Progesterone modulation of gonadotropin secretion by dispersed rat pituitary cells in culture. IV. Follicle-stimulating hormone synthesis and release
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Summary

Estradiol-treated, rat pituitary cells were studied to examine the effects of progesterone (P) on follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) synthesis and secretion. Progesterone was administered prior to or concurrent with 3 h secretory challenges with either gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), the iontophore A23187, the protein kinase C activator phorbol 12,13-myristate (PMA), or no secretagogue. Medium FSH levels and cell FSH stores were quantified by radioimmunoassay and bioassay. Acute (< 6 h) exposures to P increased medium levels of immunoreactive and bioactive FSH following GnRH challenge without influencing total (cell + medium) values whereas chronic (9–24 h) treatments increased both parameters. Chronic P elevated total FSH levels even when no secretagogue was present.

Studies with antiprogestins, 5α-dihydroprogesterone and 5α-reductase inhibitors revealed that this direct action of P depended on progestin receptor occupation but not on 5α reduction. These studies indicate that P selectively increases bioactive and immunoactive FSH levels, presumably by increasing FSH synthesis, and characterize the time course and cellular mechanisms of this response. To accommodate for P modulation of total FSH levels, FSH secretion was standardized as the percentage of cellular stores available for release. Progesterone modulation of GnRH-stimulated FSH secretion was multiphasic, i.e. increased at 0–6 h, unchanged at 9 h and suppressed at 24 h. Acute and chronic exposures to P similarly modulated A23187-stimulated FSH release, whereas both P treatments increased PMA-stimulated FSH secretion. In these experiments P modulated luteinizing hormone secretion in parallel fashion, suggesting that common cellular mechanisms underlie peptidergic and steroidal regulation of the secretion of both gonadotropins.

Introduction

Our understanding of the cellular mechanisms underlying progesterone (P) regulation of rat gonadotroph function in vitro has been derived primarily from studies on luteinizing hormone (LH) synthesis and secretion (Hsueh et al., 1979; Lagace et al., 1980; Tang, 1980; Drouin and Labrie, 1981; Turgeon and Waring, 1981, 1983, 1990; Kiesel et al., 1987; Ortmann et al., 1989; Krey and Kamel, 1990a,b; Krey et al., 1990). Only Labrie and his coworkers have examined the temporal characteristics of P modulation of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) by estrogen-treated gonadotrophs, noting that acute (< 6 h) and chronic (≥ 24 h) pretreatments increase the level of FSH secreted into the medium in response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH; Drouin and Labrie, 1980; Lagace et al., 1980). This latter observation is unusual since chronic P dramatically suppresses LH secretion. However, Drouin and Labrie (1981) also reported that chronic exposures to P increased total (cell + medium) FSH levels without influencing total LH levels. Recently, we confirmed that a 24 h treatment with P prior to GnRH challenge increases FSH and suppresses LH levels in medium and selectively increases cell stores of FSH available for release (Krey and Kamel, 1990e). However, when we accounted for the changes in cell...
levels by calculating secretion as gonadotropin released in medium/total gonadotropin available for release, we observed declines in the secretion of both hormones, suggesting that chronic P treatment suppresses all secretory responses to GnRH. We now extend this observation by characterizing several aspects of P control of FSH synthesis and secretion: describing the temporal parameters of P modulation of FSH secretion stimulated by GnRH, the Ca\(^{2+}\) iontophore A23187 and a phorbol ester; examining the influences of P on FSH bioactivity; and analyzing the intracellular mechanisms involving P processing and the expression of its actions.

**Materials and methods**

Anterior pituitary glands were collected from female Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Labs, Kingston, NY, USA; Taconic Farms, Germantown, NY, USA), dispersed enzymatically to single cell preparations, and plated at 4.5–5 \times 10^5 cells/35 mm culture dish containing 1.5 ml of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 1% non-essential amino acids, 25 mM Hepes, 100 \(\mu\)g/ml streptomycin sulfate, 100 U/ml penicillin G and 10% and 2.5% dextran-stripped horse and fetal calf serum (DMEMS). The procedures and reagents used to disperse and culture the cells have been described (Krey and Kamel, 1990a).

Estradiol (E\(_2\)), P and 5a-pregnan-3,20-dione (5a-DHP) were purchased from Steraloids (Wilton, NH, USA); the synthetic progestin RU5020 and antiprogestin RU486 were provided by Roussel (Romainville, France). Flutamide was supplied by Schering (Bloomfield, NJ, USA); the 5a-reductase inhibitor 1\(\beta\)-N,N-diethylcarbamoyl-4-methyl-4-aza-5\(\alpha\)-androst-3-one (4MA) was the gift of Dr. G.H. Rasmussen (Merck, Sharp and Dohme, Rahway, NJ, USA). Steroids were diluted in ethanol and added to media at 1:100–1:10,000. Synthetic GnRH was purchased from Beckman Instruments (Palo Alto, CA, USA) and Calbiochem (LaJolla, CA, USA), diluted in saline at 10\(^{-5}\) M and stored frozen at \(-20^\circ\)C until use. A23187 and phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide to 10\(^{-2}\)–10\(^{-3}\) M and stored at \(-20^\circ\)C.

The experimental design has been described previously (Krey and Kamel, 1990a,b; Krey et al., 1990) and resembles that of Lagace and coworkers (1980). At 72 h after culture in 90% air:10% CO\(_2\), medium was collected and replaced with 1.5 ml DMEMS containing 10\(^{-9}\) M E\(_2\). Secretory challenges were initiated 48 h later. Progesterone (10\(^{-7}\) M), a concentration which closely approximates the periovulatory levels in the circulation of rats (Freeman et al., 1975), was added at varying time periods prior to and/or concurrently with the secretagogue. In some studies the P stimulus was 'interrupted' — medium was removed and the cells washed 4 \times 1 with 1.5 ml DMEM prior to replacement with DMEMS + E\(_2\) + P.

Secretory challenges consisted of two washes with 1.5 ml DMEM and exposure to 1.5 ml DMEM containing E\(_2\) + P, 1 mM bacitracin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and the appropriate secretagogue. Medium was collected 1, 2 or 3 h later and stored at \(-20^\circ\)C. Cellular gonadotropin stores were quantified post-challenge in cultures lysed by freezing-thawing twice in 1.5 ml buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris and 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4; Keel and Grotjan, 1985) containing 100

---

**Fig. 1. Influences of different progesterone (P) treatments on GnRH-stimulated LH and FSH secretion by pituitary cell cultures.** The cells were exposed to E\(_2\) (10\(^{-9}\) M) for 48 h prior to a 3 h challenge with GnRH (3 \times 10\(^{-10}\) M). Progesterone (10\(^{-7}\) M) was added either concurrently with (P\(_0\)) or 3 or 24 h prior to GnRH. Gonadotropin levels were quantified in medium and cell (total = medium + cell) and percent gonadotropin release calculated (medium/total). Data are standardized as P-induced changes relative to E\(_2\)-treated control cells in three different cultures.

\(\ast\) p < 0.005 vs. E\(_2\) control (ANOVA and Bonferroni's t-test).
TABLE 1
INFLUENCES OF ACUTE (2 h) AND CHRONIC (24 h) EXPOSURES TO P ON GnRH-STIMULATED LH AND FSH SECRETION AND THEIR TOTAL (MEDIUM + CELL) LEVELS

Cultures were harvested at 1, 2 and 3 h after GnRH (3 x 10^{-10} M) treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LH (μg RP₁/culture)</th>
<th>FSH (ng RP₂/culture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>2.1±0.1 *</td>
<td>11.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>3.5±0.2 **</td>
<td>11.6±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>1.3±0.1 **</td>
<td>11.3±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
<td>10.6±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>7.4±0.9 **</td>
<td>11.6±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>2.3±0.2 **</td>
<td>8.7±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>5.5±0.2</td>
<td>9.5±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>7.6±0.6 **</td>
<td>10.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P₂₄₇</td>
<td>3.0±0.4 **</td>
<td>8.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SEM (n = 4).
** p < 0.05 vs. E₂ control (ANOVA and Dunnett’s test). This experiment has been replicated in three other cell preparations with similar results.

IU/ml Trasylol (FBA Pharmaceuticals, New York, NY, USA).

LH and FSH levels in medium and cell lysate samples were quantified by radioimmunoassay. The LH system utilized antiserum to oLH (GDN No. 15) and has been described (Krey and Kamel, 1990a). The FSH assay utilized reagents from the NIDDK kit: anti-rFSH₁₁₁ as antibody, [¹²⁵I]rFSH₁₆ as tracer and rFSHRP₁ or rFSH₁, as standard. Intraassay coefficient of variation averaged 10%. FSH bioactivity was assessed by a rat Sertoli cell bioassay using rFSH₁, as standard (Padmanabhan et al., 1988). Assay sensitivity was 0.1 ng rFSH₁, /tube; intraassay coefficient of variation was <12.5%. Every sample from each experiment was run simultaneously in both assays.

Studies were routinely repeated in three or more different cell preparations; individual experiments were performed in triplicate or quadruplicate. Dose-response curves were run for GnRH (10⁻¹¹–10⁻⁷ M), and curve parameters were calculated according to Rodbard et al. (1977) and tested for statistical differences between steroid treatments by analysis of variance for repeated measures and then dependent t-tests. In the other experiments, data were subjected to two-way analyses of variance using cell culture replication as a variable. Within- and among-group comparisons were made by analyzing the simple main effects; post-hoc comparisons were made with Dunnett's test or Bonferroni's t-test. To minimize the impact of culture-to-culture variation in secretion and cell levels, some data has been standardized as percentage change relative to E₂ controls prior to presentation.

Results

Medium FSH levels secreted by cells exposed to P acutely (< 6 h) and chronically (24 h) were consistently elevated above those of E₂-treated controls regardless of the size or duration of the GnRH challenge (Fig. 1; Tables 1 and 2). However, whereas acute exposure to P also elevated medium LH levels, chronic P exposure suppressed them. The influences of P on medium FSH levels were consistently characterized by increases in basal or maximal values but could not be attributed to any significant change in ED₅₀ for GnRH (Table 2).

When cellular gonadotropins were quantified, total (cell + medium) FSH levels did not change following acute P but increased significantly within 24 h; there were no comparable changes in total LH (Fig. 1; Table 2).

TABLE 2
INFLUENCES OF ACUTE (3 h) AND CHRONIC (24 h) EXPOSURE TO P (10⁻⁷ M) ON PARAMETERS OF THE GnRH DOSE-RESPONSE CURVE FOR FSH SECRETION AS DETERMINED BY ANALYSES OF MEDIUM FSH VALUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell preparation</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Minimum (ng rFSHRP₂ /ml)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute *</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic **</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Maximum (ng rFSHRP₂ /ml)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute *</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic **</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: ED₅₀ (10⁻⁹ M)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05 vs. E₂ (ANOVA for repeated measures; dependent t-test).
** p < 0.01 vs. E₂ (ANOVA for repeated measures; dependent t-test).
1. To accommodate for this variability in releasable gonadotropin stores, FSH (and LH) secretion was calculated as a percentage of the FSH (LH) stores available for release. These parameters displayed parallel biphasic patterns, being elevated with Pacute and suppressed with Pchronic treatment. FSH and LH secretion progressively increased throughout the 3 h GnRH challenge but consistently reflected the modulatory actions of \( \alpha \), and Pchronic treatments (Table 1).

The selective action of Pchronic to increase total FSH was consistently observed with no secretagogue or when A23187 (10\(^{-5}\) M) and PMA (10\(^{-7}\) M) were used. In the absence of secretagogue, Pchronic significantly increased total FSH over estrogen-treated controls by 142 ± 7% (mean ± SEM, \( n = 6, p < 0.005 \)) as compared to 149 ± 6% if the same cell preparations were challenged with 3 × 10\(^{-10}\) M GnRH. In three other cell preparations cotreated with GnRH, A23187 and PMA, Pchronic increased total FSH by 124 ± 4%, 140 ± 4% and 130 ± 8%, respectively, over \( E_2 \)-treated controls; each increase was significant (\( p < 0.05 \)).

![Fig. 2](image1.jpg)

Fig. 2. Influences of different progesterone (P) treatments on PMA-stimulated LH and FSH secretion by pituitary cell cultures. Data are standardized as P-induced changes relative to \( E_2 \)-treated control cells in seven different cultures. * \( p < 0.005 \) vs. \( E_2 \) control (ANOVA and Bonferroni's t-test).

![Fig. 3](image2.jpg)

Fig. 3. Influences of the antiprogestin RU486, the antiandrogen flutamide and the 5a-reductase inhibitor 4MA on P-induced increases in total (medium + cell) FSH levels. P (10\(^{-8}\) M) was added 24 h prior to a 3 h challenge with GnRH; 100-fold molar excesses of the other compounds were added 1 h prior to P. * \( p < 0.05 \) vs. appropriate no-P control (t-test); similar results were noted in three other cell preparations.

Progesterone modulation of A23187-stimulated FSH secretion depended on the percentage of cellular hormone released. When the iontophore released less than 50% of cell stores in \( E_2 \)-treated controls, a secretion level routinely observed with the GnRH challenges, \( \alpha \), and Pchronic significantly (\( p < 0.05 \)) enhanced (129 ± 7%, \( n = 4 \)) and suppressed (86 ± 9%) FSH release relative to \( E_2 \)-treated controls. However, in those cultures in which A23187 stimulated the release of \( \geq 65\% \) of cell stores, FSH secretion was not increased by Pacute treatment (97 ± 3%, \( n = 4 \)), but was suppressed significantly (80 ± 5%, \( p < 0.05 \)) by Pchronic. Significantly, the LH secretion pattern paralleled that for FSH in each A23187-treated culture. In marked contrast to the patterns obtained for GnRH and A23187, both Pacute and Pchronic treatments increased PMA-stimulated FSH and LH secretion (Fig. 2).

A second series of experiments examined the intracellular processing of P underlying the increase in total

### Table 3

**Influences of Chronic Exposures to 5a-Dihydroprogesterone (5a-DHP) and Progesterone (P) on Total (Medium + Cell) FSH Levels in \( E_2 \)-Treated Pituitary Cell Cultures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total FSH (ng rFSH/2 /culture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_2 )</td>
<td>25.4 ± 1.7 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_2 + P \times 10^{-7} ) M</td>
<td>33.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_2 + 5a-DHP \times 10^{-7} ) M</td>
<td>29.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SEM (\( n = 3 \)).

\( p < 0.005, E_2 \) vs. \( E_2 + P; p < 0.01, E_2 \) vs. \( E_2 + 5a-DHP \) (ANOVA and Bonferroni's t-test).
TABLE 4
INFLUENCES OF DIFFERENT PROGESTERONE (P) TREATMENTS ON TOTAL (MEDIUM + CELL) FSH LEVELS IN E₂-TREATED PITUITARY CELL CULTURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total FSH (ng rFSHᴘ /culture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell preparation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A: P (10⁻⁷ M) for 6 h immediately prior to GnRH challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>17.6±1.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P</td>
<td>21.7±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: P (10⁻⁷ M) for 6 h beginning at 24 h prior to GnRH challenge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>9.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + P</td>
<td>14.6±0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SEM (n = 3).

p < 0.005, E₂ vs. E₂ + P for both 6 h P treatments (ANOVA).

FSH in E₂-treated cells. Significantly, cotreatment with RU486 blocked the FSH response whereas the antiandrogen flutamide had no effect (Fig. 3). Cotreatment with a 100-fold molar excess of 4MA also failed to block P’s action on total FSH levels (Fig. 3), suggesting that 5α-reduction of P was not necessary. This hypothesis was supported further by observations that 5α-DHP did not elevate total FSH levels more effectively than did P (121 ± 13% vs. 141 ± 13%, respectively; Table 3) and that RU5020, a synthetic progestin not subjected to 5α-reduction, was as effective as P (data not shown).

In time-course studies, a 9 h exposure to P (6 h pretreatment + 3 h cotreatment with GnRH) was sufficient to increase total FSH levels (Table 4). In contrast, 6 h of exposure (3 h pretreatment + 3 h cotreatment with GnRH) did not elevate total FSH levels (Fig. 1). However, 6 h P treatments did elevate total FSH when the levels were monitored 18 h after terminating the exposure to P (Table 4). Such ‘interrupted’ P treatments produced greater elevations in total FSH when longer exposures to P or shorter termination intervals were studied (data not shown).

In a final series of experiments medium and cell FSH levels were quantified by radioimmunoassay and bioassay. Pacute and Pchronic treatments produced significant changes in FSH bioactivity that were similar to

TABLE 5
INFLUENCES OF ACUTE (2 h) AND CHRONIC (24 h) EXPOSURE TO P ON GnRH-STIMULATED IMMUNOREACTIVE AND BIOACTIVE FSH (FSHimm AND FSHbio, RESPECTIVELY) SECRETION INTO MEDIUM AND THEIR TOTAL (CELL + MEDIUM) LEVELS. THE B : I RATIOS FOR SECRETED FSH ARE ALSO PRESENTED

The four different culture preparations were exposed to GnRH (10⁻¹⁰ M) for 3 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>Medium FSH (ng rFSH₁₇ /ml)</th>
<th>B : I</th>
<th>Total FSH (ng rFSH₁₇ /ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FSHimm</td>
<td>FSHbio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9±0.1 *</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.48±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1±0.2</td>
<td>1.5±0.4</td>
<td>0.36±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.6±0.7</td>
<td>1.5±0.2</td>
<td>0.31±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.4±1.4</td>
<td>2.1±0.3</td>
<td>0.27±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.4±1.2</td>
<td>3.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.77±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.9±1.1</td>
<td>3.0±0.8</td>
<td>0.27±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4±0.7</td>
<td>1.8±0.1</td>
<td>0.41±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.1±0.6</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.8±0.3</td>
<td>2.9±0.2</td>
<td>0.50±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5±0.5</td>
<td>2.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.51±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pacute</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.5±0.3</td>
<td>4.0±0.8</td>
<td>0.54±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂ + Pchronic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.9±0.3</td>
<td>2.5±0.3</td>
<td>0.50±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SEM (n = 3).

p < 0.01, E₂ vs. E₂ + Pacute and E₂ + Pchronic for medium FSHimm and FSHbio (ANOVA and Bonferroni’s t-test).

p < 0.01, E₂ vs. E₂ + Pchronic for total FSHimm and FSHbio (ANOVA and Bonferroni’s t-test).
those noted for FSH immunoactivity (Table 5). However, there was no significant change in B:L for either the FSH secreted into the medium (Table 5) or retained in the cells (data not shown).

Discussion

The present studies on FSH secretion further illustrate that periovulatory concentrations of P influence gonadotropin synthesis, storage and secretion by a direct action on gonadotrophs. The dramatic effect of \( P_{\text{chronic}} \) treatments on cell FSH stores diverges markedly from previous observations for LH and suggests a direct and selective activation of FSH synthesis. In contrast, \( P_{\text{acute}} \) and \( P_{\text{chronic}} \) treatments modulate secretagogue-induced LH and FSH secretion in similar fashion, most likely via a common cellular mechanism(s). These progestational influences on FSH synthesis and secretion may play an important role in determining the temporal and quantitative aspects of periovulatory FSH secretion during the rat estrous cycle.

Our findings, like those of Drouin and Labrie (1981), indicate that \( P_{\text{chronic}} \) selectively increases cellular FSH stores in \( E_2 \)- and GnRH-treated rat pituitary cell cultures. Moreover, we report similarly sized increases with A23187 or PMA, or even in the absence of a secretagogue. This last observation conclusively demonstrates that this response is to a direct action of P on the gonadotroph. Significantly, chronic testosterone (T) also selectively increases total FSH levels in non-\( E_2 \)-treated cells (Drouin and Labrie, 1976; Kennedy and Chappel, 1985; Krey and Kamel, 1991). In this regard, it is relevant that progestin and androgen receptors are primarily localized in gonadotrophs (DuBois et al., 1978; Sar and Stumpf, 1978, 1979; Thieulant and Duvall, 1985; Fox et al., 1990). Moreover, the present results with P and RU486 (Fig. 3) and the results of similarly designed studies with T and flutamide (Krey, unpublished observations) indicate that occupation of these receptors is necessary for the appropriate increases in FSH stores. In 1981 Drouin and Labrie reported that P increases cell FSH stores in non-\( E_2 \)-treated cell cultures, cultures presumably devoid of progestin receptors (Krey et al., 1990). Perhaps, under these experimental circumstances, \( P \) (10^{-7} M) elevates cell FSH levels by interacting with resident androgen receptors (Handa et al., 1987).

In contrast to the importance of progestin receptors, \( 5\alpha \)-reductase activity does not appear to play an essential role in P actions on FSH, even though this enzyme has been proposed to be important in androgen and progestin regulation of gonadotroph function (Denef et al., 1980; Martini, 1982). Such a conclusion is based on the observations that non-reducible progestins effectively increase cell FSH levels whereas \( 5\alpha \)-DHP is, if anything, slightly less effective than P and that 4MA, at molar excesses sufficient to block \( 5\alpha \)-reduction in these cell cultures (Liang et al., 1983, 1984; Kamel and Krey, 1991), fails to influence P- or T-induced elevations in total FSH (Fig. 3; Kamel and Krey, 1991).

The importance of progestin receptor occupation suggests that P works through a genomic mechanism to increase cell FSH stores. Such a hypothesis is also suggested by the \( \geq 6 \) h interval before FSH stores rise and the retention of this increase for up to 18 h following P withdrawal. Although we have not tested whether FSH synthesis actually increases by quantifying FSH subunit mRNA levels in these cells, Attardi and Fitzgerald (1990) have recently demonstrated that P significantly elevates FSH\( \beta \) mRNA within 5–8 h in immature, estrogen-treated, female rats. Curiously, however, these changes were not accompanied by any alteration in cell FSH stores or secretion rate. Gharib and coworkers (1990) also reported that 6–12 h also transpires before T elevates FSH\( \beta \) mRNA levels in rat pituitary cell cultures.

The findings that \( P_{\text{chronic}} \) selectively increases cell FSH levels contrast dramatically with the reports of Miller and his coworkers that P treatment only suppresses FSH synthesis by cultures of sheep pituitary cells (Batra and Miller, 1985; Phillips et al., 1988). Moreover, whereas P’s actions on FSH depend on estrogen pretreatment in rat cells, such a pretreatment is not necessary in sheep cells. It is unlikely that differences in steroid administration can explain these differences since physiologic concentrations of P were used in both studies and Miller and his coworkers consistently noted P-induced suppression after short-term (3–24 h) treatments. Significantly, acute exposures to P also failed to increase FSH secretion by the sheep cells. The most likely explanation for these variations in P action appears to be species differences in gonadotroph function, a concept previously stated by Miller and Wu (1981) to explain sheep–rat differences in estrogenic regulation of FSH synthesis and secretion.

The selective, P-induced increase in cell FSH levels observed in these cultures compromises investigation of secretagogue-stimulated LH/FSH secretion, especially if one quantifies release simply by measuring the amount of hormone secreted into the medium. This approach would result in discrepancies, such as \( P_{\text{chronic}} \) suppressing LH release while increasing FSH secretion (Table 1; Drouin and Labrie, 1981), that are difficult to accommodate with current assumptions that GnRH stimulates the secretion of both hormones via a common intracellular mechanism(s). However, by standardizing gonadotropin secretion as the percentage of available cellular stores that are released, one obtains similar patterns for P modulation of LH and FSH release in response to GnRH, Ca\(^{2+}\) iontophores or protein kinase C activators. As discussed previously (Krey and
Kamel, 1990), the similarities of responses to GnRH and A23187 make it unlikely that P regulates GnRH action only via the modulation of GnRH receptor number. In contrast, PMA-stimulated LH and FSH release is increased by P, chronic treatment, a response that differs dramatically from that seen for GnRH and A23187; these differences raise questions about the role of protein kinase C activation in GnRH action. In any event, the observation that P induces parallel changes in the secretion of both gonadotropins, regardless of secretagogue, suggests that P utilizes the same or common mechanisms to control LH and FSH secretion.

Cellular LH and FSH stores consist of multiple immunologically isoforms that are separable by isoelectric focusing procedures and are characterized by differing bioactivities (Wakabayashi, 1977; Chappel et al., 1983; Hattori et al., 1983; Keel and Grotjan, 1985; Green et al., 1986). In rodents, the distribution and secretion of LH isoforms appears to be under steroidal control, a hypothesis based on the observations that, for secreted LH, steroid treatments influences the B:1 ratio of bioactivity to immunoactivity (Mukhopadhyay et al., 1979; Solano et al., 1980; Sardanons et al., 1987). Although levels of bioactive FSH varied dramatically in the present studies depending on P treatment, these changes generally paralleled those for immunoactive FSH and shifts in B:1 were not observed.

In summary, our findings indicate that P exerts multiple, direct actions on FSH synthesis and secretion. The timing of each of these actions in vitro is consistent with the hypothesis that they play important roles in vivo to ensure patterns of circulating FSH sufficient for folliculogenesis and ovulation to occur during the estrous cycle. In cultured cells, perivulatory levels of P enhance GnRH-stimulated FSH secretion within 3 h, an interval consistent with a physiologic role to maximize the size and duration of the preovulatory FSH surge on proestrus evening (Freeman, 1988). Within 6–9 h, the same progestin stimulus increases FSH synthesis in culture and, as a result, elevates the number of FSH molecules available for release in response to GnRH. A similar interval separates the initial P surge on proestrous evening and the secretion of the secondary FSH-only surge early on estrous morning (Freeman, 1988). This secondary surge influences the development of the next wave(s) of ovarian follicles (Greenwald and Terranova, 1988). The adenohypophysis is characterized by elevated FSH levels at the time of the secondary surge (Hasegawa et al., 1981) and, as assessed in vitro, displays the highest basal rate of FSH release and the highest ratio of FSH to LH secreted in response to pulsatile GnRH administration during proestrus or estrus (Fallest and Schwartz, 1990). Finally, after ≥12 h of exposure to P, the ability of GnRH to stimulate FSH secretion in cultured cells is suppressed; significantly, this time frame corresponds to estrus and diestrus, those days of the estrous cycle when serum FSH levels are at their nadir (Freeman, 1988).
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