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Abstract—Ice-sheet/structure interaction models were prepared and analyzed numerically. The study covered parameters to analyze displacement boundaries, the effects of sharp forward ridges, artificially induced cracks, etc. These variables are difficult and costly to incorporate into experimental work.

The floating ice-sheet was studied as a large, rectangular, continuous plate supported by springs (equivalent buoyancy). The plate was held at the far edge and a displacement boundary condition applied at the middle of the near edge. The displacement condition is that of the contact surface edge geometry of an offshore or ship structure.

The models were analyzed using finite element techniques. Nonlinear material property and geometry effects were also considered. The resulting force, stress and displacement patterns indicate that a modified geometry of structure will produce smaller forces to break up the ice, especially when coupled with radial precuts in strategic locations. Results show good agreement with the experimental data obtained by Frederling and Timco (Proc. 4th International Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering Symposium, ASME, Dallas, pp. 160-169, 1985). The analytical expressions available to predict floating ice loads on structures can be verified and re-evaluated by extending present work.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The present national oil glut notwithstanding, more and more offshore structures are being planned and constructed. Many of these are slated for cold regions, like the Beaufort Sea, where the menacing presence of floating ice poses a seasonal hazard to these structures, as well as to the transport ships that play an essential role in their operation and maintenance.

Offshore structures and the ships that service them have to be designed to withstand the forces produced by the floating ice cover as it presses against the structure, crushes, breaks and drifts away. This scenario is repeated intermittently at various lengths, depending on the severity of the winter.

The interaction between the ice cover and a structure is very complex. Many forces are at play at a given moment, crushing and flexural failure, translation and rotation of the ice pieces, buoyancy, gravity and ice friction to lift, move and slide the broken ice pieces around the structure.

A number of investigators [1-6] have studied analytically the problem of ice forces on inclined structures. All, except [3], treat ice as an elastic/brittle material, and as either a two-dimensional or three-dimensional problem [1].

Other investigators [1, 5, 7] have studied the same ice forces on inclined plane structures experimentally through small scale models, and discovered that analytical results consistently underpredict ice/structure interaction forces, and have suggested revisions to the analytical expressions previously proposed.

An economic and safe structural design requires a thorough quantitative understanding of the loads involved in the ice/structure interaction. Frederling and Timco [1] report that in ice/structure interaction the maximum breaking load was associated with the formation of radial cracks in the ice-sheet in front of the inclined plane, rather than circumferential cracks as shown in Fig. 1.

Further experiments with built-in cracks conclusively verified their observation. The presence of built-in circumferential cracks was insignificant, while the built-in radial cracks reduced the maximum breaking load by half as shown in Fig. 2.

Work at the University of Michigan using finite element analysis with and without built-in cracks showed good agreement with the experimental data obtained by Frederling and Timco [1].

Thus, through numerical analysis, we can calu-
late the location of maximum flexural stress in the ice-sheet. Firing high pressure hot water jets to these spots (or possibly building sharp ridges forward of the structure at these points), or using a mechanical device similar to Ditch Witch machine as done by Manikian et al. [8], will precipitate formation of radial cracks and thereby reduce the value of the maximum breaking load that an offshore structure has to withstand without causing environmental side effects.

This numerical study presents in part the effects of the following parameters, namely offshore structure geometries (i.e. the slopes and sizes of inclined planes and conical surfaces, displacement boundaries, sharp forward ridges), friction, geometric and material non-linearity, and artificially induced cracks on the ice/offshore structure load interactions.

2. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND NUMERICAL APPROACH

Experimentally obtained data on ice/structure interaction loads are few in number and costly to obtain. To extend this data bank, mathematical models were prepared and analyzed numerically. The study covered loads and displacement boundaries to accommodate inclined planes, conical surfaces, displacement boundaries, sharp forward ridges), friction, geometric and material non-linearity, and artificially induced cracks on the ice/offshore structure load interactions.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>A₁</th>
<th>A₂</th>
<th>B₁</th>
<th>B₂</th>
<th>C₁</th>
<th>C₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.1</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.2</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.3</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>109.3</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.1 (-5)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>-2.64</td>
<td>95.54</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td>80.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.1C (-5)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>89.52</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>75.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2 (-3)</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>-3.46</td>
<td>86.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2C (-5)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2CG (-10)</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>-6.71</td>
<td>168.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2CN (-8.77)</td>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>-4.87</td>
<td>159.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.5 (-4)</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.5F -0.20</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>85.52</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>74.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) = specified displacement.
faces, sharp forward ridge effects, and mechanically induced pre-cracks. These variables are difficult and costly to incorporate into experimental work, yet important and essential in preparing better analytical expressions for predicting ice/structure interaction loads.

As a slow moving, floating ice-sheet encounters an offshore structure, interaction loads throughout the contact area cause stress field build-up in the ice-sheet. When these stresses are high enough, the ice-sheet cracks, breaks, and causes the ice-sheet to advance. This process is repeated many times. Our goal was to develop a numerical tool to predict the value of the interactive load that causes the ice to break, and establish ways to reduce its magnitude. Mathematical models of the floating ice-sheet as a large, rectangular, continuous plate supported by springs (equivalent buoyancy) were prepared. The plate was held at the far edge and a displacement boundary condition of an inclined plane or conical surface applied statically at the middle of the near edge. The displacement boundary condition was that of the contact surface edge geometry of the offshore, or ship structure.

The mathematical models were analyzed using finite element techniques which are well suited for boundary displacement loads. This way nonlinear property and geometry effects can also be evaluated as desired. The resulting stress and displacement fields are presented graphically in this paper.

3. FInITE ELEMENT MODELS

Two different finite element programs were utilized to perform the analysis of the ice/structure interaction.

For Series I models, the Michigan Structural Analysis Program (MSAP) was used. It is based on SAP IV [9] and is a linear program.

For Series II models, the program ADINA was used. This is a nonlinear finite element program developed by Bathe at Massachusetts Institute of
Technology [10]. In the present study, except for two models, II.2CG and II.2CN, ADINA was used mostly in the linear mode but we plan to study this ice/structure interaction further nonlinearly. Also, it was of interest to obtain solutions to the same problem using different types of elements and compare the results. Table 1 models I.1 and II.5F show excellent agreement.

Experimental work of Frederking and Timco [1] formed the basis of the mathematical model of our numerical study. The ice sheet was 7 m wide, 5 m long and 0.048 m thick, as seen in Fig. 3, with an elastic modulus of 240 MPa and Poisson's ratio of 0.333.

Because of symmetry the finite element (FE) models needed to be half as large. The FE model discretization are shown presented in Figs 4 and 9. The ice sheet was treated as supported by elastic foundation. The buoyancy produced by the water is lumped at the nodal points using truss (line) elements and is shown in Figs 5-8.

**Series I model:** This was for MSAP and had a total of 140 nodal points, 52 plate/shell elements and 60 truss elements.

**Series II model:** This was for ADINA and had a total of 236 nodal points, 28 multi N.P. 3/D shell elements and 88 truss elements.

**Series I**

Plate elements with springs were used to model the ice/buoyancy effects with the finite element program MSAP. It was force-loaded uniformly on the edge in contact with the inclined plane. The equivalent concentrated applied loads are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodal point</th>
<th>Force - Y (kN)</th>
<th>Force - Z (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-0.01475</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-0.0245</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.01475</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 shows the nodal point locations of these points.

The following three models were studied for the above load condition:

I.1 Ice sheet with no precuts.
I.2 With circumferential precut.
I.3 With radial precut.

The dark lines in Fig. 4 represent the precut positions.

**Series II**

Here multi-nodal 3/D shell elements with springs were used to model the ice/buoyancy effects with the finite element program ADINA, in linear and non-linear modes.

This series was mostly displacement loaded at edge of contact, which is considered to be more realistic than the edge force load condition of Series I.

The following eight models were studied in this series:

II.1 Displacement at nodal point A only.
II.1C Displacement at A with radial precut at center line of symmetry.
II.2 Displacement at B.

Fig. 5. Finite element model of ice cover pressing on an incline plane showing buoyancy elements, Series I.

Fig. 6. Deformation in ice cover due to forces from an incline plane without precracks (I.1).

Fig. 7. Deformation in ice cover due to forces from an incline plane with precracks—circumferential (I.2).

Fig. 8. Deformation in ice cover forces due to forces from an incline plane with precracks—radial (I.3).
Fig. 9. Finite element model of the ice cover for ADINA Series II.

Fig. 10. Z-displacement in contour and in relief (II.5).
II.2C Displacement at B with radial precut at center line of symmetry.

II.2CG Same as II.2C, plus nonlinear geometry.

II.2CN Same as II.2CG, plus nonlinear material (\(\sigma_y = 100\) kPa, \(E_T = 0\)).

II.5 Displacement at A, B and C.

II.5F Force loaded same as model I.1, for comparison purposes.

The nodal point locations of the specified displacement are shown in Fig. 9. The displacement values used for boundary condition in this series are shown listed in Table 2.

4. RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The displacement results specified and calculated for all cases considered are shown listed in Table 1. The following general observations can be made from this study.

1. Most of the upper surface of the ice sheet is subjected to compression and lower surface to tension.
2. Near the vicinity of the offshore structure, flexural...
stresses in the x-direction were dominant because of large bending moments in the ice sheet, whereas away from the structure, the axial stresses in the x-direction were more prominent.

3. It was noticed that bending moments $M_x$ and $M_y$ were of equal magnitude and importance throughout.

4. Near the offshore structure the stresses in the x- and y-directions were positive (tension) on the lower surface. Since ice is weak in tension and the surface is more rugged at the lower face this will help initiate cracks faster.

5. The presence of radial precuts made the ice sheet deflect more, see Figs 6–8, and caused larger stresses.

6. The assumption of uniform edge pressure on the ice-sheet due to contact with the inclined plane structure was not justified, for nodal point A lifted off the incline, as seen in Table 1, model II.2.

7. The most highly stressed elements were those in contact with the offshore structure and the precut. The plastic region of model II.2CN was confined to three of these elements. A comparison of the maximum stresses in model II.2 for linear, for geometrically nonlinear, and for geometrically and materially nonlinear is given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Displ (m)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{xx}$ (kPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{yy}$ (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II.2C</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-195</td>
<td>-278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2CG</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-180</td>
<td>-251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2CN</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>-113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

![Fig. 12. Y-Stress in contour and in relief (II.5).](image-url)
5. SOME CONCLUDING REMARKS

1. This study has shown that the finite element technique is indeed a very useful and powerful tool to study ice/structure interaction.

2. Ice/structure interaction is a boundary displacement (contact) rather than a boundary (edge) load problem. Series II models address this for four different geometry conditions. Model II.5 approximates a conical structure surface.

3. Use of post processors to display results graphically is very important. Otherwise most of the results are passed by unnoticed, see Figs 10-12.

4. This has been essentially a preliminary study; further study with nonlinear geometry and material properties are planned for the Series II ADINA model.

5. Radial precuts using hot water jets, mechanical ditchers such as Ditch Witch [8], or some other mechanical device, placed at strategic locations look very promising and need to be studied further even before the present oil glut is over!
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