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Abstract—Metastable O(1D) atoms may be quenched in collisions with ground state O(3P) atoms by transitions in the avoided crossing regions of the three lowest 3Πg states of O2 of which the lowest separates to O(3P)+O(3P) and the two upper to O(3P)+O(1D). Quantal calculations of the adiabatic potential energy curves of the 3Πg states are carried out with particular attention to an avoided crossing region in the lowest two states around a nuclear separation of 3.2a_0. Diabatic potential matrix elements are constructed from the adiabatic curves by imposing the requirement that they be smooth everywhere. A multi-state diabatic formulation is used to describe the scattering and the cross sections for the collision induced quenching of O(1D) atoms are calculated.

The rate coefficient for the quenching of O(1D) atoms by O(3P) atoms is obtained as a function of temperature. At 1000 K, the value is 1.0 x 10^{-11} cm^3 s^{-1}, accurate probably to a factor of two. The theoretical rate coefficient is consistent with the empirical value inferred from upper atmosphere data.

1. INTRODUCTION

Analysis of the altitude profile of the emission at 630 nm of the red line of atomic oxygen suggested that the O(1D) atoms are quenched by collisions with O(3P) atoms (Abreu et al., 1986). The quenching occurs most probably by transitions between 3Πg states of O_2. The adiabatic potential energy curves (Saxon and Liu, 1977; Guberman, 1983) indicate the existence of an avoided crossing between the two lowest 3Πg states near 3.2a_0 at which transitions may be probable at low collision energies. By constructing diabatic representations of the potential energy curves, diabatic coupling matrix elements may be derived. A diabatic formulation of scattering theory (Heil et al., 1981) may then be used to determine the quenching crosssections.

To carry out a precise construction of the diabatic matrix elements, data points are needed at small intervals. We calculated them for the two lowest 3Πg states, following the procedures of Guberman (1977, 1983). Additional calculations on the third 3Πg state, which also separates to O(3P)+O(1D) did not indicate the presence of any further avoided crossings and we omitted the third state from the scattering formulation. However, the adiabatic potential energy curves of Saxon and Liu (1977) indicate not only the avoided crossing between the lower two 3Πg states, but also a weak avoided crossing with the third state. Accordingly in the scattering calculations based on the curves of Saxon and Liu (1977), we employed a three-state formulation.

2. ADIABATIC POTENTIAL ENERGY CURVES

The adiabatic wave functions and potential energy curves of the two lowest 3Πg states of O_2 were obtained using a [3s, 2p, 1d] contracted Gaussian basis set and orbitals determined in earlier multiconfiguration self-consistent field (MCSCF) calculations on the ground state of O_2 (Heil et al., 1981). The ground state MCSCF calculations employed the 14 molecular spin eigenfunctions that result from a full CI in the valence space with the restriction that the 1σ and 2σ orbitals remain fully occupied. With the ground state orbitals, first order configuration interaction (CI) wave functions were determined for the two 3Πg states. The CI wave functions were generated from a reference set consisting of the 10 configurations which result from a full CI prescription in the valence space of 3Πg symmetry with the restriction that the 1σ and 2σ orbitals remain fully occupied. In the CI calculation only the 1σ orbitals were so restricted and excitations were...
taken from each of the reference configurations to the full virtual space of orbitals formed from the basis set. The 3d combination formed from the 3d basis functions was excluded as were the 3df basis functions. All single and double excitations were taken with the restriction that at most a single electron was allowed to occupy a virtual orbital. This procedure generated a wave function with 784 different orbital occupancies and 2440 spin eigenfunctions.

The calculated CI energies are given in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 1. There occurs an avoided crossing in the region between 3.15\(a_0\) and 3.35\(a_0\). The lower \(\Pi(1)\) state has a shallow well that is not deep enough to support bound vibrational levels. The upper \(\Pi(2)\) has a dissociation energy of 0.190 eV and an equilibrium separation of 3.3052\(a_0\). It may support several vibrational levels. Their locations may be severely perturbed by the interaction with the \(\Pi(1)\) state. The calculations of Saxon and Liu (1977) for the \(\Pi(2)\) state yielded a dissociation energy of 0.327 eV and an equilibrium internuclear distance of 3.2522\(a_0\). The two sets of calculations are of comparable quality. In our scattering calculations we used both sets of potential energy curves; because we obtained curves on a grid of 0.05\(a_0\) in the avoided crossing region, the numerical procedures for constructing the diabatic representation can be carried through more reliably than with the curves of Saxon and Liu (1977), who presented data on a grid of 0.2\(a_0\).

### Table 1. Calculated Energies of the Two Lowest \(\Pi_o\) Valence States of \(\text{O}_2\) in Hartrees (\(\hbar = 27.2\) eV)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(R(a_0))</th>
<th>(\Pi(1)) Energy</th>
<th>(\Pi(2)) Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-0.156232</td>
<td>+0.133332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-0.229708</td>
<td>+0.102353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-0.291992</td>
<td>+0.080531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>-0.344707</td>
<td>+0.066241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.389242</td>
<td>+0.057841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-0.458363</td>
<td>-0.023266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-0.506966</td>
<td>-0.142078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2819</td>
<td>-0.535445</td>
<td>-0.225524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-0.563453</td>
<td>-0.328888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.578440</td>
<td>-0.389330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-0.587810</td>
<td>-0.441247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-0.593212</td>
<td>-0.482172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-0.596878</td>
<td>-0.514318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-0.596733</td>
<td>-0.539563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.596484</td>
<td>-0.559456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-0.595700</td>
<td>-0.575196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>-0.595315</td>
<td>-0.581713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-0.595245</td>
<td>-0.587247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>-0.596562</td>
<td>-0.590778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-0.600097</td>
<td>-0.591582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>-0.604206</td>
<td>-0.591376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-0.608161</td>
<td>-0.590948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-0.615229</td>
<td>-0.589985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-0.621207</td>
<td>-0.589082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-0.626246</td>
<td>-0.588291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-0.630492</td>
<td>-0.587615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-0.634069</td>
<td>-0.587048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-0.637080</td>
<td>-0.586880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-0.646196</td>
<td>-0.585286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-0.649753</td>
<td>-0.584829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-0.651040</td>
<td>-0.584647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-0.651464</td>
<td>-0.584619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>-0.651490</td>
<td>-0.584619</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* To obtain the total energies, add -149 to all the entries.

3. Diabatic Representation

In a two-state representation \(V_{11}(R)\) and \(V_{22}(R)\) can be constructed by requiring that they vary smoothly everywhere, crossing each other at some nuclear separation in the avoided crossing region of the adiabatic curves. Outside the crossing region, they merge with the adiabatic curves. We determined them by using spline fits that match the potential points outside the crossing region. The crossing regions are narrow and this interpolation procedure should be reliable.

The matrix element \(V_{12}(R)\) coupling the two diabatic states can then be determined by identifying the eigenvalues

\[
E_{1,2} = \frac{(V_{11} + V_{22})}{2} + \left\{ \left( \frac{(V_{11} - V_{22})}{2} \right)^2 + V_{12}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \tag{1}
\]

of the diabatic potential matrix

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{12} & V_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}
\]

with the adiabatic potential energy curves.

We reproduce in Fig. 2 the elements of the diabatic matrix for the two \(\Pi_o\) states constructed in the crossing region from the adiabatic potential energy curves given in Table 1. The values are relative to the asymptotic energy of the lower state taken as zero.

We have carried out a similar construction of the diabatic matrix for the three \(\Pi_o\) states from the \(\Pi(1), \Pi(2)\) and \(\Pi(3)\) diabatic potential energy curves of Saxon and Liu (1977). The crossing region of the first and second potential energy curves is distinct from that of the second and third and each of \(V_{12}(R)\) and \(V_{23}(R)\) may be determined independently of the other. The interaction matrix element \(V_{13}(R)\) may be put equal to zero. We reproduce in Fig. 3 the derived diabatic potential matrix elements as functions of the nuclear separation. The lowest state separates to \(\Sigma(3\Sigma) + \Sigma(3\Sigma)\) and the upper two to \(\Sigma(3\Sigma) + \Sigma(3\Pi)\).

For the scattering calculations at low energies, the potential energy curves are needed out to large
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Internuclear distances, where they have the form $V(R) \sim aR^{-5} - bR^{-6}$ (Knipp, 1939; Chang, 1967; Umanskij and Nikitin, 1969). The values of $a$ and $b$ that we adopted for the three states are given in Table 2.

4. SCATTERING THEORY

The excitation cross-section for the process

$$O(^1P) + O(^1P) \rightarrow O(^1D) + O(^1P)$$ (3)

is given for an energy of relative motion $E$ by

$$\sigma_{ij}(E) = \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{ij}(E),$$ (4)

where

$$\sigma_{ij}(E) = \frac{4\Pi}{k^2} g_1 \sum_{j} (2J+1)|S_{ij}|^2,$$ (5)

$g_1 = 6/81$ is the probability of approach along the lowest $^3\Pi_g$ state, $k^2 = 2\mu E/h^2$ where $\mu$ is the reduced
mass of the colliding system, \( J \) is the nuclear rotational angular momentum quantum number and \( S'_{ij} \) is the scattering matrix element connecting the initial state 1 to the final states \( j = 2 \) and \( 3 \), \( j \) labelling the two final \( ^3\Pi_g \) states that separate to \( \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \).

The cross-section for the reverse reaction, the quenching of \( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \) by \( \text{O}(^3\text{P}) \), is given by

\[
\sigma_{j1}(E') = \sum_{j=2,3} \sigma_{j1}(E').
\]

From detailed balance arguments,

\[
g_j k^2 \sigma_{j1}(E') = g_{j'} k^2 \sigma_{j1}(E),
\]

where \( E - E' \) is the internal energy change 1.94 eV, \( k^2 = 2\mu E'/\hbar^2 \) and \( g_j = 1/15 \) is the probability of approach along either of the two \(^3\Pi_g\) states formed by the approach of \( \text{O}(^3\text{P}) \) and \( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \) atoms.

The scattering matrix elements \( S'_{ij} \) may be determined from the asymptotic behavior of the regular solutions of the coupled partial wave equations (Heil et al., 1981)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dR} F'_{1}(R) + \left\{ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} (E - V_{11}(R)) - \frac{J(J+1)}{R^2} \right\} F'_{1}(R) & = 0 \\
-2\mu \frac{V_{12}(R)}{\hbar^2} F'_{2}(R) - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} V_{13}(R) F'_{3}(R) & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dR} F'_{2}(R) + \left\{ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} (E - V_{22}(R)) - \frac{J(J+1)}{R^2} \right\} F'_{2}(R) & = 0 \\
-2\mu \frac{V_{23}(R)}{\hbar^2} F'_{3}(R) - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} V_{21}(R) F'_{1}(R) & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dR} F'_{3}(R) + \left\{ \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} (E - V_{33}(R)) - \frac{J(J+1)}{R^2} \right\} F'_{3}(R) & = 0 \\
-2\mu \frac{V_{31}(R)}{\hbar^2} F'_{1}(R) - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} V_{32}(R) F'_{2}(R) & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

For the two-state case, \( F'_{3}(R) = 0 \). The solutions of equations (8)–(10) are asymptotically

\[
F'_{1}(R) \sim -k^{-1/2} \exp \left[ -i(kR - 1/2 J\Pi) \right] - S'_{11} \exp \left[ i(kR - 1/2 J\Pi) \right],
\]

and

\[
F'_{2}(R) \sim -k^{-1/2} S'_{12} \exp \left[ i(kR - 1/2 J\Pi) \right].
\]

We solved the partial wave equations using a modified version (Bienstock et al., 1984) of Johnson's log-derivative method (Johnson, 1973).
5. Results

The cross-sections obtained using the diabatic matrix elements illustrated in Figs 2 and 3 are presented in Fig. 4 for energies of relative motion up to 5 eV. They differ significantly very close to threshold, come into fair agreement at intermediate energies and appear to be slowly diverging from each other at higher energies. In the energy region near 0.1 eV, relevant to atmospheric quenching, the differences are not more than 25%.

The quenching rate coefficients corresponding to the two calculations of the cross-sections may be represented as functions of temperature \( T \) by

\[
k(T) = (7.66 \times 10^{-12} + 2.13 \times 10^{-11} T^{1/2} - 1.84 \times 10^{-15} T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1},
\]

calculated from the potential energy curves of Saxon and Liu (1977) and by

\[
k(T) = (2.13 \times 10^{-12} + 2.60 \times 10^{-13} T^{1/2} - 2.24 \times 10^{-15} T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1},
\]

calculated from the \( ^3\Pi_c \) curves of Table 1.

At 1000 K, the values of \( k(T) \) corresponding to expressions (13) and (14) are \( 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \) and \( 8.1 \times 10^{-12} \) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The rate coefficients are sensitive to the potential energy curves and particularly to the separation of the diabatic curves in the region of the avoided crossing of the \( 1^3\Pi_u \) and \( 2^3\Pi_u \) valence states. The calculated separation is consistent with the results of a Landau–Zener analysis of the decay products of the \( ^3\Pi_u \) Rydberg state of O\(_2^+\) formed by charge transfer of O\(_2^+\) ions in Cs (van der Zande et al., 1987) and it is unlikely that the predicted rate coefficients are in error by more than a factor of two.

The calculated rate coefficients are in harmony with the value \((8.0 \pm 7.0) \times 10^{-12} \) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) inferred from one study of the emission of the red line of atomic oxygen in the upper atmosphere (Abreu et al., 1986). The analysis contains several uncertain parameters and by suitable choices it is possible to reproduce the observational data without invoking quenching of O(‘D) atoms by collision with atomic oxygen (Link and Cogger, 1988, 1989; Solomon and Abreu, 1989).

Thus, the atmospheric studies do not establish the importance of the quenching process. However our calculations suggest strongly that it should be included in theoretical models of the emission of the red line.
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