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The theory of vibration-rotational perturbations in tetrahedral $X Y_{4}$ molecules has been reexamined in the light of the modern theory of angular momentum coupling. It is shown that, even to third order of approximation, the splitting of a vibration rotation level into its tetrahedral sublevels is governed only by perturbation terms of one basic symmetry in all states in which vibrational quanta of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}$, and $\nu_{4}$ are excited and to a certain approximation in many of the infrared active states in which quanta of both $\nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ or $\nu_{4}$ are excited. The perturbation term is identified as the tetrahedrally symmetric linear combination of fourth rank spherical tensor operators. In dominant approximation the rotational fine structure splitting patterns are characterized solely by the rotational angular momentum of the state. Only the overall extent of the patterns depends on the vibrational and total angular momentum quantum numbers and the vibrational character of the state. In next approximation the basic splitting patterns are all deformed to a certain extent by matrix elements off-diagonal in the rotational angular momentum quantum number. These cannot be neglected if theory is to account for the modern high resolution spectra.

The terms of the vibration-rotation Hamiltonian to third order of approximation are classified according to their symmetry. Explicit expressions are given for the pure vibrational energies of the simpler bands. Explicit numerical values are also given for the matrix elements of the rotational sublevels of types $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ from which the rotational energies of the vibrational ground state and the infrared active fundamentals can be computed. These matrix elements also give the numbers for the basic splitting patterns of the dominant approximation for any state involving combinations of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}$, and $\nu_{4}$.
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## INTRODUCTION

Advances in infrared spectroscopy in recent years have made it possible to completely resolve the complex rotational fine structure of many of the vibrational bands of the methane molecule ( $1-4$ ). In order to account for the experimentally observed spectra it has proved useful to reexamine the theory of the vibration-rotation interactions in spherical top molecules in the light of the modern theory of angular momentum coupling and the concept of irreducible spherical tensor operators $(5,6)$.

The complete vibration-rotation Hamiltonian for tetrahedrally symmetric $X Y_{4}$ molecules has been derived to second order of approximation by Shaffer et al. $(7,8)$ who have also computed the matrix elements of this Hamiltonian for the states which give rise to the fundamentals and the simpler combination and overtone bands. In order to compute the energies of the vibration-rotation levels, however, it is almost mandatory to make full use of the symmetry of the molecule to factor the Hamiltonian matrix as much as possible. This symmetry has been exploited by Jahn $(9,10)$ to facilitate the computation of the energy levels of the fundamental $\nu_{4}$. Jahn, however, has considered only the dominant perturbation for this band, the Coriolis interaction with the nearby fundamental $\nu_{2}$. In order to account for the modern high resolution spectra it is important to include the effects of all vibration-rotation interactions not only to second order but to third order of approximation. By classifying the perturbation terms according to their symmetry, however, it can be shown that the task of computing the vibration-rotation energy levels, even to third order, is not much more complicated than that undertaken by Jahn since the perturbation terms group themselves into a very few basic types. Since the Hamiltonian has spherical and inversion symmetry in zeroth approximation it is advantageous to classify the perturbation terms according to the irreducible representations of the full rota-tion-inversion group, where the possible types of perturbation terms are severely restricted by the additional requirement that they must transform according to the totally symmetric irreducible representation $\left(A_{1}\right)$ of the point group of the regular tetrahedron $\left(T_{d}\right)$. Because of this restriction it can be seen that most of the vibrational states of the molecule are influenced by only two types of perturbation terms. There are scalar perturbation terms which cannot remove the first order degeneracy of the vibration-rotation levels but merely shift the positions of the levels giving contributions to the effective $B, D$, and zeta values of the bands. There is in addition, in the case of most states, only one basic type of tensor perturbation operator which can split a vibration-rotation level into its $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ sublevels without influencing its center of gravity. In dominant approximation this perturbation gives rise to a basic fine structure pattern which is characterized solely by the rotational angular moment um and is completely independent of the total angular momentum or the vibrational angular momentum of the level, the vibrational character of the state, or the

TABLE I
Observed Fine Structure Patterns for States with a Rotational Angllar Momentum, $R=4$

| Theory (Dominant approximation) | $\nu_{3} P(4)^{\text {a }}$ | $\nu_{3} Q(4)^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}\left(A_{2}\right)+14$ | $A_{1}+14.0 \times\left(0.0138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $A_{2}+14.0 \times\left(-0.0173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| $F_{1}\left(F_{2}\right)+7$ | $F_{1}+7.0$ | $F_{2}+7.5$ |
| $E \quad+2$ | $E+2.3$ | $E+4.4$ |
| $F_{2}\left(F_{1}\right)-13$ | $F_{2}-13.3$ | $F_{1}-15.0$ |
| $\nu_{1}+\nu_{4} P(4)^{\text {b }}$ | $\nu_{4} P(4)^{\text {e }}$ | $\nu_{2}+\nu_{3} R(4)^{\text {b }}$ |
| $A_{1}+14.0 \times\left(0.061 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $A_{1}+14.0 \times\left(.060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $A_{1}+14.0 \times\left(.022 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| $F_{1}+7.1$ | $E_{1}+7.7$ | $F_{1}+8.0$ |
| $E+1.8$ | $F+2.5$ | $E+3.8$ |
| $F_{2}-13.0$ | $F_{2}-14.0$ | $F_{2}-15.2$ |

a Reference $1 . \quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reference 2. $\quad$ Reference 4.
dynamical nature of the dominant perturbation term (whether of Coriolis, centrifugal distortion, anharmonic, etc., type). Only the extent of the pattern depends on all these latter quantities. The effect is illustrated in Table I by some of the experimentally observed fine structure patterns where states with a rotational angular momentum of four units have been chosen. Such states have four rotational sublevels, either of symmetry $A_{1}, F_{1}, E$, and $F_{2}$, or of symmetry $A_{2}, F_{2}, E$, and $F_{1}(9)$. In dominant approximation theory predicts that the four sublevels are split from their center of gravity in the ratios $14,7,2$, and -13 , respectively, where the $A, E$, and $F$ sublevels are given weights of 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, in determining their common center of gravity. It can ke seen that the experimentally observed splittings follow the predicted patterns rather closely for states of very different vibrational character as well as for $P, Q$, and $R$ branch transitions for which the total angular momentum quantum numbers of the upper state are 3,4 , and 5 , respectively. (The observed splittings in these examples arise almost exclusively from the upper state). The differences between the experimentally observed splitting ratios and the theoretically predicted numbers may arise partly from experimental uncertainties (the overall separation of the four lines, determined by the numbers in parentheses, is considerably less than $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in some of the examples). However, theory predicts that the basic splitting patterns can be deformed if the splitting of a vibration-rotation level into its $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ components is large compared with the first-order energy separation of states with the same total but different rotational angular momentum. In that case the fact that the rotational angular momentum is not a "good quantum number" becomes important. Matrix elements between nearby states of different rotational angular momentum (which were neglected by Jahn)
may give significant contributions to the energy and lead to states which are mixtures involving several rotational angular momenta. Since the basic theoretical splitting patterns of the dominant approximation are characterized by the rotational angular momentum quantum numbers such a mixing will lead to a deformation of the basic patterns which may become especially important for states with large angular momentum quantum numbers. Since the degree of mixing depends on the separations of states of different rotational angular momentum which in turn are functions of the $B$ and zeta values of the band, the rotational fine structure patterns will differ somewhat from band to band.

In this first paper of a series an outline of the basic theory is given. The vibration-rotation perturbation terms to third order of approximation are classified according to their symmetry, and it is shown how this classification can he used to simplify the calculation of the energies. Explicit expressions are given for the pure vibrational energies of the simpler bands. Explicit numerical values are also given for the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian for the rotational sublevels of types $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ from which the rotational energies of the vibrational ground state and the infrared active fundamentals can be computed. In the second paper the numerical results for the fundamental $\nu_{3}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ will be presented and compared with the experimentally observed spectrum which has recently been remeasured by Plyler ct al. (1) under high resolution. In subsequent papers some of the simpler overtone and combination bands will be discussed.

CLASSIFICATION OF THE WAVE FUNCTIONS AND PERTURBATIONS TERMS
The complete vibration-rotation Hamiltonian can be written in the usual way (8) in terms of the $P_{\alpha}$, the components of the total angular momentum along a molecule-fixed coordinate system, and the nine normal coordinates $Q_{1}, Q_{2 n}$, $Q_{2 b}, Q_{3 r}, Q_{3 y}, Q_{3 z}, Q_{4 r}, Q_{4 y}$, and $Q_{4 z}$ associated with the four fundamental frequencies. It is convenient to introduce dimensionless coordinates $q_{1}, c, f, x_{3}$, $y_{3}, z_{3}, x_{4}, y_{4}, z_{4},{ }^{1}$ which are related to the $Q_{i \pi}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\left(h \mid+\pi^{2} c \omega_{1}\right)^{12}\left(q_{1},\right. & Q_{2 a}=\left(h \mid+\pi^{2} c \omega_{2}\right)^{12} \\
Q_{2 b} & =\left(h \mid+\pi^{2} c \omega_{2}\right)^{12} \int, & Q_{3,}=\left(h \mid+\pi^{2} c \omega_{3}\right)^{1,2} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc., in which the $\omega_{i}$ or ( $\nu_{i} / c$ ) are the normal frequencies expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. In terms of these coordinates the zeroth order Hamiltonian becomes
$\frac{H_{11}}{h c}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{\omega_{3}}{2}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right)+\frac{\omega_{4}}{2}\left(p_{4}^{2}+r_{4}^{2}\right)+B_{e} I^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1}$ An attempt has been made to retain the notation of both Jahn and Shaffer et al. ats much as possible.
in which $p_{1}{ }^{2}=-\partial^{2} / \partial q_{1}{ }^{2}, r_{2}{ }^{2}=e^{2}+f^{2}, p_{2}{ }^{2}=-\left(\partial^{2} / \partial e^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial f^{2}\right), r_{3}{ }^{2}=x_{3}{ }^{2}+$ $y_{3}{ }^{2}+z_{3}{ }^{2}, p_{3}{ }^{2}=p_{3 x}^{2}+p_{3 y}^{2}+p_{3 z}^{2}=-\left(\partial^{2} / \partial x_{3}{ }^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial y_{3}{ }^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial z_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$, etc., and $B_{e}=\left(h \mid 8 \pi^{2} c I_{e}\right)$, while $P^{2}=P_{x}{ }^{2}+P_{y}{ }^{2}+P_{z}{ }^{2}$ is also a dimensionless quantity with eigenvalue $J(J+1)$. In order to obtain the correct stabilized zerothorder wave functions the Hamiltonian $H_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ must be added to $H_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{\prime} / h c=-2 B_{e}\left(\zeta_{3} \mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}+\zeta_{4} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{4}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $1_{3}$ and $1_{4}$ are the internal vibrational angular momentum vectors. The components along the molecule-fixed coordinate system are given by

$$
l_{3 x}=\left(y_{3} p_{3 z}-z_{3} p_{3 y}\right), \quad l_{3 y}=\left(z_{3} p_{3 x}-x_{3} p_{3 z}\right), \quad l_{3 z}=\left(x_{3} p_{3 y}-y_{3} p_{3 x}\right)
$$

with similar expressions for $1_{4}$. In states in which vibrational quanta of both normal modes $\nu_{3}$ and $\nu_{4}$ are excited the internal angular momenta couple quantum vectorially to a resultant total vibrational angular momentum 1 . The eigenvalues of $1_{3}{ }^{2}, l_{3 z}, 1_{4}{ }^{2}$, and $l_{4 z}$ are $l_{3}\left(l_{3}+1\right), m_{3}, l_{4}\left(l_{4}+1\right)$, and $m_{4}$, respectively, while the eigenvalues of $1^{2}$ and $l_{z}$ are $l(l+1)$ and $m=m_{3}+m_{4}$ where the integer $l$ has the possible values $\left(l_{3}+l_{4}\right), \cdots,\left|l_{3}-l_{4}\right|$, as usual. The vibrational angular momentum 1 couples with the rotational angular momentum, to be denoted by $\mathbf{R}$, to give the resultant total angular momentum $\mathbf{P}$. ${ }^{2}$ The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R}^{2}, \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and the molecule-fixed components $R_{z}$ and $P_{z}$ will be denoted by $R(R+1)$, $J(J+1), K_{R}$, and $K$, respectively. The operators $l_{\alpha}$ satisfy the usual angular momentum commutation relations

$$
\left[l_{x}, l_{y}\right]=+i l_{z} \cdots
$$

The operators $P_{\alpha}$ (and similarly $R_{\alpha}$ ), on the other hand, satisfy the commutation relations with the anomalous algebraic sign before $i$

$$
\left[P_{x}, P_{y}\right]=-i P_{z} \cdots
$$

As a consequence it is natural to speak of quantum vector subtraction rather than quantum vector addition (see for example Van Vleck (11), or to build up the states by adding the vector $(-1)$ to the vector $\mathbf{P}$ to give a resultant $\mathbf{R}=$ $\mathbf{P}-1$. This is the natural way to perform the addition since all the components of $\mathbf{P}$ commute with all the components of 1 , whereas the components of $\mathbf{R}$ do not commute with those of 1 . The wave functions must therefore be built up from linear combinations of products of eigenfunctions of the vectors $\mathbf{P}$ and 1 , respectively. The wave function of a state characterized by the resultant rotational angular momentum quantum numbers $R$ and $K_{R}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(J_{R}^{l}\right)_{R K_{R}}=\sum_{m}\left\langle l J m K \mid l J R K_{R}\right\rangle_{l m}^{*} \psi_{J K} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]in which the coupling coefficients $\left\langle l . J m K \mid l . J R K_{R}\right\rangle$ are the usual angular momentum addition or Clebsch-Crordan coefficients with $K=\left(K_{R}-m\right) .^{3}$ The formula for the coupled wave function in the case of angular momentum subtraction is therefore the same as the usual addition formula with the exception that the eigenfunctions of the negative vector, -1 , are replaced by their complex conjugate, where
$$
\phi_{l m}^{*}=(-1)^{m} \phi_{l-m}
$$

With this small modification the whole machinery of angular momentum addition theory $(5,6)$, can be applied to the problem of angular momentum subtraction of molecular physics. The $\phi_{l m}$ are the usual vibrational eigenfunctions. The dependence on all the principal vibrational quantum numbers $v_{i}$ has not been written explicitly. For example, if only one vibrational quantum of $\nu_{3}$ is excited, that is with $v_{3}=1, l_{3}=1,\left(v_{1}=v_{2}=v_{4}=0, l_{4}=0\right)$, the functions $\phi_{l m}$ are given by

$$
\phi_{1 \pm 1}=\mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\phi(100) \pm i \phi(010)], \quad \phi_{10}=\phi(001)
$$

in which $\phi\left(v_{3 x} v_{3 y} v_{3 z}\right)$ are products of one-dimensional harmonic oscillator functions in the coordinates $x_{3}, y_{3}$, and $z_{3}$ with quantum numbers $v_{3 x}, v_{3 y}$, and $v_{32}$, respectively. If vibrational quanta of both $\nu_{3}$ and $\nu_{4}$ are excited the vibrational wave functions $\phi_{l m}$ are themselves given by the angular momentum addition formula as linear combinations of products of eigenfunctions of $1_{3}$ and $1_{4}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{l m}=\sum_{m_{3}}\left\langle l_{3} l_{4} m_{3} m_{4} \mid l_{3} l_{4} l m\right\rangle \phi_{l_{3} m_{3}} \phi_{l_{4} m_{4}} \quad \quad m_{4}=\left(m-m_{3}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\psi_{J K}$ are the spherical (or symmetric) rotator eigenfunctions, functions of the three Euler angles which give the orientation of the molecular framework in space. In Eq. (3) the dependence of $\psi_{J_{K}}$ on the quantum number $M$, the eigenvalue of the sapce-fixed $z$-component of $\mathbf{P}$, has not been written explicitly. The rotational wave functions are related to the coefficients, $D_{M K}^{J}$, of the $(2 J+1)$-dimensional irreducible representations of the rotation group as defined in (5), by

$$
\psi_{J M K}=\left[(2 J+1) / 8 \pi^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} D_{M K}^{J}
$$

The wave functions $\psi_{J_{K}}$ transform according to the irreducible representations of the group of all rotations and reflections, according to $D_{g}{ }^{J}$ (for $J$ even) and $D_{u}{ }^{I}$ (for $J$ odd). Since the vibrational wave functions, $\phi_{l m}$. transform according to the product representations of the eigenfunctions of $1_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{4}$, they transform according to $D_{q}{ }^{l}$ (if $l_{3}+l_{4}$ is even) or $D_{u}{ }^{l}$ (if $l_{3}+l_{4}$ is odd). The eigenfunctions of the resultant rotational angular momentum, $\psi_{R K_{R}}$, therefore transform accord-

[^1]ing to the representation $D_{g}{ }^{R}$ (if $J+l_{3}+l_{4}$ is even) or $D_{u}{ }^{R}$ (if $J+l_{3}+l_{4}$ is odd).

Since the zeroth-order wave functions transform according to one of the irreducible representations of the full rotation-reflection group it is advantageous to classify the tetrahedrally symmetric vibration-rotation perturbation terms according to their transformation properties under this more extended group. It should be pointed out, however, that not all vibrational wave functions transform according to one of the irreducible representations of the full rotationreflection group. For example, the vibrational wave functions of the doubly degenerate fundamental state $\nu_{2}$ (a state of zero vibrational angular momentum) transform according to the irreducible representation $E$ of the tetrahedral group. This is not one of the irreducible representations of the full rotation-reflection group. The rotational fine structure patterns for the "infrared forbidden" fundamental $\nu_{2}$ are therefore very different from the basic patterns discussed in connection with Table I. In this paper the discussion will be restricted to vibrational states whose wave functions transform according to one of the irreducible representations of the full rotation-reflection group. This includes all possible combinations and overtones of the states $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}$, and $\nu_{4}$. Although it does not include the fundamental $\nu_{2}$ itself it does include many states in which quanta of both $\nu_{2}$ and $\nu_{3}$ or $\nu_{4}$ are excited. (The excited states for all "infrared active" transitions originating from the vibrational ground state fall into this category.) The combination state $\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}$, for example, has vibrational substates $F_{2}$ and $F_{1}$ whose wave functions transform according to the irreducible representations $D_{u}{ }^{1}$ and $D_{g}{ }^{1}$, respectively. As a result the rotational fine structure patterns for this band are the basic ones discussed in connection with Table I.

The perturbation terms of the vibration-rotation Hamiltonian will be ordered according to the scheme of Nielsen et al. $(12,13)$ in which the $\omega_{i}$ and the rotational energy $B J(J+1)$ are considered to be quantities of the same order of magnitude. ${ }^{4}$ The Hamiltonian is subjected to the usual contact transformation $(7,12,13)$ so that the transformed Hamiltonian, $H_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, contains only terms diagonal in the vibrational quantum numbers, $v_{i}$, Eq. (2). In order to exploit the symmetries of the zeroth-order wave functions the perturbation terms of $H_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, $H_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ will be classified according to their tensor character. An examination of the transformed Hamiltonian, $H^{\prime}$, shows that the individual perturbation terms in $H_{2}{ }^{\prime}, H_{3}{ }^{\prime}, H_{4}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots$ are at most tensors of rank 4,5 , or $6 \cdots$, respectively. Characteristic terms of $H_{3}{ }^{\prime}$, for example, have the form $q_{a} p_{b} I_{\alpha} P_{\beta} P_{\gamma}$ or $q_{a} q_{b} q_{c} p_{d} P_{\alpha}$ (12), in which the individual $q_{a}, p_{b}, P_{\alpha}, \cdots$ transform at most as tensors of rank 1 . Calculation of the vibration-rotation energies to third order of approximation will therefore involve only tensors of rank $\leqq 5$. In order to apply the machinery

[^2]of angular momentum coupling theory the perturbation terms must be classified as irreducible spherical tensor operators (5), but the possible types of tensor operators are restricted by the requirement that they must transform according to the totally symmetric irreducible representation $\left(A_{1}\right)$ of the group $T_{d}$. Only those linear combinations of spherical tensor operators which remain invariant under all the symmetry operations of the tetrahedral group can occur in the Hamiltonian. The reduction of the representations $D_{a}{ }^{j}, D_{u}{ }^{j}$ of the full rotationinversion group into irreducible representations of $T_{d}$ has been given by Jahn (9). The result is shown in Table II for small values of $j$. For $j \leqq 5$ the only representations which contain $A_{1}$ are those with $j=0,3$, and 4 . The only tetrahedrally symmetric linear combinations of spherical tensor operators of rank $\leqq 5$ therefore involve only tensors of rank 0,3 , and 4 . The correct linear combinations have also been given by Jahn. They are
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
T(00), \\
{[T(3-2)-T(32)]} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\left\{(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{1 / 2} T(40)+5[T(44)+T(4-4)]\right\}
$$

in which the individual tensor operators $T(j m)$ transform under rotations and inversion as do the spherical harmonics $Y_{j m}$. It is to be noted that the inclusion of third-order perturbation terms (tensors of rank $\leqq 5$ ) cannot introduce any new types of spherical tensor operators, ( $D^{5}$ does not contain $A_{1}$ ), so that the calculation of the vibration-rotation energies to third order of approximation involves terms of the same basic symmetries as the calculation to second order. Inclusion of fourth-order perturbation terms, on the other hand, would introduce entirely new types of tensor operators since the representations $D^{6}$ contain the irreducible representation $A_{1}$. It is to be noted further that the third-rank tensor operator [ $T(3-2)-T(32)]$ transforms according to a representation of type $u$. In calculating energies of the levels to third order, however, only matrix

TABLE II
Reduction of $D_{g}{ }^{i}, D_{u}{ }^{i}$ into Irreducible Representations of $T_{d}$

| $j$ | $D_{g}^{i}$ | $D_{u}^{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{A_{1}}{F_{1}}$ | $A_{2}$ |
| 1 | $E+F_{2}$ | $F_{2}$ |
| 2 | $A_{2}+F_{1}+F_{2}$ | $E+F_{1}$ |
| 3 | $\underline{A_{1}}+E+F_{1}+F_{2}$ | $A_{1}+F_{2}+F_{1}$ |
| 4 | $E+2 F_{1}+F_{2}$ | $A_{2}+E+F_{2}+F_{1}$ |
| 5 | $A_{1}+A_{2}+E+F_{1}+2 F_{2}$ | $A+2 F_{2}+F_{1}$ |
| 6 | $A_{2}+A_{1}+E+F_{2}+2 F_{1}$ |  |

elements diagonal in $v_{i}$ and $J$ are needed. For given $v_{i}$ the angular momentum quantum numbers $l_{i}$ are either even or odd. The required matrix elements of $H^{\prime}$ therefore connect states which are either both of type $g$ (if $J+l_{3}+l_{4}$ is even) or both of type $u$ (if $J+l_{3}+l_{4}$ is odd). Consequently matrix elements of an operator of type $u$ are zero, and the operator [ $T$ (3-2) $-T(32)$ ] cannot contribute to the vibration-rotation energies. The spacing of the vibration-rotation levels is therefore governed by only two types of perturbation terms, scalars $T(00)$ which, as will be shown, cannot remove the first-order degeneracies of the levels, and one linear combination of fourth-rank tensors which can split a level into its $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ fine structure components. The splitting patterns are therefore governed by perturbation terms of one basic symmetry. The above applies strictly only to states in which quanta of only $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}$, and $\nu_{4}$ are excited. Combination bands involving $\nu_{2}$ may have vibrational substates of both type $g$ and $u$. The state $\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}$, for example, has vibrational substates $F_{2}$ and $F_{1}$ with $l=1$ which transform according to $D_{u}{ }^{1}$ and $D_{g}{ }^{1}$, respectively (Table II). The third-rank tensor operator may make contributions to the energies of this state since it may have nonzero matrix elements connecting the vibrational substate $F_{2}$ with the substate $F_{1}$. The scalar and fourth-rank operators on the other hand can connect only either the vibrational substate $F_{2}$ with $F_{2}$ or $F_{1}$ with $F_{1}$. If the separation into the rotational fine structure components is small compared with the separation of the $F_{2}$ and $F_{1}$ vibrational substates, it might be expected, however, that the splitting patterns are dominated by the fourth-rank tensor operators. This seems to be the case for the states of small angular momentum so that the basic splitting patterns of $\nu_{2}+\nu_{3}$ are very similar to those of all other infrared active bands (Table I). For states of large angular momentum, however, the effect of the third-rank tensor operator seems to become important and the splitting patterns diverge more and more from the basic ones (2).

## THE VIBRATION-ROTATION HAMILTONIAN

To carry out the calculation of the energy levels of an $X Y_{4}$ molecule to third order of approximation the tetrahedrally symmetric cubic and quartic potential terms must be added to the harmonic part of the potential function. These have been given by Shaffer et al. (7) but are listed again in Appendix I in order to establish the notation to be used in this paper. The individual terms of the transformed Hamiltonian are listed below. The only terms which can contribute to the energies to within third order of approximation are those terms of $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $H_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ whose matrix elements are diagonal in the principal vibrational quantum numbers $v_{i}$. These will be denoted by $H^{\prime}(\operatorname{diag})$. To pick out these terms from the complete Hamiltonian, $H^{\prime}$, it is only necessary to rewrite the vibrational operators. The operator $q_{1}{ }^{2}$, for example, can be rewritten

$$
q_{1}^{2}=1 / 2\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)-1 / 2\left(p_{1}^{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

The only nonzero matrix elements of the first term are diagonal in the quantum number $v_{1}$, whereas the diagonal matrix elements of the second term are zero. Since the second term has matrix elements off-diagonal in $v_{1}$ only, it can be neglected to within the approximation of interest. As another example of this process the operator $x_{3}{ }^{2} y_{3}{ }^{2}$ can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{3}^{2} y_{3}^{2}= & \left\{36\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)-14_{3 z}^{2}-1 / 8\right\} \\
& \quad-1 / 4\left(p_{3 x}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)-1 / 4\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+1,8\left[\left(p_{3 x}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 x} x_{3}+x_{3} p_{3 x}\right)\left(p_{3 y} y_{3}+y_{3} p_{3 y}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Only the first term, enclosed in braces $\left\}\right.$, is completely diagonal in $r_{3}$ whereas the remaining terms are completely off-diagonal in $v_{3}$ and can therefore be neglected.

For convenience the terms of $H^{\prime}(\operatorname{diag})$ are subdivided into pure vibrational perturbation terms and vibration-rotation terms which are classified according to their dependence on the angular momentum quantum number $J$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
H^{\prime}(\operatorname{diag})=H_{2}^{\prime}(\text { vib, diag })+H_{2}^{\prime}\left(P^{4}\right)+H_{2}^{\prime}\left(P^{2}, \operatorname{diag}\right)+H_{3}^{\prime}\left(P^{3}, \operatorname{diag}\right)  \tag{6}\\
+H_{3}^{\prime}(P, \operatorname{diag})+\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

The pure vibrational terms are given by
$H_{2}{ }^{\prime}($ vib, diag $)$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & X_{11} \frac{\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}+X_{22} \frac{\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \\
& +X_{33}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) \\
2 & \\
& +X_{44} \frac{\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}+X_{13} \frac{\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}  \tag{7}\\
& \\
& +X_{14} \frac{\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}+X_{23} \frac{\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{3}\right)}{2} \\
& +X_{24} \frac{\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{2}+X_{34} \frac{\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{2} \\
& +G_{22} m_{2 z}^{2}+G_{33} 1_{3}{ }^{2}+G_{44} 1_{4}{ }^{2}+G_{34}\left(1_{3} \cdot 1_{4}\right)+S_{34} O_{34}(\text { scalar }) \\
& +T_{34} O_{34}(\text { tensor })+T_{33} O_{33}(\text { tensor })+T_{44} O_{44}(\text { tensor }) \\
& +T_{23} O_{23}(\text { tensor })+T_{24} O_{24}(\text { tensor }) .
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $m_{2 z}$ is the angular momentum operator in the space of the two-fold degenerate normal coordinates (but not in three-dimensional space), $m_{2 z}=$ $-i(e \partial / \partial f-f \partial / \partial e)$. Its eigenvalues are $\pm v_{2}, \pm\left(v_{2}-2\right), \pm\left(v_{2}-4\right), \cdots$.

The operators $O_{34}, O_{33}, \cdots$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{34}(\text { scalar })= & \left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 x}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)+\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 y}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 z}^{2}+z_{4}^{2}\right)+2\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 y}+x_{3} y_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 y}+x_{4} y_{4}\right) \\
& +2\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 z}+x_{z} z_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 z}+x_{4} z_{4}\right) \\
& +2\left(p_{3 y} p_{3 z}+y_{3} z_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 y} p_{4 z}+y_{4} z_{4}\right)-1_{3}\left(p_{3}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}^{2}\right), \\
O_{34}(\text { tensor })= & 3 / 2\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 x}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)+32\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 y}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& +36\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4 z}^{2}+z_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& -2\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 y}+x_{3} y_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 y}+x_{4} y_{4}\right) \\
& -2\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 z}+x_{3} z_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 z}+x_{4} z_{4}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& -2\left(p_{3 y} p_{3 z}+y_{3} z_{3}\right)\left(p_{4 y} p_{4 z}+y_{4} z_{4}\right) \\
& -1 / 2\left(p_{3}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{4}^{2}+r_{4}^{2}\right), \\
O_{33}(\text { tensor })= & \left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)+\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)-3\left(p_{3, x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& -3\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)-3\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& +21_{3}^{2}+6, \\
O_{23}(\text { tensor })= & \left.p_{e}^{2}+e^{2}-p_{f}^{2}-f^{2}\right)\left(p_{3}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}-3 p_{3 z}^{2}-3 z_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \sqrt{3} \quad\left(p_{e} p_{f}+e f\right)\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-p_{3 y}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The operators $O_{44}, O_{24}$, are obtained from $O_{33}$, and $O_{23}$ by an interchange of indices 3 and 4. The coefficients $X_{i j}, G_{i j}, S_{i j}$, and $T_{i j}$ are tabulated in terms of the molecular parameters in Appendix I.

The purely vibrational corrections to the zeroth order energies are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{\mathrm{vib}}=\sum_{i<j} X_{i j}\left(v_{i}+d_{i} / 2\right)\left(v_{j}+d_{j / 2}\right)+W\left(m_{2 z}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l, \text { symmetry }\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first sum gives an anharmonicity correction similar to that found in less symmetrical molecules. The contribution $W$, which is a function of the vibrational angular momentum quantum numbers and the symmetries of the vibrational substates, gives the eigenvalues of the operators $l_{i}{ }^{2}$ and $O_{i j}$ and determines the splitting of a pure vibrational level into its possible tetrahedral sublevels. The energies $W$ are listed in Table III for all vibrational states in which one, two, or three vibrational quanta have been excited. These energies have been computed by the techniques to be discussed in connection with the computation of the vibration-rotation energies.

The vibration-rotation perturbation terms are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}^{\prime}\left(P^{4}\right)--D_{s} P^{4}=D_{t} O_{P P P P}(\text { tensor }) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE III
Vibrational Energies


TABLE III-Continued
State $W\left(m_{2 z}, l_{3}, l_{4}, l\right.$, symmetry $)$

Eigenvalues of the $3 \times 3$ matrix:

| $2 \nu_{3}+\nu_{4} \quad \underline{3 F_{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\binom{l_{3}=2}{l=3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 G_{44}+6 G_{33}+2 G_{34} \\ & \quad+4 T_{33}+(4 / 3) S_{34} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.-4 \sqrt{6}\left[T_{33}+(1 / 6) T_{34}\right)\right]$ | $\frac{4 \sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{3}} T_{34}$ |
| $l_{4}=1\left(\begin{array}{c}l_{3}=2 \\ l=1 \\ =1\end{array}\right)$ | $-4 \sqrt{6}\left[T_{33}+(1 / 6) T_{34}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} 2 G_{44}+6 G_{38}- & 3 G_{34} \\ & +(14 / 3) S_{34}\end{aligned}$ | $-8 / 3 \sqrt{5} S_{34}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{ll}l_{3}= & 0 \\ l= & =1\end{array}\right)$ | $\frac{4 \sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{3}} T_{34}$ | $-8 / 3 \sqrt{5} S_{34}$ | $2 G_{44}$ |

${ }^{3}$ The notation $3 \nu_{3} 2 F_{2} l_{3}=3,1$ is to be interpreted as follows: The state $3 \nu_{3}$ has two substates of symmetry $F_{2}$ with eigenfunctions which are mixtures of wave functions with $l_{3}=$ 3 and $l_{3}=1$. In the limit in which $G_{33} \gg T_{33}$, the wave function of the state listed first ( $l_{3}=3$ ) becomes the eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue given by the upper sign in the expression for $W$.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Excited states involving $\nu_{4}$ are obtained from those involving $\nu_{3}$ by an interchange of subscripts 3 and 4 . Combinations with $\nu_{1}$ do not affect the energies $W$.
with

$$
\begin{align*}
& O_{P P P P}(\text { tensor })=4\left(P_{x}{ }^{4}+P_{y}{ }^{4}+P_{z}{ }^{4}\right) \\
& -6\left(P_{x}{ }^{2} P_{y}{ }^{2}+P_{y}{ }^{2} P_{x}{ }^{2}+P_{x}{ }^{2} P_{z}{ }^{2}+P_{z}{ }^{2} P_{x}{ }^{2}+P_{y}{ }^{2} P_{z}{ }^{2}+P_{z}{ }^{2} P_{y}{ }^{2}\right)+2 P^{2},  \tag{11}\\
& H_{2}{ }^{\prime}\left(P^{2}, \operatorname{diag}\right)=1 / 2\left[Y_{1}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+Y_{2}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+Y_{3}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+Y_{4}\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right] P^{2}+Z_{38} O_{P P 33} \text { (scalar) } \\
& +Z_{4 s} O_{P P 44}(\text { scalar })+Z_{3 i} O_{P P 33}(\text { tensor })  \tag{12}\\
& +Z_{4 t} O_{P P 44}(\text { tensor })+Z_{2} O_{P P 22}(\text { tensor }),
\end{align*}
$$

where the operators are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& O_{P P 33}(\text { scalar })=1 / 2\left[\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)+\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{3}\right)-1 / 3 P^{2}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& O_{P P 33}(\text { tensor })=\left\{\quad P_{x}{ }^{2}\left[2\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +P_{y}{ }^{2}\left[2\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
+P_{z}^{2}\left[2\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right] \\
-2\left(P_{x} P_{y}+P_{y} P_{x}\right)\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 y}+x_{3} y_{3}\right) \\
-2\left(P_{x} I_{z}+P_{z} P_{x}\right)\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 z}+x_{3} z_{3}\right) \\
-2\left(P_{y} P_{z}+P_{z} P_{y}\right)\left(p_{3 y} p_{3 z}+y_{3} z_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right\},  \tag{13}\\
& O_{P P 22}=-3 / 8\left[\left(P_{x}{ }^{2}+P_{y}{ }^{2}-2 P_{z}^{2}\right)\left(p_{e}{ }^{2}+e^{2}-p_{f}{ }^{2}-f^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \sqrt{3}\left(P_{x}^{2}-P_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\left(p_{e} p_{f}+e f\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $D_{s}$ and $D_{t}$ are independent of the anharmonic potential constants. The coefficients $Y_{i}$ and $Z_{i}$ are functions of the unknown cubic potential constants. All these coefficients are again tabulated in terms of the molecular parameters in Appendix I.

The third-order vibration-rotation perturbation terms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{3}{ }^{\prime}\left(P^{3}, \operatorname{diag}\right)=F_{3 s} P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)+F_{4 s} P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{4}\right) \\
& +F_{3 t} O_{P P P 3}(\text { tensor })+F_{4 t} O_{P P P 4}(\text { tensor }) \\
& +F_{2}\left(m_{2 z} / \sqrt{3}\right)\left(P_{x} P_{y} P_{z}+P_{x} P_{z} P_{y}+P_{y} P_{x} P_{z}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.+P_{y} P_{z} P_{x}+P_{z} P_{x} P_{y}+P_{z} P_{y} P_{x}\right), \\
& H_{3}{ }^{\prime}(P, \operatorname{diag})=\left[M_{311}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+M_{322}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+M_{333}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+M_{344}\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)+\left[M_{411}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +M_{422}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+M_{433}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+M_{444}\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{4}\right) \\
& +M_{233} m_{2 z}\left[P_{x}\left(p_{3 y} p_{3 z}+y_{3} z_{3}\right)+P_{y}\left(p_{3 z} p_{3 x}+z_{3} x_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+P_{z}\left(p_{3 x} p_{3 y}+x_{3} y_{3}\right)\right]+M_{244} m_{2 z}\left[P_{r}\left(p_{4 y} p_{4 z}+y_{4} z_{4}\right)\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.+P_{y}\left(p_{4 z} p_{4 x}+z_{4} x_{4}\right)+P_{z}\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 y}+x_{4} y_{4}\right)\right] \\
& +N_{3448} O_{P 344}(\text { scalar })+N_{433 s} O_{P 433}(\text { scalar }) \\
& +N_{344} O_{P 344}(\text { tensor })+N_{4331} O_{P 433}(\text { tensor }) \\
& +N_{3331} O_{P 333}(\text { tensor })+N_{444} O_{P 444}(\text { tensor }) \\
& +N_{322} O_{P 322}+N_{422} O_{P 422},
\end{align*}
$$

where the operators are

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{P P P 3}(\text { tensor })= & 10\left(P_{x}^{3} l_{3 x}+P_{y}^{3} l_{3 y}+P_{z}^{3} l_{3 z}\right)-6 P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)+2\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{3}\right),  \tag{16}\\
O_{P 344}(\text { scalar })= & 1 / 2\left[\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)\left(\mathbf{1}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)+\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{4}\right)\left(\mathbf{1}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{4}\right)-1 / 3\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)\left(p_{4}^{2}+r_{4}^{2}\right)\right], \\
O_{P 344}(\text { tensor })= & 3 P_{x} l_{3 x}\left(p_{4 x}^{2}+x_{4}{ }^{2}\right)+3 P_{y} l_{3 y}\left(p_{4 y}^{2}+y_{4}{ }^{2}\right)+3 P_{z} l_{3 z}\left(p_{4 z}^{2}+z_{4}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-2\left(P_{x} l_{3 y}+P_{y} l_{3 x}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 y}+x_{4} y_{4}\right) \\
& \quad-2\left(l_{x x} l_{3 z}+P_{z} l_{3 x}\right)\left(p_{4 x} p_{4 z}+x_{4} z_{4}\right) \\
& \quad-2\left(P_{y} l_{3 z}+P_{z} l_{3 y}\right)\left(p_{4 y} p_{4 z}+y_{4} z_{4}\right)-\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{3}\right)\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}^{2}\right),  \tag{17}\\
O_{P 333}(\text { tensor })= & P_{x} l_{3 x}\left[4\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +P_{y} l_{3 y}\left[4\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +P_{z} l_{3 z}\left[4\left(p_{3 z}^{2}+z_{3}^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 x}^{2}+x_{3}{ }^{2}\right)-\left(p_{3 y}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
O_{P 322} & \frac{P_{x} l_{3 x}}{4}\left[\left(p_{f}^{2}+f^{2}\right)-\left(p_{e}{ }^{2}+e^{2}\right)-2 \sqrt{3}\left(p_{e} p_{f}+e f\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{P_{z} l_{3 y}}{4}\left[\left(p_{f}^{2}+f^{2}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.\left(p_{e}{ }^{2}+e^{2}\right)+2 \sqrt{3}\left(p_{e} p_{f}+e f\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{P_{z} l_{3 z}}{2}\left[\left(p_{e}{ }^{2}+e^{2}\right)-\left(p_{f}{ }^{2}+f^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients $F_{i}$ are tabulated in Appendix I. The coefficients $M_{i j k}$ and $N_{i j k}$ are extremely complicated functions of both the cubic and quartic potential constants, and although their numerical value may be deduced from the experimentally observed rotational fine structures, these values will never be useful in the determination of the molecular parameters. For this reason these coefficients are not explicitly tabulated although one of the very simplest is given in Appendix I to illustrate their dependence on the potential constants. Third-order perturbation terms have to be included in order to get a good fit between theory and experiment and may even be important in making correct assignments of the rotational fine structure lines in regions where there is much overlapping of lines, but it is doubtful whether they give significant information about the molecule. Because of the breakdown of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation in this order it may in fact even be questioned whether the coefficients of these terms are meaningful when computed by the usual methods (12, 13). Because of symmetry considerations it may perhaps be expected that the omitted elec-tronic-vibration-rotation corrections will give terms of the same general form and will thus merely alter the coefficients.

## EVALUATION OF THE MATRIX ELEMENTS

The terms of the Hamiltonian, $H^{\prime}$ (diag), have been classified according to their irreducible spherical tensor character and will now be identified as tensors of rank $k$, with the possible values $k=0,3$, and 4 . The individual tensors are all built up from the vector $\mathbf{P}$ and vectors such as $\mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathrm{p}_{3}$, and $\mathbf{1}_{3}$. The spherical components of these vectors are given, for example, by

$$
\left(r_{3}\right)_{1}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{3}+i y_{3}\right), \quad\left(r_{3}\right)_{0}=z_{3}, \quad\left(r_{3}\right)_{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{3}-i y_{3}\right)
$$

On the other hand

$$
P_{1}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(P_{x}-i P_{y}\right), \quad P_{0}=P_{z}, \quad P_{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(P_{x}+i P_{y}\right)
$$

The anomalous sign before $i$ is related to the anomalous sign in the commutation relations of the $P_{\alpha}$. The vibration rotation tensor operators of rank $k$ are built up from pure vibrational tensor operators of rank $k_{1}$ and commuting rotational
tensor operators of rank $k_{2}$, where spherical vibration-rotational tensors of any rank $k$ may be constructed by the usual vector coupling methods

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.T(k, q)=\sum_{q_{1}}\left\langle k_{1} k_{2} q_{1} q_{2}\right| k_{1} k_{2} k q\right) T_{\mathrm{vib}}\left(k_{1} q_{1}\right)^{*} T_{\mathrm{rot}}\left(k_{2} q_{2}\right) \text { with } q_{2}=\left(q-q_{1}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients are the angular momentum addilion coefficients. The anomalous complex conjugate sign arises from the anomalous behavior of the $P_{\alpha}$ and the related fact that the operators are to be applied to an angular momentum subtraction coupling scheme. [Compare with Eq. (3).] The vibrational and rotational tensor operators are themselves built up from the vibrational and rotational vectors by a repeated application of analogous vector coupling formulas.

Matrix elements of these tensor operators in the coupling scheme ( $l J R$ ) are given by the Wigner-Eckart theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle v^{\prime} l^{\prime} J R^{\prime} K_{R}^{\prime}\right| T(k q)\left|v l J R K_{R}\right\rangle & \\
& =\frac{\left\langle R k K_{R} q \mid R k R^{\prime} K_{R}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\left(2 R^{\prime}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}\left\langle v^{\prime} l^{\prime} J R^{\prime}\|T(k)\| v l J R\right\rangle \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The dependence on $K_{R}$ is given solely by the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. The reduced or double-bar matrix element is a function only of the quantum numbers $l, J, R$, and $v$ which stands as a collective label for the remaining vibrational quantum numbers. Since the $A_{1}, A_{2}, E, F_{1}$, and $F_{2}$ fine structure components of a given vibration-rotation level differ only in their $K_{R}$ values (the specific linear combinations of $K_{R}$ values have been given by Jahn), it can now be seen that the relative splittings in the fine structure patterns are characterized solely by the rotational angular momentum quantum number, $R$. With $k=0$ the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient is unity (independent of $K_{R}$ ). Scalar perturbation operators can therefore not split a vibration-rotation level into its fine structure components.

The reduced or double-bar matrix element can itself be written in terms of vibrational and rotational reduced matrix elements and a $9-j$ symbol.
$\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime} l^{\prime} J R^{\prime}\|T(k)\| v l J R\right\rangle}{\left[(2 k+1)(2 R+1)\left(2 R^{\prime}+1\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
l^{\prime} & l & k_{1}  \tag{20}\\
J & J & k_{2} \\
R^{\prime} & R & k
\end{array}\right\}\left\langle v^{\prime} l^{\prime}\left\|T_{\mathrm{vib}}\left(k_{1}\right)\right\| v l\right\rangle\left\langle J\left\|T_{\mathrm{rot}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right\| J\right\rangle .
$$

The notation of Edmonds (5) is used throughout this section. The $9-j$ symbol can be evaluated in terms of $6-j$ symbols (or Racah coefficients) which are tabulated by Edmonds. The matrix elements are diagonal in $J,\left(P^{2}\right.$ commutes with $H$ ), but not in general diagonal in $R$ and $l$. If the vibrational tensor operator
of rank $k_{1}$ is itself built, up from operators of rank $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ which are in turn built up from vectors $\mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathbf{p}_{3}, \mathbf{1}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{4}, \mathbf{p}_{4}, \mathbf{l}_{4}$, respectively, the vibrational reduced matrix element can be further written as a product of reduced matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\langle v^{\prime} l^{\prime}\left\|T_{\mathrm{vib}}\left(k_{1}\right)\right\| v l\right\rangle}{\left[\left(2 k_{1}+1\right)(2 l+1)\left(2 l^{\prime}+1\right)\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
l_{3}^{\prime} & l_{3} & k_{3} \\
l_{4}^{\prime} & l_{4} & k_{4} \\
l^{\prime} & l & k_{1}
\end{array}\right\}\left\langle v_{3} l_{3}^{\prime}\left\|T_{\text {vib }}\left(k_{3}\right)\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{4} l_{4}^{\prime}\left\|T_{\text {vib }}\left(k_{4}\right)\right\| v_{4} l_{4}\right\rangle \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The pure vibrational perturbation operators $O_{34}$ (scalar) and $O_{34}$ (tensor) are examples of such operators with $k_{3}=k_{4}=2$, and $k_{1}=0$ or 4 , respectively; $\left(k_{2}=0\right)$. The matrix elements of the pure vibrational states are again given by the Wigner-Eckart theorem, where the $m$ dependence is given solely by a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient.

## SCALAR PERTURBATION OPERATORS. THE FFFECTIVE ROTATIONAL, CONSTANTS OF THE INFRARED ACTIVE FUNDAMENTALS

The effective rotational constants of a vibration-rotation band are determined by the scalar perturbation terms. For states in which only quanta of $\nu_{3}$ are excited, for example, the rotational constants are determined by the following scalar perturbation terms

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\prime}\left(\text { scalar, } \nu_{3}\right)= & H_{0}-2 B_{e S_{3}}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)-D_{3} P^{4}+Z_{38} O_{P P 33}(\text { scalar }) \\
& +F_{35} P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)+1 / 2\left[Y_{1}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+Y_{2}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+Y_{3}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)+Y_{4}\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right] P^{2}  \tag{22}\\
& +\left[M_{31}\left(p_{1}{ }^{2}+q_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+M_{322}\left(p_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+M_{333}\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)+M_{344}\left(p_{4}{ }^{2}+r_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The simplest type of scalar vibration-rotation perturbation operators are those which are themselves built up from scalar perturbation operators, that is those for which $k_{1}=k_{2}=k=0$. Operators such as $\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) P^{2}$ fall into this category. Examples of operators with $k_{1}=k_{2}=1, k=0$ are given by $P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right),\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$. $\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)$, and operators such as $O_{P 344}$ (scalar). In all but combination states of $\nu_{3}$ and $\nu_{4}$ their matrix elements can be written down at once. A third class of scalar perturbation operators are those with $k_{1}=k_{2}=2, k=0$. The operator $O_{P P 33}-$ (scalar) is an example [as defined by Eq. (13) $O_{P P 33}($ scalar $)=(5)^{1 / 2} T(00)$ ]. Since this operator contributes to the energy of the rotational levels of the fundamental $\nu_{3}$ its matrix elements will be evaluated explicitly. With $k=0$, the $9-j$
symbol of Eq. (20) reduces to a single $6-j$ symbol and the matrix element is diagonal in $R$ and $K_{R}$ $\left\langle v_{3} l^{\prime} J R K_{R}\right| O_{P P 33}\left|v_{3} l J R K_{R}\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=(-1)^{l+J+R}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
l^{\prime} & l & 2 \\
J & J & R
\end{array}\right\}\left\langle v_{3} l^{\prime}\left\|T_{\mathrm{vib}}\left(2, \frac{p_{3}^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}}{2}\right)\right\| v_{3} l\right\rangle \\
\cdot\left\langle J\left\|T_{\mathrm{rot}}(2)\right\| J\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The reduced matrix elements are listed in Table IV. They have been computed by application of the Wigner-Eckart theorem to specific vibrational and rota-

TABLE IV
Reduced Matrix Elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J\left\|T_{\text {rot }}(0)\right\| J\right\rangle=(2 J+1)^{1 / 2} \quad\left\langle l\left\|T_{\text {vib }}(0)\right\| l\right\rangle=(2 l+1)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\langle J\left\|T_{\text {rot }}(1)\right\| J\right\rangle=[. J(J+1)(2 J+1)]^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\langle J\left\|T_{\text {rot }}(2)\right\| J\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2(6)^{1 / 2}}[(2 J-1) 2 J(2 J+1)(2 J+2)(2 J+3)]^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\langle J\left\|T_{\text {rot }}(3)\right\| J\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4(10)^{1 / 2}}[(2 J-2)(2 J-1) 2 J(2 J+1)(2 J+2)(2 J+3)(2 J+4)]^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\langle J\left\|T_{\text {rot }}(4)\right\| J\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4(70)^{1 / 2}}[(2 J-3)(2 J-2)(2 J-1) \\
& \cdot 2 J(2 J+1)(2 J+2)(2 J+3)(2 J+4)(2 J+5)]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\langle v_{3} l_{3}\left\|T_{\text {vib }}\left(1, l_{3}\right)\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle=\left[l_{3}\left(l_{3}+1\right)\left(2 l_{3}+1\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$
$\left\langle v_{3} l_{3}\left\|T_{\mathrm{vi}}\left[2,\left(p_{3}^{2}+r_{3}^{2}\right) / 2\right]\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle=-\left(2 v_{3}+3\right)\left[\frac{l_{3}\left(l_{3}+1\right)\left(2 l_{3}+1\right)}{6\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)\left(2 l_{3}+3\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}$
$\left\langle v_{3}\left(l_{3}-2\right)\left\|T_{\mathrm{vib}}\left[2,\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) / 2\right]\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle=-\left[\frac{\left(v_{3}+l_{3}+1\right)\left(v_{3}-l_{3}+2\right) l_{3}\left(l_{3}-1\right)}{\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}$
$\left\langle v_{3} l_{3}\left\|T_{v i b}\left[4,\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / 4\right]\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle$

$$
=\frac{\left[3\left(2 v_{3}+3\right)^{2}-\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)\left(2 l_{3}+3\right)\right]\left[\left(2 l_{3}+4\right)\left(2 l_{3}+2\right)\left(2 l_{3}+1\right) 2 l_{3}\left(2 l_{3}-2\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{8\left[70\left(2 l_{3}+5\right)\left(2 l_{3}+3\right)\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)\left(2 l_{3}-3\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}
$$

$\left\langle v_{3}\left(l_{3}-2\right)\left\|T_{\text {vib }}\left[4,\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+4_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / 4\right]\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle$

$$
=\frac{\left(2 v_{3}+3\right)\left[\left(v_{3}+l_{3}+1\right)\left(v_{3}-l_{3}+2\right)\left(2 l_{3}+2\right) 2 l_{3}\left(2 l_{3}-2\right)\left(2 l_{3}-4\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{4\left[7\left(2 l_{3}-5\right)\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)\left(2 l_{3}+3\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}
$$

$\left\langle v_{3}\left(l_{3}-4\right)\left\|T_{\text {vi }}\left[4,\left(p_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / 4\right]\right\| v_{3} l_{3}\right\rangle$

$$
=\frac{\left[\left(v_{3}-l_{3}+4\right)\left(v_{3}-l_{3}+2\right)\left(v_{3}+l_{3}-1\right)\left(v_{3}+l_{3}+1\right)\left(2 l_{3}-6\right)\left(2 l_{3}-4\right)\left(2 l_{3}-2\right) 2 l_{3}\right]^{1 / 2}}{4\left[\left(2 l_{3}-5\right)\left(2 l_{3}-3\right)\left(2 l_{3}-1\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}
$$

tional tensor operators. Since the $6-j$ symbol is zero unless ( $l^{\prime}, l, 2$ ) satisfy the triangular condition of quantum vector addition the operator $O_{P P 33}$ does not contribute to the vibrational ground state energies ( $l=l^{\prime}=0$ ). The $6-j$ symbols with $l, l^{\prime}>0$ can be evaluated from the tables of Edmonds (5). In the fundamental state $\nu_{3}$, with $l=l_{3}=l_{3}{ }^{\prime}=1$, the operator $O_{P P 33}$ has the following eigenvalues

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-1 / 3 \\
& \text { for states } & R=J+1)+1 / 2 J \\
+2 / 3 J(J+1)-1 / 2 & \text { for states } & R=J \\
-1 / 3 J(J+1)-1 / 2(J+1) & \text { for states } & R=J-1
\end{array}
$$

Since the eigenvalues of $\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot 1_{3}\right)$ are $-J, 1$, and $(J+1)$ for the states

$$
R=(J \vdash 1), J, \text { and }(J-1),
$$

respectively, it can be seen that the operator $O_{P P 33}$ gives contributions to both the effective $B$-value (coefficient of the $J(J+1)$ term), and the effective ( $B_{\zeta}$ )value (coefficient of the ( $\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{3}$ ) term) of the fundamental state. It is to be noted, however, that the effective $B$-value for the $J_{J}$ levels $(R=J)$ differs from that of the $J_{J+1}$ and $J_{J-1}$ levels.

The eigenvalues of the operator $P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{3}\right)$ can be written in similar fashion and are

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
-(J+1)^{3}+2 J(J+1)+J & +1 \\
\text { for states } & R=J+1 \\
J(J+1)-1 & +1 \\
\text { for states } & R=J \\
+J^{3}+2 J(J+1)-(J+1)+1 & \text { for states } \\
+2=J-1
\end{array}
$$

so that this operator contributes not only to the effective $B$ and ( $B \zeta$ )-values but also to the vibrational energy (through the constant +1 ) and to a term cubic in the angular momentum quantum numbers. Since the scalar centrifugal distortion term gives a contribution $+4 D_{s}(J+1)^{3}$ to the allowed $P$-branch frequencies of the vibrational fundamentals (transitions to states $J_{J+1}$ ) and a contribution $-4 D_{s} J^{3}$ to the allowed $R$-branch frequencies (transitions to states $J_{J-1}$ ) while it does not affect the allowed $Q$-branch lines (transitions to states $\left.J_{J}\right)$, it can be seen that the operator $P^{2}\left(\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ in practice also makes a contribution to the effective $D$-value. The eigenvalues of the remaining operators of Eq. (22) can be written down at once and together with the above give the expression for the effective rotational constants of the infrared active fundamentals which are written out in Table V.

## TENSOR PERTURBATION OPERATORS. THE SPLITTING PATTERNS

In a state in which only vibrational quanta of $\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}$, and $\nu_{4}$ are excited the splitting of the vibration-rotation levels into tetrahedral sublevels is governed

TABLE V
Effective Rotational Constants of the Infrared Active Fundamentals

| Rotational constant | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & B_{\mathrm{eif}} v_{3}=1^{\mathrm{a}} \quad R=(J-1) \\ & \text { or }(J+1) \end{aligned}$ | $B_{\varepsilon}+(1 / 2) Y_{1}+Y_{2}+(3 / 2) Y_{4}+(5 / 2) Y_{3}-(1 / 3) Z_{3 s}+2 F_{3 s}$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {eff }} \quad v_{3}=1 \quad R=J$ | $B_{e}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_{1}+Y_{2}+(3 / 2) Y_{4}+(5 / 2) Y_{3}+(2 / 3) Z_{3 s}+F_{3 s}$ |
| $B_{\text {eft }} v_{s}=0$ | $B_{e}+(1 / 2) Y_{1}+Y_{2}+(3 / 2) Y_{4}+(3 / 2) Y_{3}$ |
| $\left(B_{5}\right)_{\text {eff }} v_{s}=1$ | $\begin{aligned} & B_{e} \xi_{3}-(1 / 2) M_{31}-M_{322}-(3 / 2) M_{34}-(5 / 2) M_{333}+(1 / 4) Z_{34} \\ & \quad+(1 / 2) F_{35} \end{aligned}$ |
| $D_{\text {eff }}$ | $D_{s}-(1 / 4) F_{3 s}$ |

a Constants for the fundamental $v_{4}=1$ are obtained from the above through an interchange of the indices 3 and 4 .
solely by the fourth-rank tensor operators. In states in which only vibrational quanta of $\nu_{3}$ are excited, for example, the splitting patterns are determined entirely by the following perturbation terms

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{\prime}\left(4, \nu_{3}\right)=- & D_{t} O_{P P P P}(\text { tensor })+F_{3 t} O_{P P P 3}(\text { tensor })+Z_{3 t} O_{P P 33}(\text { tensor }) \\
& \left.+N_{333 t} O_{P 333} \text { (tensor }\right)+T_{33} O_{33}(\text { tensor }) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operators, which are defined by Eqs. (8) through (17), are all normalized in the same way and are of the basic type

$$
\left\{(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)^{1 / 2} T(40)+5[T(44)+T(4-4)]\right\}
$$

They are again built up from vibrational operators of rank $k_{1}$ and rotational operators of rank $k_{2}$. In $O_{P P P P} k_{1}=0, k_{2}=4$; in $O_{P P P 3} k_{1}=1, k_{2}=3$; in $O_{P P 33} k_{1}=2$, $k_{2}=2$; in $O_{P 333} k_{1}=3, k_{2}=1$; while in $O_{33} k_{1}=4, k_{2}=0$. The matrix elements of these operators between states $v l J R$ and $v l^{\prime} J R^{\prime}$ are zero unless ( $l^{\prime}, l, k_{1}$ ) satisfy the triangular condition of quantum vector addition. In the vibrational ground state therefore the only operator with nonzero matrix elements is the operator $O_{P P P P}$ since $l^{\prime}=l=0$ implies $k_{1}=0$. The splitting patterns of the vibrational ground state are therefore governed solely by this operator and increase essentially in proportion to $J^{4}$. With $k_{1}=0, l^{\prime}=l=0, R=J$, the $9-j$ symbol of Eq. (20) reduces to $1 / 3(2 J+1)$. The reduced matrix elements are given in Table IV, and the nonzero matrix elements of $O_{P P P P}$ in the vibrational ground state become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle 0 J J K| O_{P P P P}|0 J J K\rangle=g_{0 J}(J ; J)\langle J 4 K 0 \mid J 4 J K\rangle \\
\langle 0 J J(K \pm 4)| O_{P P P P}|0 J J K\rangle  \tag{24}\\
=g_{0 J}(J ; J)(514)^{1 / 2}\langle J 4 K( \pm 4) \mid J 4 J(K \pm 4)\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
g_{0 J}=[(2 J-3)(J-1)(2 J-1) J(J+1)(2 J+3)(J+2)(2 J+5)]^{1 / 2}
$$

In the state of the vibrational fundamental, $l=l^{\prime}=1$. The triangular condition is satisfied only by operators with $k_{1}=0,1$, or 2 , hence only by the operators $O_{P P P P}, O_{P P P 3}$, and $O_{P P 33}$. The operators $O_{P 333}$ and $O_{33}$ cannot contribute to the energies of $\nu_{3}$. With the reduced matrix elements of Table IV and the $9-j$ symbols evaluated through the use of the $6-j$ symbols tabulated by Edmonds (5), the nonzero matrix elements of the tensor operators for the state $\nu_{3}=1$ become

$$
\left\langle 1 J R^{\prime} K_{R}\right| H^{\prime}(4)\left|1 J R K_{R}\right\rangle=f_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)\left\langle R 4 K_{R} 0 \mid R 4 R^{\prime} K_{R}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle 1 J R^{\prime}\left(K_{R} \pm 4\right)\right| H^{\prime}(4) & \left|1 J R K_{R}\right\rangle  \tag{25}\\
= & f_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)(5 / 14)^{1 / 2}\left\langle R 4 K_{R}( \pm 4) \mid R 4 R^{\prime}\left(K_{R} \pm \mathbf{4}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

in which the coefficients $f_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)$ are listed in Table VI as functions of the pos-

TABLE VI

$$
f_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)=g_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)\left\{\sum t_{i j k} f_{i j k}\left(J, R^{\prime}, R\right)\right\}
$$

| $R^{\prime}$ | $R$ | $f_{1 i}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(J+1)$ | $(J+1)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \left.\frac{(2 J+7)(2 J+6)(2 J+5)(2 J+4) 2 J(2 J-1)}{(2 J+1)(2 J+2)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\ \left\{1 /\left(2 J^{2}-5 J+3\right) t_{044}+(J-1) t_{134}-t_{294}\right\} \end{array}\right.$ |
| $J$ | $(J+1)$ | $\begin{gathered} -\left[\frac{5(2 J+6)(2 J+5)(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J-1)(2 J-2)}{2(2 J+1)(2 J+2)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\ \left\{(2 J-3) t_{044}-1 / 2(J-3) t_{t_{34}}+t_{224}\right\} \end{gathered}$ |
| $(J-1)$ | $(J+1)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{rl} \frac{5(2 J+5)(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J-2)(2 J-3)}{2(2 J+1)} & ]^{1 / 2} \\ \left\{3 t_{044}-3 / 2 t_{134}-t_{224}\right\} \end{array}\right.$ |
| $J$ | $J$ | $\left.\left[\frac{(2 J+5)(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J-1)(2 J-2)(2 J-3)}{2 J(2 J+2)}\right]^{1 / 2}\right]$ |
| $(J-1)$ | $J$ | $\begin{aligned} &-\left[\frac{5(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J-2)(2 J-3)(2 J-4)}{2 \cdot 2 J}\right]^{1 / 2} \\ &\left\{(2 J+5) t_{044}-1 / 2(J+4) t_{134}-t_{224}\right\} \end{aligned}$ |
| $(J-1)$ | $(J-1)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} {\left[\frac{(2 J+3)(2 J+2)(2 J-2)(2 J-3)(2 J-4)(2 J-5)}{2 J(2 J+1)}\right]^{1 / 2}} \\ \left\{\frac{\left(2 J^{2}+9 J+10\right)}{2} t_{044}-(J+2) t_{134}-t_{224}\right\} \end{array}\right.$ |

sible rotational angular momentum quantum numbers $R$ and $R^{\prime}$. It is convenient to use the following notation in addition:

$$
f_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)=g_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)\left\{\sum_{i j k} t_{i j k} f_{i j k}\left(J, R^{\prime}, R\right)\right\}
$$

in which the $t_{i j k}$ are the coefficients of the perturbation operators with subscripts $i=k_{1}, j=k_{2}$, and $k=4$ to indicate their specific tensor character. To third order of approximation $t_{044}=-D_{t}, t_{134}=F_{3 t}$, and $t_{224}=Z_{3 t}$. The ClebschGordan coefficients which occur in Eqs. (24) and (25) are given as functions of $J$ and $K$ in Table VII. The matrix elements of the Hamiltonian, $H^{\prime}(4)$, can connect only vibration-rotational substates of the same tetrahedral symmetry, for example $A_{1}$ states with $A_{1}, E_{c}$ states with $E_{\varepsilon}, \cdots$. Tetrahedrally irreducible harmonic functions have been tabulated by Jahn (9) up to the tenth angular momentum quantum number. Since they involve specific linear combinations of the vibration-rotation wave functions, $\psi_{R K_{R}}$, with different values of $K_{R}$, the matrix elements of $H^{\prime}(4)$ between the tetrahderal substates always involve

TABLE VII
Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle J 4 K 0 \mid J 4 J K\rangle=\frac{6 J(J-1)(J+1)(J+2)-10 K^{2}\left(6 J^{2}+6 J-5\right)+70 K^{4}}{[(2 J+5)(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J+2) 2 J(2 J-1)(2 J-2)(2 J-3)]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \langle J 4 K 0 \mid J 4(J-1) K\rangle=\frac{K\left(3 J^{2}-5-7 K^{2}\right)[5(J-K)(J+K)]^{1 / 2}}{[(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(J+1)(2 J+1) J(J-1)(2 J-3)(J-2)]^{1 / 2}} \\
& (J+1) 4 K 0|(J+1) 4(J-1) K\rangle \\
& =\frac{-2\left(J^{2}+J-2-7 K^{2}\right)[10(J-K)(J+K)(J-K+1)(J+K+1)]^{1 /}}{[(2 J+5)(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J+2)(2 J+1) 2 J(2 J-2)(2 J-3)]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \langle J 4(K+4)-4 \mid J 4 J K\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle J 4(K+4)-4 \mid J 4(J-1) K\rangle \\
& =\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
2[14(J+K)(J+K+1)(J+K+2)(J+K+3)(J+K+4)(J-K-1) \\
\\
{[(2 J+4)(2 J+3)(2 J+2)(2 J+1) 2 J(2 J-2)(2 J-3)(2 J-4)]^{1 / 2}}
\end{array}
\end{array} \\
& \langle(J+1) 4(K+4)-4 \mid(J+1) 4(J-1) K\rangle \\
& =\frac{2[7(J+K)(J+K+1)(J+K+2)(J+K+3)(J+K+4)(J+K+5)}{[(J-K-1)(J-K-2)]^{1 / 2}}-
\end{aligned}
$$

specific linear combinations of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of Eqs. (24) and (25). l'or example, the wave function for the state $3_{4} F_{2 z}$ the ( $F_{2 z}$ vibration-rotational substate of the level with $J=3, R=4$ ) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}}\left(\psi_{4-2}-\psi_{42}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix element, diagonal in $R$, for this particular substate, is therefore proportional to the following linear combination of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

$$
g_{13}(4 ; 4) \cdot 1 / 2\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\langle 44-20 \mid 444-2\rangle+\langle 4420 \mid 4442\rangle  \tag{27}\\
-(5 / 4)^{1 / 2}[\langle 44-24 \mid 4442\rangle+\langle 442-4 \mid 444-2\rangle]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with the numerical value - (390/7). Numerical values such as this one are given in Table VIII where the numbers listed are $g_{1 J}\left(R^{\prime} ; R\right)$ multiplied by the specific linear combinations of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients required by the symmetry of the tetrahedral substate. Only matrix elements connecting states $J_{J+1}$ with $J_{J+1}, J_{J}$, and $J_{J-1}$ are tabulated, since matrix elements connecting states $(J+1)_{J+1}$ with $(J+1)_{J+1}$ and $(J+1)_{J}$, for example, or those connecting states $(J+2)_{J+1}$ with $(J+2)_{J+1}$ involve exactly the same linear combinations of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients as those listed and differ from the numbers given in Table VIII only by the ratios of the $g_{l J}$ values. For example, the wave functions for the states $5_{4} F_{2 z}$ and $4_{4} F_{1} \xi$, with $R=4$, also involve the linear combination of $K_{R}$ values of Eq. (26). ${ }^{5}$ The matrix elements, diagonal in $R$, for these two states are therefore given by $\left(g_{15} / g_{13}\right)(-390 / 7)$ and $\left(g_{11} / g_{13}\right)(-390 / 7)$, respectively.

As an example the complete Hamiltonian matrix for the substates $F_{2}$ of $J=3$ is exhibited on page 378 (I).

If the values of the $t_{i j k}$ are small compared with $B \zeta J$; that is, if the elements off-diagonal in $R$ are small compared with the differences between the diagonal matrix elements, the energies of the three states $3_{R} F_{2}$ are given to good approximation by the diagonal matrix elements. The off-diagonal matrix elements give contributions to the energies of the order of $\left(B^{3} / \zeta \omega^{2}\right) J^{3}$, a quantity which is formally of third order in the Nielsen et al. $(12,13)$ ordering scheme; but the offdiagonal matrix elements may in some cases give sizable contributions to the energies. In dominant approximation, in which the off-diagonal matrix elements are neglected, the tetrahedral substate $3_{4} F_{2}$ is split from its unperturbed position by an amount $-(390 / 7)\left(3 t_{044}+2 t_{134}-t_{224}\right)$. From Table VIII it can be seen
${ }^{5}$ It must be remembered that the wave functions for states $3_{4}$ and $5_{4}$ with $J+l_{3}$ even transform according to $D_{g}{ }^{4}$, whereas those for $4_{4}$ with $J+l_{3}$ odd transform according to $D_{3}{ }^{4}$. Those lincar combinations of spherical harmonics which transform according to $F_{2}$ in a $g$ representation transform according to $F_{1}$ in a $u$ representation and vice versa. A change from $g$ to $u$ also involves an interchange of $A_{1}$ with $A_{2}$ and $E_{e}$ with $E_{f}$.

|  | $3{ }_{4} F_{2}$ | $3{ }_{3} F_{2}$ | $3{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }_{4} F_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 B_{\text {eff }}-144 D+6 B \zeta \\ & -\frac{390}{7}\left(3 t_{044}+2 t_{134}-t_{244}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{i 150}{(7)^{1 / 2}}\left(3 t_{044}+t_{224}\right)$ | $\frac{60(5)^{1 / 2}}{7}\left(3 t_{044}-\frac{3}{2} t_{134}-t_{244}\right)$ |
| $3{ }_{3} F_{2}$ | $-\frac{i 150}{(7)^{1 / 2}}\left(3 t_{044}+t_{224}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 B_{\text {eff }}^{\prime}-144 D-2 B \zeta \\ & -5\left(2 t_{044}+4 t_{134}+2 t_{224}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $-\frac{i 20(5)^{1 / 2}}{(7)^{1 / 2}}\left(11 t_{044}-\frac{7}{2} t_{134}-t_{224}\right)$ |
| $3{ }_{2} F_{2}$ | $\frac{60(5)^{1 / 2}}{7}\left(3 t_{044}-\frac{3}{2} t_{134}-t_{224}\right)$ | $\frac{i 20(5)^{1 / 2}}{(7)^{1 / 2}}\left(11 t_{044}-\frac{7}{2} t_{134}-t_{224}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 B_{\mathrm{eff}}-144 D-8 B \xi \\ & -\frac{16}{7}\left(\frac{55}{2} t_{044}-5 t_{134}-t_{224}\right) \end{aligned}$ |

(I)
TABLE VIII

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The numbers tabulated are $g_{J 1}\left(R^{\prime}, R\right)$ times the linear combination of ClebschGordan coefficients required by ;he symmetry.

TABLE VIII-Continued

| 89 | $A_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | E | $F_{1}{ }^{(1)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(2)}$ | $F_{2}^{(1)}$ | $F_{2}^{(2)}$ | $F_{2}^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 ${ }_{(2)}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\frac{-3.720}{17}$ | $\frac{-4.760}{51}$ | $\frac{40}{51}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{11,140}{51} \\ & \frac{700 \sqrt{13}}{17 \sqrt{3}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{700 \sqrt{13}}{17 \sqrt{3}} \\ & \frac{-3,020}{17} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{2.640}{17} \\ \frac{40 \sqrt{5,005}}{17} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{40 \sqrt{5,005}}{17} \\ & \frac{-1,120}{17} \\ & \frac{200 \sqrt{7}}{3 \sqrt{17}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ \frac{200 \sqrt{7}}{3 \sqrt{17}} \\ -\frac{1,360}{51} \end{gathered}$ |
| $8_{8}^{(2)}$ |  | i $\frac{40 \sqrt{455}}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 280 \sqrt{715}}{\sqrt{158 i}} \\ & \frac{-i 8,200 \sqrt{7}}{3 \sqrt{527}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 25 \sqrt{154}}{3 \sqrt{17}} \\ & \frac{i 35 \sqrt{130}}{\sqrt{51}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 25 \sqrt{2,002}}{\sqrt{5 i}} \\ & \frac{i 35 \sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{17}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{10 \sqrt{3,850}}{\sqrt{17}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 70 \sqrt{130}}{\sqrt{17}} \\ & +\frac{i 50 \sqrt{14}}{\sqrt{17}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 10 \sqrt{910}}{3} \\ & \frac{i 700 \sqrt{2}}{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| $8_{7}^{(1)}$ | $\frac{-40 \sqrt{15,015}}{17}$ |  | $\frac{-120 \sqrt{455}}{17}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{-5 \sqrt{2,310}}{17} \\ \frac{5 \sqrt{2,730}}{17} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{25 \sqrt{10,010}}{17} \\ & \frac{455 \sqrt{70}}{17} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2,100 \sqrt{2}}{17} \\ & \frac{50 \sqrt{462}}{17} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{30 \sqrt{10,010}}{17} \\ & \frac{70 \sqrt{390}}{17} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{10 \sqrt{2.730}}{\sqrt{17}} \end{gathered}$ |


| 910 | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $E^{(1)}$ | $E^{(2)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(1)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(2)}$ | $F_{2}^{(1)}$ | $F_{2}^{(2)}$ | $F_{2}{ }^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9{ }_{10}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\frac{-1,980}{19}$ | 300 | $\frac{7,164}{29}$ | $\frac{2,016 \sqrt{2,210}}{19 \cdot 29}$ | $\frac{-780}{19}$ | $\frac{180 \sqrt{19}}{}$ | $\frac{-660}{19}$ | $\frac{420 \sqrt{26}}{19}$ |  |
| (2) |  |  | $\frac{2,016 \sqrt{2,210}}{19.29}$ | $\frac{-86,700}{19 \cdot 29}$ | $\frac{180}{19} \sqrt{51}$ | $\frac{-3,060}{19}$ | $\frac{420 \sqrt{26}}{19}$ | $\frac{-3,690}{19}$ | $\frac{6 \sqrt{255}}{\sqrt{19}}$ |
| (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\frac{6 \sqrt{255}}{\sqrt{19}}$ | 306 |
| $9{ }_{9}^{(1)}$ <br> (2) <br> (3) | $\frac{-i 48 \sqrt{1,309}}{\sqrt{19}}$ | i16 39 | $\frac{-i 336 \sqrt{65}}{\sqrt{551}}$ | $\frac{i 1,489 \sqrt{17}}{\sqrt{1,102}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-\mathrm{i} 28 \sqrt{2,145}}{\sqrt{19}} \\ & \frac{-\mathrm{i} 244 \sqrt{21}}{\sqrt{19}} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{i} 20 \sqrt{51}}{\sqrt{19}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{-160 \sqrt{19}}{\sqrt{19}} \\ \frac{11,224}{\sqrt{19}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 686 \sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{19}} \\ & \frac{i 70 \sqrt{26}}{\sqrt{19}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 140 \sqrt{39}}{\sqrt{19}} \\ & \frac{-i 344}{\sqrt{19}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ -i 4 \sqrt{255} \end{gathered}$ |
| $9_{8}^{(2)}$ | $\frac{-480 \sqrt{143}}{19}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-480 \sqrt{165}}{\sqrt{899}} \\ & \frac{4,800 \sqrt{91}}{19 \sqrt{899}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1,120 \sqrt{14,586}}{19 \sqrt{899}} \\ & \frac{360 \sqrt{1,190}}{19 \sqrt{899}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{40 \sqrt{546}}{19} \\ & \frac{20 \sqrt{30}}{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{60 \sqrt{3,094}}{19} \\ & \frac{360 \sqrt{170}}{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-140 \sqrt{1,155}}{19} \\ & \frac{140 \sqrt{13}}{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{20 \sqrt{30,030}}{19} \\ & \frac{3,280 \sqrt{2}}{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{20 \sqrt{510}}{\sqrt{19}} \end{gathered}$ |


| $10_{11}$ | $A_{1}$ | $E^{(1)}$ | $E^{(2)}$ | $F_{1}^{(1)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(2)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(3)}$ | $F_{2}{ }^{(1)}$ | $F_{2}{ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 ${ }_{11}^{(1)}$ | $\frac{5,360}{77}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-878,000}{8.239} \\ & \frac{960 \sqrt{33}, 915}{1,177} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{960 \sqrt{33,915}}{1.177} \\ & \frac{-17,840}{1,177} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{2,400}{7} \\ \frac{120 \sqrt{170}}{11} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{120 \sqrt{170}}{11} \\ & \frac{-14,940}{77} \\ & \frac{300 \sqrt{19}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{300 \sqrt{19}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \\ \frac{380}{11} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{17,160}{77} \\ & \frac{600 \sqrt{13}}{\sqrt{77}} \end{aligned}$ $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{600 \sqrt{13}}{\sqrt{77}} \\ & \frac{-120}{11} \\ & \frac{40 \sqrt{4,845}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{40 \sqrt{4,845}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \\ \frac{-19,000}{77} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 10_{10}^{(1)} \\ (2) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{-i 480 \sqrt{969}}{11 \sqrt{7}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{150,400 \sqrt{39}}{11 / \sqrt{21,721}} \\ & \frac{i 4,800 \sqrt{510}}{\sqrt{21,721}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{11,440 \sqrt{20,995}}{11 \sqrt{3.103}} \\ & \frac{110,920 \sqrt{38}}{11 \sqrt{3,103}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{-i 120 \sqrt{105}}{11} \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{i 40 \sqrt{4.641}}{11} \\ & \frac{i 530 \sqrt{102}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \\ & \frac{-i 30 \sqrt{190}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{i 30 \sqrt{1,938}}{11} \\ \frac{-i 1,710 \sqrt{10}}{11} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{-i 60 \sqrt{182}}{\sqrt{11}} \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{i 2,460 \sqrt{2}}{11} \\ & \frac{-i 60 \sqrt{102}}{11} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{i 60 \sqrt{9,690}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \\ & \frac{-i 360 \sqrt{190}}{11 \sqrt{7}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $109_{9}^{(1)}$ <br> (2) | $\frac{-240 \sqrt{663}}{7 \sqrt{11}}$ | $\frac{-3.960 \sqrt{50}}{7 \sqrt{77}}$ | $\frac{80 \sqrt{6,783}}{\sqrt{1,177}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-100 \sqrt{195}}{7 \sqrt{11}} \\ & \frac{60 \sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{20 \sqrt{1,326}}{\sqrt{11}} \\ & \frac{960 \sqrt{34}}{7 \sqrt{71}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{60 \sqrt{646}}{\sqrt{77}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{-180 \sqrt{110}}{7} \\ \frac{60 \sqrt{26}}{\sqrt{7}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{60 \sqrt{130}}{\sqrt{7}} \\ & \frac{20 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{17}} \\ & \frac{20 \sqrt{34}}{\sqrt{77}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{20 \sqrt{9,690}}{\sqrt{77}} \\ \frac{340 \sqrt{570}}{7 \sqrt{11}} \end{gathered}$ |


TABLLE VIII-Continued

| 12.13 | A, | $A_{2}$ | $E^{(1)}$ | $E^{(2)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(1)}$ | $F_{1}{ }^{(2)}$ | $F_{1}^{(3)}$ | $F_{2}{ }^{(1)}$ | $F_{2}{ }^{(2)}$ | $F_{2}^{(3)}$ | $F_{2}^{(4)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12_{13}^{(1)}$ <br> (2) <br> (3) <br> (4) | $\frac{-14.424}{65}$ | $\frac{7.080}{65}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \frac{58,920}{1,105} \\ -\frac{576 \sqrt{144,210}}{1,105} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-576 \sqrt{144,210}}{1,105} \\ & \frac{-330,648}{1,105} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{31,800}{65} \\ \frac{72 \sqrt{35,530}}{65} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{72 \sqrt{35,530}}{65} \\ & \frac{-10,404}{65} \\ & \frac{84 \sqrt{253}}{13} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{84 \sqrt{253}}{13} \\ \frac{-19,044}{65} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{19.656}{65} \\ & \frac{120 \sqrt{2,618}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{120 \sqrt{2.618}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \\ & \frac{4.056}{65} \\ & \frac{756 \sqrt{190}}{65} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{756 \sqrt{190}}{65} \\ \frac{-21.240}{65} \\ \frac{12 \sqrt{2,530}}{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{12 \sqrt{2,530}}{13} \\ \frac{8,280}{65} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ 12_{12}^{(2)} \\ \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{112 \sqrt{3,553}}{13}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 72 \sqrt{4.522}}{\sqrt{533}} \\ & \frac{-i 2,008 \sqrt{759}}{13 \sqrt{41}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 888 \sqrt{770}}{13 \sqrt{89}} \\ & \frac{130.888 \sqrt{95}}{13 \sqrt{1.513}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-i 240 \sqrt{9,177}}{13 \sqrt{89}} \\ & \frac{-i 424 \sqrt{1,518}}{13 \sqrt{1.513}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{-i 72 \sqrt{55}}{13} \\ \frac{-i 168 \sqrt{2.805}}{13 \sqrt{14}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{i} 180 \sqrt{646}}{13} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{i} 250 \sqrt{399}}{13} \\ & \frac{-\mathrm{i} 210 \sqrt{11}}{13} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{i 10 \sqrt{100,947}}{13} \\ \frac{-i 990 \sqrt{23}}{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{-i 60 \sqrt{462}}{\sqrt{13}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{i 780 \sqrt{51}}{13} \\ \frac{-i 90 \sqrt{266}}{13} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{i 42 \sqrt{9,690}}{13} \\ & \frac{i 216 \sqrt{35}}{13} \\ & \frac{-i 2 \sqrt{7,590}}{13} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{130 \sqrt{3,542}}{13} \\ \frac{-i 5,060 \sqrt{3}}{13} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 12_{11}^{(1)} \\ (2) \\ (3) \end{array}$ | $\frac{-48 \sqrt{49.742}}{5 \sqrt{13}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-1,392 \sqrt{154}}{\sqrt{1,391}} \\ & \frac{672 \sqrt{1,254}}{\sqrt{118,235}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-288 \sqrt{9,177}}{\sqrt{6,955}} \\ & \frac{-23,688 \sqrt{23}}{5 \sqrt{23,647}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-48 \sqrt{77}}{\sqrt{13}} \\ & \frac{12 \sqrt{2,618}}{\sqrt{65}} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{504 \sqrt{323}}{\sqrt{910}} \\ & \frac{294 \sqrt{133}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \\ & \frac{42}{\sqrt{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{6 \sqrt{33,649}}{\sqrt{13}} \\ \frac{546 \sqrt{253}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-4,004 \sqrt{3}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \\ & 12 \sqrt{231} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \sqrt{7,854} \\ & \frac{-168 \sqrt{102}}{5 \sqrt{13}} \\ & \frac{18 \sqrt{266}}{\sqrt{65}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{84 \sqrt{969}}{\sqrt{65}} \\ \frac{588 \sqrt{7}}{\sqrt{13}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{6 \sqrt{17.710}}{\sqrt{13}} \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE IX
Tetrahedral Harmonics. $J=11,12,13$

that the $E, F_{1}$, and $A_{1}$ tetrahedral substates of $3_{4}$ are similarly split from their unperturbed position by amounts of $+(60 / 7),+30$, and +60 , all times

$$
\left(3 t_{044}+2 t_{134}-t_{224}\right)
$$

The four levels are therefore split from their common center of gravity in the ratios $-13,2,7$, and 14 , the splitting pattern used as an example in connection with Table I. In the same approximation the $F_{2}, F_{1}, E$, and second $F_{2}$ substates of $4_{5}$ are split from their unperturbed position by the amounts $+16(21)^{1 / 2}$, $(112 / 3),-56$, and $-16(21)^{1 / 2}$ all times $\left[(15 / 2) t_{044}+3 t_{134}-t_{224}\right]$, see Tables VI and VIII, where the splitting ratios are characteristic of any state with $R=5$. The numbers $\pm 16(21)^{1 / 2}$ are the eigenvalues of the $2 \times 2 F_{2}$ matrix, diagonal in $R$. The examples show that the fourth-rank tensor operators do not shift the center of gravity of a vibration-rotation level and therefore cannot make any contribution to the effective $B, D$, and zeta values of the bands. (The $A, E$, and $F$ levels are of course given weights of 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, in determining their common center of gravity.)

In the second paper of this series the theoretical predictions will be compared with the recent high resolution spectra of the fundamental $\nu_{3}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (1). In order to get good agreement between theory and experiment the matrix elements off-diagonal in the quantum number $R$ must be taken into account. The basic splitting patterns are therefore all deformed to a certain extent. This effect may become particularly significant in the case of large angular moment um quantum numbers. The true wave functions are mixtures of the wave functions for the three types of states $J_{J+1}, J_{J}$, and $J_{J-1}$. As a result some of the lines in the infrared-forbidden $P^{0}, P^{-}, Q^{-}, Q^{+}, R^{0}$, and $R^{+}$branches become active. Such lines have been observed by Plyler and Allen (1,1/4). Since the most severe test of the theory is given by states of large angular momentum the calculations have been carried out to include states with angular momenta of 13. The tetrahedrally irreducible harmonic functions for $J=11,12$, and 13 are listed in Table IX, an extension of the table of tetrahedral harmonic functions given by Jahn (9). The notation of Jahn has been used in connection with Table IX. The $C_{K}{ }^{J}$, $V_{K}{ }^{J}, W_{o}{ }^{J}$ are real spherical harmonics as defined in (9).

## APPENDIX I. COEFFICIENTS OF THE VIBRATION-ROTATION HAMILTONIAN

The cubic and quartic parts of the potential function, $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, have been given by Shaffer et al. (7) but are listed again below in order to establish the notation. There are some minor differences between $V_{2}$ and that listed in Ref. 7 . $V_{1}=c_{111} q_{1}{ }^{3}+c_{122} q_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{123} q_{1} r_{3}{ }^{2}+c_{144} q_{1} r_{4}{ }^{2}+c_{134} q_{1}\left(\mathrm{r}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{r}_{4}\right)+c_{333} x_{3} y_{3} z_{3}+c_{444} r_{4} y_{4} z_{4}$
$+c_{344}\left(x_{3} y_{4} z_{4}+y_{3} x_{4} z_{4}+z_{3} x_{4} y_{4}\right)+c_{334}\left(x_{4} y_{3} z_{3}+y_{4} x_{3} z_{3}+z_{4} x_{3} y_{3}\right)$
$+c_{222}\left(3 e^{2} f-f^{3}\right)+c_{233}\left[e\left(x_{3}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{3}{ }^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}-2 z_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
$+c_{244}\left[e\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}-y_{4}{ }^{2}\right)+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}+y_{4}{ }^{2}-2 z_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
$+c_{234}\left[e\left(x_{3} x_{4}-y_{3} y_{4}\right)+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{3} x_{4}+y_{3} y_{4}-2 z_{3} z_{4}\right)\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{2}=d_{1111} q_{1}^{4}+d_{1122} q_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}+d_{1133} q_{1}^{2} r_{3}^{2}+d_{1144} q_{1}^{2} r_{4}^{2}+d_{2222} r_{2}^{4}+d_{22338} r_{2}^{2} r_{3}^{2} \\
& +d_{22448} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{4}^{2}+d_{33338} r_{3}^{4}+d_{4444} r_{4}^{4}+d_{3344 s} r_{3}^{2} r_{4}{ }^{2}+d_{33446 h}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)^{2} \\
& +d_{2233 t}\left[e f\left(x_{3}{ }^{2}-y_{3}{ }^{2}\right)+\left(e^{2}-f^{2}\right)\left(x_{3}{ }^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}-2 z_{3}{ }^{2}\right) / 2 \sqrt{3}\right] \\
& +d_{2244}\left[e f\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}-y_{4}{ }^{2}\right)+\left(c^{2}-f^{2}\right)\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}+y_{4}{ }^{2}-2 z_{4}{ }^{2}\right) / 2 \sqrt{3}\right] \\
& +d_{3333 t}\left(x_{3}{ }^{4}+y_{3}{ }^{4}+z_{3}{ }^{4}-3 x_{3}{ }^{2} y_{3}{ }^{2}-3 x_{3}{ }^{2} z_{3}{ }^{2}-3 y_{3}{ }^{2} z_{3}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +d_{444 t}\left(x_{4}{ }^{4}+y_{4}{ }^{4}+z_{4}{ }^{4}-3 x_{4}{ }^{2} y_{4}{ }^{2}-3 x_{4}{ }^{2} z_{4}{ }^{2}-3 y_{4}{ }^{2} z_{4}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +d_{3344 t}\left(3 x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}^{2}+3 y_{3}{ }^{2} y_{4}^{2}+3 z_{3}^{2} z_{4}^{2}-r_{3}^{2} r_{4}{ }^{2}-4 x_{3} y_{3} x_{4} y_{4}-4 x_{3} z_{3} x_{4} z_{4}\right. \\
& \left.-4 y_{3} z_{3} y_{4} z_{4}\right)+d_{1134} q_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)+d_{22348} r_{2}{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)+d_{3334 s} r_{3}{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right) \\
& +d_{3444} r_{4}^{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)+d_{22341}\left[e f\left(x_{3} x_{4}-y_{3} y_{4}\right)+\left(e^{2}-f^{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}+y_{3} y_{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 z_{3} z_{4}\right) / 2 \sqrt{3}\right]+d_{2344}(-\sqrt{3} e-f) x_{3} y_{4} z_{4}+(\sqrt{3} e-f) y_{3} x_{4} z_{4} \\
& \left.+2 f z_{3} x_{4} y_{4}\right]+d_{2334}\left[(-\sqrt{3} e-f) x_{4} y_{3} z_{3}+(\sqrt{3} e-f) y_{4} x_{3} z_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+2 f z_{4} x_{3} y_{3}\right]+d_{3334}\left[5\left(x_{4} x_{3}{ }^{3}+y_{4} y_{3}{ }^{3}+z_{4} z_{3}{ }^{3}\right)-3 r_{3}{ }^{2}\left(r_{3} \cdot r_{4}\right)\right] \\
& +d_{344}\left[5\left(x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{3}+y_{3} y_{4}{ }^{3}+z_{3} z_{4}{ }^{3}\right)-3 r_{4}{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{4}\right)\right]+d_{1222} q_{1}\left(3 e^{2} f-f^{3}\right) \\
& +d_{1333} q_{1} x_{3} y_{3} z_{3}+d_{1444} q_{1} x_{4} y_{4} z_{4}+d_{1233} q_{1}\left[e\left(x_{3}{ }^{2}-y_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{3}{ }^{2}+y_{3}{ }^{2}-2 z_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right]+d_{1234} q_{1}\left[e\left(x_{3} x_{4}-y_{3} y_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{3} x_{4}+y_{3} y_{4}-2 z_{3} z_{4}\right)\right]+d_{1244} q_{1}\left[e\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}-y_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(f / \sqrt{3})\left(x_{4}{ }^{2}+y_{4}{ }^{2}-2 z_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]+d_{1341} q_{1}\left(x_{3} y_{4} z_{4}+y_{3} x_{4} z_{4}+z_{3} x_{4} y_{4}\right) \\
& +d_{1334} q_{1}\left[x_{4} y_{3} z_{3}+y_{4} x_{3} z_{3}+z_{4} x_{3} y_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of the pure vibrational perturbation terms are given below as functions of the cubic and quartic potential constants.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{11}= & \frac{3}{2} d_{1111}-\frac{15}{4} \frac{c_{111}^{2}}{\omega_{1}}, \\
X_{22}= & \frac{3}{2} d_{2222}-\frac{15}{4} \frac{c_{222}^{2}}{\omega_{2}}+\frac{c_{122}^{2}}{4 \omega_{1}} \frac{\left(3 \omega_{1}{ }^{2}-8 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(4 \omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)}, \\
X_{12}= & d_{1122}-\frac{2 c_{122}^{2} \omega_{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{3 c_{111} c_{122}}{\omega_{1}}, \\
X_{13}= & d_{1133}-\frac{2 c_{133}^{2} \omega_{3}}{\left(4 \omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
& +\overline{2} \overline{\left(\omega_{1}+\overline{\omega_{3}}+\overline{\omega_{4}}\right)\left(\overline{\omega_{1}} \overline{+} \overline{\omega_{3}}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)} \begin{aligned}
&\left.\omega_{3}^{2}\right) \\
&-\frac{3 c_{111} c_{133}}{\omega_{1}},
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{23}= & d_{2233 s}-\frac{4}{3} \frac{c_{233 \omega_{3}}^{\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{c_{122} c_{133}}{\omega_{1}}+\frac{B}{2} \frac{\zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}}{} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{234}^{2} \omega_{4}\left(\omega_{4}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)}, \\
X_{33}= & \frac{3}{2} d_{3333 s}-\frac{c_{333}^{2}}{12 \omega_{3}}+\frac{c_{133}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{1}{ }^{2}-8 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{4 \omega_{1}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{2 c_{233}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}-8 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{15 \omega_{2}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{c_{334}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}-8 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{20 \omega_{4}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X_{34}=d_{334 s a}+\frac{1}{3} d_{3344 b}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{c_{344 \omega_{4}}^{2}}{4 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{c_{334}^{2} \omega_{3}}{4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
-\frac{c_{133} c_{144}}{\omega_{1}}+\frac{2}{3} B \zeta_{34}^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}^{2}+\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}
$$

$$
+\frac{c_{134}^{2} \omega_{1}\left(\omega_{1}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{6\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{2 c_{234}^{2} \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}{9\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
G_{22}=-\frac{1}{2} d_{2222}+\frac{21}{4} \frac{c_{222}^{2}}{\omega_{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{c_{122}^{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
G_{33}=-\frac{1}{2} d_{33338}+\frac{1}{12} \frac{c_{333}^{2}}{\omega_{3}}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{c_{133}^{2} \omega_{1}}{\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}+B \zeta_{3}{ }^{2}+\frac{2 c_{233}^{2}\left(6 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{15 \omega_{2}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{20} \frac{c_{334}^{2}\left(6 \omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}{\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}
$$

$$
G_{34}=\frac{B}{2} \zeta_{34}^{2}+2 B \zeta_{3} \zeta_{4}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{344 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}}^{\omega_{3}\left(4 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{433}^{2}}{\omega_{4}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}, ~}{\text { a }}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{134}^{2} \omega_{1} \omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{3} \frac{c_{234}^{2} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
S_{34}=\frac{1}{4} d_{334 s b}-\frac{1}{40}\left[\frac{\omega_{4} c_{344}^{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{4}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)}+\frac{\omega_{3} c_{334}^{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}\right]-\frac{c_{233} c_{244}}{5 \omega_{2}}
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{4} B \zeta_{34}{ }^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}-\frac{3}{40}\left(\frac{c_{444} c_{334}}{\omega_{4}}+\frac{c_{333} c_{344}}{\omega_{3}}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\left.c_{134 \omega_{1}\left(\omega_{1}\right.}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{8\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)}
$$

$$
+\frac{c_{234}^{2} \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{60\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{34}= & d_{3344}+\frac{1}{10}\left[\frac{\omega_{4} c_{344}^{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{\omega_{3} c_{334}^{2}}{\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\right]-\frac{c_{233} c_{244}}{5 \omega_{2}}-\frac{1}{20}\left(\frac{c_{444} c_{334}}{\omega_{4}}+\frac{c_{333} c_{344}}{\omega_{3}}\right) \\
& +\frac{c_{234}^{2} \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{10\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)}, \\
T_{33}= & \frac{3}{8} d_{3333 t}+\frac{1}{48} \frac{c_{333}^{2}}{\omega_{3}}+\frac{1}{20} \frac{c_{233}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}-8 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\omega_{2}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{c_{334}^{2}\left(8 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-3 \omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{80 \omega_{4}\left(4 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}, \\
T_{23}= & \frac{d_{2233 t}}{8 \sqrt{3}}-\frac{\sqrt{ } 3}{4} \frac{c_{222} c_{233}}{\omega_{2}}-\frac{c_{233 \omega_{3}}^{6\left(4 \omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{B}{16} \zeta_{23}^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}}{} \\
& +\frac{c_{234 \omega_{4}\left(\omega_{4}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}^{24\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{2}\right)} .}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient $X_{44}$ is obtained from $X_{33}$ by replacing the index 3 by 4 , etc.
The scalar and tensor $D$ values and the second-order vibration-rotation interaction constants are listed next.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{s}=\left(\frac{8}{3} \frac{1}{\omega_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{8}{15} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{4}{5} \frac{\zeta_{23}^{2}}{\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{4}{5} \frac{\zeta_{24}^{2}}{\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}\right) B^{3}, \\
& D_{t}=\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\zeta_{23}^{2}}{\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\zeta_{24}^{2}}{\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}\right) B^{3} \\
& Y_{1}=\sqrt{6}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{3 / 2} c_{111}+\frac{4 B^{2}}{\omega_{1}}, \\
& Y_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{3 / 2} c_{122}+\frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{2}}+\frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{2}}\left[\frac{\zeta_{24}^{2}\left(\omega_{4}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{\zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}\right] \text {, } \\
& Y_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{2 / 2} c_{133}+\frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{3}} \zeta_{23}^{2}-\frac{2 B^{2} \zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{3 \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{4 B^{2} \zeta_{34}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{3 \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}, \\
& Z_{38}=\frac{3}{10} \frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{3}} \zeta_{23}^{2}-\frac{B^{2} \zeta^{2}{ }_{23}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{5 \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{2 B^{2} \zeta_{34}^{2}\left(3 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\omega_{3}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{2}{5} c_{233}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \\
& -\frac{3}{10} \zeta_{23} c_{333}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{3}}\right)^{3 / 2}-\frac{3}{10} \zeta_{24} c_{334}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{3 / 2}, \\
& Z_{3 t}=-\frac{3}{10} \frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{3}} \zeta_{23}^{2}-\frac{3 B^{2} \zeta_{\zeta 3}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{10 \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{c_{233}}{10}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{2}}\right)^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{20} \zeta_{23} c_{333}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{3}}\right)^{3 / 2} \\
& +\frac{1}{20} \zeta_{24} C_{334}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{3 / 2} \text {, } \\
& Z_{2}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{2}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{B^{2}}{\omega_{2}}\left[\frac{\zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{\zeta_{24}^{2}\left(\omega_{4}{ }^{2}+3 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} c_{222}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, some of the third-order interaction constants are listed. Only the very simplest coefficient $M_{i j k}$ is listed as an example since these coefficients are very complicated functions of both the cubic and quartic potential constants.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{3 \mathrm{~s}}=\frac{32}{3} \zeta_{3} \frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{B_{3}}{\omega_{2}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{32}{15} \zeta_{3}+\frac{8}{5} \zeta_{23}^{2}\right)+\frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{48}{5} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{23}-\frac{4}{5} \zeta_{23}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{32}{5} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{24}+\frac{16}{5} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{34}\right)+\frac{12}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{23}^{2}}{\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{24}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{34}^{2}}{\left(\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{24}{5} B^{3} \frac{\zeta_{3} \zeta_{23}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}+16\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{4}\right) \zeta_{34}^{2} \frac{B^{3}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{24}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{24} \zeta_{34}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}+2 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{4}{5} \frac{c_{233}(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{\zeta_{23}}{ }^{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& -\frac{2}{5} \frac{c_{234}(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{24}}{\omega_{4}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{4(2 B)^{5 / 2} c_{234} \zeta_{34}}{15 \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\omega_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& -\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{(2 B)^{5 / 2} c_{134} \zeta_{34}}{\omega_{1}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{2}{5} \frac{(2 B)^{5 / 2}\left[c_{334} \zeta_{23}\left(\omega_{4}\right)^{3 / 2}+c_{344} \zeta_{24}\left(\omega_{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\right]}{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}, \\
& F_{3 t}=\frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{2}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{4}{5} \zeta_{3}+\frac{3}{5} \zeta_{23}^{2}\right)-\frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{12}{5} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{23}+\frac{4}{5} \zeta_{23}^{2}\right)-\frac{B^{3}}{\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{8}{5} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{24}+\frac{4}{5} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{34}\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{5} \frac{B_{\zeta_{23}}^{2}}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{4}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{34}^{2}}{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{6}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{6}{5} \frac{B^{3} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{24} \zeta_{34}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}+2 \omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\omega_{5}^{2}-\omega_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{(2 B)^{5 / 2} c_{233} \zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{5 \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{(2 B)^{5 / 2}}{10} c_{234}\left[\frac{\zeta_{23} \zeta_{24}}{\omega_{4}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{\zeta_{34}}{\omega_{2}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\omega_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{10} \frac{(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{34}}{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\left[c_{334} \zeta_{23}\left(\omega_{4}\right)^{3 / 2}+c_{334} \zeta_{24}\left(\omega_{3}\right)^{3 / 2}\right] \text {, } \\
& F_{2}=4 B^{3}\left[\frac{1}{\omega_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\zeta_{23}}{\omega_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\zeta_{24}}{\omega_{4}{ }^{2}}-\frac{3 \zeta_{23}^{2}}{2\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}-\frac{3 \zeta_{24}^{2}}{2\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& -12 B^{3}\left[\frac{\zeta_{3} \zeta_{23}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\zeta_{4} \zeta_{24}^{2}\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\zeta_{23} \zeta_{24} \zeta_{34}\left(2 \omega_{2}{ }^{2}+\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\omega_{2}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& +\frac{2(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{23}^{2} c_{233}\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)}+\frac{2(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{\zeta 4}^{2} c_{244}\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\omega_{4}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)} \\
& +c_{234}(2 B)^{5 / 2} \zeta_{23} \zeta_{24}\left[\left(\frac{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{\omega_{4}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{2}\right)}+\left(\frac{\omega_{2} \omega_{4}}{\omega_{3}}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{\omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{2}\right)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{311}= & -\frac{4 B^{2}}{\omega_{1}} \zeta_{3}-\sqrt{6}\left(\frac{2 B}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{3 / 2} \zeta_{3} c_{111}-\frac{(4 B)^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\omega_{3} \omega_{4}}{\omega_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \cdot \frac{c_{134} \zeta_{34}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)} \\
& +\frac{12 B \zeta_{34} c_{111} c_{134}\left(\omega_{3} \omega_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\omega_{1}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{24 B \zeta_{34} c_{133} C_{134}\left(\omega_{3} \omega_{4}\right)^{1 / 2} \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{1}{ }^{2}-\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)} \\
& -\frac{2 B\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{4}\right) c_{134}^{2} \omega_{3} \omega_{4}\left[3 \omega_{1}^{4}-\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \omega_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}+\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}\right)^{2}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)^{2}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\omega_{3}+\omega_{4}-\omega_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{4 B \zeta_{34} d_{1134}\left(\omega_{3} \omega_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left(\omega_{3}{ }^{2}-\omega_{4}{ }^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In these expressions the quantities $\zeta_{23}$ and $\zeta_{24}$ have the opposite sign from that defined by Shaffer, et al. (7).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ The angular momenta $R$ and 1 are identical with Nielsen's $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (Ref. 8). In this paper the subscripts 1 and 2 will be reserved for the normal modes 1 and 2 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ For the case $l=1$ the wave functions (3) have been written out explicitly by Jahn.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ In this scheme quantities of order $B,\left(B^{2} / \omega\right) \cdot J^{2},\left(B^{3} / \omega^{2}\right) J^{4}$, the quartic potential constants, and the squares of the cubic constants divided by $\omega$ are all considered to be of second order, whereas quantities of order $\left(B^{2} / \omega\right) J,\left(B^{3} / \omega^{2}\right) J^{3}, \cdots$ are considered to be of third order.

