long-chain fatty acids (6, 7), and β -hydroxybutyrate and acetate (8). The increased requirement for oxygen delivery to the mitochondrial cy-

tochrome oxidase is aided by an increased skeletal muscle concentration of myoglobin (9, 10). A very significant number of enzymes catalyzing individual steps of β -oxidation of fatty acids, the tricarboxylic acid cycle, the electron transport chain, oxidative phosphorylation and associated transport, and synthetic reactions have also been reported to respond to endurance exercise training (cf (1) for a review). Mitochondria isolated from muscle of trained animals appear normal with respect to oxidative catalytic activity, oxidative phosphorylation efficiency, and respiratory control (3, 11-13).

Coenzyme Q⁵ (CoQ) is an obligatory

¹ This paper is dedicated to the memory of Professor David Ezra Green, an inspiration to many of us researching the biochemistry of biological energy transfer mechanisms.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed.

³ Present address: Departamento de Medicina del Deporte y Rehabilitacion, Universidad Autonoma de Nuevo Leon, Monterrey, N.L., Mexico.

⁴ Abbreviations used: cyt. *c*, cytochrome *c*; CoQ, coenzyme Q; TES, 2-[[2-hydroxy-1,1-bis(hydroxymethyl)ethyl]amino]-1-propanesulfonic acid; SVL, superficial white vastus lateralis (Type IIB); DVL, deep red vastus lateralis (Type IIA).

⁵ We prefer the term coenzyme Q to ubiquinone for the following reasons: (i) The term ubiquinone implies that the compound is ubiquitous (14). It is not present in a number of organisms (15) including gram-positive bacteria (16) and some fungi (17). It is also not present in methanogenic bacteria (18); (ii) A coenzymatic function for this benzoquinone, originally suggested by Green (19), has recently received considerable experimental support (20-22) by the isolation of mitochondrial CoQ apoproteins

member of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (23), and has a regulatory effect on mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase (24-27), NADH dehydrogenase (28, 29), and the cytochrome *b-c*₁ complex (30-32). CoQ has been postulated (33-35) as serving as a "proton motive Q cycle," instrumental in conserving energy released by oxidation-reduction reactions of the electron transport chain in the establishment of an inner mitochondrial transmembrane proton gradient. Despite the importance of this benzoquinone, no studies have been published on the effect of endurance training on CoQ tissue concentrations. This study reports such data on CoQ together with alterations observed on tissue *cyt c* concentrations.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Exercise protocol. Six-week-old, pathogen-free female Wistar rats were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and housed in a temperature-controlled room (20°C) free of other rodent species. The animals were maintained within the guidelines of the American Physiological Society. At the age of 2 months, a group of rats entered a forced training program and were housed in exercise wheel cages (Wahmann Rodent Activity Cages) for the remainder of the training period. All animals received Purina Rat Chow (No. 5001, Ralston Purina Co.) and water *ad libitum*. The animals were trained in a 10-channel, motor-driven rodent treadmill (Model 42-15, Quinton Instruments, Seattle, Wash.). Throughout the exercise program, the treadmill angle of incline was 8° (15%). Treadmill running was reinforced by an overhead air jet and, when necessary, mild electric shock. All exercising animals ran 5 days/week for a 6-month period. During the first week of training, the animals ran between 15 and 30 min at a rate of 26.8 m/min (1 mile/h). The duration was increased daily until the rats were running at this rate for 120 min by the 10th day of training. This protocol was maintained for the remainder of the 6-month training period.

At the beginning of the training period, the animals ran voluntarily in their exercise wheels a mean

distance of approximately 7 miles/day, decreasing gradually to between 1.5 and 2 miles/day at the end of the 6-month training period. This level of voluntary running activity has been reported previously (36, 37).

Tissue preparation. Animals were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (40 mg/kg, ip). Tissues were removed and placed immediately in ice-cold isotonic medium (4) consisting of 50 mM TES, 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM K·PO₄, at pH 7.5. The tissues were rinsed with ice-cold isotonic medium until free of blood, and blotted dry and weighed. Tissues selected for analysis were heart (ventricles), kidneys, brain, liver, and lung, and gastrocnemius, internal + external oblique, and vastus lateralis muscles. The vastus lateralis muscles were separated into deep red region type IIA (DVL) and superficial white region type IIB (SVL) portions, and the two were analyzed separately. Tissues were analyzed immediately or stored frozen.

Immediately prior to analysis, a volume of cold distilled water equal to five (heart) or two (all other tissues) times the wet weight of the tissue was added, and the tissue was minced and then homogenized for between 90 and 120 s with a Willem's UltraTurrax homogenizer (Model BEW, Janke and Kunkel, AB) operated at 60% maximum speed. This procedure also removes the bulk of connective tissue.

Assays. Tissue protein concentration was determined in triplicate by a biuret procedure (38). Crystalline bovine serum albumin, used as standard, was prepared and standardized according to Kaziro *et al.* (39). Tissue CoQ concentrations were analyzed in triplicate by the procedure of Kroger (40). An attempt to utilize a single-blind approach to CoQ analyses was obviated by the conspicuous differences between sedentary and trained animals with respect to hind limb muscle coloration due to increased concentrations of hemoglobin, myoglobin, and cytochromes. *Cyt. c* was determined in triplicate according to the procedures of Aschenbrenner *et al.* (41) or Williams and Thorp (42). These two procedures gave comparable results in the concentration range previously published (43) for cardiac muscle.

Statistical analysis. Statistical confidence intervals between group means were determined according to Student's small-sample *t* test (44). Two-tailed *t* tests were used unless a trend could be predicted *a priori*, in which case a one-tailed *t* test was applied. All data are reported as means ± standard error of the mean.

or binding proteins. (iii) The objection to the use of CoQ on the basis that it may be confused by students of biochemistry with a coenzyme of Q-enzyme (15) is no longer valid since this term for amylo(1,4-1,6)-*trans*-glycosylase is not found in modern texts of biochemistry. In fact, a survey of modern textbooks of biochemistry indicates that the designation Coenzyme Q is preferred.

RESULTS

Body Weight

Six months of enforced endurance training, coupled with the opportunity to run voluntarily, produced a group of

trained rats whose mean body weights were 87.9% of the sedentary control group, a difference statistically significant at the 99.9% level of confidence (Table I). That the body weight difference between the trained and sedentary groups reported in Table I was a direct result of the experimental condition is supported by a lack of body weight difference between the groups at the beginning of the training period (Table I). This body weight differential between trained and sedentary rats is a common observation (3, 9, 36, 45-47).

The effect of endurance training on tissue weights and protein contents is reported in Table II. As has been observed previously (48-50), treadmill training in rodents results in cardiac hypertrophy, reflected as an increase in the weight of the heart and its content of protein but not its protein concentration (Table II). Endurance exercise-induced cardiac hypertrophy is more pronounced in female rats than in males (49), and may not be observed in elderly rats trained with a milder training protocol (43).

Although training did not affect liver weight, the protein content and concentration of the liver was increased 60 and 51%, respectively, in the trained group. Small increases in lung weight and protein content in the trained group were also observed (Table II). No alterations as a result of training occurred in the weights or protein contents of the kidneys or brain. On the other hand, the wet weight, protein content, and protein concentration of gastrocnemius muscle, a tissue directly

involved in locomotor activity, decreased significantly in the trained group (Table II).

The effect of endurance training on tissue CoQ and cyt. *c* concentrations is reported in Tables III and IV. Training resulted in highly significant CoQ and cyt. *c* increases in cardiac tissue calculated with reference to both wet weight and protein content. Although not listed in Table III, it is of interest to note that training increased the CoQ content of the whole heart from 198 ± 13 to 270 ± 10 nmol/heart, an increase of 36%, significant at the 99.9% ($P < 0.001$) level of confidence. Endurance training also produced large and statistically significant increases in both CoQ and cyt. *c* concentrations of gastrocnemius and DVL muscles (Tables III and IV). Exercise training did not appear to affect CoQ and cyt. *c* concentrations in kidney, liver, brain, or SVL muscle. With the exception of the kidney, these tissues tended toward small, but not significant, elevations of CoQ and cyt. *c*, some of which were statistically significant when a one-tailed analysis of significance was applied (Tables III and IV).

DISCUSSION

It has been known for more than 20 years that manipulations of the intact animal which alter overall respiratory metabolism also result in changes in tissue CoQ concentrations (51, 52). Such changes are in the same direction as those in respiratory metabolism. No information is available on the effect of endurance exercise on tissue CoQ concentrations, despite reports that the oral administration of CoQ in humans improved exercise performance both in patients with ischemic heart disease (53) and in normal individuals (54). As reported in Tables III and IV, the exercise protocol used, including both enforced exercise and a surprisingly high level of nocturnal voluntary running, resulted in significant parallel increases in CoQ and cyt. *c* in cardiac, gastrocnemius, and deep vastus lateralis muscle. Each is functionally involved in the increased physical activity of endurance training.

TABLE I

BODY WEIGHTS OF TRAINED AND SEDENTARY RATS BEFORE AND AFTER A 6-MONTH TRAINING PERIOD

	Sedentary (<i>n</i> = 9)	Trained (<i>n</i> = 13)	<i>P</i>
Before training	184.3 ± 3.0 ^a	183.1 ± 2.9	NS ^b
After training	317.7 ± 19.3	279.4 ± 29.0	<0.001

^a Grams ± standard error of the mean.

^b Not significant, $P > 0.1$.

TABLE II
EFFECT OF ENDURANCE TRAINING ON TISSUE WEIGHTS AND PROTEIN CONTENTS

Tissue	Sedentary	Trained	<i>P</i>
Wet weight (g)			
Heart	0.805 ± 0.026 ^a (9) ^b	0.950 ± 0.034 (13)	<0.01
Kidney	1.996 ± 0.060 (9)	1.985 ± 0.065 (13)	NS ^d
Brain	1.883 ± 0.034 (9)	1.879 ± 0.028 (13)	NS
Liver	10.730 ± 0.582 (8)	11.552 ± 0.648 (12)	NS
Lung	1.238 ± 0.026 (9)	1.373 ± 0.088 (13)	<0.01 ^c
Gastrocnemius	3.932 ± 0.109 (8)	3.388 ± 0.099 (13)	<0.01
Protein content (mg)			
	138 ± 13 (9)	167 ± 13 (13)	<0.01 ^c
Kidney	303 ± 32 (9)	302 ± 15 (13)	NS
Brain	183 ± 14 (9)	179 ± 12 (13)	NS
Liver	1451 ± 124 (8)	2315 ± 190 (12)	<0.01
Lung	183 ± 15 (9)	218 ± 23 (13)	<0.02 ^c
Gastrocnemius	830 ± 93 (8)	586 ± 28 (13)	<0.02
Protein concentration (mg/g tissue)			
Heart	184 ± 11 (9)	175 ± 11 (13)	NS
Kidney	151 ± 14 (9)	153 ± 8 (13)	NS
Brain	97 ± 7 (9)	96 ± 7 (13)	NS
Liver	134 ± 7 (8)	203 ± 20 (12)	<0.01
Lung	148 ± 12 (9)	157 ± 10 (13)	NS
Gastrocnemius	229 ± 14 (8)	169 ± 7 (13)	<0.01

^a Mean ± SE.

^b The number of observations in parentheses.

^c Significance with one-tailed analysis of *t*; NS with two-tailed analysis.

^d Not significant; *P* > 0.05.

TABLE III
TISSUE COENZYME Q CONCENTRATIONS WITH TRAINING

Tissue	nmol CoQ · g wet wt ⁻¹ ± SE			pmol CoQ · mg protein ⁻¹ ± SE		
	Sedentary	Trained	<i>P</i>	Sedentary	Trained	<i>P</i>
Heart	205 ± 13 (9) ^a	289 ± 9 (13)	<0.001	1078 ± 99 (9)	1841 ± 120 (13)	≪0.001
Kidney	142 ± 11 (8)	125 ± 9 (13)	NS ^b	921 ± 69 (8)	824 ± 50 (13)	NS
Brain	34 ± 5 (9)	47 ± 5 (13)	NS	384 ± 35 (8)	485 ± 46 (13)	NS
Lung	20 ± 2 (8)	25 ± 3 (13)	<0.02 ^c	145 ± 18 (8)	177 ± 16 (13)	<0.02 ^c
Liver	153 ± 38 (7)	185 ± 58 (12)	NS	731 ± 175 (7)	982 ± 323 (12)	NS
Internal + external oblique	29 ± 4 (8)	33 ± 2 (12)	NS	197 ± 45 (8)	241 ± 15 (12)	NS
Gastrocnemius	48 ± 4 (8)	73 ± 3 (13)	<0.001	231 ± 14 (8)	457 ± 28 (13)	<0.001
SVL ^d	40 ± 8 (8)	47 ± 8 (12)	NS	225 ± 49 (8)	324 ± 58 (12)	<0.02 ^c
DVL ^d	74 ± 11 (8)	121 ± 8 (13)	<0.01	348 ± 57 (8)	715 ± 60 (13)	<0.001

^a Number of observations; analyses in triplicate.

^b Not significant, *P* > 0.05 with two-tailed analysis of *t*.

^c One-tailed analysis of *t*; *P* > 0.05 with two-tailed analysis of *t*.

^d Superficial and deep vastus lateralis muscle portions.

TABLE IV
TISSUE CYTOCHROME *c* CONCENTRATIONS
WITH TRAINING

Tissue	pmol cyt. <i>c</i> · mg protein ⁻¹ ± SE ^a		
	Sedentary	Trained	<i>P</i>
Heart	282.4 ± 5.5	476.7 ± 13.2	<0.001
Kidney	172.9 ± 9.9	156.0 ± 8.4	NS ^b
Brain	57.6 ± 3.4	65.2 ± 2.5	<0.01 ^c
Lung	38.6 ± 2.1	46.9 ± 2.7	<0.05
Liver	149.6 ± 7.5	164.5 ± 6.3	NS
Internal + external			
oblique	45.0 ± 2.7	57.4 ± 3.2	<0.02
Gastrocnemius	63.9 ± 2.4	123.9 ± 11.6	<0.001
SVL ^d	49.0 ± 3.3	57.2 ± 5.7	<0.05 ^c
DVL ^d	63.8 ± 3.9	140.3 ± 12.3	<0.001

^a All analyses in triplicate; *n* = 6 for all cytochrome *c* analyses.

^b Not significant, *P* > 0.05 with two-tailed analysis of *t*.

^c One-tailed analysis of *t*; *P* > 0.05 with two-tailed analysis of *t*.

^d Superficial and deep vastus lateralis muscle portions.

Although the CoQ and cyt. *c* concentration increase in cardiac, gastrocnemius, and deep vastus lateralis muscle with endurance training were not unexpected, some of the observations on these two electron-transporting components listed in Tables III and IV were. For example, we have reported (51, 52) that brain CoQ concentrations are stable under a variety of conditions, but the extreme length and intensity of training of the animals used in the present experiments appear to have had a slight, although statistically insignificant, effect on brain CoQ and cyt. *c* concentrations. The same is the case with lung in which the small increases in CoQ were statistically significant only when a one-tailed analysis of *t* was employed. On the other hand, the increase in cyt. *c* with endurance training in lung was significant when a two-tailed analysis was used. A trend toward increases in CoQ and cyt. *c* was observed in superficial vastus lateralis (Tables III and IV). These observations of marginal effects make it tempting to speculate that the stimulus for the synthesis of additional functional mitochondria in a tissue adapting to increased metabolic needs may diffuse, and stimulate the synthesis of membrane components, at sites

removed from its origin. Evidence for this type of phenomenon is implied in studies in which direct electrical stimulation of a hindlimb muscle results in an increase in the rate of protein synthesis in both the stimulated and the contralateral limb muscle (Starnes and Beyer, unpublished observations), and the observation (55) that muscle injury results in an increase in protein synthesis at sites other than that injured. Such diffusible protein factors also have been shown to be implicated in cardiac protein synthesis during cardiac hypertrophy (Cardiac Hypertrophy Factor) (56, 57) and in bone formation (Bone Morphogenic Protein) (58).

The observed increases in tissue cyt. *c* and CoQ concentrations are reflections of increased tissue mitochondrial density (2, 3), enabling that tissue to function at higher oxidative activity levels for longer periods of time due to elevated rates of oxidative phosphorylation. The question arises as to whether the increase in CoQ with exercise might have other functional significance. It is well known that the reactions of the electron transport chain of mitochondria produce damaging free radicals, and that the rate of O₂⁻ production is directly proportional to the rate of mitochondrial oxygen utilization (59). Exhaustive exercise produces a two- to threefold increase in free radical formation and lipid peroxidation in muscle and liver (60, 61), and endurance training appears to reduce the susceptibility of tissue to the damaging effects of free radicals and lipid peroxidation (62). The large increase in liver protein concentration with endurance exercise (Table II) may reflect the synthesis of enzymatic detoxification sequences in response to an increase in metabolic byproduct formation. It has also been suggested that free radical-induced damage may provide a stimulus to mitochondrial biogenesis resulting from endurance training (60).

It is tempting to propose that the large increases in muscle CoQ with endurance exercise may have a function in addition to that in mitochondrial electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation. The increased levels of CoQ may also function

as an antioxidant and thus protect the inner mitochondrial membrane from damage inflicted by lipid peroxidation and free radical formation. Reports that CoQ administration may protect mitochondria from damage during heart muscle (63) and brain and kidney (64) ischemia, and carbon tetrachloride toxicity (65), and that CoQ is an effective therapeutic agent in clinical congestive heart failure (66), would suggest that intracellular CoQ may also serve the vital role of removing damaging superoxide radicals (67) which may accumulate during ischemic conditions when blood circulation through tissues is severely restricted. Indeed, the possibility that the high molar ratio of CoQ to other electron transport chain components (Tables III and IV) represents an evolutionary selection as a free radical quencher at the site of free radical formation is an intriguing idea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research received financial support from the Michigan Heart Association and the Cutcheon Fund of The University of Michigan Honors Program. We thank Ms. Margaret Madouse for preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- HOLLOSZY, J. O., AND BOOTH, F. W. (1976) *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **38**, 273-291.
- GOLLNICK, P. D., AND KING, D. W. (1969) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **216**, 1502-1509.
- BEYER, R. E., STARNES, J. W., EDINGTON, D. W., LIPTON, R. J., COMPTON, R. T., III, AND KWASMAN, M. A. (1984) *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **24**, 309-323.
- BARNARD, R. J., AND PETER, J. B. (1971) *J. Appl. Physiol.* **31**, 904-908.
- BOOTH, F. W., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1977) *J. Biol. Chem.* **252**, 416-419.
- BALDWIN, K. M., KLINKERFUSS, G. H., TERJUNG, R. L., MOLÉ, P. H., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1972) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **222**, 373-378.
- MOLÉ, P. A., OSCAI, L. B., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1971) *J. Clin. Invest.* **50**, 2323-2330.
- WINDER, W. W., BALDWIN, K. M., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1975) *Canad. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **53**, 86-91.
- BEYER, R. E., AND FATTORE, J. E. (1984) *J. Gerontol.*, in press.
- PATTENGALE, P. K., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1967) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **213**, 783-785.
- DAVIES, K. J. A., PACKER, L., AND BROOKS, G. A. (1981) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **209**, 539-554.
- BARNARD, R. J., EDGERTON, V. R., AND PETER, J. B. (1970) *J. Appl. Physiol.* **28**, 762-766.
- HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1967) *J. Biol. Chem.* **242**, 2278-2282.
- MORTON, R. A., WILSON, G. M., LOWE, J. S., AND LEAT, W. M. F. (1957) *Chem. Ind.* 1649.
- MORTON, R. A. (1961) in *Quinones in Electron Transport* (Wolstenholme, G. E. W., and O'Connor, C. M., eds.), p. 21, Little, Brown, and Co., Boston.
- BISHOP, D. H. L., PANDYA, K. P., AND KING, H. K. (1962) *Biochem. J.* **83**, 606-614.
- CRANE, F. L. (1965) in *Biochemistry of Quinones* (Morton, R. A., ed.), pp. 183-206, Academic Press, New York.
- THAUER, R. K., JUNGERMAN, K., AND DECKER, K. (1977) *Bacteriol. Rev.* **41**, 100-180.
- GREEN, D. E. (1959) *Disc. Faraday Soc.* **27**, 206-216.
- KING, T. E. (1982) in *Function of Quinones in Energy Conserving Systems* (Trumpower, B. L., ed.), pp. 3-25, Academic Press, New York.
- YU, C. A., AND YU, L. (1980) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **96**, 286-292.
- YU, C. A., AND YU, L. (1980) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **593**, 24-38.
- CRANE, F. L., HATEFI, Y., LESTER, R. L., AND WIDMER, C. (1957) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **25**, 220-221.
- ROSSI, E., NORLING, B., PERSSON, B., AND ERNSTER, L. (1970) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **16**, 508-513.
- GUTMAN, M., KEARNEY, E. B., AND SINGER, T. P. (1971) *Biochemistry* **10**, 2726-2732.
- GUTMAN, M., KEARNEY, E. B., AND SINGER, T. P. (1971) *Biochemistry* **10**, 4763-4770.
- GUTMAN, M., AND SILMAN, N. (1974) *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **7**, 51-58.
- GUTMAN, M., COLES, C. J., SINGER, T. P., AND CASIDA, J. E. (1971) *Biochemistry* **10**, 2036-2043.
- GLAZEK, E., NORLING, B., AND ERNSTER, L. (1974) *FEBS Lett.* **46**, 123-126.
- ERNSTER, L., LEE, I.-Y., NORLING, B., AND PERSSON, B. (1969) *Eur. J. Biochem.* **9**, 299-310.
- NELSON, B. D., NORLING, B., PERSSON, B., AND ERNSTER, L. (1971) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **44**, 1312-1329.
- NELSON, B. D., NORLING, B., PERSSON, B., AND ERNSTER, L. (1972) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **267**, 205-210.
- MITCHELL, P. (1975) *FEBS Lett.* **56**, 1-6.
- MITCHELL, P. (1975) *FEBS Lett.* **59**, 137-139.
- MITCHELL, P. (1975) in *Electron Transfer Chain and Oxidative Phosphorylation* (Quagliariello, E., Papa, S., Palmieri, F., Slater, E. C., and

- Siliprandi, N., eds.), pp. 305-316, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
36. SLONAKER, J. (1912) *J. Animal Behav.* **2**, 20-42.
 37. GOODRICK, C. L. (1980) *Gerontology* **26**, 22-33.
 38. BEYER, R. E. (1983) *Anal. Biochem.* **129**, 483-485.
 39. KAZIRO, Y., OCHOA, S., WARNER, R. C., AND CHEN, J.-Y. (1961) *J. Biol. Chem.* **236**, 1917-1923.
 40. KROGER, A. (1978) in *Methods in Enzymology* (Fleischer, S., and Packer, L., eds.), Vol. 53, pp. 579-591, Academic Press, New York.
 41. ASCHENBRENNER, V., ZAK, R., CUTILLETTA, A. F., AND RABINOWITZ, M. (1971) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **217**, 1418-1425.
 42. WILLIAMS, J. N., JR., AND THORP, S. L. (1969) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **189**, 25-28.
 43. STARNES, J. W., BEYER, R. E., AND EDINGTON, D. W. (1983) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **245**, H560-H566.
 44. FINNEY, D. J. (1980) *Statistics for Biologists*, pp. 66-87, Chapman and Hall, New York.
 45. BEYER, R. E., HUANG, J. C., AND WILSHIRE, G. A. (1984) *Exp. Geront.*, in press.
 46. MCCAY, C., MAYNARD, L., SPERLING, G., AND OSGOOD, H. (1941) *J. Nutr.* **21**, 45-60.
 47. EDINGTON, D. W., COSMAS, A., AND MCCAFFERTY, W. (1972) *J. Geront.* **27**, 341-343.
 48. OSCAL, L. B., MOLÉ, P. A., BREI, B., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1971) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **220**, 1238-1241.
 49. OSCAL, L. B., MOLÉ, P. A., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1971) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **220**, 1944-1948.
 50. HICKSON, R. C., HAMMONS, G. T., AND HOLLOSZY, J. O. (1979) *Amer. J. Physiol.* **236**, H268-H272.
 51. BEYER, R. E., NOBLE, W. M., AND HIRSCHFELD, T. J. (1962) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **57**, 376-379.
 52. BEYER, R. E., NOBLE, W. M., AND HIRSCHFELD, T. J. (1962) *Canad. J. Biochem. Physiol.* **40**, 511-518.
 53. AWATA, N., ISHIYAMA, T., HARADA, H., SAWAMURA, A., OGURA, K., TANIMOTO, T., AZUMA, J., MASEGAWA, H., MORITA, Y., AND YAMAMURA, Y. (1980) in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Yamamura, Y., Folkers, K., and Ito, Y., eds.), Vol. 2, pp. 247-253, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.
 54. VANFRAECHEM, J. H. P., AND FOLKERS, K. (1981) in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Folkers, K., and Yamamura, Y., eds.), Vol. 3, pp. 235-241, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.
 55. TISCHLER, M. E., AND FAGEN, J. M. (1983) *Metabolism* **32**, 853-868.
 56. HAMMOND, G. L., WIEBEN, E., AND MARKERT, C. L. (1979) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **76**, 2455-2459.
 57. HAMMOND, G. L., LAI, Y.-K., AND MARKERT, C. L. (1982) *Science (Washington, D. C.)* **216**, 529-531.
 58. URIST, M. R., MIKULSKI, A., AND LIETZE, A. (1979) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **76**, 1828-1832.
 59. BOVERIS, A., AND CHANCE, B. (1973) *Biochem. J.* **134**, 707-716.
 60. DAVIES, K. J. A., QUINTANILHA, A. J., BROOKS, G. A., AND PACKER, L. (1982) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **107**, 1198-1205.
 61. SALMINEN, A., AND VIHKO, V. (1983) *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* **38**, 380-388.
 62. SALMINEN, A., AND VIHKO, V. (1983) *Acta Physiol. Scand.* **117**, 109-113.
 63. NAYLER, W. G. (1980) in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Yamamura, Y., Folkers, K., and Ito, Y., eds.), Vol. 2, pp. 409-424, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.
 64. YAMADA, K., TATSUKAWA, Y., TAKENAKA, M., IGUCHI, T., YAMAMOTO, M., AND KAWASAKI, T. (1980) in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Yamamura, Y., Folkers, K., and Ito, Y., eds.), Vol. 2, pp. 123-131, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.
 65. QUINN, P. J., BAUM, H., HARRIS, E. J., FRANKLIN, C. S., AND TRIVEDI, P. in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Yamamura, Y., Folkers, K., and Ito, Y., eds.), Vol. 2, pp. 435-446, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.
 66. ISHIYAMA, T., MORITA, Y., TOYAMA, S., YAMAGAMI, T., TSUKAMOTO, H., WADA, N., OHKUBO, M., AND YAMAMURA, Y. (1976) *Japan. Heart J.* **17**, 32-42.
 67. ERNSTER, L., AND NELSON, B. D. (1981) in *Biomedical and Clinical Aspects of Coenzyme Q* (Folkers, K., and Yamamura, Y., eds.), Vol. 3, pp. 159-168, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York.