Oxygen pulse chemisorption measurements were made on a series of model HDS catalysts synthesized from solid-state reactions of elemental starting materials. Three different promoter atoms having the following catalyst stoichiometries were used in this study: P$_2$Mo$_{1-x}$S$_x$ for the Co and Fe samples and P$_x$Mo$_{1-x}$S$_2$ for the Ni series. Four samples from each promoter family were studied and the P/(P + Mo) weight percent ratio varied from 0.030 to 0.355. All catalysts displayed Arrhenius type behavior in the HDS of thiophene and it was found that O$_2$ chemisorption uptakes could be correlated with the HDS activity of these solid-state model catalysts. Pulse chemisorption experiments revealed that the more active samples adsorbed increased amounts of O$_2$; hence activity normalizations using O$_2$ uptake resulted in a consolidation of the Arrhenius plots so that the individual data points merged into a narrow band on the plot. Oxygen activity normalizations also revealed that on a per mole of O$_2$ basis, the model catalysts gave thiophene HDS turnover frequencies similar to those found on an industrial HDS catalyst. CO chemisorption experiments were run on a select number of samples and similar trends were observed.

INTRODUCTION

Attempts have been made using various probe molecules to correlate the adsorptive capacity of HDS catalysts with the observed catalytic activities. Among the different gases that have been used in these investigations, O$_2$, NO, CO, and H$_2$ have demonstrated the ability to selectively titrate the edge sites of the MoS$_2$ structure. Since the edge of the MoS$_2$ crystal has been recognized by many researchers to be linked to HDS activity, these adsorption studies have provided useful information allowing correlations between adsorptive uptake and catalytic activity to be drawn (1–5). More specifically, O$_2$ pulse chemisorption uptake has been shown to vary linearly with HDS activity for both unsupported MoS$_2$ (6) and sulfided Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ (7) catalysts. This raised the possibility of establishing chemisorption as a faster activity screening technique than actual activity measurements; however, other researchers began to have doubts about the validity of this adsorption–activity correlation. Zmierczak et al. (8) found that O$_2$ chemisorption did not correlate with HDS activity and concluded that their adsorption data reflected the general state of dispersion of the active Mo phase rather than specifically titrating the active centers. Interestingly, they found that O$_2$ capacity increased at higher temperatures, which was interpreted as a slow oxidation or corrosive process proceeding on the catalyst’s surface. Because of this phenomenon, these workers did not endorse any activity–adsorption correlation. Similar oxidation of unsupported MoS$_2$ during the chemisorption pro-
cess has been reported by Daage and Chianelli (9). Yet, despite the reservations raised by these two reports, O2 chemisorption is still used by many laboratories to gain insight into the relationship between the HDS catalyst surface and its corresponding activity (10–13).

Other workers have attempted to use CO as the adsorbent molecule in order to avoid the problem of surface oxidation (14, 15); however, the number of studies employing CO is not nearly as high. Furthermore, the extent of CO average on the catalyst is still being debated. In addition to this, CO adsorbs much more slowly than O2, and, consequently, the CO peaks detected in the pulse method tend to be very broad with less well-defined endpoints than those characteristically seen in O2 measurements (13). In spite of this problem, CO adsorption has been shown to correlate with HDS trends (14, 15).

This paper reports the results of chemisorption studies on model solid-state HDS catalysts promoted with various group VIII metals. In earlier work on these catalysts (16–18), it appeared that the main role of the promoter atoms was to scavenge sulfur during catalyst synthesis, thereby facilitating the creation of nonstoichiometric molybdenum sulfide phases with enhanced HDS activity. Other hypotheses have been proposed in the literature to explain the role of promoters in HDS catalysis, including the monolayer model (19–21), the intercalation model (22, 23), the contact synergy model (24–26), and the CoMoS model (27, 28). At present the CoMoS model has become widely accepted, although the exact nature of the active sites is still an open question. In more recent work (29–31) it was even postulated that the active site for HDS may actually be the Co promoter itself and that the molybdenum sulfide phase serves primarily as a secondary support.

In the present work, the objectives are to first establish if the novel solid-state materials followed adsorption patterns for oxygen and CO similar to those seen with tradition-}

ally prepared HDS catalysts and then, as in the investigations cited earlier, to determine if their respective chemisorption properties could be linked to catalytic activity. Four samples were selected from each promoter family, and within each group two samples had low promoter loadings while two others had high promoter loadings. Experiments were also performed on the industrial HDS catalyst Katalco to compare our model compounds with an industrial catalyst and to see to what extent meaningful activity normalizations can be made based on adsorptive uptake rather than simply the molybdenum content.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The solid-state catalysts were prepared by combining elemental Mo, S, and promoter atom in the desired stoichiometric amounts, evacuating to 0.1 Pa in a quartz tube, sealing, and then heating to 783 K in vacuum for 24 h. Additional details of this synthesis were presented previously (16–18). The catalytic activity for the HDS of thiophene was measured in a simple flow reactor. Reaction temperatures ranged from 523 to 673 K and the pressure was maintained constant at 1 atm. The powdered catalyst (0.25–0.35 g) was loaded into a quartz reactor and pretreated in flowing He (20 ml/min) at 673 for 30 min. The temperature was then lowered to the desired reaction temperature and the He flow was replaced by a 2.1% by volume thiophene in H2 (10 ml/min). The reactor effluent was analyzed by a gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Additional details may be found in the literature (16–18).

The samples to be examined in this study were chosen to include both high and low levels of promoter loadings. The P/(P × Mo) ratios (expressed in weight percent) of the samples ranged from 0.030 to 0.355. The nomenclature used denotes the respective stoichiometries of the elemental starting materials used in the synthesis and has the form $P_{2x}Mo_{1-x}S_2$ for the Co and Fe samples and
P₂Moₓ₋₁ₓS₂ for the Ni series. The specific stoichiometries of the promoted samples may be found in Table 1. A commercial sample (Katalco; with 12–16% MoO₃, 2–5% CoO, and the balance Al₂O₃) was also studied to provide an industrial benchmark against which the performance of our solid-state samples could be tested.

Chemisorption measurements were made with the pulse technique using a Quantasorb Model QS-17 sorption system. Powdered samples of catalyst (200–300 mg) were loaded into a glass U-tube cell. Prior to all chemisorption measurements, the samples were reduced in pure H₂ at 573 K for a minimum of 8 h. Although the reduction of HDS catalysts is generally a slow process, the work of Liu et al. (32) indicates that an 8-h reduction period should be sufficient to entirely reduce our catalysts. The effect of different pretreatments on gas uptake was considered as an additional experimental parameter; however, studies have indicated (33) there was no noticeable change in adsorptive capacity after pretreatment with either H₂ or H₂S. After reduction, the sample was cooled ballistically to room temperature for 45 min and then placed in a 298 K constant temperature bath. A four-way valve allowed the pretreatment gas to be switched to He carrier gas without exposing the sample to air. Then, sequential pulses of chemisorption gas, 1 cm³ in volume, and consisting of either 9.98% O₂ in He or 9.96% CO in He were injected into the carrier gas stream. This apparatus was equipped with a thermal conductivity detector which sensed the breakthrough of O₂ or CO once the catalyst was saturated with chemisorbed gas. The amount of O₂ or CO retained on the catalyst was then easily calculated from a material balance, since the original amount injected into the system was known.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 lists the catalyst samples with their respective BET surface areas and CO and O₂ uptake capacities. Also included in this table are the data for the industrial HDS catalyst. It can be seen that within the Co and Ni promoter families those catalysts with a higher overall surface area displayed greater O₂ or CO adsorption. However, for the Fe series, the lower surface area Fe₅₀Mo₇₅S₂ and Fe₆₀Mo₇₅S₂ samples showed a larger O₂ capacity than would be expected judging from their BET areas. To explain this, we must refer to Ref. (18) and recall that these solid-state catalysts are not single-phase materials. In addition to the poorly crystalline MoS₂-like phase detected by X-ray diffraction and electron diffraction, FeS₂ was also formed in increasing quantities as the Fe concentration was raised in the synthesis charge. Hence, the greater O₂ uptakes could be due to a preferential adsorption of the probe molecules by a secondary phase, such as FeS₂, that would increase the amount of adsorbed gas for a given sample without providing a proportional increase in HDS activity. Further chemisorption experiments on iron sulfide phases are required to determine if this hypothesis is indeed correct.

In Figs. 1–3, turnover frequencies for HDS of thiophene are compared for the Co-, Fe-, and Ni-promoted samples, plotting them in two different ways for each pro-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET (m²/g)</th>
<th>O₂ (μmole/g)</th>
<th>CO (μmole/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co₀.₅Mo₀.₉₇₅S₂</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₀.₆Mo₀.₉₅₅S₂</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₀.₇Mo₀.₇₅₅S₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co₀.₈Mo₀.₇₅₅S₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₅Mo₀.₉₇₅S₂</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₆Mo₀.₉₇₅S₂</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₇Mo₀.₇₅₅S₂</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₈Mo₀.₇₅₅S₂</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₀.₅Mo₀.₉₅₅S₂</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₀.₆Mo₀.₉₅₅S₂</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₀.₇Mo₀.₉₅₅S₂</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni₀.₈Mo₀.₉₅₅S₂</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katalco</td>
<td>156.3</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
moter series. In Figs. 1a, 2a, and 3a, the natural log of the pseudo-turnover frequencies (ln(PTOF)) has been plotted versus 1/T. The PTOF was defined as moles of thiophene converted per mole of Mo in the catalyst per second; hence, it may be thought of as a specific rate. The original purpose of the activity normalization as depicted in Figs. 1a, 2a, and 3a was to compare these catalysts on a per Mo basis in hopes of determining which samples used Mo more efficiently in a catalytic sense. As can be seen from these graphs, the samples followed Arrhenius type behavior and provided experimental straight line fits with a corrected sum of squares greater than 93% in all cases.

Of interest in Fig. 1a was the large difference in activity between the low loaded Co0.05Mo0.975S2 and Co0.1Mo0.95S2 catalysts and the high loaded Co0.5Mo0.75S2 and Co0.6Mo0.7S2 samples. Inspection of Table 1 shows that this lower activity was also accompanied by a decrease in O2 uptake. Similarly, for the Fe and Ni series, the more active samples (see Figs. 2a and 3a and Table 1) displayed the larger O2 capacities. However, it is interesting to note that the Fe samples did not follow the same P/(P + Mo) activity trend as the Co series did. The low loaded Fe0.05Mo0.975S2 was not as active as the Fe0.5Mo0.75S2 or Fe0.1Mo0.95S2 samples, and this was reflected in the O2 chemisorption measurements. In addition, the Fe0.6Mo0.7S2 sample adsorbed twice as much

---

**FIG. 1.** Arrhenius plot for Co samples and Katalco. (a) Mo-normalized thiophene HDS turnover frequencies (PTOF) vs 1/T. (b) O2-normalized thiophene HDS turnover frequencies (OTOF) vs 1/T.

**FIG. 2.** Arrhenius plot for Fe samples and Katalco. (a) Mo-normalized thiophene HDS turnover frequencies (PTOF) vs 1/T. (b) O2-normalized thiophene HDS turnover frequencies (OTOF) vs 1/T.
O₂ compared to Fe₀.₀₅Mo₀.₉₇S₂, but displayed similar activity. This could be due to the problem of preferential adsorption by a secondary phase mentioned earlier. The Ni catalysts behaved more like the Co-containing samples as the Ni₀.₀₅Mo₀.₉₅S₂ had the highest activity and adsorbed the most O₂, and the other catalysts in this family showed decreasing activity and adsorption capacity with increasing P/(P + Mo) values.

One final point to mention with regard to this set of figures is the high ln(PTOF) of the Katalco sample relative to the most active solid-state samples in all promoter families. The Katalco catalyst is supported on alumina and is only 12–16 wt% MoO₃; consequently, the majority of the Mo species present in the working catalysts are accessible to reactant molecules. Conversely, much of the Mo in the solid-state samples lies deep within the bulk of the material and is effectively unavailable for catalysis. It is not surprising then that normalizing the activity on a per mole of Mo basis favors the supported material.

In order to account for this inequity, the activity data were replotted in Figs. 1b, 2b, and 3b, this time normalizing them on a per μmole of O₂ adsorbed basis, showing O₂ normalized pseudo-turnover frequencies (OPTOF) as a function of 1/T. As mentioned earlier, it is still debated whether O₂ chemisorption provides a reliable measure of the catalytically active site due to the slow oxidation of the sample by the adsorbate. However, given the slow rate of oxidation and the fact that the adsorption was performed dynamically, O₂ surface titration will yield a truer indication of the available sites for HDS than the total Mo content of the catalyst, especially for the bulk samples studied in this work, since much of the Mo is not a surface species. This normalization resulted in rates with units of "moles of thiophene converted per micromole of O₂ adsorbed per second." If the above assumption proved valid, then the Arrhenius plots of O₂ normalized pseudo-turnover frequencies should merge closer together. This would indicate that the chemisorption experiments were indeed titrating a majority of those sites responsible for activity, presumably the edge sites. If the Arrhenius plots remained unchanged or diverged to span a greater area, then it could safely be said that in this catalyst system, the O₂ chemisorption did not successfully titrate those centers responsible for HDS activity.

It can be seen from Figs. 1b, 2b, and 3b that the data do indeed merge much closer together than when the rates were normalized on a per Mo basis. In addition, the industrial catalyst Katalco with the relatively larger adsorptive capacity now falls in the range of the solid-state model materials. As expected, the apparent activation
energies do not change when $\ln(\text{OPTOF})$ vs $1/T$ are plotted, since the different normalization procedure could not possibly alter the reaction mechanism. What does change is the $y$-intercept or preexponential factor in the Arrhenius expression, which causes the lines of the graph to come closer together. This effect is most noticeable for the Fe series, where all the data now fall into a very narrow region. The Co series also merge appreciably; however, there was a larger spread in this data originally (see Fig. 1a). The $O_2$ normalization of the Ni series also leads to a distinct compression of the Arrhenius plot at the higher temperatures. At lower temperatures (less than 473 K) the spread mirrors that present in the original activity data (Fig. 3a) seen earlier. Based on these results it does indeed appear that the $O_2$ chemisorption provides a meaningful measure of the catalytic area responsible for HDS activity.

From previous work on these model catalysts (16–18) it is known that disordered sulfur-deficient MoS$_x$ species played a key role in HDS catalysis, leading to enhanced activity compared to nearly defect-free MoS$_2$. When promoters such as Ni, Fe, and Co were added, they were found to scavenge sulfur during catalyst synthesis, thereby facilitating the formation of disordered, sulfur-deficient MoS$_x$. From the data presented here, it appears that $O_2$ adsorption tracks the relative amounts of the catalytically active sulfur-deficient MoS$_x$.

Only those samples with a promoter stoichiometry of $P_{0.1}$ have currently undergone CO adsorption experiments. As reported previously (13), CO adsorption was much slower than that of $O_2$. A typical equilibration time for CO adsorption may have been up to 5 min for CO, whereas $O_2$ was usually equilibrated in less than 1 min. Since we currently lack the CO data for all samples, it was not possible to compare the low- and high-activity Co series samples to determine if indeed the CO adsorption correlates as closely as the $O_2$ measurements with HDS activity. However, HDS activity was normalized based on CO uptake for those samples with a promoter stoichiometry of 0.1. Figure 4 depicts an Arrhenius plot using a similar normalization scheme employed with the $O_2$ chemisorption data, only CO adsorption was now used as the normalizing factor. It can be seen that the data merge nicely at the higher temperatures, suggesting that CO is titrating those sites linked to activity. Below 473 K there is some spread present due primarily to the low activity of the Fe sample at lower temperature. All CO-normalized samples displayed HDS activities greater than the CO-normalized Katalco sample, which again indicates that these model samples behave similarly to the industrial catalyst. Although the sample population in these CO studies was smaller than that of $O_2$, these preliminary results are in keeping with the observations of others (14, 15), who were able to correlate HDS activity with CO chemisorption.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on these results, it appears that $O_2$ chemisorption tracks and correlates quite well with the HDS activity of these solid-state model catalysts. Sharp endpoints in gas uptake were obtained for all samples tested, which would indicate that the problem of a slow surface oxidation encountered by other workers (8, 9) was not a factor in
this case. Since the more active samples adsorbed increased amounts of $O_2$, activity normalizations using $O_2$ uptake resulted in a consolidation of the Arrhenius plots so that the individual data points merged into a narrow band on the plot. Oxygen activity normalizations also revealed that on a per mole of $O_2$ basis, the model catalysts gave thiophene HDS turnover frequencies similar to those found on an industrial HDS catalyst, encouraging us to believe that these solid-state materials provide relevant models for HDS studies. It also appears that CO selectively adsorbs on the catalytic sites, although this judgement is not as clear as in the case of the $O_2$ chemisorption since fewer samples were studied.

In these model catalysts sulfur-deficient MoS$_2$ species play a key role in HDS catalysis. Promoters such as Ni, Fe, and Co mainly serve the purpose of scavenging sulfur during catalyst synthesis, thereby facilitating the creation of sulfur deficient MoS$_2$. $O_2$ adsorption, and to some extent also CO adsorption, appears to track the relative amounts of sulfur-deficient, nonstoichiometric MoS$_2$, responsible for enhanced HDS activity. This correlation may help explain why $O_2$ adsorption capacity can serve as a fast screening test for HDS activity.

REFERENCES