The Chlorine Nuclear Quadrupole Coupling Tensor in Chlorotrifluoroethylene

K. W. HILLIG II, E. R. BITTNER, AND R. L. KUCZKOWSKI

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

W. LEWIS-BEVAN

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901

AND

M. C. L. GERRY

Department of Chemistry, The University of British Columbia, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6T 1Y6

The 273 hyperfine components from 56 rotational transitions of chlorotrifluoroethylene were measured with a Fourier transform microwave spectrometer. A global least-squares fit was made to the rotational constants, quartic distortion constants, and ³⁵Cl quadrupole coupling constants; the RMS deviation of the fit was 1.6 kHz. It is shown that such high-resolution measurements enable x_{ab} to be determined without the near degeneracies usually necessary with less precise data. The principle tensor quadrupole coupling constants are $x_{zz} = -77.46 (10)$, $x_{xx} = 38.85 (10)$, and $x_{yy} = x_{cc} = 38.614 (3)$ MHz. The values are comparable to other vinyl chlorides, removing some anomalies from previous studies. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

The microwave spectrum of chlorotrifluoroethylene, $CF_2 = CFCl$, was first reported by Stone and Flygare (1). They measured several low-J Q- and R-branch transitions with a Stark-modulated spectrometer and used them to evaluate the rotational constants of the ³⁵Cl isotopic species, along with a structure consistent with the limited isotopic data. The ³⁵Cl quadrupole coupling constants in the inertial axis system (x_{aa}, x_{bb} , and x_{cc}) were also determined. Using this structure and the assumption that the C-Cl bond is a principal axis of the quadrupole tensor, the inertial quadrupole tensor was rotated to obtain the principal value $x_{zz} = -89.1$ MHz. This value was much larger than those of other vinyl halides, and the result was rationalized in terms of the bonding of the molecule. A second MW study of this compound appeared subsequently and reported a quite different assignment and rotational constants and more normal quadrupole coupling (2).

We were motivated initially to explore the assignment ambiguity, and also to resolve the question of the anomalous quadrupole coupling. The latter could be achieved if the complete quadrupole tensor could be determined, in particular the off-diagonal element x_{ab} . This constant would permit the quadrupole tensor to be diagonalized directly without any structural assumptions. To determine x_{ab} , however, deviations from first-order quadrupole splitting patterns must be measured. For ³⁵Cl these deviations are usually so small that in the absence of accidental near degeneracies of the correct type (see, for example Ref. (4)) they cannot be determined with a Stark-modulated waveguide spectrometer.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The transitions were observed with the FTMW spectrometer at the University of Michigan. This is of the Balle-Flygare type and operates in the frequency range of 6–18 GHz (3, 5). Both a modified Bosch 0-280-150-045 fuel injector and a Newport Research Model BV-100 pulsed gas valve were used as molecular beam sources, with orifice diameters of 0.5 and 1.0 mm. A sample of 1% CF₂==CFCl in argon was used at a backing pressure of 1–2 atm.

Most transitions could be seen by averaging 1–100 pulses, although 10^3-10^5 pulses were typically accumulated when determining final transition frequencies. Doppler splitting of the lines was minimized by careful adjustment of the timing of gas and MW pulses. Typical linewidths were ≈ 10 kHz (FWHM) and frequency measurements were usually reproducible to within 1 kHz. Transitions were detectable for states with rotational energies as high as 6.8 cm⁻¹ (9.8 K). Such energies imply less efficient rotational cooling than with other species which have been studied with this instrument; no special efforts were made to generate states this high in energy or to detect them other than with long signal averaging times for the weakest transitions.

The program used to fit the transitions performs a simultaneous least-squares fit to the rotational, centrifugal distortion and quadrupole coupling constants including x_{ab} . It has been previously applied to species such as BrNCO (6) and chloroketene (4).

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Initially a search was made for four low- $J(J \le 3)$ lines of the ³⁵Cl species. It quickly became clear that the constants of Ref. (1) predicted the transition frequencies and splitting patterns fairly well while those of Ref. (2) did not. However, the splittings deviated from a simple rigid rotor plus quadrupole Hamiltonian with diagonal coupling constants by several tens of kiloHertz. Including x_{ab} in the fit did not reproduce the frequencies to within experimental error and gave an unreasonable value of x_{ab} . A second fit was tried, including the measurements of Stone and Flygare in the data set, and the cause of the earlier discrepancy became clear. The accuracy of the FTMW data is so high that unless the positions of the rotational energy levels are very well determined, including centrifugal distortion contributions, anomalous fits are obtained even at very low J. Thus, in order to determine accurate quadrupole constants, accurate distortion constants were needed. These could be obtained only by drastically increasing the number of measured transitions.

In the end, 273 components of 56 rotational transitions of $CF_2 = CF^{35}Cl$ were measured with the FTMW spectrometer. These have included remeasurements of those reported earlier (1). A global least-squares fit was made to all rotational constants, quartic distortion constants (Watson's S-reduction in the I' representation (7)), and

³⁵Cl quadrupole coupling constants. The results are presented in Table I. Several fits were attempted including in addition various sextic distortion constants; in all cases these were indeterminate and the goodness of the fit was unaffected. The very small observed linewidths and RMS deviations suggest that effects of magnetic spin-rotation coupling were also negligible.

It is clear from Table I that the precision of the derived constants is extremely high. The precision in x_{ab} in particular is higher than has ever been previously reported (4). Table II presents the frequencies of all measured transitions along with a comparison of the obs – calc deviations obtained when x_{ab} is included in the fitting process and when it is deleted. The differences represent the contributions from x_{ab} .

Although the contributions of x_{ab} to the frequencies are small in general (<0.1

		Present Work		
	Ref. (<u>1</u>)	Final values	Reduced Data Set	
tational con	stants (MHz)			
A	4506.05(2) ^b	4506.105428(88) ^C	4506,10546(10) ^c	
В	2268.66(2)	2268.700337(50)	2268,700412(86)	
С	1508.09(2)	1508.093176(51)	1508.093233(87)	
ntrifugal di	stortion_constan	ts (kHz)		
DJ	đ	0.21266(89)	0.2153(28)	
^D JK	d	0.0626(32)	0.0637(38)	
DK	d	1,1152(72)	1.1094(85)	
d ₁	d	-0.09325(72)	-0.09338(89)	
^d 2	d	-0.01740(33)	-0.01734(41)	
Cl quadrupole	e coupling const	ants (MHz)		
X _{aa}	-50.10(10)	-49.84817(83)	-49.84815(86)	
x _{bb} -x _{cc}	-27.38(22)	-27.3799(17)	-27.3799(18)	
χ _{ab}	đ	49.489(34)	49.48(11)	
m.s. deviatio	on (MHz)			
	d	0.0016	0.0016	

TABLE I

^aLeast squares fit did not include the four transitions with the biggest contributions to χ_{ab} (see text).

^bFor ref. (<u>1</u>), numbers in parentheses are the stated uncertainties in units of the last significant figures.

 $^{^{\}rm C}$ For the present work, numbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in units of the last significant figures.

^dNot determined or stated

TABLE II

Transition F' - F"	Normalised ¹ Weight	Observed Frequency	Resi Without x ab	duals ² With χ_{ab}
a-type transiti	ons			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	7413.466 7400.434 7391.615 7391.076 7391.054 7387.939 7378.581 7378.023	0.071 0.077 0.005 0.055 0.094 0.040 0.017 0.101	0.0 -0.001 0.001 0.001 0.0 -0.002 -0.001 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	6805.402 6800.374 6796.303 6795.756 6793.575 6790.726 6786.659 6783.811	-0.067 -0.038 0.002 -0.049 0.021 -0.022 0.002 -0.041	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.001 \\ -0.003 \\ -0.001 \\ -0.002 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 0 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	8316.929 8304.491 8323.888 8314.130 8306.498 8326.700	0.007 0.019 0.028 0.005 0.014 0.023	0.001 0.002 0.006 -0.001 -0.001 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 0 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10732.775 10728.283 10722.594 10721.828 10719.743 10718.927 10708.792	-0.007 0.003 0.004 0.004 -0.001 -0.017 0.015	0.0 -0.003 0.002 0.002 -0.001 0.0 -0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10106.814 10100.754 10097.972 10097.167 10094.719 10093.838 10085.078	0.050 0.032 0.003 0.067 0.035 0.013 0.038	0.0 0.002 0.0 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1 1.000 1.000 1.000	12360.652 12357.834 12356.960	0.032 0.031 0.004	0.001 0.0 0.0

Measured Rotational Transitions (in MHz) of CF₂CFCl

¹ Measurements were weighted according to $1/\sigma^2$, where σ is the uncertainty in the measurements. Unit weight corresponded to an uncertainty of 0.001 MHz.

 $^{2}\ \mbox{Observed}$ frequency minus the frequency calculated using the constants in Table I.

Transition F' - F"		Normalised Weight	Observed Frequency	Resid Without x _{ab}	with x _{ab}
5/2 - 5/2 - 7/2 - 7/2 -	5/2 3/2 5/2 7/2	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	12356.497 12354.488 12353.641 12350.842	0.007 0.009 0.019 0.017	-0.001 0.0 0.0 -0.002
$\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 9/2 & - \\ 7/2 & - \\ 5/2 & - \\ 3/2 & - \\ \end{array}$	- 7/2 5/2 3/2 1/2	2 2 1 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11333.954 11321.476 11330.431 11342.810	0.020 0.003 0.044 -0.023	0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002
$\begin{array}{c}3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & - \\ 7/2 & - \\ 9/2 & - \\ 5/2 & - \\ 3/2 & - \\ 7/2 & - \\ 5/2 & - \\ 5/2 & - \end{array}$	- 1/2 7/2 3/2 3/2 5/2 5/2	2 2 0 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11950.953 11943.661 11942.282 11939.297 11937.953 11930.595 11929.944	-0.022 0.004 0.002 0.010 0.017 -0.008 -0.016	0.001 -0.003 0.0 0.001 -0.001 0.0 -0.002
4 ° * 11/2 - 9/2 - 5/2 - 7/2 -	- 9/2 7/2 3/2 5/2	3 _{0 3} 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13791.514 13790.702 13790.161 13789.377	0.003 -0.002 -0.004 -0.003	0.001 0.0 0.001 0.0
4 1 1 11/2 - 5/2 - 9/2 - 7/2 -	- 9/2 3/2 - 7/2 - 5/2	3 1 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13316.996 13315.965 13315.510 13314.526	0.002 -0.037 -0.019 -0.015	0.001 0.0 -0.001 0.001
4 1 3 5/2 - 7/2 - 11/2 - 5/2 - 9/2 - 9/2 - 9/2 -	- 5/2 9/2 3/2 7/2 5/2 9/2	3 1 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	16234.535 16229.625 16228.532 16228.378 16226.922 16226.773 16220.802	0.016 -0.015 0.001 0.0 0.002 0.001 0.012	-0.006 -0.005 -0.001 0.0 -0.001 0.0 -0.004
4 2 3 5/2 - 11/2 - 7/2 - 9/2 -	- 3/2 9/2 5/2 7/2	3 2 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	14982.411 14980.599 14977.282 14975.484	0.027 0.005 0.014 0.018	-0.002 0.0 0.001 -0.002
4 2 2 5/2 - 11/2 - 7/2 - 7/2 - 9/2 -	- 3/2 - 9/2 - 5/2 - 7/2 - 7/2	3 2 1 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	16295.698 16294.015 16291.359 16290.709 16289.683	0.015 0.003 0.002 -0.005 0.008	0.001 0.002 -0.002 -0.003 -0.001
$\begin{array}{c}4 & {}_{3} & {}_{2} \\ 5/2 & - \\ 11/2 & - \\ 7/2 & - \\ 9/2 & - \end{array}$	- 3/2 - 9/2 - 5/2 - 7/2	3 _{3 1} 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	15386.179 15380.509 15375.204 15369.500	-0.012 0.011 0.019 -0.005	-0.001 0.003 0.001 -0.001

TABLE II—Continued

Trar F'	nsition - F"	Normalised Weight	Observed Frequency	Resid Without X _{ab}	With X _{ab}
4 3 1 5/2 11/2 7/2 9/2	- 3/2 - 9/2 - 5/2 - 7/2	³ 3 0 1.000 0.063 1.000 1.000	15516.770 15511.136 15505.929 15500.282	-0.011 0.001 0.007 -0.004	0.0 -0.002 -0.002 0.002
4 1 3 9/2 7/2 11/2 5/2	- 9/2 - 7/2 - 11/2 - 5/2	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	7457.029 7454.686 7450.379 7448.037	-0.008 -0.019 -0.005 -0.017	0.001 0.001 0.0 0.001
2 2 1 5/2 3/2 5/2 3/2 3/2 7/2	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 2 \\ - & 5/2 \\ - & 5/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 7/2 \end{array}$	² 0 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10652.225 10643.245 10642.867 10633.887 10626.691	-0.012 -0.070 -0.035 -0.092 -0.027	0.0 -0.001 0.001 0.0 0.001
³ 2 2 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2	- 3 - 7/2 - 5/2 - 9/2 - 3/2	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11251.873 11245.389 11238.051 11231.586	-0.013 -0.033 -0.011 -0.016	-0.002 0.0 0.001 0.002
4 2 3 11/2 5/2	- 4 - 11/2 - 5/2	1.000 0.063	12427.138 12423.834	-0.007 0.013	0.002 -0.002
b-type	e transiti	ons			
1 1 1 3/2 5/2 1/2	- 0 - 3/2 - 3/2 - 3/2	°° 1.000 1.000 1.000	6016.495 6013.644 6011.465	0.054 0.008 0.081	0.0 0.0 -0.001
2 1 2 5/2 3/2 5/2 3/2 5/2 3/2 1/2	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 1 \\ - & 5/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 1/2 \\ - & 5/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 1/2 \end{array}$	<pre> 1 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 </pre>	9030.653 9033.506 9018.013 9021.011 9040.422 9027.659	0.007 0.046 0.091 0.009 0.066 0.074	0.001 0.0 -0.002 -0.001 -0.001 0.0
³ 1 3 9/2 7/2 5/2 3/2	$ \begin{array}{rrrr} - & 2 \\ - & 7/2 \\ - & 5/2 \\ - & 3/2 \\ - & 1/2 \\ \end{array} $	0 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11737.009 11737.150 11733.824 11733.772	0.005 0.028 -0.001 0.047	0.001 0.002 -0.001 0.001
4 1 1/2 9/2 7/2 5/2	- 3/2 - 7/2 - 5/2 - 3/2	0 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	14331.411 14330.833 14329.423 14329.992	0.003 0.006 0.0 0.009	0.001 0.0 0.0 0.0
3 ° 3 9/2 7/2	- 2 - 7/2 - 5/2	1 2 1.000 1.000	9083.556 9079.395	0.002	0.002

TABLE II—Continued

Transition F' - F"		1	Normalised Weight	Observed Frequency	Resid Without x _{ab}	uals With x_{ab}	
5/2 3/2	-	3/2 1/2		1.000	9078.939 9083.140	-0.005 0.021	0.0 0.001
4 ° ° 11/2 9/2 7/2 5/2		- 9/2 7/2 5/2 3/2	3	1 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	12777.100 12775.382 12774.483 12776.134	0.003 -0.024 -0.016 -0.050	0.003 0.002 0.004 0.001
2 2 0 5/2 5/2 3/2 3/2 7/2 1/2		- 3/2 1/2 3/2 5/2 1/2	1	1 1.000 1.000 0.063 0.063 1.000 1.000	15960.102 15957.248 15952.930 15947.900 15947.030 15939.931	0.012 -0.037 -0.084 -0.057 -0.006 -0.045	0.0 -0.003 0.0 -0.001 -0.002 0.0
² ² 1 5/2 5/2 7/2 1/2 3/2		- 5/2 1/2 3/2 5/2 1/2 3/2	1	1 0 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	15037.231 15035.997 15027.593 15024.731 15023.658 15018.609	0.014 -0.021 0.029 -0.013 0.067 -0.033	-0.001 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.0 -0.003
³ 7/2 ²	-	- 5/2	2	² 1.000	5630.540	0.010	0.0
4 1 3 5/2 11/2 7/2 9/2		- 3/2 9/2 5/2 7/2	3	2 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10546.446 10543.739 10538.719 10535.986	0.011 0.008 0.013 0.009	0.001 0.0 0.0 -0.001
7 3 4 17/2 15/2 13/2 11/2		- 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	6	4 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11978.406 11972.217 11973.061 11980.480	0.002 0.680 0.045 0.607	-0.001 -0.001 0.0 0.001
7 3 5 17/2 15/2 13/2 11/2	- - -	- 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	6	4 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	8513.626 8505.080 8507.052 8514.996	-0.001 -0.228 -0.031 -0.399	0.001 0.0 0.001 0.0
³ 1/2 5/2 9/2 3/2		- 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2	3	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	5560.939 5557.337 5553.257 5549.651	-0.004 -0.019 -0.005 -0.008	0.0 0.002 0.001 0.0
4 1 3 11/2 5/2 7/2 9/2 7/2 9/2 11/2		- 9/2 9/2 9/2 7/2 7/2 11/2	4	<pre></pre>	8004.890 8002.497 7999.865 7997.160 7994.732 7992.026 7990.276	-0.011 0.002 -0.015 0.0 -0.016 -0.002 -0.005	0.003 -0.002 -0.002 0.0 0.0 0.002 0.0

TABLE II—Continued

Trai F'	nsi -	tion F"	Normalised Weight	Observed Frequency	Resid Without X _{ab}	with x _{ab}
5/2 9/2 7/2		5/2 11/2 5/2	1.000 1.000 1.000	7987.869 7982.545 7980.103	-0.003 0.005 -0.021	0.001 -0.004 0.003
2 2 0 5/2 5/2 3/2 3/2 7/2 3/2 7/2 1/2 1/2		- 5/2 3/2 7/2 5/2 3/2 5/2 1/2 7/2 3/2 1/2	² 1 1 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	6886.747 6884.739 6883.948 6877.396 6875.388 6873.680 6872.571 6870.881 6862.388 6859.571	0.021 0.024 0.018 -0.003 0.001 0.008 0.0 0.005 0.039 0.039	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.001\\ -0.002\\ -0.002\\ 0.002\\ 0.001\\ 0.001\\ 0.0\\ -0.001\\ 0.0\\ \end{array}$
2 2 1 7/2 5/2 3/2 1/2		- 2 7/2 5/2 3/2 1/2	² 1 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	8987.646 9009.790 8993.895 8971.917	-0.036 -0.009 -0.084 0.029	-0.005 -0.004 -0.004 0.002
³ 2 2 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2		- 3 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2	³ 1 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10236.554 10230.491 10223.634 10217.556	-0.034 -0.050 -0.013 -0.065	0.002 0.0 0.0 0.0
3 2 1 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2		- 3 7/2 5/2 9/2 3/2	³ 1 2 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	6463.702 6460.196 6456.203 6452.690	-0.005 0.0 0.003 -0.014	0.001 0.0 0.001 0.0
4 2 2 1 1/2 5/2 7/2 9/2 7/2 9/2 1 1/2 5/2 9/2 1 1/2 5/2 9/2 7/2		- 4 9/2 9/2 9/2 7/2 7/2 11/2 5/2 11/2 5/2	1 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	6529.412 6527.775 6527.489 6526.463 6524.783 6521.683 6521.683 6520.010 6518.733 6517.018	-0.010 0.019 -0.012 0.001 0.001 0.016 0.002 0.001 0.013 -0.016	0.003 -0.002 -0.002 0.0 0.00 0.003 0.001 0.0 -0.003 0.002
4 2 3 5/2 7/2 9/2 7/2 9/2 11/2 5/2		- 4 7/2 9/2 9/2 7/2 7/2 11/2 5/2	1 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11898.422 11898.297 11896.527 11893.247 11891.480 11887.239 11884.004	0.020 -0.022 0.001 -0.020 0.005 -0.009 0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ -0.001 \\ -0.001 \\ -0.001 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$
6 2 4 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2		- 6 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	5 1 5 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	9042.412 9046.084 9045.214 9041.543	0.002 0.002 0.0 0.0	0.001 0.002 0.0 0.002

TABLE II—Continued

Transition F' - F"	Normalised Weight	Normalised Observed Weight Frequency		with x ab
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	³ ² ² 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13192,004 13184,644 13176,261 13168,911	0.007 -0.009 -0.001 0.010	-0.001 0.0 0.0 -0.001
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	³ 2 1 1.000 1.000 1.000	12441.778 12427.349 12420.621	0.010 -0.001 0.016	0.001 -0.001 0.002
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1 2 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13586.021 13582.565 13576.167 13572.679	-0.015 -0.005 0.001 -0.028	0.001 0.0 0.0 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	11644.477 11652.371 11649.603 11641.691	0.005 -0.008 0.003 -0.012	0.003 -0.002 0.002 -0.001
$5 3^{2} - 13/2$ $13/2^{2} - 13/2$ $11/2 - 11/2$ $9/2 - 9/2$ $7/2 - 7/2$	5 2 3 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	10677.491 10682.242 10680.894 10676.166	0.003 0.009 0.004 0.013	0.001 0.001 0.0 0.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 2 4 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	14304.756 14311.853 14309.841 14302.775	0.001 0.012 0.002 0.015	-0.001 0.0 0.0 -0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	⁵ 2 4 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	9912.085 9915.282 9914.524 9911.330	0.003 0.001 0.002 0.002	0.001 -0.001 -0.001 -0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	² 5 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	9757.729 9760.257 9759.745 9757.215	0.0 -0.001 0.003 0.0	-0.001 -0.002 0.002 -0.001
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	⁹ 2 7 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	12322.973 12325.362 12324.980 12322.592	0.001 0.0 0.0 0.001	0.0 -0.001 0.0 0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250	17946.666 17942.210 17934.006 17929.572	0.005 -0.017 -0.008 0.005	0.001 -0.001 0.001 -0.001

TABLE II—Continued

Trai F'	nsit -	ion F"	N	ormalised Weight	Observed Frequency	Reside Without χ_{ab}	uals With x _{ab}
4 , 9/2 7/2 11/2 5/2		- 9/2 7/2 11/2 5/2	1 3	² 0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250	18097.417 18092.897 18084.570 18080.070	0.004 -0.017 -0.007 0.007	0.0 0.003 0.004 0.001
6 2 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2		- 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	5 3	³ 0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250	16735.773 16741.390 16739.916 16734.900	-0.001 0.225 0.029 0.399	-0.001 -0.003 -0.002 0.0
6 3 15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	- - -	- (15/2 13/2 11/2 9/2	5 3	0.250 0.250 0.250 0.250	18193.903 18199.427 18198.600 18191.818	0.003 -0.685 -0.041 -0.616	0.002 0.0 0.002 -0.003
9 5 21/2 19/2 17/2 15/2		- 9 21/2 19/2 17/2 15/2	3	5 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13158.899 13160.825 13160.519 13158.590	0.001 0.001 0.003 -0.001	0.0 0.0 0.002 -0.001
10 23/2 21/2 19/2 17/2	5 _ _ _ _	- 1(23/2 21/2 19/2 17/2) 3	7 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	12833.416 12835.093 12834.851 12833.171	0.002 0.001 0.001 -0.002	0.001 0.0 0.0 -0.002
11 * 7 25/2 23/2 21/2 19/2	- - -	- 1 [°] 25/2 23/2 21/2 19/2	3	B 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	13558.512 13560.172 13559.952 13558.296	0.0 0.002 -0.001 0.003	-0.001 0.001 -0.002 0.002

TABLE II—Continued

MHz), they are nevertheless significant. However, for four transitions, namely $7_{34} \leftarrow 6_{43}$, $7_{35} \leftarrow 6_{42}$, $6_{43} \leftarrow 6_{34}$, and $6_{42} \leftarrow 6_{33}$, they are large enough to be detectable even with a Stark-modulated spectrometer. This is the result of the two near degeneracies $5_{51}-6_{43}$ and $6_{42}-5_{50}$, both of which are less than 100 MHz. Since these transitions can be expected to have a large effect on the precision of x_{ab} , and since one of the objectives was to see whether such transitions are necessary to obtain an accurate x_{ab} , another fit was done omitting them. The results are also in Table I; it is clear that an excellent x_{ab} was obtained, albeit at reduced precision. Thus, this coupling constant can be determined from frequency measurements of sufficiently high precision in the absence of perturbing near degeneracies. However, the effects of centrifugal distortion may be comparable to the contributions from x_{ab} and must be properly taken into account. Of course, a large value of x_{ab} is desirable, necessitating propitious values for θ_{za} and $x_{aa} - x_{bb}$ since $2x_{ab} = (x_{aa} - x_{bb})\tan 2\theta_{za}$.

DISCUSSION

Diagonalization of the quadrupole tensor gives the following principal values: $x_{zz} = -77.46 \pm 0.1$ MHz, $x_{xx} = 38.85 \pm 0.1$ MHz, and $x_{yy} = x_{cc} = 38.614 \pm 0.003$

MHz (the uncertainties are estimated outside error limits). The differences between our results and those of Stone and Flygare (1) are not due to improperly determined constants in either study. Table I gives a comparison, and it is clear that there is excellent agreement. The cause must thus lie in an incorrect assumed structure in Ref. (1) and a consequent incorrect angle θ_{za} between the z-principal axis and the *a*inertial axis. We have found θ_{za} to be 29.16 ± 0.03°; in Ref. (1) it is 32.71°, a difference of 3.55°. A discrepancy this large when θ_{za} is ~25-45° should have a drastic effect on x_{ab} . For $\theta_{za} = 32.71°$, $|x_{ab}| = 67.2$ MHz, considerably different from the experimental value of 49.489 MHz.

A simple Townes-Dailey calculation (8) gives the ionic and π -character of the C-Cl bond assuming this is the z-axis of the quadrupole tensor. Since $x_{xx} \simeq x_{yy}$, the π character is effectively nil. This is smaller than in other vinyl chlorides where the chlorine double bonded structure (+Cl=) contributes from 2.4-5.3% (1). The ionic contribution, obtained assuming 15% s-character and no *d*-character of the σ -bonding orbital of Cl, is ~17%, so that the covalent character is ~83%. These values are much more in line with those of other vinyl chlorides (1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and Grant CHE-8614340 from the National Science Foundation, Washington, DC. Use of the CRAY X-MP computer of the Atmospheric Environment Services of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. ERB was supported by the UM Summer Undergraduate Research Program (1987). We are grateful to Dr. Rick Suenram who pointed out the conflicting assignments for CF_2 =CFCl and Mr. P. Duffy for some computing assistance.

RECEIVED: July 7, 1988

REFERENCES

- 1. R. G. STONE AND W. H. FLYGARE, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 32, 233-241 (1969).
- 2. KRISHNAJI AND S. CHANDRA, Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 8, 634 (1970).
- 3. K. W. HILLIG II, J. MATOS, A. SCIOLY, AND R. L. KUCZKOWSKI, Chem. Phys. Lett. 133, 359 (1987).
- 4. M. C. L. GERRY, W. LEWIS-BEVAN, AND N. P. C. WESTWOOD, J. Chem Phys. 79, 4655-4663 (1983).
- 5. T. J. BALLE AND W. H. FLYGARE, Rev. Sci. Instr. 52, 33 (1981).
- H. M. JEMSON, W. LEWIS-BEVAN, N. P. C. WESTWOOD, AND M. C. L. GERRY, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 118, 481–499 (1986).
- 7. J. K. G. WATSON, *in* "Vibrational Spectra and Structure, a Series of Advances" (J. R. Durig, Ed.), Vol. 6, pp. 1–89, Elsevier, New York, 1977.
- 8. W. GORDY AND R. L. COOK, "Microwave Molecular Spectra," 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, 1984.