# A Generalization of Webb's Theorem to Auslander-Reiten Systems

## ODILE GAROTTA

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Communicated by Michel Broué

Received February 1989

### INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and k be a field whose characteristic p divides the order of G. In [3] we introduce the notion of Auslander-Reiten system of G on a symmetric interior G-algebra A (that is a symmetric k-algebra together with a homomorphism  $\phi: G \to A^{\times}$ ), as a generalization of the notion of almost split sequences of kG-modules. The language of interior G-algebras proves to be useful to study their restriction to certain subgroups such as the defect group (vertex) of their extremity. Furthermore we show in [3] that each non projective primitive idempotent i of  $A^G$  (see Section 1) is the extremity of a unique Auslander-Reiten system, up to embedding of A into other symmetric interior G-algebras and to conjugacy (cf. [3, VI]), recall embeddings are those one-to-one homomorphisms  $f: A \rightarrow B$  which satisfy Im f = f(1) Af(1)). Thus in particular the middle term of "the" Auslander-Reiten system terminating in i is well defined (up to embedding and conjugacy), and we may look at its decomposition into primitive idempotents. Now if we set  $A[G] = A \otimes kG$ , we have a symmetric algebra again, which is similar to kG in many ways, and which enables us to view our systems of G over A ([3, 1]) as short exact sequences of modules: to any idempotent i of  $A^G$ , we associate the A-projective A[G]-module iA (with  $(b \otimes g) \cdot ia = \phi(g) \ iab)$ . This way any system  $\mathcal{S} = (i, i^{\circ}, i', d, d')$  of G over A determines an exact sequence of  $A \lceil G \rceil$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow i'A \xrightarrow{d'} i^{\circ}A \xrightarrow{d} iA \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is almost split if and only if the system  $\mathscr S$  is an Auslander-Reiten system (cf. [3]). Moreover if  $\mathscr R\colon 0\to\Omega^2(iA)\to R\to iA\to 0$  is almost split on A[G], then all its terms are A-projective and we can embed A into the symmetric interior G-algebra  $B=\operatorname{End}_A(A\oplus\Omega^2(iA)\oplus R)$ , where the

sequence  $\Re$  gives rise to an Auslander-Reiten system of G over G. Therefore the study of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the algebra G (actually we may restrict ourselves to the components of G-projective modules), will provide us with information about the decomposition of the middle terms of Auslander-Reiten systems terminating, first in an idempotent of G, and then also in those idempotents which appear in such a middle term after several steps of "associating to each primitive non projective idempotent in the middle term, the Auslander-Reiten system terminating in it" (each such step may require embedding into a larger symmetric interior G-algebra). In this paper we generalize G-Reiten system in [5] to the algebra G-Reiten quiver we show how the simple (non cohomological) construction given by G-Reiten quiver: we show how the simple (non cohomological) construction given by G-Reiten quiver: G-Reiten quiv

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Delta$  be a connected component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of A[G]. If  $\Delta$  contains A-projective A[G]-modules, then the tree class and the reduced graph of  $\Delta$  are both either a Dynkin diagram (finite or infinite) or a Euclidian diagram.

As a consequence we obtain information on the middle terms of Auslander-Reiten systems of G over A:

THEOREM 2. Let n (resp.  $n_0$ ) be the number of idempotents (resp. of projective idempotents) in a primitive decomposition of the middle term of an Auslander-Reiten system of G over A. Then  $n \le 5$ ,  $n_0 \le 1$ , and  $n - n_0 \le 4$ . Moreover if k is algebraically closed we have  $n - n_0 \le 2$ .

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 via an analogous statement (which we omit here) about the maximum number of indecomposable direct summands in the middle term of an almost split sequence of A-projective A[G]-modules (see [1, 2.31.3, 4, and the comments above]).

#### 1. NOTATIONS

Throughout the paper we let A be a symmetric interior G-algebra and denote by  $A^{\times}$  the group of units of A. The action of G on A by  $g \cdot a = \phi(g^{-1}) a\phi(g) = a^g$  makes it into a G-algebra. If H is any subgroup of G, we denote by  $A^H$  the algebra of H-fixed elements of A, and by  $\operatorname{Tr}_1^H : A \to A^H$  the relative trace map defined by  $\operatorname{Tr}_1^H(a) = \sum a^x$ , where x runs over H; its image is the two-sided ideal  $A_1^H$  of  $A^H$ . Regarding our comments about Auslander-Reiten systems in the introduction, we refer the reader to [3] and recall that any idempotent i of a  $A^G$  may be written as a finite sum of

mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $A^G$ , and that the corresponding set of primitive idempotents is unique up to  $(A^G)^{\times}$ -conjugacy. We call it a *primitive decomposition I* of *i*. The subsets  $I \cap A_1^G$  and  $I \setminus A_1^G$  are then also unique up to  $(A^G)^{\times}$ -conjugacy. We say that *i* is *projective* (over G) if  $i \in A_1^G$ . See also Section 2.1.

All our modules and algebras are finite dimensional k-spaces, and our modules are right modules. We let  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  be the Green ring of A: as a group it is the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by the isomorphism classes of indecomposable A-modules. We denote respectively by  $\Pi M$ ,  $\Omega M$ , and IM a projective cover, a Heller translate and an injective hull of the module M, and if  $N = \Omega M$ , we write  $M = \Omega^{-1}N$  and  $\Omega N = \Omega^2 M$ . The space of all projective homomorphisms from an A-module M to an A-module N (i.e., of those homomorphisms  $M \to N$  which factor through a projective module) is denoted by  $\operatorname{Proj}_A(M, N)$ . We use the notation  $k_G$  for the trivial kG-module, and the symbol  $\otimes$  without precision for tensor products over k.

We refer the reader to [1] for the terminology on quivers and sub-additive functions.

# 2. Background on A[G]-Modules

Let M be an A-projective A[G]-module. The following are elementary facts:

1. The algebra  $\operatorname{End}_A(M)$  is a symmetric interior G-algebra and we have  $\operatorname{End}_{A[G]}(M) = \operatorname{End}_A(M)^G$ ,  $\operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(M, M) = \operatorname{End}_A(M)^G_1$ .

Let H be a subgroup of G. For any A[G]-module M, we denote by  $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(M)$  the A[H]-module obtained by restriction through the injection  $A[H] \to A[G]$ . We then extend this by linearity and consider the functor  $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}$  from  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$  to  $\mathcal{M}(A[H])$ .

Induction. Just in the same way as with kH-modules, one may induce any A[H]-module N to A[G]: we define the A[G]-module  $Ind_H^G(N)$  by

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(N) = N \otimes_{A[H]} A[G],$$

with A[G] acting on the right of the term A[G]. We then extend our definition linearly to a functor  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G$  from  $\mathcal{M}(A[H])$  to  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$ .

2. If the A[H]-module N is projective, then so is the A[G]-module  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(N)$ . Moreover we have for all N:  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(\Pi N - \Omega N) = \Pi(\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(N)) - \Omega(\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(N))$ .

Note that  $N \otimes_{kH} kG$  also is an A[G]-module, with A acting on N only, so that the actions of A and kG are compatible. It is easy to show that

3. We have  $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(N) \simeq N \otimes_{kH} kG$ , as A[G]-modules.

Now let M be an A[G]-module. For all kG-modules U, the tensor product  $M \otimes U$  is an A[G]-module, with A acting on M and G acting on both M and U.

4. One has  $M \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(k_{H}) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(M))$ , as A[G]-modules.

*Proof.* We first view M as a kG-module only. We have the following sequence of kG-modules identities

$$M \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(k_{H}) \simeq (\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(M) \otimes k_{H}) \otimes_{kH} kG \simeq \operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(M) \otimes_{kH} kG$$

and we may substitute the last expression with  $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(M))$  by 3; we then check that the corresponding actions of A are consistent (A acts on M only).

We consider the inner product  $(,)_G$  on  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$  obtained by extending the form  $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(,)$  bilinearly:

- 5. We have  $(\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(N), M)_G = (N, \operatorname{Res}_H^G(M))_H$ , for any N in  $\mathcal{M}(A[H])$  and any M in  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$ .
- 6. For all A[G]-modules L and M, we have  $(L, M)_G = (\Pi L \Omega L, \Pi M \Omega M)_G$ .

*Proof.* This follows by applying successively statements (ii) and (i) of the elementary

LEMMA (Notations of 6). (i) We have  $(L, \Pi M - \Omega M)_G = \dim \operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(L, M)$ .

- (ii) We have  $(L, M)_G = \dim \operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(\Pi L, M) \dim \operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(\Omega L, M)$ .
- *Proof.* (i) We apply the left exact functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(L,)$  to the projective cover of M: the range of the second morphism is precisely  $\operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(L, M)$ .
- (ii) Projective and injective modules coincide on the symmetric algebra A[G]. Thus the space  $\operatorname{Proj}_{A[G]}(\Omega L, M)$  is precisely the range of the second morphism in the exact sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(L, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(\Pi L, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(\Omega L, M)$ .

## 3. Periodic Modules

In the following we fix an A-projective A[G]-module X which is indecomposable and non projective, and denote by  $\Delta$  the connected component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of A[G] which contains X. Since X is not projective, there exists a minimal P-subgroup P of  $G(P \neq 1)$ , such that  $Res_P^G(X)$  is not projective (cf. Section 2.1). We choose an

indecomposable direct summand Y of  $\operatorname{Res}_P^G(X)$  which is not projective, and let Q be a maximal subgroup of P. So the module  $\operatorname{Res}_Q^P(Y)$  is projective. Following Okuyama, we turn to a lemma of Carlson [2, 2.5] to conclude that the module Y is periodic of period at most two; actually we need to adjust the lemma to consider A[P]-modules, using the remarks of Section 2.

PROPOSITION 1. We have  $Y \simeq \Omega^2 Y$ .

*Proof.* The conditions  $Q \triangleleft P$  and P/Q cyclic ensure the existence of an exact sequence of kP-modules of the type

$$0 \to k_P \to \operatorname{Ind}_Q^P(k_Q) \to \operatorname{Ind}_Q^P(k_Q) \to k_P \to 0,$$

(see [2, 2.5]). Following Carlson, we apply to it the exact functor  $Y \otimes$ , thus obtaining an exact sequence of A[P]-modules (cf. Section 2). Now we use statement 2.4 to rewrite  $Y \otimes k_P$  as Y, and  $Y \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_Q^P(k_Q)$  as  $\operatorname{Ind}_Q^P(\operatorname{Res}_Q^P(Y))$ :

$$0 \to Y \to \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(Y)) \to \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(Y)) \to Y \to 0.$$

The module  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(Y))$  is projective since  $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{P}(Y)$  is (Section 2.2), so we conclude.

Taking an injective hull of Y, let us consider the element

$$s = \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(Y - IY + \Omega^{-1}Y)$$

of  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$ , and set  $d(M) = (s, M)_G$ , for all M in  $\mathcal{M}(A[G])$  (cf. Section 2).

## 4. SUBADDITIVE FUNCTIONS

Following Okuyama's steps in [4], we prove that the map d above satisfies three basic properties:

PROPOSITION 2. (1) We have  $d(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{N}$ , and d(X) > 0.

- (2) Setting  $\Sigma M = \Omega^2 M + R M$ , where  $M \in \Delta$  and the sequence  $0 \to \Omega^2 M \to R \to M \to 0$  is almost split, we have  $d(\Sigma M) \geqslant 0$ , and if  $d(\Sigma M) > 0$ , then M is periodic.
  - (3) For any A[G]-module M, we have  $d(M) = d(\Omega^2 M)$ .

*Proof.* (1) The first part follows by applying the left exact functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(\ ,M)$   $(M \text{ in } \Delta)$  to the exact sequence  $0 \to \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(Y) \to \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(IY) \to \operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\Omega^{-1}Y) \to 0$ , and taking dimensions. Now suppose d(X) = 0. It

follows from (2.5) that  $(Y - IY + \Omega^{-1}Y, \operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G}(X))_{P} = 0$ . Therefore all homomorphisms from Y to  $\operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G}(X)$  are projective, and so are in particular all endomorphisms of Y, since Y is a direct summand of  $\operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G}(X)$ . Thus Y is projective, contradiction.

- (2) We have  $d(\Sigma M) = (\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(Y \oplus \Omega^{-1}Y), \Sigma M)_G (\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(IY), \Sigma M)_G$ . The definition of almost split sequences shows that the map  $(\cdot, \Sigma M)_G$  takes on non negative values on A[G]-modules, and takes on the value 0 on all modules of which M is not a direct summand. Since this is the case for the projective module  $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(IY)$  (Section 2.2), we conclude that  $d(\Sigma M) \geqslant 0$ . Moreover if  $d(\Sigma M) > 0$ , then M is a direct summand of either  $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(Y)$  or  $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\Omega^{-1}Y)$ . But those two modules are periodic by Proposition 1. So M itself is periodic.
- (3) We apply Assertion 2.6 twice, starting from  $d(M) = (s, M)_G$  and using bilinearity. The right-hand term becomes  $\Pi M \Pi(\Omega M) + \Omega^2 M$ . On the left we use the identity of Section 2.2, and obtain  $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G(\Pi Y \Pi(\Omega Y) + \Omega^2 Y IY + \Pi(\Omega^{-1}Y) \Pi Y + \Omega Y)$ . This simplifies to the element s, by Proposition 1. The exactness of the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{A[G]}(\ , I)$ , for any injective module I, now shows that our expression for d(M) reduces to  $(s, \Omega^2 M)_G$ . Therefore  $d(M) = d(\Omega^2 M)$ .

COROLLARY. The function d is subadditive on  $\Delta$  and satisfies  $d(M) = d(\Omega^2 M)$  (all M in  $\Delta$ ). Furthermore, if d is not additive, then  $\Delta$  contains a periodic module.

*Proof.* The labelling on  $\Delta$  [1, p. 154] is such that statement (2) of the proposition, together with (1), tells us exactly that the function d is subadditive. Moreover it is additive whenever  $d(\Sigma M)$  in (2) is always 0.

**Proof of Theorem** 1. Condition  $d(M) = d(\Omega^2 M)$   $(M \text{ in } \Delta)$ , ensures that d induces a function on the reduced graph of  $\Delta$ . The functions induced by d on both the reduced graph and the tree class of  $\Delta$  are then subadditive, like d. We conclude by [1, 2.30.6(i)].

#### REFERENCES

- 1. D. J. Benson, Modular representation theory: New trends and methods, in "Lecture Notes in Math.," Vol. 1081, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- J. F. CARLSON, The dimensions of periodic modules over modular group algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* 23 (1979), 295-306.
- O. GAROTTA, "Suites presque scindées d'algèbres intérieures et algèbres intérieures des suites presque scindées," Thesis, University Paris 7, 1988.
- 4. T. OKUYAMA, On the Auslander-Reiten quiver of a finite group, J. Algebra 110 (1987), 425-430.
- 5. P. J. Webb, The Auslander-Reiten quiver of a finite group, Math. Z. 179 (1982), 97-121.