Complexes of tetracyanobiimidazole – V. Self-association of Ir(I) complex anions
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Abstract—The self-association of the planar anion \([\text{Ir(CO)}_2 \text{Tcbiim}]^-\) where \(\text{H}_2\text{Tcbiim}\) is 4,4',5,5'-tetracyano-2,2'-biimidazole has been studied in acetonitrile solution by analysis of the charge-transfer spectra. At low concentrations \((-10^{-4} \text{ M})\) monomer units prevail. At intermediate concentrations \((-10^{-3} \text{ M})\) dimerization is evident and a very strong \((E = 18500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\) new absorption appears at 475 nm. A novel procedure was used to obtain this value and to estimate the equilibrium constant for dimerization, \(13.2 \text{ M}^{-1}\) at 24.4°C. From the temperature dependence of the spectra, \(\Delta H\) is calculated to be \(-28\) to \(-30 \text{ kJ M}^{-1}\). At higher concentrations \((-10^{-3} \text{ M})\) spectral changes consistent with further oligomerization are observed.

INTRODUCTION

This research is part of the planned synthesis of one dimensional metal chain complexes based on the ligand 4,4',5,5'-tetracyano-2,2'-biimidazole (H₂Tcbiim) [1]. As the dianion, Tcbiim²⁻ is a planar, weak pi-acid molecule. It has the ability to act as a bridging and non-bridging ligand, and has been observed to be four, three and two coordinate with a number of different metals [2–6] including Ir and Rh [7, 8]. The planarity of Tcbiim²⁻ allows metal-metal interactions to occur in Ir(I) square planar complexes. The Ir(I) salts with the general formula M[Ir(CO)₂Tcbiim] have very interesting properties. The solid materials have a variety of colors which are cation dependent. The NEt₄⁺ salt is bright red, while the Na⁺ salt is dark blue, for example. The crystal structure of the NEt₄⁺ salt showed that this compound has a tendency to form dimers in the solid state, but that the dimers formed a slip stack as shown in Fig. 1.

In this paper, a new system of oligomers is identified in acetonitrile solution and their charge-transfer spectra and equilibria are described. The present work uses a novel procedure to obtain spectral and thermodynamic properties, and extends the earlier work on related compounds by OLMSHEAD and BALCH [9], Goffroy et al. [10] and Sigal and Gray [11] who have studied in some detail the tendencies of Rh(I) and Ir(I) complexes to oligomerize in solution. The field has been recently reviewed by Balch [12].

EXPERIMENTAL

Physical measurements

Elemental analyses were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratory, Eagle Harbor, MI or at Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN, USA. Vis-UV. spectra were obtained with a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer, at a scan rate of 25 A/s. To avoid a potential error due to time lag in pen response, all the absorbance data in the tables were obtained at fixed wavelength settings. Temperature regulation was achieved by means of a constant temperature circulator (Haake FK 10) which pumped a 1:1 mixture by volume of ethylene glycol–water through a Varian thermostatable cell jacket around the cell compartments. The temperature was controlled to ±0.1°C by fixed setting mercury regulators (H-B Inst. Co.) [13]. At low temperatures dry air was passed through the cell housing to prevent fogging. Matched ground-glass-stoppered quartz cells (Beckman Co.) with different path lengths ranging from 0.00904 to 10.02 cm were used with additional rubber caps to prevent acetonitrile evaporation. Cell lengths were calibrated using standard solutions of iodine in n-heptane using the band at 520 nm \((E = 918 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})\) [14]. In all cases pure solvent was used as the reference.

All stock and sample solutions were prepared by weight. Since the solutions were quite dilute, the concentrations at any temperature were calculated from the density of pure acetonitrile [15]. In the temperature dependent studies, the

*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.
initial temperature was always rerun at the end of the experiment. No significant change in absorbance was found, demonstrating the absence of irreversible reactions and the stability of the base line with temperature.*

Reagents

The ligand H,Tcbiim and the compound M[Ir(CO)₂Tcbiim] where M is a simple cation were prepared by methods previously described [1, 7]. Acetonitrile (Fisher) was distilled from calcium hydride and stored under nitrogen. The reagents were exposed to the atmosphere in weighing to make up stock solutions and in filling the optical cells. They were found to be stable after this exposure for several days.

DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the visible spectrum of Na[Ir(CO)₂Tcbiim]·2H₂O in acetonitrile solution: cell path = 10.02 cm; (1) [Ir(CO)₂Tcbiim]⁻ = 3.293 x 10⁻⁵ M at 24.4°C, ε₃₇₂ = 2900 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, ε₄₁₀ = 654 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; (2) at 4.7°C, ε₃₇₂ = 2950 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, ε₄₁₀ = 661 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Figure 3 shows the visible spectrum of a 10⁻³ M solution of [Ir(CO)₂Tcbiim]⁻ in CH₃CN over the temperature range 4.7-32.2°C using a cell path of 0.4966 cm. At this concentration, a new band appears near 475 nm. For the several concentrations studied, a plot of A₄₇₅ vs A₄₁₀ for a common path length is linear (see Eqn. 5), indicating that the band corresponds to the formation of a dimer. The band increases in intensity with decreasing temperature, as expected for a dimerization process. The overlap of the dimer band with the monomer band at 410 nm causes the latter band to increase in absorbance with decrease in temperature. Apparently, the dimer band does not overlap with the monomer band at 372 nm. The near constancy in absorbance of the monomeric band at 372 nm with decrease in temperature implies that the loss in monomer concentration to form dimer is offset by the increase in density of the solution. The offset is not due to the absorbance tail of the dimer band. This can be seen in the spectrum at higher concentration.

*Absorbance data are presented in Tables 2–7, available as supplementary data from the Editorial Office.
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(Fig. 4) where the monomer absorbance at 372 nm decreases with decreasing temperature.

Assuming negligible overlap of the dimer band with the monomer band at 372 nm, the monomer and dimer bands in Fig. 3 can be resolved. The concentration of the monomer in Fig. 3 is obtained directly from the absorbance at 372 nm (since \( \varepsilon_{372} \) and cell path are known). The relative absorbances Fig. 2 give directly the ratio of the molar absorbance of the monomer at 372 nm with that at any other wavelength \( \text{nm} \) i.e. \( \frac{\varepsilon_{372}}{\varepsilon_{\text{nm}}} \) (Table 2). Applying these data in Fig. 3 gives the contribution of the monomer band at 4.7°C. Subtraction of the monomer band from the composite band at 4.7°C gives the dimer band (Fig. 3 and Table 3).

For dimer formation:

\[
2M = M_2
\]

where \( M_2 \) is dimer (D); the equilibrium constant is:

\[
K_D = \frac{[M_2]}{[M]^2}.
\]  

In terms of initial monomer concentration \([M]_0\)

\[
K_D = \frac{[M_2]}{([M]_0 - 2M_2)^2}.
\]

The total absorbance \( (A_T) \) is the sum of that due to monomer \( (A_M) \) and dimer \( (A_D) \)

\[
A_T = A_M + A_D = \varepsilon_M[M] + \varepsilon_D[M_2]
\]

Fig. 3. Visible spectra of 1.0 \( \times 10^{-3} \) M Na[Ir(CO)2Tcbiim] in acetonitrile: \( T = 4.7, 9.7, 19.4, 24.4 \) and 32.2°C; path length = 0.4966 cm. Dashed curves are the resolved bands of the monomer M and dimer D at 4.7°C.

Fig. 4. Visible spectra of 5.0 \( \times 10^{-3} \) M Et4[M[Ir(CO)2Tcbiim]] in acetonitrile: \( T = 4.7, 9.7, 19.4, 24.4 \) and 32.2°C; path length = 0.0983 cm. Dashed curves are the resolved bands of the monomer M, dimer D and trimer T (plus higher oligomers).
or from Eqn. (2):
\[ A_T - \epsilon_M[M] = lK_D\epsilon_D([M]_0 - 2M_2)^2. \] (3)

It has been shown, at a wavelength where \( \epsilon_D \gg \epsilon_M \), that Eqn. (3) becomes [16]:
\[ \frac{[M]^2}{A_T - A_0} = \frac{1}{K_D\epsilon_D} + \frac{4[M]_0 - 4(A_T - A_0)}{l\epsilon_D^2} \] (4)

where \( A_0 = l\epsilon_M[M]_0. \) Usually the last term in Eqn. (4) is small and can be omitted. Plotting the spectral data for several concentrations of \([M]_0\) according to Eqn. (4) allows determination of \( K_D \) and \( \epsilon_D \) from the slope and intercept. Limitations of using this procedure have been discussed [17, 18]. Indeed, this procedure is not valid at all in the present study, in which \( K \) is relatively small, the concentrations are low due to limited solubility and the formation of oligomers is possible.

In principle, having resolved the monomer and dimer bands and knowing the characteristics of the monomer band, it should be possible to calculate the dimer concentration and, hence, \( K_D \). This involves determining a small difference between two large numbers at two concentrations, making the results unreliable. (The dimer concentration sometimes comes out to be negative.) This difficulty is circumvented by noting the following: the absorbance data at 372 nm in Fig. 3 indicate very little dimer association, i.e. the \( \epsilon_{372} \) value is within a few per cent of that in Fig. 2. The dimer band at 475 nm in Fig. 3 shows an increase in dimer formation with decrease in temperature, but the monomer band at 372 nm remains constant over the temperature range. This means that the term \([M]_0 - 2M_2\) in Eqn. (2) has the same value for all five curves in Fig. 3. Equating, for example, the data for curve 5: \([M]_0 = 1.0219 \times 10^{-3}; [M_2] = 0.256/\epsilon_D(0.4966); \) and curve 1: \([M]_0 = 0.9827 \times 10^{-3}; [M_2] = 0.079/\epsilon_D(0.4966); \) gives directly the value for \( \epsilon_D = 18 \ 200 \ \text{M}^{-1} \ \text{cm}^{-1} \) (assuming constancy with temperature). The average for all combinations is 18 500 ± 3500 \( \text{M}^{-1} \ \text{cm}^{-1} \). \( M_2 \) can be calculated at each temperature, and \( K_D \) can be obtained directly from Eqn. (2). The data are summarized in Table 1.

The study of the temperature dependence of the absorbance in Fig. 3 allows determination of the enthalpy of dimer formation. Combining Beer's law
\[ [M_2] = \frac{A_D/\epsilon_D}{l} \]
\[ [M] = \frac{A_M/\epsilon_M}{l} \]

and Eqn. (1) gives:
\[ \frac{A_D}{A_M} = \frac{K_D\epsilon_D}{\epsilon_M l}. \] (5)

Assuming \( \epsilon_D \) and \( \epsilon_M \) are essentially temperature independent:
\[ d \log \left( \frac{A_D/A_M^2}{A_M/A_D} \right) = \frac{d \log K_D}{d (1/T)}. \]

Thus a plot of \( \log \left( \frac{A_D/A_M^2}{A_M/A_D} \right) \) vs \( 1/T \) is equivalent to plotting \( \log K_D \) vs \( 1/T \). The slope of this plot equals \(-\Delta H/2.303R\). The plots in Fig. 5 are for the monomeric absorbance data at 372 nm and the dimeric
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Fig. 5. Plots to determine the heat of dimerization of the \([\text{Ir(CO)}_2\text{Tcbiim}]^{-}\) ion in acetonitrile: \( \x = \text{Na}^+ \) salt; \( \bullet = \text{Et}_{4}\text{N}^+ \) salt. Monomer band at 372 nm and dimer band at (1) 475 nm, (2) 490 nm, (3) 500 nm, (4) 525 nm. Error limits in parentheses 95% level.

### Table 1. Evaluation of \( K_D \) and \( K_D\epsilon_D \) from the absorption band at 475 nm in Fig. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curve</th>
<th>( t^\circ \mathrm{C} )</th>
<th>([M]_0 \times 10^3 \text{ (mol}^{-1}\text{l}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>([M_2] \times 10^5 \text{ (mol}^{-1}\text{l}^{-1}) (475 nm)</th>
<th>( K_D ) \text{ (mol}^{-1})</th>
<th>( K_D\epsilon_D \text{ (mol}^{-1}\text{l}^{-1}) (475 nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.9827</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>1.241</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1.0016</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>1.336</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>1.0150</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>2.298</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.0219</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>2.789</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences due to density change.
† Cell path = 0.4966 cm.
‡ Differs from the data in Table 4 by 0.015 absorbance unit, attributed to slight monomer contribution.
§ Based on \( \epsilon_D = 18.500 \text{ mol}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \) at 475 nm for this temperature range.
Fig. 6. Visible spectra of $23 \times 10^{-3} \text{M} \text{Na}[\text{Ir(CO)}_2\text{Tcbiim}]$ in acetonitrile: $T = 4.7, 24.4$ and $32.2^\circ\text{C}$; path length = 0.0094 cm.

absorbance data at (1) 475 nm, (2) 490 nm, (3) 500 nm and (4) 525 nm (Table 4). The figure shows that the data for the Na$^+$ and Et$_4$N$^+$ salts are identical. Regression analysis of the data in Fig. 5 gives the results listed in the insert (and in Table 5). In principle, the value for $\Delta H$ should remain the same regardless of the wavelength of the dimer band selected for the calculation. A small, but systematic, increase in $\Delta H$ is found in going from the value at 475 nm to that at 525 nm. Since the dimer absorbances are rather small, the trend may be fortuitous. Another possibility is a very small overlapping contribution of the trimer band with the dimer band. Generally, charge-transfer bands are asymmetric with greater breadth on the high frequency side [19], whereas the observed shape of the dimer band in Fig. 2 is skewed slightly the other way. However, the contribution of the trimer must be quite small as evidenced by the dimer half-width of 4400 cm$^{-1}$, which is typical for charge-transfer spectra [20]. The above procedure to determine $\Delta H$ directly from absorbance data is free of approximations or of precise knowledge of the concentration. Usually, there is greater error associated with determining individual values of $K$. As a check of the data in Table 1, a plot of In $K_D$ against $1/T$ gave a good straight line. From the slope, the value of $30.1 \pm 2.9 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ for $-\Delta H$ dimerization was obtained. This is in the range of 28–30 kJ mol$^{-1}$ found by the other procedure.

The spectrum in Fig. 4, compared with that in Fig. 3, is for a five-fold increase in concentration of the complex and a five-fold decrease in cell path. The absorbance data of Fig. 4 are listed in Table 6. The dimer band at 475 nm is greatly enhanced, while the monomer band at 372 nm is diminished. A new band appears at about 600 nm attributed to a trimer. Resolution of Fig. 4 at $4.7^\circ\text{C}$ into component bands (Table 7) was based on the assumption that the absorbance at 372 nm is due to monomer only and that at 475 nm is due to dimer only. This procedure of resolving the bands gave the same dimer band half-width in Fig. 3 as in Fig. 2. Having resolved monomer and dimer bands, and knowing their respective extinction coefficients and cell paths, $K_D$ can be calculated directly from Eqn. (1). The results are as follows: $K_D$ 1 mol$^{-1}$ (at $t^\circ\text{C}$) = 9.9(32.2); 12.9(24.4); 15.0(19.4); 20.8(9.7); 25.1(4.7). These data are within about 20% of those in Table 1 and give a reasonable check considering the difficulties of quantitative band resolution. In fact, the attempt to estimate a “trimer” concentration from $[\text{M}_3] = 1/3([\text{M}_2] - [\text{M}] - 2[\text{M}_2])$ gave negative concentrations at the higher temperatures, thereby precluding determination of higher equilibria.

The residual band at longer wavelengths in Fig. 4 is markedly skewed, suggesting contribution from oligomers higher than the trimer. The highest concentration studied (Fig. 6), $\sim 23 \times 10^{-3} \text{M}$, is at about the limit of solubility of Na[Ir(CO)$_2$Tcbiim] in acetonitrile. The very broad absorbances in the range 600–700 nm give direct evidence for the presence of higher oligomers.
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