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VISUALIZATION OF MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION AND SUBSEQUENT CORONARY REPERFUSION WITH MRI USING A DOG MODEL
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Twelve anesthetized mongrel dogs underwent left thoracotomy with placement of a removable ligature around the left circumflex coronary artery. Following a 3 to 6 hour delay, ECG-gated spin-echo MRI was performed. The ligature was then removed reperfusing the heart, and after a 10-15 min period, MRI repeated. Finally, post-sacrifice images were obtained, and the hearts chemically stained for infarct evaluation. The MR images were subjectively and quantitatively evaluated for visibility of the endocardial border and of the injured myocardium, and for changes after reperfusion. The injured tissue was variably visible in vivo, the major limitation a result of motion blurring and artifact. The abnormal tissue was easily visible on MRI in 11 animals, and not clearly visible in one. The endocardial border was easily seen in 10 animals. The variation of calculated relaxation times was high for both normal and ischemic/infarcted myocardium in the beating hearts (normal: $T_1 = 566 \pm 288$, $T_2 = 38 \pm 6$; injured myocardium: $T_1 = 637 \pm 250$, $T_2 = 41 \pm 12$) in contrast, relatively stationary skeletal muscle measured in the same images had narrower ranges ($T_1 = 532 \pm 199$, $T_2 = 28 \pm 2$). Changes with reperfusion were seen, but not reliably. The infarcted or ischemic zones were easily visible on post-sacrifice images in all animals imaged. Post-sacrifice relaxation times were $T_1 = 564 \pm 69$ msec, $T_2 = 39 \pm 3$ msec for normal heart muscle, and $725 \pm 114$, $T_2 = 47 \pm 5$ for ischemic/infarcted tissue. We conclude that acute myocardial infarction can usually be detected by MRI, given a prior knowledge of its location. However, the technique is at present likely to be of only limited value clinically in the prospective diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction, though this may improve as technology advances. Finally, signal changes following reperfusion may be visible in some cases, but not reliably so.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of proton MR imaging to demonstrate acute myocardial infarction as areas of increased signal on spin-echo images has been demonstrated both in animal models,5,12,14,18 and in man.3,4,9,11 The current investigation was undertaken to provide additional information on the reliability of MR in detecting acute myocardial injury, and thus to provide an indication of the potential role of current MR equipment in a clinical setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve mongrel dogs were anesthetized with intravenous pentobarbital (30 mg/kg), intubated, and ventilated artificially with room air. A thoracotomy was performed, and a left atrial catheter placed for subsequent injection of technetium-99m labeled microspheres. A proximal segment of the left circumflex coronary artery was dissected free, and a removable ligature was then tied around the vessel, occluding it. Following occlusion, approximately 400,000 to 600,000 technetium-99m labeled 20 micron microspheres were injected. A mean period of 4 hours (range, 2.5-6) after occlusion, the animal underwent ECG-gated MR using a Diasonics MT/S whole body imager, with a 0.35 T superconducting magnet. Dual spin-echo, multislice acquisition in the transverse plane was employed, with echo-delay (TE) times of 28 and 56 msec. Cardiac gat-
ing was performed using two different pulse-repetition (TR) intervals for each study; for animals with a slow heart rate, gating to every beat and every other beat was used; for those with a faster rate, gating was typically to every second and every third beat. The average TR’s were 0.81 ± 0.18 sec for the shorter gating interval, and 1.31 ± 0.38 for the longer.

Following the initial MR study, the animal was withdrawn from the imaging magnet, and the coronary ligature removed. The animal was reinserted into the scanner; care was taken to insure that the same position was used. After a period of from 10 to 15 minutes, a second set of images were acquired, again with two different gating intervals.

Three of the animals then received injections of gadolinium-DTPA for a study unrelated to this investigation. All animals were sacrificed by potassium chloride injection, and post-sacrifice scans were obtained in all animals except one of the gadolinium injected dogs using TR intervals of 0.5 and 1.0 seconds. In 3 animals, these images were obtained on the excised heart; in the remainder, the heart was imaged in situ.

Following sacrifice, all hearts were excised. The infarcted myocardium was identified and sized using triphenyltetrazolium (TTC) staining, and the volume of myocardium hypoperfused during occlusion was measured using autoradiography, as previously described.2

For all sets of MR images, the slice best displaying the cardiac anatomy was selected. The time interval following the QRS trigger for this slice varied from case to case; generally, it proved to be the slice acquired 100 or 200 milliseconds after the QRS complex. For almost all animals, the same anatomic level was used for all measurements; in a small number of cases, it was necessary to use contiguous slices which differed by 1 cm. The 4 pre-reperfusion images (obtained with 2 gating intervals and 2 TE times), 4 post-reperfusion images, and 4 post-sacrifice images (excluding the gadolinium injected animals) were evaluated visually and quantitatively. Visually, the following determinations were independently made by 2 radiologists (AMA and KAB), and a consensus reached for: visibility of the endocardial border on the 4 pre-reperfusion images; visibility of injured myocardium as an area of increased signal intensity in the expected location. T1 and T2 relaxation times were made in the pre-reperfusion, post-reperfusion, and post-sacrifice images (the latter excluding the animals given gadolinium-DTPA), and ratios of signal intensity in the normal and abnormal myocardium determined for the first-echo image data (again excluding post-sacrifice data on the injected animals). Regions of interest were also drawn in areas of skeletal muscle, and relaxation times calculated. Standard software furnished with our scanner was used for the calculations, as described by other investigators.18 Results are expressed as mean ± S.D.

RESULTS

Postmortem

The radio-labeled microsphere assessment of the hypoperfused region was technically unsuccessful in one animal; in the remaining 11 animals, the mean ratio of hypoperfused myocardium (risk region) to total left ventricular myocardium was 34 ± 7%. TTC staining was also technically unsuccessful in one animal; of the remaining 11 dogs, infarcts were observed in 10; injury in one animal apparently did not progress to infarction. The mean infarct size for the 10 dogs was 22 + 12% of the myocardium.

Visual analysis

In general, in vivo second echo images were of poorer quality than first echo data, because of their lower signal-to-noise, and the presence of increased motion artifact. It was, however, preferable to examine both echo images concurrently; this generally permitted identification of the area of injury more easily than on either first or second echo images interpreted alone (see Figs. 1a and 1b). On the pre-reperfusion images it was almost always possible to identify the injured myocardium as an area of increased signal intensity in the expected location. Visibility was generally better on the longer TR images; for the shorter TR first and second echo images read together, the injury could be easily identified in 7/12 dogs (5/12 for first echo images alone, and 3/12 for second echo images alone), for the longer TR, in 11/12 animals (11/12 for first echo images alone, 8/12 for second echo images alone). However, areas of increased signal in areas of myocardium not corresponding to the expected location of tissue injury was noted in 7/12 animals, almost certainly a result of motion artifact. Thus, we must note that without prior knowledge of infarct location, reliable diagnosis would generally not have been possible.

The endocardial border was easily discerned in 10 dogs on both the short and long TR images, and not well seen in 2.
Fig. 1. (A) Short TR (0.6 sec), first echo image demonstrating the myocardial injury as an area of increased signal (arrows). (B) TR = 1.0, TE = 56 msec image obtained after sacrifice confirming the presence of a high signal zone.
It was not felt possible to visually determine whether or not a change in visibility of the injured myocardium occurred with immediate reperfusion.

The injured myocardium was clearly seen in all 9 animals (the 3 animals given Gd-DTPA are excluded) following sacrifice on the TR = 1.0 second images (first echo TE = 28 msec and second echo TE = 56 msec data alike), and in 3 of the animals on the TR = 0.5 second, TE = 56 msec data (it could be identified in only one dog on the TR = 0.5 sec, TE = 28 msec scans). Visibility was much improved over the in vivo images (Fig. 2).

Quantitative

The ratio of signal intensity in the injury zone to normal myocardium was greater than unity in all but one first-echo image. For the pre-reperfusion images, the mean intensity ratio was 1.16 ± 0.23 for the shorter TR images, and 1.40 ± 0.25 for those obtained with the longer TR. For the post-reperfusion scans, the ratios were 1.31 ± 0.23 and 1.49 ± 0.45, for the short and long TR data, respectively. A trend toward increasing lesion contrast following reperfusion is present, but it did not reach statistical significance. Additionally, a trend was noted towards increasing lesion contrast on the more heavily $T_2$-weighted long TR images compared to the short TR images; however, this reached statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) for the prereperfusion data only.

The calculated relaxation time data is summarized in Table 1. As can be seen, the variation in these measurements was great, severely limiting their value. The variation in $T_2$ times was less than for the $T_1$ values; this is usually the case with image data, and probably results from the fact that $T_2$ calculations are based on data acquired in a single dual-echo pulse sequence, whereas $T_1$ calculations require two separate sequences, with different TR intervals. Variation in the measurements for skeletal muscle, which of course does not experience cardiac motion, was substantially less than for myocardium. Finally, the variation found following sacrifice was generally substantially less than in the live animal.

The relaxation time data did not show significant
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Table 1. Relaxation times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T1^* )</th>
<th>( T2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Myocardium, pre-reperfusion</td>
<td>566 ± 288 (9)</td>
<td>38 ± 6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Myocardium, post-reperfusion</td>
<td>521 ± 212 (10)</td>
<td>42 ± 11 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Myocardium, post-sacrifice</td>
<td>564 ± 69 (9)</td>
<td>39 ± 3 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured Myocardium, pre-reperfusion</td>
<td>637 ± 250 (11)</td>
<td>41 ± 12 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured Myocardium, post-reperfusion</td>
<td>647 ± 213 (11)</td>
<td>45 ± 11 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured Myocardium, post-sacrifice</td>
<td>725 ± 114 (9)</td>
<td>47 ± 5 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Muscle, pre-reperfusion</td>
<td>532 ± 199 (11)</td>
<td>28 ± 7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Muscle, post-reperfusion</td>
<td>544 ± 199 (11)</td>
<td>28 ± 1 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Muscle, post-sacrifice</td>
<td>593 ± 186 (6)</td>
<td>29 ± 1 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± standard deviation, in milliseconds. Number in parentheses indicates number of usable data points.

*In several cases, the data did not permit calculation of the \( T1 \) relaxation time (the heart rate was not recorded for one animal; in 3 other measurements, the measured long-TR intensity was found to be less than the short-TR intensity).

Differences in relaxation times between normal and ischemic myocardium in the living animal, though a trend towards increased relaxation times is evident. In the measurements made after sacrifice, the differences are statistically significant. No significant differences between the relaxation times of injured myocardium before and after reperfusion were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

We have assessed the efficacy of different pulse sequences for spin-echo MRI in visualizing acute myocardial infarction in a controlled dog model. Previous reports have shown that infarcts are seen as zones of increased signal intensity.\(^5\)\(^\text{11-14,18}\) However, several recent patients have indicated controversy over the specificity and clinical utility of this finding, since increased signal can also be seen with motion and other artifacts.\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^9\) Based on the findings in this study, we feel the superimposed increased signal from artifacts is such that it is not always possible to reliably detect infarction prospectively, using current equipment.

Edema occurs as a consequence of myocardial injury, resulting in an increase in both \( T1 \) and \( T2 \) relaxation times.\(^14,17\) Since, with our scanner, infarction resulted in increased MR signal, it is the \( T2 \) effect that is responsible for lesion contrast; even the first echo images obtained with \( TE = 28 \text{ msec} \) show \( T2 \) influence. Hence, one would expect that images with increased \( T2 \) weighting, that is longer TR and/or TE, would be best for visualizing myocardial infarction. Our data indicates that indeed longer TR images are preferable, though at the cost of increased imaging time. However, our longer-TE second echo images were of only limited utility, because of both the diminished signal-to-noise of longer-TE images, and the presence of high-signal motion artifact.

The endocardial border could be reliably seen in most of these studies on both the long and short TR images, supporting a role for MRI in assessing regional and global cardiac wall motion and function.\(^1,6,10,11,15\)

We did not observe significant changes in the signal of injured myocardium compared to normal myocardium with reperfusion, a finding similar to one report by previous investigators,\(^7\) though this group did find significant differences subsequently.\(^8\) Since increased edema occurs following reperfusion, it is certainly possible that with either a different experimental protocol, or improvements in image quality, such changes would be demonstrable.\(^8,13,16\) Increases in both \( T1 \) and \( T2 \) relaxation times have been well described following myocardial injury, and our post-sacrifice data provides further confirmation. However, statistically significant changes were not seen in vivo, in part because of image degradation from respiratory and cardiac motion. This is reflected in the large variation we found in our calculated relaxation times. Hence, quantitative manipulation of image data did not improve the efficacy of MRI.

In conclusion, we found that myocardial injury can be retrospectively identified on gated spin-echo images as regions of increased signal intensity. The endocardial border is also generally clearly demarcated. However, image quality is not good enough to permit accurate prospective identification of infarction, or to consistently show changes which occur immediately after reperfusion. MRI technology continues to undergo rapid improvement, and it is certainly possible that its efficacy will improve in the near future.
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