A local limit theorem for perturbed random walks

Mei Wang

Department of Mathematics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Received August 1990

Abstract: The main result reported here is a Stone type local limit theorem for perturbed random walks $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ when some slow variation conditions are imposed on ξ_n 's.

Keywords: Local (central) limit theorem; Edgeworth expansion; perturbed random walks.

1. Background

When specialized to one dimension and non-lattice distributions, Stone's Theorem (1965) asserts the following: Let X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots denote i.i.d. non-lattice random variables for which $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1$. Let

$$S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

be the sums, called 'random walks'. Then for each $L \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\varepsilon_n(L) = \sup_{c \leqslant L} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b < S_n \leqslant b + c\} - c \phi(b/\sqrt{n}) \right| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0,$$

where $\phi(x)$ is the standard normal density and \mathbb{R} is the real line. A consequence of this is

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{S_n \in J\} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} |J| / \sqrt{2\pi}$$

for any interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ of length |J|. This is the result of Shepp (1964).

There has been recent interest in sequences of random variables, called perturbed random walks. See, for example, Siegmund (1985), Woodroofe (1982) and Lalley (1984). A perturbed random walk has the form

$$Z_n = S_n + \xi_n,$$

where S_n is a random walk, ξ_n is independent of X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and the sequence $\xi_n, n \ge 1$, is slowly changing in a sense described by Woodroofe (1982, p. 41).

Correspondence to: Prof. Mei Wang, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1003, USA.

Research partially supported by the U.S. Army Research Office under DAAL 03-88-K-0122.

0167-7152/92/\$05.00 © 1992 - Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. All rights reserved

2. A local limit theorem

The main result of this paper is a local limit theorem for perturbed random walks. The following conditions are imposed.

Condition C (Cramer's condition). A random variable X_i is said to satisfy Condition C if the characteristic function

$$\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itX_i}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} F(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

has the property

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}|\psi(t)|<1.$$

Cramer's condition is also known as the strongly non-lattice condition.

Condition SL (Slow variation condition on ξ_i 's). A sequence of random variables, ξ_i , is said to satisfy Condition SL if there is a number $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \left| \xi_n - \xi_{n-[n^{\alpha}]} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} = 0$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Condition M (Moment condition on ξ_i 's). A sequence of random variables, ξ_i , is said to satisfy Condition M if for the α in Condition SL,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}[|\xi_n|]}{n^{\alpha-1/2}}=0.$$

For ξ_n satisfying Condition SL and M, and independent of X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \ldots for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, the sequence $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ is called a perturbed random walk, even though the conditions imposed differ from those imposed for nonlinear renewal theory.

Theorem 1 (Stone type local limit theorem). If $\mathbb{E} |X_i^3| < \infty$ and Conditions C, SL and M hold, then

$$\varepsilon_n(L) = \sup_{0 \le c \le L} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \le b + c\} - c\phi(b/\sqrt{n}) \right| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

for any $L < \infty$.

Examples. A class of examples of perturbed random walks may be constructed by letting

$$Z_n = ng(S_n/n)$$

where g(0) = 0, g'(0) = 1, and $g \in \mathscr{C}^2([-\delta, \delta])$ (i.e., g is twice continuously differentiable on $[-\delta, \delta]$) for some $\delta > 0$. Assume $\mathbb{E} |X_i^3| < \infty$ and Condition C holds for the X_i 's. Write

$$\xi_n = ng(S_n/n) - S_n = Z_n - S_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If there is a $k \ge 0$ and C > 0 such that

$$|g(x)| \leq C(|x|+1)^k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $\mathbb{E} |X_i^p| < \infty$ for some p > k, then $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ satisfies all the conditions in the local limit theorem for perturbed random walks (Theorem 1).

The verification of these assertions will be given in the last section.

The following lemma is an important tool in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2 (Edgeworth expansion, Woodroofe, 1988). Assume $\mathbb{E} | X_i^3 | < \infty$ and Condition C holds. Let M be given, $0 < M < \infty$. Then for $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in [0, M]$,

$$F^{*n}(b+c) - F^{*n}(b-c) = \Phi((b+c)/\sqrt{n}) - \Phi((b-c)/\sqrt{n}) + O(1/n)$$

uniformly in b and c. \Box

The lemma follows directly from Theorem 1 in Section 3 of Woodroofe (1988).

Proof of Theorem 1. For $0 \le \beta < \gamma < \infty$ and $n \ge 1$, let

$$\varepsilon_n(\beta, \gamma) = \sup_{\beta \leqslant c \leqslant \gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \leqslant b + c\} - c\phi(b/\sqrt{n}) \right|.$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$\varepsilon_n(L) = \varepsilon_n(0, L) \leqslant \varepsilon_n(0, \delta) + \varepsilon_n(\delta, L) \quad \forall \delta \in (0, L)$$

So it is sufficient to show that for all $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon_n(\delta, L) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ be as in Condition SL. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in [\delta, L]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\delta)$. For sufficiently large *n*, let $l = [n^{\alpha}]$ and m = n - l. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \leq b + c\} &\leq \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \leq b + c, \, |\, \xi_n - \xi_m \, | < \varepsilon\} + \mathbb{P}\{|\, \xi_n - \xi_m \, | \ge \varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\{b - \varepsilon - Z_m < S_n - S_m \leq b + \varepsilon - Z_m + c\} + \delta_n / \sqrt{n} \end{split}$$

where $\delta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ by Condition SL. Let $\mathscr{B}_m = \sigma\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m; \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m\}$ be the σ -field generated by $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m\}$ and

$$\Psi_n(x, c) = F^{*l}(x+c+\varepsilon) - F^{*l}(x-\varepsilon).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\{b - \varepsilon - Z_m < S_n - S_m \le b + \varepsilon - Z_m + c\}$$

= $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}\{b - \varepsilon - Z_m < S_n - S_m \le b + \varepsilon - Z_m + c \mid \mathscr{B}_m\}]$
= $\mathbb{E}[\Psi_n(b - Z_m, c)].$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}\{b-\varepsilon < S_n \leq b+c+\varepsilon\} = \mathbb{E}[\Psi_n(b-S_m, c)].$$

So

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \leq b + c\} \leq \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b - \varepsilon < S_n \leq b + \varepsilon + c\}
+ \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}[|\Psi_n(b - Z_m, c) - \Psi_n(b - S_m, c)|] + \delta_n.$$
(1)

The first term on the right-hand side will give the desired upper bound by Stone's theorem. The second term will approach zero as $n \to \infty$ by using the local Edgeworth expansion (Lemma 2). The third term goes to zero as $n \to \infty$ as above.

28 September 1992

For the first term, by Stone's theorem,

$$\left|\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b - \varepsilon < S_n \le b + \varepsilon + c\} - (c + 2\varepsilon)\phi((b - \varepsilon)/\sqrt{n})\right| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$
(2)

uniformly for $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in [\delta, L]$.

By Lemma 2 and a Taylor series expansion, there are constants $C_i(L)$ depending only on L for which

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n} \left| \Psi_{n}(b - Z_{m}, c) - \Psi_{n}(b - S_{m}, c) \right| \\ &\leqslant \sqrt{n} \left| \Phi\left(\frac{b + c + \varepsilon - Z_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{b - \varepsilon - Z_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right. \\ &\left. - \left[\Phi\left(\frac{b + c + \varepsilon - S_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{b - \varepsilon - S_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right] \right| + C_{1}(L) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{l} \\ &\leqslant \sqrt{n} \left| \left[\phi\left(\frac{b - \varepsilon - Z_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{b - \varepsilon - S_{m}}{\sqrt{l}}\right) \right] \frac{c + 2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{l}} \right| + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{l} \left[(\max \phi')(c + 2\varepsilon)^{2} + C_{1}(L) \right] \\ &\leqslant C_{2}(L) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{l} \left| Z_{m} - S_{m} \right| + C_{3}(L) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{l} \end{split}$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \leq L$. Observe that the last line does not depend on b or c. For large $n, l \ge \frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha}$. So by Condition M,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{l} \mid Z_m - S_m \mid \right] \leq 2 \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\mid \xi_m \mid \right]}{n^{\alpha - 1/2}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that there is a sequence σ_n , $n \ge 1$, for which

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b < Z_n \leq b + c\} - (c + 2\varepsilon)\phi((b - \varepsilon)/\sqrt{n}) \leq \sigma_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0,$$

uniformly in $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in [\delta, L]$. Similarly, there is a sequence $\hat{\sigma}_n$ with $\hat{\sigma}_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\{b - Z_n \leq b + c\} - (c - 2\varepsilon)\phi((b + \varepsilon)/\sqrt{n}) \ge \hat{\sigma}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

uniformly in $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in [\delta, L]$.

The theorem follows by letting $n \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. \Box

3. Directly Riemann integrable functions

The following definition is taken from Feller (1966). For a bounded real valued function h defined on \mathbb{R} , $\delta > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $J_k = (k\delta, (k+1)\delta]$ and

$$m_{k} = \min\{h(x) \colon x \in J_{k}\}, \qquad M_{k} = \max\{h(x) \colon x \in J_{k}\},$$

$$\overline{\sigma} = \overline{\sigma}_{\delta}(h) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta M_{k}, \qquad \underline{\sigma} = \underline{\sigma}_{\delta}(h) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta m_{k}.$$

Then *h* is said to be directly Riemann integrable $(h \in DR)$ if: (a) $\overline{\sigma}$, $\underline{\sigma}$ converge absolutely for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$; (b) $\lim_{\delta \to 0} (\overline{\sigma_{\delta}} - \underline{\sigma_{\delta}}) = 0$. Then $h \in L^1$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\underline{\sigma}_{\varepsilon} \leq \lim_{\delta \to 0} \overline{\sigma}_{\delta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \underline{\sigma}_{\delta} \leq \overline{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}.$$

Theorem 3. Suppose that conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. If $h \in DR$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}[h(Z_n)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}h(x)\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. There is no loss of generality in supposing that $h \ge 0$, since otherwise positive and negative parts may be considered separately.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ for which $\overline{\sigma}_{\delta} - \underline{\sigma}_{\delta} \leq \varepsilon$. With this choice of δ , by Theorem 1 there is an $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$\left|\sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{k\delta < Z_k \leq (k+1)\delta\right\} - \delta\phi\left(k\delta/\sqrt{n}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon\delta/\sqrt{2\pi}$$

for all $n \ge N_0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$\sum_{|k|>K} m_k(\delta) \leq \sum_{|k|>K} M_k(\delta) \leq \varepsilon$$

and there is an $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$(1-\varepsilon)/\sqrt{2\pi} \leq \phi(k\delta/\sqrt{n}) \leq 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$$

for all |k| < K, $n \ge N_1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n} \, \mathbb{E}[h(Z_n)] &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k \sqrt{n} \, \mathbb{P}\{k\delta < Z_n \leq (k+1)\delta\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k \Big[\delta \phi(k\delta/\sqrt{n}) + \varepsilon \delta/\sqrt{2\pi} \Big] \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta M_k \big(1/\sqrt{2\pi} + \varepsilon/\sqrt{2\pi} \big) \\ &\leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \right) \end{split}$$

and for all $n \ge N_0 \lor N_1$ (i.e., $n \ge \max\{N_0, N_1\}$). Similarly,

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}[h(Z_n)] \ge \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \varepsilon \right) - \delta \varepsilon \right].$$

The theorem now follows by letting $n \to \infty$, $\delta \to 0$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$. \Box

The local limit theorem (Theorem 1) is the special case when $h(x) = 1_{(b,b+c)}$.

4. Proof of the examples

Recall the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund Inequality (see Section 10.3 in Chow and Teicher, 1978): Assume $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^p] < \infty$, for some $p \ge 3$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|S_{n}\right|^{p}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{i}\right|^{p}\right]Cn^{p/2}$$

for some constant C depending on p.

Proposition 4. Suppose $g \in \mathscr{C}^2([-\delta, \delta])$ for some $\delta > 0$ and there exists a constant C > 0 such that $|g(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^k$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^p] < \infty$ for some p with p > k and $p \geq 3$ where the X_i 's satisfy condition C, then with $\xi_n = ng(S_n/n) - S_n$, $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ satisfies Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $A_n = \{ | \overline{X}_n | \leq \delta \}$. Then on A_n , $\xi_n = g''(\Delta_n)S_n^2/n$, $|\Delta_n| \leq \delta$ and $|g''(\Delta_n)1_{A_n}| \leq C_1$ for some $C_1 \in (0, \infty)$ independent of n. Let h(x) = g(x) - x. So $h \in \mathscr{C}^2([-\delta, \delta])$, h(0) = 0, h'(0) = 0, $\xi_n = nh(S_n/n)$. It is easy to see that $|h(x)| \leq C_2 |x|^k$ for all $|x| \geq \delta$ for some constant $C_2 \in (0, \infty)$, and that $|h(x)| \leq C_3 x^2$, for $x \in [-\delta, \delta]$, for some $C_3 \in (0, \infty)$.

Step 1. Show that condition M is satisfied. i.e., $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_n|] = o(n^{\alpha - 1/2})$ for some $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Choose $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1 - 1/p) \subseteq (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Now

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{n}\right|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{n}\right|1_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{n}\right|1_{\mathcal{A}_{n}'}\right]$$

where A'_n is the complement of A_n . By the boundedness of g'' on A_n and M-Z inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{n}\right|1_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g''(\mathcal{\Delta}_{n})\right|1_{\mathcal{A}_{n}}S_{n}^{2}/n\right] \leq C_{1}\mathbb{E}\left[S_{n}^{2}\right]/n \leq C_{2} = o(n^{\alpha-1/2}).$$

By the properties of h(x),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{n}\right|1_{A_{n}'}\right] = n\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h(S_{n}/n)\right|1_{A_{n}'}\right] \leq nC_{3}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|S_{n}/n\right|^{k}1_{A_{n}'}\right]$$
$$\leq C_{4}n^{2-p} = o(n^{\alpha-1/2}) \quad (\text{since } p \geq 3).$$

The last line is a direct corollary of M-Z inequality. So Condition M is satisfied.

Step 2. Show that Condition SL holds. i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\xi_n-\xi_{n-[n^{\alpha}]}|>\varepsilon]=\mathrm{o}(n^{-1/2})\quad\forall\varepsilon>0.$$

Write $m = n - [n^{\alpha}]$. Then on $A_m \cap A_n$,

$$\xi_n - \xi_m = nh(\overline{X}_n) - mh(\overline{X}_m)$$

= $nh'(\overline{X}_m)(\overline{X}_n - \overline{X}_m) + \frac{1}{2}nh''(\Delta_{n,m})(\overline{X}_n - \overline{X}_m)^2 + (m-n)h(\overline{X}_m)$
= $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$.

where $\Delta_{n,m}$ is between \overline{X}_n and \overline{X}_m and I_i denotes the *i*th term. The Markov Inequality and M-Z inequality give

$$\mathbb{P}\{A'_n \cup A'_m\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\overline{X}_n\right|^p\right] / \delta^p + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\overline{X}_m\right|^p\right] / \delta^p \leq C_1(p)n^{-p/2} = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

So it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \mid I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \mid 1_{\mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_m} > \varepsilon \right\} = \mathrm{o}(n^{-1/2}) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

By the Markov inequality, it is enough to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mid I_i \mid 1_{\mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_m} \right] = \mathrm{o}(n^{-1/2}) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

By the independence of the X_i 's,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[I_1 \mid \mathscr{B}_m\right] = nh'(\overline{X}_m)\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{X}_n - \overline{X}_m \mid \mathscr{B}_m\right] = h'(\overline{X}_m)(m-n)\overline{X}_m.$$

114

Since $h \in \mathscr{C}^2([-\delta, \delta])$ and h'(0) = 0, there is a constant C_1 for which $|h'(x)| \leq C_1 |x|$ for all $x \in [-\delta, \delta]$. By M-Z inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\left|\mathbb{E}\big[I_1 \mid \mathscr{B}_m\big]\mathbf{1}_{A_m}\right|^{p/2}\Big] \leq C_1^{p/2}(n-m)^{p/2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\left|\overline{X}_m\right|^p\Big]$$
$$\leq C_2(p)((n-m)/m)^{p/2}$$
$$\leq C_3(p)n^{p(\alpha-1)/2} = o(n^{-1/2}) \quad (\text{since } \alpha < 1 - 1/p).$$

Let $S_{n,m} = X_{m+1} + X_{m+2} + \cdots + X_n$. Then $I_1 - \mathbb{E}[I_1 | \mathcal{B}_m] = h'(\overline{X}_m)S_{n,m}$. Using the independence of \overline{X}_m and $S_{n,m}$ and the property of h(x) in $[-\delta, \delta]$, M-Z inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\left|I_{1}-\mathbb{E}\big[I_{1}\mid\mathscr{B}_{m}\big]\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{m}}\right|^{p}\Big] \leq C^{p}\mathbb{E}\Big[\left|\overline{X}_{m}\right|^{p}\Big]\mathbb{E}\Big[\left|S_{n,m}\right|^{p}\Big]$$
$$\leq C_{1}(p)m^{-p/2}(n-m)^{p/2}$$
$$\leq C_{2}(p)n^{-p(1-\alpha)/2} = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Consequently, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|I_{1}\right|1_{A_{n}\cap A_{m}}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbb{E}\left[I_{1}\right|\mathscr{B}_{m}\right]1_{A_{m}}\right|\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|I_{1}-\mathbb{E}\left[I_{1}\right|\mathscr{B}_{m}\right]\left|1_{A_{m}}\right] = o(n^{-1/2}).$

To prove $\mathbb{E}[|I_2|1_{A_n \cap A_m}] = o(n^{-1/2})$, note that there is a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ for which $|h''(\Delta_{n,m})1_{A_m \cap A_n}| \leq C$. Then

$$|I_{2}|I_{A_{n}\cap A_{m}} = \frac{1}{2}n|h''(\Delta_{n,m})|(\overline{X}_{n} - \overline{X}_{m})^{2}I_{A_{n}\cap A_{m}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}nC(\overline{X}_{n} - \overline{X}_{m})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}nC\left(\frac{1}{n}S_{n,m} - \frac{n-m}{nm}S_{m}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}S_{n,m}^{2} + \frac{(n-m)^{2}}{nm^{2}}S_{m}^{2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[|I_2|^{p/2} \mathbb{1}_{A_n \cap A_m} \Big] \leq C_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} \mathbb{E}\Big[|S_{n,m}|^p \Big] + \frac{(n-m)^p}{n^{p/2} m^p} \mathbb{E}\Big[|S_m|^p \Big] \right\}$$
$$\leq C_3 \left\{ \left(\frac{n-m}{n} \right)^{p/2} + \left(\frac{n-m}{n} \right)^p \right\} = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

Since $|h(x)| \leq Cx^2$ on $[-\delta, \delta]$, M–Z inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[|I_3|^{p/2} \mathbf{1}_{A_n \cap A_m}\Big] \leq C \mathbb{E}\Big[(n-m)^{p/2} |\bar{X}_m|^p \mathbf{1}_{A_m}\Big] \leq C_1([n^{\alpha}])^{p/2} m^{-p/2} = o(n^{-1/2}).$$

The proposition follows. \Box

Note

The results presented here are part of the author's dissertation under the direction of M. Woodroofe,

References

- Chow, Y.S. and H. Teicher (1978), *Probability Theory* (Springer, New York).
- Feller, W. (1966), An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. 2 (Wiley, New York).
- Lalley, S. (1984), Limit theorems for first passage times in linear and nonlinear renewal theory, Adv. Appl. Probab. 16, 766-803.
- Shepp, L.A. (1964), A local limit theorem, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 35, 419-423.
- Siegmund, D. (1985), Sequential Analysis (Springer, New York).
- Stone, C. (1965), A local limit theorem for non-lattice multidimensional distribution functions, Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 546-551.
- Woodroofe, M. (1982), Nonlinear Renewal Theory in Sequential Analysis (SIAM, Philadelphia, PA).
- Woodroofe, M. (1988), Asymptotic expansions for first passage times, Stochastic Process. Appl. 28, 301–315.