.

INTERTIAL AND SUSPENSION PARAMETER DATA FOR VRTC

Final Report

Contract Number DTNH22-82-C-07373

C.B. Winkler R.L. Nisonger

January 1983

Technical Report Documentation Page

			•		
1. Report No.	2. Government Acce	ssion No. 3.	Recipient's Catalog	No.	
4. Title and Subtitle		5.	Report Date		
TNEETTAL AND SUSPENSION	V PARAMETER	DATA FOR	January 198	33	
UPTC		6.	Performing Organiza	tion Code	
VKIC			020392		
7. Author's)			Performing Organizat	tion Report No.	
C.B. Winkler, R.L. Nis	nger		UM-TRI-83-2	2	
9. Performing Organization Name and Addres	•	10	. Work Unit No.		
The University of Mich	igan				
Transportation Researc	h Institute	11	. Contract or Grant N	0.	
2901 Baxter Road			TNH22-82-C-0	07373	
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48	109	13	Type of Report and	Period Covered	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address					
National Highway Traff	ic Safety Ad	ministration	Final	100	
U.S. Department of Tra	nsportation	14	11/82 - 1/83		
Washington, D.C. 2059	0		- shousoning vdeuch		
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstrect					
Inertial and sus	pension para	meters were meas	sured on two	heavy	
vehicles. Center-of-g	ravity posit	ion, pitch-plane	e moment of	inertia	
of the whole vehicle,	and polar mo	ment of inertia	of front and	d rear	
tire/wheel/brake assem	blies were m	easured for both	n vehicles.	Unsprung	
front and rear masses	were measure	d for one vehic	le. A varie	ty of	
suspension properties,	including v	ertical and roll	rates, rol	l steer,	
and compliance steer p	roperties, w	ere measured for	each of th	e four	
suspensions.	. ,				
17. Key Words Truck, paramete	rs, center-	18. Distribution Statement			
of-gravity, moment of ine	rtia,				
front suspension, rear su	spension,	UNLIMITED			
tandem suspension					
-					
19. Security Classif, (of this report)	20. Security Class	sif. (of this pape)	21. No. of Pases	22 Price	
NONE	NONE		and the off upper	44. F HC	
NONE	NONE				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	INERTIAL PROPERTIES	4
3.	SUSPENSION PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS	9
	3.1 Notes and Comments	11

1. INTRODUCTION

This document constitutes the final report of The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute (UMTRI) on Contract Number DTNH22-82-C-07373, "Inertial and Suspension Parameter Data for VRTC." The purpose of this project was to measure inertial and suspension characteristics of a (1) Ford LNT 800 6x4 truck and of an (2) International Harvester S-1954 4x2 truck. The specific parameters to be measured are reviewed in Table 1.

The results of inertial parameter testing are presented in Section 2. Results for suspension parameter testing are given in Section 3.

1

Table 1

PROGRAM OF PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS FOR NHTSA

TASK NO.	NHTSA ITEM NO.	VEHICLE NO.	DESCRIPTION OF TASK
1	1	1	C. G. and Moment of Inertia in the Pitch Plane
2	2	1	Polar Moment of Inertia of 1 Front and 1 Dual Rear Wheel
3	3-7&9	1	Front Suspension: Vertical Force Deflection Roll Moment/Defelction Roll Steer Compliance Steer (Lateral Force and Aligning Moment) Brake Force Compliance Steer Vertical Deflection Steer
4	8	1	Roll Steer at + & - 1.5 deg Steer
5	10-15	1	Rear Suspension: Vertical Force/Deflection Roll Moment/Deflection Roll Steer Compliance Steer (Lateral Force and Aligning Moment) Vertical Load Distribution Interaxle Load Transfer
6	16	1	Load Distribution & Interaxle Load Transfer at -1 & -2 deg pitch
7	17	2	C. G. and Moment of Inertia in the Pitch Plane
8	18-23	2	Front Suspension: Vertical Force Deflection Roll Moment/Deflection Roll Steer Compliance Steer (Lateral Force and Aligning Moment) Brake Force Compliance Steer Vertical Deflection Steer

2

Table 1 (Cont.)

TASK NO.	NHTSA ITEM NO.	VEHICLE NO.	DESCRIPTION OF TASK
9	24&29	2	Front and Rear Unprung Mass
10	25%26	2	Weight Rear Suspension: Vertical Force/Deflection
11	27%28	2	Roll Moment/Deflection Roll Steer
			Compliance Steer (Lateral Force and Aligning Moment)

2. INERTIAL PROPERTIES

The pitch-plane inertial properties of the two test vehicles were measured on UMTRI's Pitch-Plane Inertial Properties Test facility (Figure 2.1). These properties are those of the whole vehicle and include total weight, c.g. height and fore/aft position, and pitch moment of inertia about the c.g. Results of these measurements are given in Figure 2.2. (Note that the c.g. height is located relative to the lower face of the vehicles' frame rails at the fore/aft position. We feel that locating the c.g. relative to the sprung mass is more reliable for reporting purposes than locating it relative to ground. The "height above ground" data given in the figure is for your convenience and may vary considerably with tire changes, etc.)

The weight and polar moment of inertia of the rotating masses for each truck were also measured. Weights were determined using a balance scale. The multi-filar pendulum technique was used to measure the moments of inertia. One rear and one front assembly from each vehicle was measured, where an assembly includes all the major rotating elements, viz., tire, wheel, hub, and brake rotor (drum or disc). The results appear in Figure 2.3.

Finally, the front and rear unsprung masses of the IH truck were weighed using a strain gauge load cell. In each case, the springs were included in the measurement and the shock absorbers were not included. For the rear alxes, no portion of the drive shaft weight was included. For the front axle, the steering drag link was not included. For these conditions, the front unsprung mass weighs 1,093 lbs and the rear unsprung mass weighs 2,133 lbs.

4



Figure 2.1. Pitch-Plane Inertial Properties Test Facility.

HSRI PITCH PLANE INERTIAL

PROPERTIES TEST

TEST NO MITSA - 1				
DATE 11/10/82 TIME		or Wiik	12-	
I. VEHICLE ID				
MANUFACTURER ford () Model No. 199 (419	tanden FU]	WHEELBASEL. SERIAL NO./	ISO FRASSO	<u>KIAV 543</u> 734
II. BODY ID MANUFACTURER Nor \$_ MODLE NO		DESCRIPTION	4	
III. VEHICLE CONDITION	/	LOADING	,<	
IV. RESULTS	4	TEST	2	0UC
C.G. POSITION (INCHES)	Ŧ	<u> </u>		nvo
AFT OF FRONT AXLE	81.81	81.81	81.80	81.80
EXPECTED ERROR STANDARD DEVIATION HEIGHT ABOVE VEHICLE	0.150	0.150	0.151	0.006
REFERENCE	0.81	0.80	0.84	0.82
EXPECTED ERROR	0.347	0.337	0.326	
STANDARD DEVIATION HEIGHT ABOVE GROUND RITCH MOMENT DE INERTIA				0.015 30.82
ABOUT CG (IN-LB-SEC++2)	161714.	161076.	161250.	161347.
EXPECTED ERROR	2293.	2286.	2288.	
STANDARD DEVIATION WEIGHT (LBS)	11383.			269.

◆ REFERENCE POINT IS LOWER FACE OF FRAME AT CG

Figure 2.2a

HORI PITCH PLANE INERTIAL

PROPERTIES TEST

TEST NO. 11-15A-2 DATE 1/20/20 TIME PAL OPERATOR 10 58/200 I. VEHICLE ID MANUFACTURER_IA II. BODY ID MANUFACTURER MODLE NO. SERIAL NO._____ III. VEHICLE CONDITION, GAS______A IV. RESULTS TEST 1 2 3 ₩G C.G. POSITION (INCHES) 55.04 55.04 0.143 0.143 AFT OF FRONT AXLE 55.0555.04 EXPECTED ERROR 0.143STANDARD DEVIATION 0.003 HEIGHT ABOVE VEHICLE 5.95 5.79 0.580 0.577 5.80REFERENCE+ 5.84 EXPECTED ERROR 0.579STANDARD DEVIATION 0.073HEIGHT ABOVE GROUND 32.34 PITCH MOMENT OF INERTIA

 ABOUT CG (IN-LB-SEC ◆◆2) 88585.
 87969.
 88022.

 EXPECTED ERROR
 2060.
 2048.
 2049.

 88192. STANDARD DEVIATION 279. WEIGHT (LBS) 9656.

♦ REFERENCE POINT IS LOWER FACE OF FRAME AT CG

Figure 2.2b

	4x6 Ford Truck		2x4 IH Truck	
	Front	Rear	Front	Rear
Weight, 1b.	319.5	569.5	294.5	513.5
Polar Moment of Inertia in-lb/sec ²	115	241	99	211

Figure 2.3. Rotating Masses.

3. SUSPENSION PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS

Front and rear suspensions of the two subject vehicles were measured on the UMTRI Heavy Vehicle Suspension Parameter Measurement Facility (Fig. 3.1). This facility exercises the suspension by the application of forces and moments to the tire contact patches of the suspension while the vehicle frame is held fixed. The parameters measured were:

-Vertical rate
-Roll rate
-Bounce steer (front only)
-Roll steer
-Aligning moment compliance steer
-Lateral force compliance steer
-Brake force compliance steer (front only)
-Interaxle load transfer due to brake force (tandem suspensions only)
-Load equalization (tandems only)

All tests that do not involve a sweeping of vertical load (i.e., vertical rate and bounce steer) are performed at three loads to provide information on the influence of geometric changes due to vertical deflection on the measured parameters. These loads were chosen to be 12,000, 9,000, and 6,000 lbs for the front suspensions, 19,000, 14,250, and 9,500 lbs for the single-axle rear, and 32,000, 24,000, and 16,000 lbs for the tandem suspension. Control of the axle motion was accomplished with two different schemes, depending on the requirements of the test being performed. For those parameter measurements that require bounce or roll motion of the axle, the axle is controlled by commanding total vertical load and the load differential between the right and left sides. This allows the suspension to respond to the application of vertical loads imposed at the tire contact patches. For those tests involving the application of shear forces and moments, the axle position is controlled directly to avoid the inclusion of motion-related responses in the compliance measurement.

9



Results are presented graphically following this text.

3.1 Notes and Comments

Vehicle 1 (Ford)

The front suspension of this vehicle is typical of other heavy vehicles measured on the facility. Roll steer measurements made at three nominal steer angles (0, 1.5, and -1.5 degrees) show very slight differences with the off-center conditions exhibiting marginally greater roll steer. Bounce steer measurements indicate that this parameter is not significant relative to the other parameters, resulting in steer angles that are still in the lash of the steering system. Aligning moment compliance steer has the shape characteristic of truck steering systems, with a very large compliance evident around zero moment and steer, and less compliance once the lash has been passed through. It is of interest to note that these measurements (and those made on vehicle 2) were made with the engine running to charge the power steering system. Without the engine running, the steering system had on the order of five degrees of lash. The results of lateral force compliance tests are questionable for the 9,000 and 6,000 lbs cases due to difficulty in aligning the center of force application with the kingpin axis. The data collected at 12,000 lbs axle load reflects the more typical response observed when the force is accurately applied.

The Hendrickson suspension used at the rear of this vehicle is very stiff, approximately 8,000 lb/in per wheel set, with significant coulomb friction at higher suspension loads. The most notable characteristics of this suspension are its ability to equalize loads on the two axles and its negligible interaxle load transfer in response to brake force. This applies to all pitch angles tested.

Vehicle 2 (International Harvester)

The front suspension on this vehicle is quite limited in its stroke by the bump stops grounding on the frame rails. This occurs with approximately 14,000 lb on the axle. This is a metal-to-metal contact and therefore there is no transition through a compliant bump stop. The roll steer

11

of this suspension is nearly zero at a 12,000-1b axle load, and increases as the load is decreased and the steering linkage geometry moves away from the design condition. Again, the bounce steer measured is proably due to the steering linkage moving in its lash.

The single-axle rear suspension of vehicle 2 is typical of this genre of suspensions in terms of vertical and roll stiffness and compliance to applied shear forces and moments. The roll steer is nearly zero at 19,000 lbs and increases at reduced loads, though the level is still low for a suspension of this type.























•

· .

FORD REAR





_



K-E KERNART & EREEN CO. HTOLIN AT

-



47 1512



.....

.....











1

7191 Zt

_







K-E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER - 3 X CM

INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER FRONT

. .







0191 Z#





AC 10 A STATE OF CENTIMETER AND A STATE A

•



0191 2+



INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER REAR









