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Abstract—The problems of mobility impairment in the elderly constitute new and major challenges for biomechanics research. This paper outlines what some of the important problems are, discusses the relevance of biomechanics research to these problems, and reviews some of the current state of knowledge about factors related to the biomechanics of mobility impairments in the elderly. The population of old adults is growing rapidly and the incidence of mobility impairments in old adults is high. Mobility impairment biomechanics research is needed to make the assessments of impairments more precise, to design therapeutic programs that are more effective and to learn more about how mobility impairments can be prevented.

THE MAGNITUDE OF THE CHALLENGES

The population of elderly adults is a growing one; life expectancy continues to increase. In the United States, mean life expectancy at birth for females was 49.1 yr in 1900, 77.5 yr in 1980, and is projected to be 82.2 yr in 2020. The corresponding figures for males are 46.6, 69.8 and 74.4 yr (Olshansky and Ault, 1986). In 1986, there were 29.2 million residents of the United States who were 65 or more years old and 2.8 million residents who were 85 or more years old (Public Health Service, 1989a). If projected conservatively, these numbers will grow to 52 million and 6.7 million by the year 2020 (Schneider and Guralnik, 1990). What will be the quality of these additional years of life? Will they be years of freedom and personal fulfillment or years of confinement and dependence? Maintenance of mobility is central to this issue. For example, the risk of hip fracture increases dramatically after age 50, and almost 50% of hip fractures occur in persons who are 80 or more years old. In 1987, approximately 220,000 hip fractures occurred in the United States. This number will grow to over 400,000 by the year 2020. Approximately 20% of women who experience a hip fracture do not survive the first year after the fracture. Another 20% do not regain the ability to walk without assistance (Schneider and Guralnik, 1990). The inability to walk without assistance often leads to institutionalization.

What will be the costs to society of these additional years of life? The costs for nursing home care of the elderly in 1985 averaged $23,600 per resident and totalled $31.1 billion (Schneider and Guralnik, 1990). The number of nursing home residents is projected to increase 3.5 fold by 2040 (Olshansky and Ault, 1986). The costs of hip fractures, which were approximately $1.6 billion in 1987, are projected to be $6 billion by 2040 (Schneider and Guralnik, 1990).

Received in final form 6 August 1991.
which of these elements have major responsibilities for the
impairment? The need for biomechanics research into questions like these requires little explanation.

Physical therapy is sometimes prescribed for old adults with mobility impairments. This therapy is
sometimes directed at increasing the muscular strength. However, biomechanical analyses show that the
strength requirements for the maintenance of balance (Chun et al., 1992; Gu et al., 1992) and even
thoses for rising from a chair (Schultz et al., 1991) are usually smaller and, in the former case, considerably
smaller than the available strengths in even quite old adults. Might other forms of therapy be more appro-
priate for at least some impairments? Balance training, for example, might be more effective than strength
training in remediation of balance problems. Ledin et al. (1991) demonstrated that nine weeks of balance
training significantly improved the balance abilities in persons 70–75 yr old. Therapies and programs for the
prevention of impairments can be made more effective once a better understanding is achieved of the specific
factors which are important for the restoration and maintenance of a given function. Biomechanics re-
search is likely to contribute to this understanding.

AGE EFFECTS ON MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM
COMPONENTS

This and the subsequent sections of this paper will
review representative studies of functional decline
with age in the performance of physical tasks. Studies
of functional decline with age should be interpreted
with caution. Their outcome often depends critically
on subject inclusion criteria. Unless these are quite
stringent and clearly explained, it is difficult to separ-
ate the effects of natural aging from the effects of
disease processes, medication, and the state of physical
fitness, for example.

Anthropometry

The anthropometry of the elderly differs somewhat
from that of young adults (Ward and Kirk, 1967; Burr
and Phillips, 1984; Shimokata et al., 1989; Kelly and
Kroemer, 1990, for example). Stoudt (1981) points out
that in the mean, persons 65–74 yr old are approximately
3% shorter than those 18–24 yr old. Elderly
males are slightly lighter and elderly females approx-
imately 11% heavier than young adult males and
females. These differences result from both secular
trends in the population and biological changes inher-
ent in the aging process. In illustration of the latter
factor, most of the decrement in stature takes place in
the trunk and results from a flattening of the inter-
vertebral disks and vertebral bodies and changes in
kyphosis.

Joint ranges of motion

The ranges of motion (ROM) of body joints gen-
erally diminish with age. For example, Allander et al.
(1974) reported an approximately 20% decline be-
tween ages 45 and 70 yr, among 411 subjects, in hip
rotation and 10% declines in wrist and shoulder
ROM. A comparison of the ROM of lower extremity
joints reported by Boone and Azen (1979) and Roaas
and Andersson (1982) for young and middle-aged
adults with those reported by Walker et al. (1984) for
elderly adults shows declines ranging from negligible
to 57%. Svanborg (1988) found that at age 79, one-
fifth of a large group of subjects had restricted knee
joint motion and two-thirds had restricted hip joint
motion. Rattrie et al. (1987), in 300 blue-collar work-
ers, found an approximately 25% decline in the ability
to bend to the side and a 45% decline in shoulder
motion over the ages of 20–60 yr. Einkauf et al. (1987),
in 109 healthy females, found declines of 25–50% in
various ranges of motion of the lumbar spine over ages
of approximately 20–80 yr. However, Walker et al.
(1984) reported no significant differences in 28 differ-
ent joint ROM between two groups with mean ages of
approximately 65 and 80 yr.

The effects of decreased ROM on the ability to
perform various activities of daily living are less well
studied, not only in old but even in young adults. Badley et al. (1984) found, when studying 95 subjects
of ages 28–84 yr with arthritis, that the ability to move
around in one’s environment correlated significantly
with ROM in knee flexion, the ability to bend down
correlated with hip flexion ROM, and the ability for
activities requiring the use of hands and arms correl-
ated significantly with ROM of the upper extremities.
Bergstrom et al. (1985a) reported that restricted knee
motion in 79 yr olds correlated with the disability to
enter public transport vehicles. However, they found
that joint impairment did not generally associate with
commitment to institutional care. Bergstrom et al.
(1985b) found that the majority of a group of 134
people of age 79 yr had enough spinal mobility to
perform the common activities of daily living. Bio-
mechanics research further detailing the relationships
between decreased ROM and mobility impairments
seems merited.

Muscle function

The decline in the muscle strength that accompanies
aging has long been recognized (Quetelet, 1835; Cath-
cart et al., 1935, for example). The order of magnitude
of this decline, say between ages 25 and 65, is one-
third. However, precise strength values reported vary
widely because they depend on many factors: for
example, they depend very substantially on the sub-
jects studied, the joint angles, whether under isometric
or constant-velocity conditions, and whether, at the
constant velocity, muscle shortening or lengthening is
occurring. Table 1 provides typical literature data on
extremity joint muscle strengths for young and old
adults. Viitisalo et al. (1985) report that hand grip,
elbow flexion and trunk flexion and extension
strengths decline 35–45% between the early thirties
and the early seventies. Vandervoort et al. (1990)
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Table 1. Literature values for joint torque strengths (N m)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Young adults†</th>
<th>Old adults‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle dorsiflexors</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberg et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepic et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle plantarflexors</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberg et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerdle and Fugl-Meyer</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepic et al.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee flexors</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knabic et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borges</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knapic et al.</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dannenskiold et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniansson et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borges</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray et al.</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip flexors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markhede and Grimby</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahalan et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip extensors</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markhede and Grimby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahalan et al.</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder flexors</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray et al.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from Schultz et al. (1991). Data sources are cited in that paper. Most values quoted are for isometric strengths, but a few are for low-rate isokinetic strengths.
† Mean ages approximately 25–30 yr, see references.
‡ Mean ages approximately 60–80 yr, see references.

report age differences in strength to be smaller when muscles lengthen than when they shorten.

A prevalent casual belief is that many of the mobility impairments that arise in the elderly are due to a decline in the muscular strength. This belief warrants careful consideration. Studies were cited above suggesting that the joint torques needed to maintain postural balance and even to rise from a chair are often well below the available joint torque strengths. Further, comprehensive biomechanical studies are needed of the muscle strength requirements for all common activities of daily living in order to relate the strength requirements, strength availabilities and performance in these activities.

The decline with aging in the ability of muscles to produce power is perhaps well illustrated by records of peak athletic performances. Moore (1975), in his study of age effects in record running performance, shows that in both short- and long-distance races, male elite runners over 70 yr old run one-third slower than elite young adult male athletes (Fig. 1). The latter tend to be in their twenties. In their review of muscle function with age, Faulkner et al. (1990) point out that rodent muscle power outputs decline approximately 30% in absolute terms and 20% on a per unit muscle mass basis. Age-related changes in muscle morphology and physiology have been reviewed by Grimby and Saltin (1983) and Faulkner et al. (1990), for example. The
latter concluded that the maximum speed of shortening, contraction times and fiber types do not seem to change significantly with aging, but fast/slow fiber innervation ratios do seem to. Muscle power reduction with aging may also be due to systemic factors, such as decline in cardiopulmonary function (Gorman and Posner, 1988).

A number of studies suggest that exercise in later years can help maintain muscular strength (review of Shepard, 1990, and improve factors which indirectly influence muscle function (Gorman and Posner, 1988, for example). However, Block et al. (1989) point out that studies of this kind have sometimes yielded conflicting results and have sometimes been marred by methodological flaws, such as failure to use a randomized study design, failure to assess outcomes blindly and too short a study duration.

Reaction times

Reaction times, the delays that occur between the onset of a stimulus and the onset of response to it, are of fundamental importance to biomechanical analyses of any tasks performed rapidly. Reaction times are often categorized into simple (SRT) and choice (CRT) reaction times, the latter involving selection among several possible response options and, thus, presumably involving more central processing of motor commands. Reaction times are further divided into premotor times, from stimulus onset to the onset of myoelectric activity, and motor times, from the onset of myoelectric activity to the onset of force production or movement. Usually, the main issue in the studies of the slowing down of performance with age has been the extent to which the slowing down results from ‘central’ mechanisms in contrast to ‘neuromuscular mechanisms’ (for example, Welford, 1984, 1988). CRT are thought to associate more with the former and SRT more with the latter. Thus, Rogers and Chan (1988) interpreted their findings, that patients with Parkinson’s disease did not differ significantly from control subjects in their SRT but did differ in their CRT, as suggesting that parkinsonian akinesia may involve a disturbance in the central processing related to motor planning.

The data Welford (1984, 1988) reviewed suggest that SRT of old adults are approximately 20% longer than those of young adults. Saltzhouse (1985) reviewed 11 studies of the effects of age on SRT. Uniformly, age and reaction time show a positive linear correlation, but the correlation coefficients ranged from only 0.19–0.47. Gottsdanker (1982) found SRT to increase with age at a rate of only 2 ms per decade. A number of studies suggest that higher levels of physical fitness associate with shorter reaction times (Era et al., 1986, for example), but Clarkson (1978), for example, found such effects to be rather weak. The fitness level affected the time needed to make movements more than it affected the reaction time.

The lack of precise definitions, the lack of standards for experimental methods and the frequent use of test conditions that are biomechanically complex make data on reaction times sometimes difficult to interpret for the purposes of biomechanical analyses of rapid task performances. For example, Welford’s (1984) review article tabulates mean simple premotor times in young adults that range from 109 to 255 ms. More data on age effects in SRT when attempts are made to move only single body joints are needed. Studies of the effects of different SRT on the ability to perform complex tasks rapidly are also needed. These probably can be conducted only through the use of direct dynamics biomechanical simulation models suited for ‘what-if’ parameter studies.

Proprioception

Relatively few studies have examined the changes in proprioception with aging (Stelmach and Worthingham, 1985). Skinner et al. (1984) found joint position sense in the knee to deteriorate with age in their study of 29 subjects over a 62 yr age span. In approximate terms, joint angles could be reproduced to within 2° by 20 yr olds, but only to within 6° by 80 yr olds. Twenty-year olds could detect passive joint motions of 4°, but 80 yr olds could detect only motions larger than 7°. Kokmen et al. (1978) compared joint motion sensation in 52 subjects over 60 yr old with that in 10 young adult subjects. In contrast to the findings of Skinner et al., they found no major decline with age in motion perception in finger and toe joints. Kokmen et al. (1977), examining 51 old adults who were active and self-declared as neurologically normal, confirmed a previously reported decline of vibration perception with aging.

MOBILITY IMPAIRMENTS

Epidemiology of mobility impairments in the elderly

Leon and Lair (1990) examined the functional status of non-institutionalized persons 65 yr and older using data from the 1987 National Medical Expenditure Survey. The deterioration in functional status was measured in terms of the difficulty encountered in walking and in performing activities of daily living (ADL). ADL include performances other than walking that are essential for self-care, such as bathing, dressing, feeding oneself and toileting. Of the 27.9 million elderly persons in the United States, 3.6 million (12.9%) had difficulty with at least one of these tasks. Difficulty with bathing affected 2.5 million (8.9%), difficulty with walking, 2.2 million (7.7%) and difficulty with bed or chair transfers, 1.6 million (5.9%). The rate of problems increases progressively after age 65, rising sharply in the eighties to reach 34.5% in non-institutionalized persons 85 yr or older (Fig. 2). At all the ages studied, females had higher rates of ADL limitations than did males. Jette and Branch (1981) found that, of persons 75–84 yr old, 23% have difficulty in walking one-half mile, 24% have difficulty...
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Evaluation of mobility impairments in the elderly

Impairments are anatomical or physiological abnormalities of the body; disabilities are declines in an individual’s functional performance, including physical and social performance. Jette and Branch (1985) found musculoskeletal and visual impairments to be strongly related to physical, but not to social, disability in the elderly.

Biomechanics research is needed to improve the evaluations of mobility impairments in the elderly. Guralnik et al. (1989) point out that physical-function levels have generally been assessed through self- or proxy-report and that measures of physical performance are needed to supplement these. Tinetti (1986) notes that locomotor, sensory and cognitive functioning are each intimately related to mobility. Assessments of function in the elderly have often relied solely on a disease-oriented approach. Clinically practical, performance-oriented assessments of mobility are also needed. Tinetti and Ginter (1988) found that currently used neuromuscular examinations for identifying mobility problems are not adequately able to identify these problems and that systematic evaluations of position changes and balance maneuvers are needed to identify specific problems. Potvin et al. (1981) present a comprehensive review of quantitative methods for the assessment of neurological function. Feinstein et al. (1986) critically review 43 different indices of ADL performance that are in current use and point out some of the problems in the construction of meaningful indices of this kind.

These and other authors outline the needs to better quantify the degree of impairment, largely for purposes of clinical evaluations of patient status. A more fundamental rationale for quantifying impairments in biomechanical terms was given in the second section of this paper: one of the most important goals for biomechanics research in the present context is to find which elements of a mobility impairment are the critical ones. Quantifying impairments in biomechanical terms is a major requirement for the success of this search.

Postural balance

Many studies of age effects in the ability to maintain postural balance have been reported. Horak et al. (1989) in a recent review of this topic pointed to the ample evidence of deterioration in many sensorimotor systems underlying postural control, even in elderly populations without obvious signs of disease. They concluded, however, that aging alone cannot account for the heterogeneity of postural control problems in the elderly. Winter et al. (1990) noted that responses to postural perturbations are task- and perturbation-specific, so that a single assessment technique cannot serve as a true indicator of the overall integrity of the balance control system.

Reports of age effects on sway while standing generally show sway to increase with age over adulthood. The studies of Sheldon (1963), Murray et al. (1975) and Hasselkus and Shambes (1975) were among the earliest to report this. More recently, Era and Heikkinen (1985) and Maki et al. (1990) confirmed that standing postural sway is more pronounced in the elderly, although the former authors found disturbed postural sway to be the same in young, middle-aged and old adults.

Reports of myoelectric responses to postural disturbances include those of Woollacott et al. (1986), Inglis and Woollacott (1988) and Manchester et al. (1989). Woollacott et al. (1986) found a statistically
significant difference in the mean ankle muscle latencies between young and old adults, but this difference was only 7 ms in the approximately 100 ms latencies. The biomechanical significance of such small differences is unknown and the latency values vary, depending upon the methods used to determine them. Moreover, Manchester et al. (1989) found that during involuntary responses to postural disturbances, muscle latencies did not differ among the various age groups. Inglin and Woollacott (1988) found that when arm movements were carried out voluntarily while standing, lower-leg muscle response onset latencies were often significantly longer in old adults than in the young ones, and arm muscle response latencies showed even more pronounced age differences. Manchester et al. (1989) found that old adults used more antagonistic muscle contractions than the young ones during involuntary responses to postural disturbances, and that the sequence in which the leg muscles were contracted differed from that of young adults in five out of 12 old adults.

Few studies to date have analyzed in depth whole-body biomechanics or even body segment motions or joint torques developed in response to postural disturbances. Allum et al. (1988) and Manchester et al. (1989) made limited measurements indicative of ankle torques, and Horak and Nashner (1986) and Keshner et al. (1987) made limited measurements of body movements. Two more comprehensive studies of the motions and torques needed to maintain balance are those of Alexander et al. (1992) and Gu et al. (1992). The required motions and torques are generally modest compared to the literature-reported capacities of healthy old adults.

Bohannon et al. (1984) reported on the ability to balance for 30 s on one leg with eyes closed. They tested 184 healthy subjects between ages 20 and 79 yr. Every subject younger than 30 yr could balance for at least 22 s. No subject over 70 yr could balance for more than 13 s. It would be useful to learn why this occurs. Does it result from reduced ankle joint lateral bending muscle strength or endurance, increased muscle latency times, decreased cutaneous or joint proprioception, or decreased willingness to allow the center of the floor reaction to deviate from the centroid of the foot support area? These are some questions well suited to biomechanics inquiry. The answers to them are likely to be of fundamental importance in reducing problems of mobility impairment in the elderly.

Gait

In their pioneering studies of gait in the elderly, Murray et al. (1964) found that men in their sixties demonstrated significantly shorter step and stride lengths and decreased ankle extension and pelvic rotation compared to younger males. Murray et al. (1969) found that men 65–87 yr old, when compared to younger men, have significantly different stride lengths and cadence, and head, shoulder and ankle movements. More recent studies have confirmed many of these findings (Cunningham et al., 1982; Hageman and Blanke, 1986; Himann et al., 1988, for example), but not without exception. Blanke and Hageman (1989) found no significant differences in their age groups in step and stride length, velocity, and movements of the ankles, pelvis and total-body mass center. Gabell and Nayak (1984) concluded from their study that increased variability in gait should not be regarded as a normal concomitant of old age.

Cunningham et al. (1982) reported normal walking speed to be associated with the maximum aerobic power, independent of age. Bassey et al. (1988) found that the normal walking speed of elderly subjects significantly associates with their muscle strength, while Bendall et al. (1989) found that among elderly subjects more than 40% of the variance in the normal walking speed can be accounted for by differences in height, calf muscle strength and the presence of health problems such as leg pain. These various study outcomes are perhaps a good illustration of the effects of subject inclusion criteria on the outcome of studies of mobility problems in the elderly.

Difficulties in walking seem to relate to cognitive impairment. Visser (1983) found demented elderly patients to have a significantly shorter step length, step frequency, step-to-step variability and lower gait speed. Friedman et al. (1988) found that a geriatric rehabilitation program led to greater gains in the walking speed in subjects with normal cognition than in those with impaired cognition. Moreover, Friedman et al. found walking speed to be closely related to the ability to rise from a chair and a powerful predictor of patient placement upon discharge from the rehabilitation program. Of the 116 elderly subjects studied, none were able to live alone or even in a rest home if they were discharged with a walking speed below 0.15 m s⁻¹.

The relationships between difficulties in walking and tendencies to fall are not yet clear. Wolfson et al. (1990) found stride length and walking speed to be significantly impaired in elderly fallers compared to controls, but Heitmann et al. (1989) found no corresponding significant differences in step width.

Falls

One of the most serious problems of mobility impairment is the tendency of old adults to fall. During a one-year prospective study of 336 community-dwelling persons 75 or more years old, 32% fell at least once. Of those who fell, 24% sustained serious injuries, and more than 5% experienced fractures (Tinetti et al., 1988; Tinetti and Speechley, 1989). Among the elderly, falls account for 87% of all fractures (Fife and Barancik, 1985). Retrospective interviews found that 33% of 1042 community-dwelling persons 65 or more years old reported one or more falls in the preceding year (Blake et al., 1988). Death rates from falls per 100,000 persons in 1984 were 1.5 for those younger than 65 and 147.0 for those...
The majority of falls in old people go unreported. Figures 4 and 5 illustrate age effects in fall death rates per 100,000 persons from falls. The elderly remain unclear, it was pointed out above that tendencies to fall and increases in postural sway while standing are related to each other. The elderly sway more while standing than young adults, as already noted, and elderly persons with a history of falls sway more while standing than elderly persons without such a history (Overstall et al., 1977; Fernie et al., 1985).

There is an urgent need for further studies of the biomechanics of maintaining standing posture and responding to fall-provoking stimuli. Why do old adults sway more and fall more often than young adults? Does the apparent motor response deterioration with age result from reduced muscular strengths and joint ROM, increased reaction times, inappropriate body segment motion sequencing, or combinations of these or other mechanisms? Relatively little is known about these issues, and quantitative rather than qualitative studies would seem needed to arrive at an understanding of them.

Bed and chair transfers

It was pointed out above that almost 6% of, or over 1.6 million, non-institutionalized persons of ages 65 yr and over have difficulty in getting in and out of beds and chairs. Four percent of non-institutionalized persons (Leon and Lair, 1990) and at least 54% of nursing home residents (Lair and Lefkowitz, 1990) over age 64 yr need assistance in these transfer tasks. Dependency in transferring associates with an increased risk of institutionalization (Branch and Meyers, 1987) and immobility-related disease (Harper and Lyles, 1988).

Again, no matter what underlying mechanisms influence the transfer task abilities, these abilities are ultimately expressed in biomechanical terms. Biomechanical analyses of chair transfers, for example, can direct seating improvements and therapeutic interventions. More fundamentally, as emphasized earlier, biomechanical analyses of transfer task performance enable the effects of natural aging and illness on mobility impairment to be explored and help delineate the factors that are crucial to the maintenance of mobility.

Alexander et al. (1991) and Schultz et al. (1992) review previous studies of chair transfers. Most have involved only limited measurements of body kinematics, joint torques or myoelectric activities and few have included sizeable samples of elderly subjects. These two studies seem to be among the few that included elderly subjects who have difficulty in rising. More research on chair rise biomechanics is needed.

Fractures and osteoporosis

Fractures lead directly to mobility impairments. The alarming prevalence of hip fractures and the fact that this prevalence will virtually double over the next 30 years was pointed out in the Introduction. Moreover, at age 50 yr a white female has approximately a 15% lifetime risk of a Colles' fracture of her distal forearm. Five to 20% of 70 yr old females have had fractures of their vertebrae (Cummings et al., 1985).

Cummings et al. (1985) review the literature on the relations between osteoporosis and fractures. It is well known that bone strength is highly correlated with bone mass and mineral content, and that bone mass declines with age over the adult years. Nevertheless, the literature suggests that while osteoporosis is the primary determinant of vertebral fractures, other factors are important in hip and Colles' fractures. Cummings et al. (1985) proposed that a combination of decreased bone mass and an increased frequency of trauma due to falls may combine to produce the pattern of increased risk of fractures with age. In a later paper, Cummings and Nevitt (1989) hypothesized that this combination alone does not explain the exponential rise of hip fracture incidence with age. They felt that several other changes in neuromuscular function with age, including changes in gait speed, reaction times and muscle strengths, may also be involved in the incidence of hip fractures.

Lotz and Hayes (1990) found that the work needed to fracture an isolated femur is only about one-twentieth of the kinetic energy developed in a typical fall. They suggest that the energy absorbed during falling and impact, rather than bone strength, is the dominant factor in the biomechanics of hip fracture. Cummings et al. (1985) remarked that prevention of falls in the elderly may be the most promising way to prevent fractures.

Exercise has often been advocated to prevent osteoporosis, but Block et al. (1989) concluded that exercise may have only limited value in its short-term effects on bone mass. The methodological flaws in the 27 studies on this issue that Block et al. reviewed have already been noted in the section on Muscle Function.
Arthritis

Arthritis is relevant to mobility impairment in that it produces joint pain and limits joint ROM. Arthritis is the most prevalent chronic condition in persons older than 44 yr, occurring at rates of approximately 280 per 1000 persons in the 45–64 yr old group and 510 per 1000 persons in those older than 74 yr (Collins, 1988). The prevalence of osteoarthritis (OA) is clearly related to age. Roentgenographic evidence of OA was found in 4% of persons under age 24 yr, but up to 85% in persons 75–79 yr old (Moskowitz, 1987, citing earlier epidemiological studies). A cross-sectional study of 537 persons of age 79 yr in Gothenburg, Sweden (Bergstrom et al., 1986) found radiographic evidence of OA in the wrist or hand of 65% and in the knee of 14%. Symptoms of OA in the knee were found in approximately 7% and, in the hips, in approximately 6% of the above-cited population. Their study also found a 10% prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis.
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