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#### Abstract

We describe a series of compounds which result from the reaction of $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ with 4,5 -dicyanoimidazole. This ligand is far more acidic than imidazole and in all cases forms complexes as the anion. The presence of carbon monoxide with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{l})$ assists in the rapid alcoholysis of one of the nitrile groups. The resulting imino-ester remains coordinated to the copper in the phosphine derivative as confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The composition $\mathrm{CuC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35}$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ crystallizes in the space group $P b c a$ with $a=$ 14.140(4), $b=21.466(7), c=24.577(8) \AA$ and $Z=8$ molecules per cell. The copper is four coordinate and the bidentate imino-ester imidazole is nearly planar.


## Introduction

As part of a program to investigate the coordination properties of cyanoimidazoles, we have previously reported on a group of complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ with tetracyanobiimidazole [1]. In this paper we extend that study to complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ with dicyanoimidazole (HDicy). We also report an interesting reaction in which a copper(I) carbonyl complex assists in the facile alcoholysis of a nitrile group, resulting in a coordinated imino-ester. The presence of this group was confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure on a triphenylphosphine derivative.

Dicyanoimidazole was first prepared by Woodward [2] and its organic chemistry explored by Sheppard and Webster [3]. It is somewhat surprising, in view of the widespread interest in imidazole complexes, that it seems never to have been investigated in any reactions with metal ions. The cyano groups confer unusual acidity on the imidazole ring system $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=5.5$, and a variety of salts and complexes are readily formed. The pi accepting tendencies of the

[^0]dicyanoimidazole anion (Dicy), favor coordination to larger metal ions with low charge and some pi donor ability, and in this article we describe reactions and structures with various $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ moieties.

## Experimental

$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{4} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ was prepared by standard techniques. Dicyanoimidazole was prepared by the method of Woodward [2]. The sodium salt NaDicy was prepared by careful addition of $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$ (avoid excess) to HDicy in methanol. It was precipitated by addition of ether, recrystallized from methanol, and dried in vacuo. Solvents were freshly distilled from appropriate drying agents before use and stored under nitrogen. All copper complexes were prepared using standard Schlenk techniques. Triphenylphosphine was used as obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. Elemental analysis were performed by Spang or Galbraith microanalytical laboratories. Infrared spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 1330 or Nicolet 60 SX-FT spectrometers. NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX-90Q spectrometer, peak positions relative to TMS.

## Preparation of CuDicy

0.5 mM of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{4} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ was dissolved in 15 ml of a $1: 1$ mixture of methanol and chloroform and slowly added to a solution of 4 mM of HDicy in 5 ml of methanol. This produced a white precipitate which was filtered off, washed with chloroform and diethyl ether, dried in vacuo. Yield: 90\%. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{CuC}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ : C, $35.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 31.02$. Found: C, 34.66 ; H, 0.80 ; N, $31.24 \%$.

The IR spectrum shows two sharp $\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ peaks at $2238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2248 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The peak characteristic for $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$did not appear in the spectrum.

## Preparation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Dicy})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$

To $1.12 \mathrm{~g}(3.6 \mathrm{mM})$ of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{4} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, dissolved in 25 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added $1.88 \mathrm{~g}(7.2 \mathrm{mM})$ of


Fig. 1. Infrared spectrum of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CO})($ Dicyie) run in nujol.
$\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. After stirring $5 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{ml}$ of methanolic $\mathrm{NaDicy}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mM})$ was added. 5 min later, a white solid forms. After 1 h of stirring, an air stable product was collected by filtration. Yield: $85 \%$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ : C, 69.83; $\mathrm{H}, 4.43$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.94 ; \mathrm{P}, 8.78 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 9.01$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.51$; N, 7.86; P, 9.04; Cu, 9.24\%.

The IR spectrum showed a single peak in the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ region at $2224 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The peak characteristic for $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$did not appear in the spectrum.

## Preparation of / $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CO}) /($ Dicyie $) /$

To 15 ml of a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \mathrm{BF}_{4}(0.67$ $\mathrm{g}, 2.13 \mathrm{mM}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was slowly added a quantity of methanolic NaDicy ( 0.3 g ). This was then bubbled with carbon monoxide for 0.5 h and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for an additional 30 min under carbon monoxide atmosphere. The white solid that formed was filtered, then washed with methanol and dried in vacuo. The compound was stable in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and did not lose CO even in vacuo but was sensitive to air and moisture. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{CuC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 34.91; H, 2.08; N, 23.28. Found: C, 35.31; H, 2.14; $\mathrm{N}, 23.76 \%$. The IR spectrum is shown in Fig. 1.

## Preparation of Cu(Dicyie) $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$

0.1 g of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CO})$ (Dicyie) was suspended in 15 ml of dichloromethane under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Triphenylphosphine ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mM}$ ) in 5 mll of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was slowly added to the suspension. The addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ gave a colorless solution. Fast evolution of carbon monoxide was observed at the same time. After layering with hexane for 2 days, white needles of crystalline product were obtained. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{CuC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 69.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.77 ; \mathrm{P}$, $8.59 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 8.81$. Found: C, 68.77; H, 4.67; N, 7.61; $\mathrm{P}, 8.69 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 8.83 \%$.

The IR spectrum showed a single strong peak for $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ at $2224 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and one medium broad peak for NH at $3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. There is a sharp singlet in the NMR spectrum at 3.77 ppm assigned to the iminoester methyl group.

## Preparation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Dicy}) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$

$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ was prepared by reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with excess $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in alcohol. The
product precipitates on cooling to ice temperature and can be filtered off in good yield. The treatment of 1.08 g of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ with 195 mg of HDicy ( 1.66 mmol ) in 50 ml THF with stirring for 12 h yields $260 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cu}($ Dicy $) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ which precipitates in a few minutes. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{CuC}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{C}, 62.37$; N, 12.65 ; H, 3.64. Found: C, 61.8; N, $12.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.67 \%$.

This compound could also be converted to the $\mathrm{Cu}($ Dicy $)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ compound described above by treatment with stoichiometric $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and excess NaDicy in methanol.

TABLE I. Summary of Crystal Data Conditions and Results. Compound $\mathrm{Cu}($ Dicyie $)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}: \mathrm{CuC}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$

| Space group | $P b c a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a(\AA)$ | $14.140(4)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $21.466(7)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $24.577(8)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $7460(4)$ |
| Molecular weight | 737.3 |
| $Z$ | 8 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | 1.313 |
| Crystal dimensions (mm) | $0.230 \times 0.071 \times 0.356$ |
| Absorption coefficient $(1 / \mathrm{cm})$ | 7.01 |
| Scan range (deg) | $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha-0.8$ to Mo K $\alpha+0.9$ |
| Background time ratio | 0.8 |
| 2 theta (deg) | 40 |
| Data collected | 4217 |
| Data $>2 \sigma(I)$ | 1888 |
| Final $R 1$ | 0.067 |
| Final $R_{2}$ | 0.049 |
| Residual $\left(\mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}\right)$ | 0.52 |

## X-ray Structure Determination

A single crystal was mounted on a Syntex $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ diffractometer. Table I contains a summary of data collection conditions and results. Lattice parameters were determined from a least-squares refinement of 15 reflection settings obtained from an automatic centering routine.

Intensity data were obtained using Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation monochromatized from a graphite crystal whose diffraction vector was parallel to the diffraction vector of the sample. Three standard reflections were measured every 50 reflections. The data were reduced and corrected for absorption by procedures previously described*. The structure was solved using

[^1]

Fig. 2. Molecular structure and numbering scheme for Cu (Dicyie) $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

MULTAN. In the subsequent refinement the function $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$ was minimized where $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes. The agreement indices $R_{1}=\Sigma| | F_{0} \mid-$ $\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid$ and the weighted $R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ were used to evaluate the results. The atomic scattering factors are from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography [4].

Least-squares refinement results using anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms are shown in Table I. Positions of all phenyl hydrogen atoms were calculated and not refined. A difference fourier then gave the methyl hydrogen positions and indicated a possible hydrogen position of intensity $0.52 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ located $1.34 \AA$ from Cl . There was a possible hydrogen peak of intensity $0.34 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$, $1.31 \AA$ from N3. All hydrogens were given fixed $U$ values (isotropic temperature factors) of 0.05 .

Figure 2 shows the atom arrangement. Final positional parameters with estimated standard deviations are shown in Table II. Anisotropic thermal parameters with their estimated standard deviations are listed in Table III. Tables IV and V list the crystallographically determined bond distances and angles.

Refinement of the final model to least squares convergence with all atoms isotropic gave $R_{1}=$ $0.0785, R_{2}=0.0675, U(\mathrm{O} 1)=0.020$, and $U(\mathrm{~N} 3)=$ 0.051 . The same model assuming O 1 is a nitrogen atom and N 3 is an oxygen atom gave $R_{1}=0.0798$, $R_{2}=0.0686, \quad U(\mathrm{O} 1)=0.066$, and $U(\mathrm{~N} 3)=0.019$. The final values of $U(\mathrm{O} 1)=0.053$ and $U(\mathrm{~N} 3)=$ 0.034 indicate correct assignment.

TABLE II. Fractional Atomic Coordinates

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cul | $0.3716(01)$ | 0.1790(01) | $0.1720(01)$ | 0.0397 |
| P1 | $0.4201(03)$ | $0.2749(02)$ | $0.2007(01)$ | 0.0380 |
| P2 | $0.3481(02)$ | $0.1615(02)$ | $0.0830(01)$ | 0.0407 |
| N1 | $0.2777(08)$ | $0.1435(05)$ | $0.2288(04)$ | 0.0334 |
| C1 | 0.1919(11) | $0.1536(06)$ | $0.2503(06)$ | 0.0469 |
| N2 | 0.1773(07) | 0.1219(05) | $0.2972(05)$ | 0.0402 |
| C2 | 0.2611(11) | $0.0900(06)$ | $0.3040(06)$ | 0.0352 |
| C3 | 0.3238(11) | $0.1023(06)$ | $0.2623(06)$ | 0.0271 |
| C4 | $0.4230(10)$ | 0.0858(07) | $0.2473(06)$ | 0.0326 |
| N3 | 0.4649(07) | $0.1142(05)$ | $0.2099(04)$ | 0.0328 |
| O1 | $0.4514(06)$ | $0.0391(05)$ | $0.2820(04)$ | 0.0529 |
| C5 | 0.5442(10) | $0.0140(06)$ | $0.2709(06)$ | 0.0653 |
| C6 | 0.2811(11) | 0.0532(07) | $0.3517(07)$ | 0.0493 |
| N4 | $0.2938(10)$ | $0.0236(06)$ | $0.3906(0.5)$ | 0.0813 |
| C11 | 0.4597(10) | 0.2729(06) | $0.2719(05)$ | 0.0394 |
| C12 | 0.5474(10) | $0.2963(06)$ | $0.2880(06)$ | 0.0543 |
| C13 | $0.5778(10)$ | $0.2920(08)$ | $0.3414(06)$ | 0.0697 |
| C14 | $0.5186(11)$ | $0.2643(07)$ | $0.3787(06)$ | 0.0575 |
| C15 | 0.4341(12) | $0.2380(06)$ | $0.3637(06)$ | 0.0527 |
| C16 | 0.4034(09) | 0.2429(06) | $0.3099(06)$ | 0.0468 |
| C21 | 0.3429(09) | $0.3422(06)$ | $0.1978(07)$ | 0.0465 |
| C 22 | $0.3170(11)$ | $0.3682(07)$ | $0.1472(06)$ | 0.0605 |
| C23 | 0.2611(12) | 0.4201(08) | $0.1431(07)$ | 0.0726 |
| C24 | $0.2227(11)$ | $0.4474(08)$ | $0.1890(08)$ | 0.0720 |
| C25 | 0.2442(13) | 0.4226(08) | $0.2393(07)$ | 0.0667 |
| C26 | $0.3035(10)$ | 0.3724(07) | $0.2438(06)$ | 0.0434 |
| C31 | $0.5261(09)$ | $0.3004(07)$ | $0.1640(05)$ | 0.0336 |
| C32 | $0.5858(10)$ | $0.2522(06)$ | $0.1480(05)$ | 0.0422 |
| C33 | 0.6694(11) | $0.2661(09)$ | $0.1221(07)$ | 0.0718 |
| C34 | 0.6914(10) | 0.3259(11) | $0.1073(06)$ | 0.0722 |
| C35 | 0.6299(14) | $0.3737(07)$ | 0.1216(07) | 0.0791 |
| C36 | $0.5490(11)$ | $0.3607(07)$ | $0.1505(06)$ | 0.0604 |
| C41 | $0.3338(13)$ | $0.2336(06)$ | $0.0426(05)$ | 0.0392 |
| C42 | 0.4123(12) | 0.2706(08) | $0.0339(06)$ | 0.0628 |
| C43 | $0.4058(13)$ | 0.3274(08) | 0.0079(06) | 0.0672 |
| C44 | $0.3140(20)$ | $0.3481(08)$ | -0.0093(07) | 0.0951 |
| C45 | 0.2426(14) | $0.3098(10)$ | 0.0(07) | 0.0860 |
| C46 | $0.2471(12)$ | $0.2538(08)$ | $0.0252(06)$ | 0.0596 |
| C51 | $0.2413(09)$ | $0.1169(06)$ | $0.0685(06)$ | 0.0133 |
| C52 | $0.2323(10)$ | 0.0736(07) | $0.0271(05)$ | 0.0481 |
| C53 | 0.1501(13) | 0.0404(07) | $0.0218(06)$ | 0.0603 |
| C54 | 0.0754(11) | 0.0483(08) | $0.0569(07)$ | 0.0654 |
| C55 | 0.0831(11) | $0.0907(08)$ | $0.0971(06)$ | 0.0666 |
| C56 | $0.1638(11)$ | $0.1243(07)$ | $0.1044(05)$ | 0.0562 |
| C61 | $0.4421(09)$ | $0.1216(06)$ | 0.0445 (06) | 0.0368 |
| C62 | 0.4487(10) | 0.1273(06) | $-0.0118(06)$ | 0.0507 |
| C63 | $0.5205(12)$ | 0.0959(07) | -0.0391(06) | 0.0599 |
| C64 | 0.5829(11) | $0.0596(07)$ | $-0.0114(08)$ | 0.0638 |
| C65 | $0.5762(11)$ | $0.0546(07)$ | $0.0441(08)$ | 0.0580 |
| C66 | 0.5066(11) | 0.0853(07) | $0.0727(05)$ | 0.0419 |

## Results and Discussion

The tetrakisacetonitrile complex of copper(I) reacts immediately with dicyanoimidazole in methanol, to produce a white insoluble solid of $1: 1$

TABLE III. Thermal Parameters

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu 1 | $0.0417(09)$ | $0.0426(10)$ | $0.0348(10)$ | $-0.0003(10)$ | -0.0033(11) | -0.0055(11) |
| P1 | $0.0397(26)$ | $0.0399(26)$ | $0.0344(28)$ | $-0.0025(22)$ | $0.0028(22)$ | -0.0011(22) |
| P2 | $0.0453(28)$ | $0.0418(29)$ | $0.0351(25)$ | $-0.0028(20)$ | $-0.0065(21)$ | $0.0012(22)$ |
| N1 | $0.0456(84)$ | $0.0296(78)$ | $0.0249(75)$ | -0.0027(62) | $0.0010(69)$ | -0.0017(67) |
| C1 | $0.0428(13)$ | $0.0688(22)$ | $0.0290(97)$ | $-0.0070(91)$ | $0.0209(85)$ | -0.0243(96) |
| N2 | $0.0145(67)$ | $0.0485(88)$ | $0.0577(93)$ | $-0.0074(73)$ | 0.0042(66) | -0.0066(66) |
| C2 | $0.0468(19)$ | $0.0275(07)$ | $0.0312(98)$ | $0.0120(84)$ | -0.0003(99) | -0.0107(92) |
| C3 | $0.0464(20)$ | $0.0092(91)$ | $0.0258(02)$ | $-0.0026(79)$ | $-0.0121(96)$ | -0.0127(84) |
| C4 | $0.0162(98)$ | $0.0301(09)$ | $0.0514(23)$ | $-0.0121(92)$ | $-0.0224(94)$ | $0.0130(90)$ |
| N3 | $0.0466(84)$ | $0.0313(80)$ | $0.0205(80)$ | $0.0175(64)$ | -0.0002(64) | -0.0178(65) |
| O1 | $0.0317(68)$ | $0.0626(76)$ | $0.0644(78)$ | $0.0197(63)$ | $0.0049(59)$ | $0.0148(60)$ |
| C5 | $0.0486(23)$ | 0.0736(25) | $0.0738(24)$ | $0.0313(97)$ | $0.0111(95)$ | $0.0141(01)$ |
| C6 | $0.0504(16)$ | 0.0448(24) | 0.0526(33) | $-0.0069(01)$ | $0.0213(05)$ | $0.0131(96)$ |
| N4 | 0.0999(18) | $0.0771(18)$ | 0.0668(21) | $0.0263(90)$ | $0.0291(94)$ | $0.0338(92)$ |
| C11 | 0.0274(99) | 0.0486(08) | $0.0423(14)$ | $-0.0140(86)$ | $-0.0169(82)$ | $-0.0007(86)$ |
| C12 | 0.0455(14) | $0.0756(30)$ | $0.0418(13)$ | $-0.0079(88)$ | $-0.0018(92)$ | -0.0201(91) |
| C13 | $0.0294(03)$ | $0.1345(69)$ | 0.0451(29) | $-0.0147(11)$ | $0.0020(97)$ | $-0.0330(99)$ |
| C14 | $0.0430(18)$ | $0.0900(35)$ | 0.0394(15) | $-0.0325(97)$ | $-0.0339(01)$ | $0.0102(04)$ |
| C15 | 0.0834(35) | 0.0602(19) | 0.0146(12) | $-0.0084(84)$ | $-0.0001(99)$ | -0.0047(06) |
| C16 | 0.0372(02) | $0.0480(12)$ | $0.0552(30)$ | $-0.0034(87)$ | 0.0114(87) | -0.0320(82) |
| C21 | $0.0438(00)$ | 0.0422(08) | $0.0536(07)$ | $-0.0103(93)$ | $-0.0007(91)$ | -0.0017(82) |
| C 22 | 0.0754(23) | 0.0652(37) | $0.0410(17)$ | $-0.0059(98)$ | $-0.0176(92)$ | $0.0216(04)$ |
| C23 | 0.0722(29) | 0.0763(50) | 0.0692(41) | $0.0170(16)$ | $-0.0230(15)$ | 0.0343(12) |
| C24 | $0.0464(11)$ | 0.0606(38) | 0.1091(86) | $-0.0032(35)$ | $0.0162(22)$ | $0.0211(94)$ |
| C25 | $0.0722(27)$ | 0.0448(20) | 0.0832(39) | $-0.0122(21)$ | $0.0307(29)$ | 0.0359(01) |
| C26 | $0.0531(06)$ | 0.0394(07) | $0.0377(10)$ | $-0.0139(93)$ | $0.0042(88)$ | $0.0005(87)$ |
| C31 | 0.0287(91) | $0.0478(02)$ | 0.0244(91) | $-0.0180(86)$ | -0.0047(80) | -0.0105(81) |
| C32 | 0.0344(97) | 0.0544(10) | 0.0377(02) | $0.0102(80)$ | $0.0140(8)$ | -0.0132(94) |
| C33 | $0.0465(30)$ | 0.0835(47) | 0.0854(43) | $0.0078(16)$ | $0.0203(11)$ | 0.0086(08) |
| C34 | 0.0416(09) | $0.1241(85)$ | 0.0508(18) | 0.0098(45) | $0.0182(89)$ | -0.0153(38) |
| C35 | 0.0737(34) | 0.0761(45) | $0.0875(40)$ | $0.0230(16)$ | $0.0368(32)$ | -0.0304(32) |
| C36 | 0.0792(33) | 0.0267(12) | $0.0754(45)$ | $0.0028(93)$ | $0.0121(06)$ | $-0.0107(96)$ |
| C41 | 0.0830(29) | 0.0140(93) | $0.0205(98)$ | $-0.0127(74)$ | -0.0015(94) | $0.0090(04)$ |
| C42 | 0.1054(54) | 0.0224(10) | 0.0607(33) | $0.0062(93)$ | -0.0126(11) | -0.0047(11) |
| C43 | $0.1095(61)$ | 0.0385 (34) | $0.0537(21)$ | -0.0070(03) | $0.0413(10)$ | 0.0004(22) |
| C44 | 0.2102(74) | $0.0312(32)$ | $0.0438(26)$ | 0.0088(04) | 0.0192(62) | $0.0556(63)$ |
| C45 | $0.1263(88)$ | $0.0653(71)$ | $0.0665(44)$ | -0.0033(27) | -0.0273(33) | $0.0407(45)$ |
| C46 | 0.0647(31) | 0.0636(43) | 0.0505(18) | $0.0034(01)$ | -0.0005(04) | $0.0298(10)$ |
| C51 | 0.0400(40) | 0.0 ( ) | 0.0 ( ) | 0.0 ( ) | 0.0 ( ) | 0.0 ( ) |
| C52 | 0.0477(19) | 0.0470(11) | $0.0496(09)$ | -0.0216(97) | $-0.0087(93)$ | $0.0024(90)$ |
| C5 3 | 0.0682(42) | $0.0468(09)$ | $0.0660(34)$ | -0.0198(95) | -0.0213(16) | -0.0041(10) |
| C54 | 0.0329(17) | $0.0949(57)$ | $0.0685(41)$ | $0.0030(17)$ | -0.0250(11) | -0.0175(17) |
| C55 | 0.0455(11) | 0.1149(68) | $0.0395(16)$ | $-0.0280(08)$ | -0.0014(97) | -0.0125(17) |
| C56 | 0.0504(06) | 0.0909(31) | $0.0273(01)$ | -0.0357(90) | -0.0029(85) | -0.0077(99) |
| C61 | 0.0334(97) | $0.0381(06)$ | $0.0389(09)$ | -0.0041(83) | $-0.0032(88)$ | $-0.0080(83)$ |
| C62 | 0.0568(09) | $0.0575(20)$ | $0.0377(07)$ | $0.0076(91)$ | $0.0148(91)$ | $0.0119(92)$ |
| C63 | 0.0691(23) | $0.0547(23)$ | 0.0558(29) | $0.0044(03)$ | $0.0319(11)$ | $0.0158(01)$ |
| C64 | 0.0451(11) | $0.0509(31)$ | 0.0954(59) | -0.0254(18) | $0.0427(21)$ | -0.0062(95) |
| C65 | 0.0431(10) | $0.0512(17)$ | 0.0797(45) | -0017(11) | -0.0004(12) | -0.0020(96) |
| C66 | 0.0437 (05) | $0.0533(15)$ | $0.0287(04)$ | -0.0098(94) | -0.0088(94) | $0.0 \quad$ (90) |

stoichiometry, irrespective of the ratios of the starting reagents. This material is likely to be a polymer in which the copper links by intermolecular bonds to the nitrile functionalities as well as to both the one and three positions of Dicy ${ }^{-}$. Although it is polymeric, the IR peaks are sharp and the analytical
data good. The facile formation of this compound gives good indication of the affinity between cyanoimidazolate and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$. If triphenylphosphine is added prior to the addition of Dicy ${ }^{-}$in the synthetic procedure, a new compound, $\mathrm{Cu}($ Dicy $)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, precipitates. The insolubility of this species, unusual

TABLE IV. Bond Distances (A)

| Bond | Distance |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cu1-P1 | 2.280 (05) |
| Cu1-P2 | 2.246 (05) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 2.072(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 2.131(14) |
| P1-C11 | 1.838(18) |
| P1-C21 | 1.813(19) |
| P1-C31 | 1.833(18) |
| P2-C41 | 1.849(18) |
| P2-C51 | 1.823(18) |
| P2-C61 | 1.843(18) |
| N1-C1 | 1.340(20) |
| N1-C3 | 1.372(19) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 1.353(20) |
| N2-C2 | 1.379(21) |
| C2-C3 | 1.381(22) |
| C2-C6 | 1.442(23) |
| C3-C4 | 1.494(22) |
| C4-N3 | 1.254(21) |
| C4-O1 | 1.374(20) |
| O1-C5 | 1.445(20) |
| C6-N4 | 1.161(22) |
| C11-C12 | $1394(22)$ |
| C11-C16 | 1.387(22) |
| C12-C13 | $1.386(23)$ |
| C13-C14 | 1.376(23) |
| C14-C15 | 1.373(23) |
| C15-C16 | 1.396(22) |
| C21-C22 | 1.412(22) |
| C21-C26 | 1.416(22) |
| C22-C23 | $1369(24)$ |
| C23-C24 | 1.384(24) |
| C24-C25 | 1.379(25) |
| C25-C26 | 1.371(25) |
| C31-C32 | 1.391(21) |
| C31-C36 | $1.376(21)$ |
| C32-C33 | 1.375(24) |
| C33-C34 | 1.369(27) |
| C34-C35 | 1.392(28) |
| C35-C36 | 1.374(26) |
| C41-C42 | 1.381(24) |
| C41-C46 | 1.369(24) |
| C42-C43 | 1.381(25) |
| C43-C44 | 1.435(31) |
| C44-C45 | 1.322(32) |
| C45-C46 | 1.354(28) |
| C51-C52 | 1.385(21) |
| C51-C56 | 1.415(22) |
| C52-C53 | 1.369(24) |
| C53-C54 | 1.374(26) |
| C54-C55 | 1.347(25) |
| C55-C56 | 1.362(23) |
| C61-C62 | 1.390(21) |
| C61-C66 | 1.386(22) |
| C62-C63 | 1.392(23) |
| C63-C64 | 1.358(25) |
| C64-C65 | 1.372(25) |

TABLE V. Bond Angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| Atoms | Angle | Atoms | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-Cu1-P2 | 119.8(2) | C33-C34-C35 | 119(2) |
| P1-Cu1-N1 | 108.5(4) | C34-C35-C36 | 120(2) |
| P2-Cu1-N1 | 120.0(4) | C31-C36-C35 | 121(2) |
| P1-Cul-N3 | 105.5(4) | P2-C41-C42 | 118(2) |
| P2-Cul-N3 | 114.1(4) | P2-C41-C46 | 122(2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 82.1(6) | C42-C41-C46 | 119(2) |
| Cu1-P1-C11 | 111.4(7) | C41-C42-C43 | 122(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 121.8(6) | C42-C43-C44 | 118(2) |
| C11-P1-C21 | 103.8(9) | C43-C44-C45 | 117(2) |
| Cu1-P1-C31 | 111.3 (6) | C44-C45-C46 | 127(3) |
| C11-P1-C31 | 103.0(9) | C41-C46-C45 | 118(2) |
| C21-P1-C31 | 103.6(9) | P2-C51-C52 | 125(2) |
| Cu1-P2-C41 | $113.5(6)$ | P2-C51-C56 | 118(2) |
| Cu1-P2-C51 | 113.6(7) | C52-C51-C56 | 118(2) |
| C41-P2-C51 | 104(1) | C51-C52-C53 | 120(2) |
| Cu1-P2-C61 | 118.1(7) | C52-C53-C54 | 122(2) |
| C41-P2-C61 | 101.1(9) | C53-C54-C55 | 119(2) |
| C51-P2-C61 | 104.7(9) | C54-C55-C56 | 121(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 142(2) | C51-C56-C55 | 121(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 110(1) | P2-C61-C62 | 121(2) |
| C1-N1-C3 | 107(2) | P2-C61-C66 | 119(2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 113(2) | C62-C61-C66 | 120(2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 103(2) | C61-C62-C63 | 119(2) |
| N2-C2-C3 | 112(2) | C62-C63-C64 | 121(2) |
| N2-C2-C6 | 123(2) | C63-C64-C65 | 120(2) |
| C3-C2-C6 | 126(2) | C64-C65-C66 | 121(2) |
| N1-C3-C2 | 105(2) | C61-C66-C65 | 119(2) |
| N1-C3-C4 | 117(2) |  |  |
| C2-C3-C4 | 138(2) |  |  |
| C3-C4-N3 | 121(2) |  |  |
| C3-C4-O1 | 107(2) |  |  |
| N3 C4 01 | 132(2) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 110(1) |  |  |
| C4-O1-C5 | 115(2) |  |  |
| C2-C6-N4 | 178(3) |  |  |
| P1-C11-C12 | 122(2) |  |  |
| P1-C11-C16 | 119(2) |  |  |
| C12-C11-C16 | 119(2) |  |  |
| C11-C12-C13 | 121(2) |  |  |
| C12-C13-C14 | 118(2) |  |  |
| C13-C14-C15 | 122(2) |  |  |
| C14-C15-C16 | 120(2) |  |  |
| C11-C16-C15 | 120(2) |  |  |
| P1-C21-C22 | 120(2) |  |  |
| P1-C21-C26 | 125(2) |  |  |
| C22-C21-C26 | 115(2) |  |  |
| C21-C22-C23 | 122(2) |  |  |
| C22-C23-C24 | 121(2) |  |  |
| C23-C24-C25 | 119(2) |  |  |
| C24-C25-C26 | 121(2) |  |  |
| C21-C26-C25 | 122(2) |  |  |
| P1-C31-C32 | 114(2) |  |  |
| P1-C31-C36 | 126(2) |  |  |
| C32-C31-C36 | 119(2) |  |  |
| C31-C32-C33 | 119(2) |  |  |
| C32-C33-C34 | 122(2) |  |  |

for phosphine complexes, again suggests a polymeric structure and implies that the copper may be four coordinate. The sharp single peak in the cyanide stretching region implies a symmetrically bridging imidazolate ligand.

The tendency toward four coordination showed up in an unusual way in the preparation of the carbonyl complex. In a procedure similar to that which produced $\mathrm{Cu}($ Dicy $)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, a monocarbonyl complex precipitated which we formulated based on analytical data with a methanol of solvation $v i z$. $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CO})(\right.$ Dicy $\left.) \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]$. The IR spectrum, which we reproduce as Fig. 1, was particularly well resolved, but had several inexplicable features. The very sharp peak at $3364 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ could only be $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ not involved in hydrogen bonding, and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ of the methanol seemed not to be present. The $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ peaks left very clear signatures but the peak at $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was also unexplained. Although this compound had insolubility similar to those described above, it did react with triphenylphosphine in methylene chloride to produce a soluble species with loss of carbon monoxide. Layering the solution with hexane produced crystals suitable for diffraction and the solved structure is shown in Fig. 2. The four coordinate copper has picked up two phosphine ligands, presumably by releasing from coordination one of the imidazole nitrogens, as well as the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$. The surprising feature is the formation of a methyl imino ester functionality on the ring system, and its participation in a bidentate chelation to the copper. In order to be certain that this group was indeed present, and not the isomeric $N$-methyl amide function, several tests were performed on the X-ray data. The positions of N 3 and O 1 were interchanged. This resulted, after re-refinement, in a very slightly poorer $R$ factor, and significantly poorer temperature factors for the two atoms. In addition, the difference map calculated with all hydrogens present except that on N 3 , showed a definite residual in the vicinity of N3 and none around O1. There was also a smaller residual near N 2 so some tautomerism between N3 and N2 could not be ruled out from the X-ray data, but the IR data favors a single location, most likely at N 3 . Thus the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{CO}$ moiety appears to promote rapid and quantitative alcoholysis of one of the nitrile functions. There is some precedence for such a reaction in both alkyne [5] and nitrile [6] chemistry, and based on these observations as well as our own, we suggest a mechanism in which precoordination of nitrile occurs, followed by polarization and nucleophilic attack by the alcohol. Rate enhancement is typically large in cases of metal assisted solvolysis [7].

The stabilization of the imino ester by coordination is an interesting aspect of this work since the isomerism to the alkyl amide is favored thermodynamically [8]. In previous studies of similar


Fig. 3. Summary of synthesis.
reactions, the imino ester group was adduced mainly from IR and NMR evidence although another crystal structure was recently reported [9]. Our data for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ lengths of $1.254 \AA$ and $1.374 \AA$ agree closely with the previously reported data for the imino ester group as does the observed IR band at $1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The imidazole ring, the nitrile, and N4 are coplanar within experimental error while N 3 and O1 are twisted slightly out of this plane. In the light of the crystal structure, the IR spectrum of Fig. 1 is perfectly understandable and we now formulate the precursor as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CO})$ (Dicyie), where Dicyie designates the mono-iminoester of dicyanoimidazole.

The reactions we have observed are summarized in Fig. 3. We are continuing the investigation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ chemistry with ligands of greater sigma donor strength in combination with various imidazoles.

## Conclusion

It is well known that $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ shows a strong affinity for ligands that are weak sigma donors and good pi acceptors. Indeed, as the donor strength increases, so does the tendency toward disproportionation. Thus strongly basic amines destabilize $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ relative to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ [1]. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ normally can only bind one carbon monoxide and may reduce its coordination number if the pi acceptors are too numerous or too strong. This latter generalization is less well documented however and the several of the cases we have examined by X-ray crystallography show retention of four coordination. It may be that average $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ coordination numbers will increase as more structures are determined as happened some years ago for $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{II})$ which is seldom 'carbene-like' in its coordination shell.

## Supplementary Material

Listings of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes are available from the authors on request.
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