INTRODUCTION

Recent investigations have shown that Er₂Mo₃Si₄ is an effective reinforcement for strengthening MoSi₂ [1,2]. When present in hot-pressed MoSi₂ compacts, the Er₂Mo₃Si₄ improved both hot hardness and creep resistance of the MoSi₂. Creep strengths similar to those reported by Ghosh et al. [3] for MoSi₂ modified with CaO were observed for these MoSi₂/Er₂Mo₃Si₄/20p (20 vol. % Er₂Mo₃Si₄ particulate) composites [1,2]. The improved creep resistance was attributed to a lower SiO₂ content and the presence of hard particles (Er₂Mo₃Si₄ and Er₂O₃) along MoSi₂ grain boundaries that limited grain sliding [1,2]. Er₂O₃ was formed by the reduction of SiO₂ during the processing of the composite. Also noteworthy was that a pseudo-binary eutectic (indicating thermodynamic compatibility) formed between Er₂Mo₃Si₄ and MoSi₂. An eutectic composition of Er₂Mo₃Si₄ - 39 vol. % MoSi₂ was determined by quantitative metallography on eutectic cells that formed in arc-melted buttons of MoSi₂ + 20 vol. % Er₂Mo₃Si₄. This eutectic composition was then directionally solidified using the Czochralski method. A small amount of Mo₅Si₃ was present in these eutectic microstructures which may indicate that an error was associated with the reported eutectic composition or that the eutectic sample used was off stoichiometry. Melting temperatures of 1930 ± 20°C for Er₂Mo₃Si₄ and 1790 ± 10°C for the pseudo-binary eutectic composition were reported [1].

The crystal structure of Er₂Mo₃Si₄, as reported by Bodak et al. [4], is monoclinic with lattice parameters of 0.667 nm, 0.689 nm, and 0.681 nm. The point group and space group of this phase have been identified as 2/m and P2₁/c, respectively [5-8]. A theoretical density for Er₂Mo₃Si₄ was calculated to be 8.25 g/cm³ and this compares favorably with other refractory silicide compounds (e.g., Mo₅Si₃ = 8.25 g/cm³). Because of the low crystal symmetry, this intermetallic compound is expected to exhibit limited plasticity, but may possess good high temperature mechanical properties. Mechanical property data on Er₂Mo₃Si₄ is still quite limited; however, preliminary creep results from a decremental step-strain rate test show that the directionally solidified (DS) Er₂Mo₃Si₄-MoSi₂ eutectic has excellent creep resistance at 1300°C. At this temperature a flow stress of 625 MPa was observed for a strain rate of 5x10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, but failure occurred as the strain rate was reduced to 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹. In this paper we present further results obtained from constant engineering strain rate compression tests on the same DS eutectic bar.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Fifteen gram buttons of the eutectic composition were prepared by arc-melting pure elemental materials on a water-cooled copper hearth and in an argon atmosphere. The arc-melted eutectic buttons were then directionally solidified at a pull rate of 45 mm/h (Czochralski method) using a tri-arc furnace at McMaster University. Hot hardness tests were performed in vacuum from 23°C to 1300°C on a Nikon QM2 hot hardness test machine using a Vickers diamond penetrator and a 0.5 kg load. Rectangular samples measuring approximately 3x3x6mm³ were sectioned from the DS bars and polished on all sides using 600 grit SiC grinding paper. Compression tests were performed at 1300°C and 1400°C in a flowing argon atmosphere using an Instron model 4507 twin-screw driven testing machine. All tests were performed at a constant crosshead speed corresponding to an initial strain rate of 5x10⁻⁵ s⁻¹. The loading direction was parallel to the eutectic growth direction.

Volume fraction analysis of the Er₂Mo₃Si₄, MoSi₂, and Mo₅Si₃ was performed using the point grid method on metallographically prepared sections cut transverse to the eutectic growth direction. Reported uncertainties represent a 95% confidence limit and were calculated as
where $P_f$ is the average point fraction and $P_{tot}$ is the total number of grid points applied [9].

Results and Discussion

Microstructures of the arc-melted buttons and the directionally solidified eutectic are shown in Fig. 1. Highly faceted $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ cells were observed in both the arc-melted and the DS materials (see Fig. 1a) and the varying orientation of the script-lamellar microstructure next to the $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ suggests that the $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ solidified first since the script-lamellar structure within the cell does not, in most cases, match that of the exterior. $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ was not observed in the interior or exterior script-lamellar structure near these cells; however, in areas far from the $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ cells small cuboidal particles of $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ (10 $\mu$m in size) were observed (see Fig. 1b). A volume fraction analysis of the DS eutectic bar indicated a composition of 40 ± 4.8 vol% $\text{MoSi}_2$ and 2.9 ± 1.6 vol% $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ with the balance being $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$. Based upon this microstructural analysis we believe that the eutectic composition of the test bar was molybdenum rich and that some silicon may have been lost during arc-melting. Presence of $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$ in these microstructures also suggests that a ternary phase eutectic may exist between $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$, $\text{MoSi}_2$, and $\text{Mo}_5\text{Si}_3$. Transverse and longitudinal sections of the DS eutectic bar are shown in Figs. 1b and 1c. Both micro- and macrocracks were evident throughout the DS bar with cracks running both parallel and perpendicular to the growth direction (see Fig. 1d). The extensive cracking is believed to be related to thermal stresses generated by a difference in thermal contraction between $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$ and $\text{MoSi}_2$ as the material cooled after solidification.

Engineering stress-strain curves from compression tests conducted at 1300°C and 1400°C are shown in Fig. 2. The strengths reported here are believed to be lower than the actual strength of the material since each sample contained a significant number of internal cracks. Failure was generally initiated by longitudinal cracking followed by sample buckling. At these temperatures the $\text{MoSi}_2$ behaved in a ductile manner and served as a toughening agent for the $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$. Results shown in Fig. 2a are those first reported by the authors in Ref. 1. In the present study, a second test was performed at 1300°C (see Fig. 2b) and a maximum stress of 540 MPa was observed prior to failure of the sample by longitudinal cracking (see Fig. 3). It is interesting to note, however, that this sample failed in a graceful manner and was able to maintain a load of over 890 N (200 lbs) while the sample buckled. At 1400°C, lower strengths of 240 MPa and 450 MPa were observed (see Figs. 2c and 2d). Again, failure was initiated by longitudinal cracking and sample buckling. We believe that the value of 450 MPa is closer to the true strength at 1400°C and that the first sample failed prematurely as a result of the internal microcracks.

Hot hardness results for monolithic $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$ and the DS eutectic are shown in Fig. 4 and compared with reported results for hot pressed $\text{MoSi}_2$ powder [10]. The hardness of $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$ drops slightly from about 9 GPa at room temperature to 5.8 GPa at 1300°C. The DS eutectic also retains a hardness of 6.6 GPa at 1100°C and then drops to about 4 GPa at 1300°C as compared to 1.5 GPa for the $\text{MoSi}_2$. Extensive cracking in the $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$ was observed around each indent indicating very limited plasticity for this phase between room temperature and 1300°C.

Summary

The observed flow stress values are well above those reported for other $\text{MoSi}_2$ based materials and the high strength of the eutectic must be attributed to the $\text{Er}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{Si}_4$ phase. The compression strength is believed to be at least 625 MPa at 1300°C and at least 450 MPa at 1400°C. The values reported here represent lower bounds on the strength since sample buckling was the predominate failure mode. In this study, $\text{MoSi}_2$ acted as a ductile phase and the eutectic failed in a graceful manner. Elimination of the thermal cracks would greatly improve the mechanical properties of this eutectic. Internal cracking could be reduced by either using a lower thermal gradient during solidification processing or hot isostatic pressing the as-solidified eutectic, or both.
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FIG. 1: Backscattered electron images of the Er$_2$Mo$_3$Si$_4$-MoSi$_2$ eutectic. Phases are identified as follows: A-MoSi$_2$, B-Er$_2$Mo$_3$Si$_4$, and C-Mo$_5$Si$_3$. (a) Microstructure of an arc-melted eutectic button showing the faceted Mo$_5$Si$_3$ cells. The image is transverse to the growth direction. (b) Transverse and (c) longitudinal cross-sections of the DS eutectic bar. (d) Cracks were observed throughout the DS eutectic bar running both parallel and perpendicular to the eutectic growth direction. Samples for compression tests were cut from sections of the bar where cracking was minimal; however, microcracking was prevalent in all of the samples examined.
FIG. 2: Engineering stress-strain curves for the compression tests conducted at 1300°C and 1400°C. Data in (a) was generated as the first part of a decremental step-strain rate test at 1300°C under a constant engineering strain rate of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. The strain rate was reduced prior to reaching a steady state flow stress to avoid brinelling the sample platens. An arrow indicates when the sample failed and when the test was terminated. All other tests were conducted at a constant engineering strain rate of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. 
FIG. 3: A secondary electron image of the fractured sample compressed at 1300°C (see Fig. 2b). The sample failed in a graceful manner and was still able to support 890 N (200 lbs) when the test was terminated.

FIG. 4: Hot hardness test results for powder-processed MoSi$_2$ [from Ref. 10], arc-melted Er$_2$Mo$_3$Si$_4$, and the DS eutectic.