The effects of nicotine on laser Doppler measures of cochlear blood flow
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Anesthetized guinea pigs were given arterial bolus injections of saline or varying nicotine concentrations. Blood flow through the cochlea and skin were measured via laser Doppler and arterial blood pressure via an arterial cannula. Cochlear blood flow increased with low doses of nicotine but decreased with the highest dose, while blood pressure increased and skin flow decreased with all doses of nicotine. Control injections of saline vehicle had only minor and transient effects.

Method

Subjects

The subjects for this experiment were 9 mature (approximately 1000 g) Hartley strain albino guinea pigs (Charles River). They were maintained...
in group cages in a vivarium approved by the American Association for Laboratory Animal Care, with a 12:12 light/dark cycle initiated at 0700 h, and a freely available nutritionally balanced diet. Each was determined to have normal Preyer reflexes. Examination of the middle ear during surgery revealed no evidence of pathology.

**Laser Doppler flowmeter**

A Doppler system has recently been developed to measure blood flow using coherent laser light (Powers and Frayer, 1978; Stern, 1975). This technique has been applied to skin and renal cortex and has been shown for these structures to provide a measure which correlates in a linear fashion with blood flow as measured via radioactive xenon clearance and radioactive microspheres (Holloway and Watkins, 1977; Oberg et al., 1979; Stern et al., 1977).

The system uses a helium–neon laser light (632.8 nm). Moving particles create a spectral shift in the reflected light. In the laser Doppler instrument the reading is proportional to the product of the velocity of the red blood cells (RBCs) within the measurement volume and the volume fraction (number of RBCs) (Nilsson et al., 1980). The product of volume and velocity is referred to as flux.

The Doppler probe is sensitive to reflected light in a volume of 1 mm³ (Bonner et al., 1981). In the skin the depth of penetration is up to 1.5 mm but has been judged to be effectively 1.0 mm (Nilsson et al., 1980). It is not known what the effective depth of penetration is in the cochlea but is anticipated to be less than that observed in the less dense skin. Given the measurement volume, the probe placement, and the apparent depth of penetration, it is assumed that the laser Doppler measures blood flow in the following cochlear vessels: capillaries of the spiral ligament including those of the scala vestibuli, the stria vascularis, vessels of the spiral prominence, initial segments of the collecting venules, and perhaps vessels of the basilar membrane and osseous spiral lamina. Several recent investigations suggest that the laser Doppler is a measure which is proportional to cochlear blood flow changes as influenced by catecholamines, angiotensin, carbon monoxide, and local cooling (Goodwin et al., 1985; Miller et al., 1983, 1985; Short et al., 1984; Wright et al., 1985).

**Surgical procedures**

Ketamine (110 mg/kg) and Xylazine (13 mg/kg) were employed as the anesthetic. Supplemental injections (20:1 Ketamine:Xylazine) were employed as needed. A mounting post was secured to the animal’s head with stainless-steel screws and dental acrylic. The trachea was cannulated to permit unrestricted breathing. Blood pressure was monitored by a Statham (Model P23Db) strain type pressure gauge, via a femoral artery cannula (Type MRE-040, micro-renathan, Braintree Scientific) which also permitted infusion of saline and nicotine solutions. The catheter was tapered by heating it in vegetable oil (140°C) for 20 s and then pulling it to the desired diameter. In this way the catheter could be inserted until the tip was at or near the junction of the femoral and descending aorta arteries.

The bulla was opened via a ventral approach exposing the cochlea. The lateral wall mucosa was gently removed with a cotton pledgette to eliminate mucosal vasculature and to permit approximation of the measurement probe to the bony surface of the lateral wall of the basal turn. In all other respects the middle ear was left intact. The needle probe (O.D. 1.75 mm) of the laser Doppler flowmeter (Medpacific, LD-5000) was positioned over the stria vasculature as described previously (Goodwin et al., 1985; Miller et al., 1983; Short et al., 1984; Wright et al., 1985). A second Doppler flowmeter was positioned over a shaved portion of the animal’s abdomen to measure skin blood flow. Core temperature was maintained by means of a heating pad placed beneath the animal. The output of both flowmeters and the strain gauge was monitored via a Sanborn polygraph.

**Experimental procedures and analyses**

Baseline measurements were obtained for blood pressure, cochlear and abdominal skin blood flow. Repeated observations were made on each of the nine animals. Bolus infusions of 0.25 ml saline (vehicle, n = 5) or nicotine (Sigma Chemicals) solutions at doses of 0.2 (n = 3), 0.1 (n = 8), 0.05 (n = 8), or 0.01 (n = 6) μg/kg of body weight were administered via the femoral artery cannula. The order of the saline and nicotine doses was random to reduce cumulative effects. Following each infusion all measures were permitted to re-
turn to the pre-infusion baseline and demonstrate stability for at least 10 min before subsequent injections.

Each dependent measure (blood pressure, cochlear blood flow and skin blood flow) was quantified at six second intervals beginning 36 s prior to the onset of infusion and continued until all measures returned to baseline. The 6 measures taken prior to the infusion were averaged to provide an estimate of baseline. All subsequent scores were then calculated as a proportion of that baseline. Adjacent pairs of scores were averaged and plotted in Figs. 1–3. In each of these figures the pre-infusion baseline is equal to a proportion score of 1.00.

Two additional measures were also calculated employing the 6 s scores. These were the score which represented the maximum deviation from baseline (either positive or negative) expressed as a proportion of baseline and the number of seconds until the first return to baseline for 12 s or more. These latter two measures were used for statistical analyses. These measures evidenced considerable heterogeneity of variance. The large differences in variance for the various conditions precluded meeting the assumptions for many statistical tests including analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range test. Consequently t-tests were employed to assess the significance of the differences between treatments. It should be noted, however, that similar statistical results were obtained when Duncan's Multiple Range test was employed.

**Results**

The mean arterial pressure during baseline averaged $48 \pm 3.16$ (S.E.) mmHg. Fig. 1 displays the average blood pressure (expressed as proportion of baseline) for each condition as a function of time after injection. Saline had a minor elevating effect on blood pressure while nicotine infusions resulted in major elevations in blood pressure above baseline. The largest of these increases was observed for the highest dose (0.2 µg) and peaked between 36 and 60 s after the injection. The other doses of nicotine, while producing greater elevations than saline, and smaller effects than the highest dose did not appear to differ from each other. Statistical analysis, however, failed to indicate significant differences between the saline and any of the nicotine treatments in either maximum change or in duration.

Fig. 2 displays the average skin blood flow for each of the treatment conditions. Skin blood flow varied slightly from baseline in the saline condition. In the nicotine conditions, skin blood flow decreased relative to baseline. Further, the magnitude of the effect increased with increasing doses. The maximum change from baseline for the saline, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µg nicotine conditions
averaged (±S.E.) 1.08 (0.02), 0.80 (0.04), 0.82 (0.08), 0.79 (0.08) and 0.76 (0.10), respectively. The number of seconds until the first return to baseline averaged (±S.E.) 22.8 (5.8), 40.8 (6.9), 48.5 (11.11), 69.8 (17.7) in the saline 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µg nicotine conditions, respectively. Statistical analyses indicated that the saline condition was significantly (P < 0.05 or less) different from each of the nicotine conditions both in the maximum effect and in the duration of the effect. Further, the 0.2 µg condition required significantly longer time for the first return to baseline than did the remaining nicotine conditions.

Fig. 3 displays the average cochlear blood flow in proportion of baseline for each of the treatment conditions. Relatively minor changes were observed in the saline condition. The two lowest doses of nicotine resulted in the greatest elevation in cochlear blood flow and relatively slow returns to baseline. The 0.1 µg dose of nicotine resulted in an elevation comparable to that of the lower doses and appeared to return to baseline rapidly. In contrast the highest dose of nicotine (0.2 µg) resulted in a decrement in cochlear blood flow which was slow to occur and which was slow to return to baseline. The average maximum CBF (±S.E.) was 1.05 (0.01), 1.17 (0.02), 1.20 (0.07), 1.08 (0.10) and 0.87 (0.19) for the saline, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µg nicotine conditions, respectively. The average number of seconds (±S.E.) until returning to baseline was 32.6 (13.7), 55.0 (7.8), 63.0 (10.9), 61.5 (8.1) and 92.0 (25.7) for the saline, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µg nicotine conditions, respectively. The statistical analysis indicated that only the 0.01 and 0.05 µg nicotine conditions resulted in deviations from baseline which were significantly (P < 0.05) greater than those for the saline condition. Further the maximum change observed in the 0.2 µg nicotine condition was significantly different from the maximum change observed in the 0.05 and 0.01 µg nicotine conditions but not different from the saline condition. The duration of the change was significantly greater in the 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µg nicotine conditions than in the saline condition.

Discussion

The major observation of the current study was that moderate doses of nicotine elevate CBF to a greater extent than does saline and that that elevation lasts longer than the changes induced by saline. The elevations in CBF noted in the moderate doses may be attributable to systemic changes. That is, the changes in CBF noted in these conditions may be passive ones which are secondary to increased systemic blood pressure and cutaneous vasoconstriction. A similar conclusion has been reached by others (Goodwin et al., 1985; Miller et al., 1983, 1985; Snow and Suga, 1972; Suga and Snow, 1969; Wright et al., 1985). In the current results, however, blood pressure changes in response to nicotine were not statistically significant while changes in skin and cochlear blood flow were. This observation detracts from the possibility of explaining CBF changes as being secondary to systemic blood pressure changes.

Furthermore, the changes in CBF observed in the higher dose conditions of the current experiment may require another explanation. The failure of the 0.1 µg dose to elevate CBF to a level that was significantly greater than that observed in the saline condition, and the negative change in CBF observed for the 0.2 µg dose are not consistent with the changes observed in cutaneous blood flow or the direction of the changes in blood pressure. An explanation other than passive responsiveness secondary to systemic blood pressure is required for these higher dose effects. One possible ex-
planation relates to the ganglionic stimulating and blocking effect of nicotine (Taylor, 1980; Volle and Koelle, 1970). Since nicotine has both effects it is possible that the smaller change in the 0.1 μg condition and the negative change in the 0.2 μg condition represent the protracted blocking effect of nicotine on sympathetic ganglia. That is, the ganglionic receptors may have been saturated by the higher doses of nicotine which may then have blocked further effects of nicotine and inhibited normal mechanisms by which CBF is maintained.

A second possible explanation for the unanticipated effects of the higher doses is that these findings may reflect some regional or local control of CBF independent of systemic changes. Such changes could reflect direct effects of nicotine on CBF or effects secondary to catecholamine release which in some manner control CBF. Previous authors have suggested that catecholamines do have a local vasoconstrictive effect within the ear (Muchnik et al., 1983). If CBF and cerebral blood flow are similarly controlled, then it is interesting to note that the dose which produced a decrement in CBF (0.2 μg) is the same dose for which Vatner et al. (1980) observed an initial increase and then a decrease in cerebral blood flow in dogs.

While the current findings indicate that low to moderate doses of nicotine elevate cochlear blood flow, some cautions are warranted. The laser Doppler measures of cochlear blood flow require an anesthetized preparation. The blood pressures noted in the current results were somewhat lower than may be expected for normal resting blood pressures. The observed average baseline blood pressure was 48 mmHg. Other authors have reported that resting awake blood pressures in guinea pigs average 50–70 mmHg (Marshall and Hanna, 1956; Muchnik et al., 1980). It is not known what effect anesthesia or reduced blood pressure may have on the current measures of cochlear blood flow.

It should also be pointed out that the Doppler flowmeter provides a measure of flux: the product of red cell volume fraction and their mean velocity. Thus, while the current findings indicate that low to moderate doses of nicotine elevate CBF, it is not known whether that elevation represents high blood cell velocity, greater volume fraction or both. Clarification of the difference may lead to clarification in the mechanism by which nicotine elevates CBF.
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