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Summary

Positron emission tomography (PET) was used to quantify the effect of caffeine on whole brain and regional cerebral blood flow (CBF) in humans. A mean dose of 250 mg of caffeine produced approximately a 30% decrease in whole brain CBF; regional differences in caffeine effect were not observed. Pre-caffeine CBF strongly influenced the magnitude of the caffeine-induced decrease. Caffeine decreased PaCO₂ and increased systolic blood pressure significantly; the change in PaCO₂ did not account for the change in CBF. Smaller increases in diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine, and subjectively reported anxiety were also observed.

Caffeine is a widely-consumed methylxanthine stimulant (1); average daily intake in the USA is 200-300 mg/day (2). It is completely absorbed after oral administration and has a plasma half-life of 3-10 hours (3). Physiologically, caffeine decreases cerebral blood flow (CBF) (4-6), raises plasma epinephrine levels (7,8), and functions as an antagonist at the adenosine receptor (9-12). Caffeine attenuates anoxia-induced (13) and hypercapnia-induced (14) increases in CBF, but does not influence the autoregulatory effects on CBF which occur in response to hypotension (15). Caffeine might also interact with the benzodiazepine macromolecular receptor complex through its adenosinergic effect (16). Caffeine can produce anxiety-like symptoms (17,18), especially in people with panic disorder (19-21). It is controversial whether anxiety produces specific changes in CBF; some studies have reported effects (22-25), but another has not (26).

The present study involved quantification and anatomical localization of the effects of epidemiologically relevant doses of caffeine on CBF by positron emission tomography (PET). Based on the observations that caffeine can be anxiogenic and that anxiety might affect CBF, we also intended to test the hypothesis that patients with panic disorder might have a different magnitude or anatomical pattern of CBF response to caffeine than normal subjects. However, because the effect of caffeine on CBF was so robust and...
the difference between patients and normal subjects was so small, we emphasize the results of intravenously administered caffeine on CBF as the sample of eight subjects rather than four patients and four normal controls; differences between patients and normal subjects are reported where they were observed. Because results with intravenous caffeine might not be comparable to the same dose given orally, two additional normal subjects received oral caffeine.

Methods

Eight subjects (two females and two males with panic disorder and four male normal subjects, mean age = 26.3 years) received intravenous caffeine; two additional normal subjects (both male and both 24 years old) received oral caffeine. All 10 subjects reported average coffee intake (the major source of caffeine in most diets) of two or fewer cups per day, and all subjects were instructed to refrain from coffee consumption for 12 hours before the study. All were medically healthy and medication-free. The study was approved by the University of Michigan Medical Center Institutional Review Board for Use of Human Subjects in Research; all subjects gave written informed consent.

Each of the eight subjects who received intravenous caffeine (caffeine alkaloid in solution as caffeine sodium benzoate: Pasadena Research Laboratories, Inc., Pasadena, CA 91107) received the following four scans in one experimental session of 3-4 hours duration: (a) baseline, (b) after a saline placebo infusion, and (c & d) 15 and 45 minutes after intravenous caffeine administration. Because patients with panic disorder might vary in their individual tolerances for the anxiogenic effects of caffeine, each patient was tested on a day prior to the PET study for ability to lie quietly after intravenous caffeine administration at graded, ascending doses; final tolerated doses for the four patients ranged from 120-350 mg, with a mean of 250 mg. Doses were 250 mg each for the four normal subjects.

Subjects remained supine throughout the study; during the scans eyes were closed and room noise was minimized. During each scan arterial blood was sampled two minutes after injection of positron-labelled water ([15O]-H2O) for blood gases, and plasma epinephrine, norepinephrine, and caffeine concentrations. Blood pressure, heart rate, and ratings of subjective anxiety from zero ("none") to 100 ("most ever") were determined at the end of each scan. Plasma caffeine was measured with HPLC; epinephrine and norepinephrine were measured by HPLC with electrochemical detection. Correction for changes in \( P_a\text{CO}_2 \) was made using the following equation (26):

\[
\text{CBF corrected to } P_a\text{CO}_2 \text{ of 40 torr} = \text{CBF observed} + 1.8 \times (40 - P_a\text{CO}_2).
\]

The last two normal subjects were scanned after receiving 250 mg of orally administered caffeine. All of the same dependent variables were determined except catecholamines. Scans performed were: (a) baseline, and (b-d) at 15, 45, and 75 minutes after caffeine administration; no placebo scan was performed. For all 10 subjects, there was a 14-minute minimum between all scans.

Scans were performed on a TCC PC-4600 tomograph with FWHM resolutions of approximately 10 mm axial and 11 mm in-plane. Data acquisition was continuous from 40 seconds to 6 minutes after infusion of 30 mCi of [15O]-H2O. CBF and partition coefficients were estimated with a weighted integral technique involving a single-compartment blood flow model and use of radial artery blood to estimate the radioactivity input function to brain. Three rows
of detectors plus two cross-slices produced five transverse scan slices from approximately 2.5 to 6.9 cm above the cantho-meatal line.

Regions of interest (ROIs) included whole brain (total area for all five slices), cerebral cortex (average flow in area of 25 mm-deep computer-generated cortical peel for all five slices) and 12 ROIs which were localized with an anatomic atlas (27) and scaled to the dimensions of the PET images; these ROIs were right and left frontal lobes, temporal lobes, occipital lobes, caudate nuclei, thalamus, and cerebellar hemispheres. Division of the computer-generated ROIs of the cerebral cortex into four quadrants permitted right/left and anterior/posterior comparisons.

PET image data were analyzed with and without correction for PaCO₂ and with and without normalization to whole brain CBF. Because normalization did not affect results, only non-normalized data are reported. Statistical analyses were performed with between-within repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs—patients versus normals across four scan times), paired and unpaired t-tests, Pearson product-moment correlations, and simple linear regression. Significance levels are defined as p < 0.05 for two-tailed tests; trends are defined as 0.05 < p < 0.10. Percentages of variance accounted for by the significant F-ratios in the ANOVAs were estimated as eta².

Results

In response to intravenously administered caffeine, whole brain CBF showed decreases of 31% at both 15 and 45 minutes after infusion without PaCO₂ correction (repeated-measures F = 18.1, df = 3,18, p < 0.0001; eta² = 0.757 (Table 1), and 24% and 27%, respectively, with correction (repeated-measures F = 11.6, df = 3,18, p < 0.0003; eta² = 0.66). The pre-treatment mean whole-brain CBF level was 46.6 ml/min/100 gm of tissue. Based on PaCO₂-corrected data, there were positive but non-significant correlations between the plasma caffeine levels and the magnitudes of decrease in CBF from pre-caffeine to 15 minutes post-caffeine (r = +0.42), and from pre-caffeine to 45 minutes post-caffeine (r = +0.35). A linear regression analysis of the change in whole brain CBF (ml/min/100 gm of tissue) in response to caffeine as a function of pre-caffeine whole brain CBF revealed the following relationship: Change in CBF = (pre-caffeine CBF - 29.4)/(1.21); R² = 0.91, p < 0.0005. Differences among subjects in plasma caffeine levels attained did not account for this relationship.

No significant right versus left, or anterior versus posterior, cortical hemispheric differences were observed, either before or after caffeine infusion, and magnitude of the caffeine effect did not differ significantly among the cortical and subcortical ROIs analyzed. Patients had slightly higher whole-brain CBF levels than normal subjects (12%), which was completely due to the higher CBF in the two female patients. Higher CBF in women has been reported previously (28,29).

Results for the other dependent variables are presented in Table I. Based on all eight subjects given intravenous caffeine, caffeine produced a significant decrease in PaCO₂ (F = 3.67, df = 3,18, p < 0.03), and a significant increase in systolic blood pressure (F = 9.11, df = 3,18, p < 0.001). Trends were observed for increases in diastolic blood pressure (F = 2.86, df = 3,18, p < 0.07) and anxiety level (F = 2.61, df = 3,18, p < 0.08). The small increases in heart rate and plasma catecholamine levels did not reach statistical significance. For these variables normal subjects differed from patients only for anxiety level (F = 10.2, df = 1,6, p < 0.02), with patients
higher than normal subjects; no other variable demonstrated even a trend towards a significant difference.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resting</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Caffeine 15</th>
<th>Caffeine 45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncorrected Whole Brain CBF [ml/min/100 gm of tissue]</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ [torr]</td>
<td>(11.9)</td>
<td>(9.48)</td>
<td>(5.18)</td>
<td>(3.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Epinephrine [pg/ml]</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Norepinephrine [pg/ml]</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure [mm Hg]</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure [mm Hg]</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Rate [beats per minute]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Level [0=none, 100=most]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Levels (and Standard Deviations) for PaCO₂-Uncorrected Whole Brain CBF, Arterial PaCO₂, Arterial Plasma Caffeine, Epinephrine, and Norepinephrine, Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressures, Heart Rate, and Anxiety Severity for the Eight Subjects Given Intravenous Caffeine

For the two subjects given oral caffeine, average decreases for PaCO₂-corrected CBF were 32%, 36%, and 34% at 15, 45, and 75 minutes following caffeine administration, respectively (repeated-measures F = 52.0, df = 3,3, p < 0.005). Caffeine plasma levels were 4.65, 4.91, and 5.80 mcg/ml, respectively. Changes in heart rate, blood pressure, and subjective anxiety were also comparable to the other normal subjects.

**Discussion**

Robust decreases in whole-brain CBF were observed following caffeine administration. By using PET methodology, this is the first study which has quantified the absolute magnitude of this decrease, and has performed regional cortical and subcortical analyses of changes in CBF produced by caffeine. These decreases in CBF were produced by commonly consumed amounts of caffeine that do not produce impairment of cognitive performance (18,30); oral and intravenous caffeine in the same doses produced CBF decreases of approximately equal magnitude. Since multiple scans do not produce a consistent change in CBF (31), the observed results were not due to repeated scans. Regional differences in effect of caffeine on CBF were not apparent; a larger study would be needed to verify that there are no regional differences in sensitivity of cerebral blood flow to caffeine. The magnitude of the caffeine-induced decrease was somewhat dependent on the plasma caffeine level at the time of scanning, but was not accounted for by the decrease in PaCO₂; even after PaCO₂ correction, 66% of the variance in CBF upon repeated scans was accounted for by the caffeine effect. The decrease in CBF was strongly dependent on the pre-caffeine CBF, suggesting that some regulatory mechanism
may prevent decreases below some minimum tolerable level (32); this level was approximately 30 ml/min/100 gm of tissue in this study.

Caffeine produced a significant increase in systolic blood pressure, as well as a significant decrease in p$_{CO_2}$; heart rate and plasma catecholamines were non-significantly increased. However, none of these effects appear to account for the results observed; neither blood pressure increases of the magnitude observed in this study (33-35) nor changes of plasma catecholamine levels in brain vasculature (36) appear to influence CBF, and, as already indicated, results were adjusted for the decrease in p$_{CO_2}$. Based on prior research, it appears most likely that adenosinergic mechanisms mediate the effect of caffeine on CBF. Interestingly, although in many circumstances CBF and cerebral glucose metabolic rate are closely coupled (37,38), two prior studies suggest that caffeine does not affect cerebral glucose metabolism (39,40); further research is needed to determine if caffeine provides a pharmacological agent which reliably dissociates CBF from cerebral glucose metabolism.

Based on all eight subjects given intravenous caffeine there was a trend towards a significant increase in subjective anxiety ratings in response to intravenously administered caffeine, and, as expected, anxiety level (but no other dependent variable) was significantly higher for patients than for normal subjects. There was, however, no consistent relationship between CBF and subjective anxiety, before or after caffeine administration. The small difference in CBF between patients and normal subjects appeared to be due solely to the higher CBF in the female versus the male subjects; a larger study is needed to verify that the CBF response to caffeine is not different in panic patients than in normal subjects.
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