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Abstract—Recent advances in the technology of casting chrome-cobalt alloys for partial denture frameworks has resulted in a technique for fabricating a custom-made mandibular implant during a single surgical appointment. The fabricating procedures are performed during the initial surgery and therefore eliminates the necessity of the patient undergoing a second surgical procedure for attachment of the implant. The implant fits the bone abutments with a high degree of accuracy which offers greater support, rigidity, and immobility to the implant. This method of implant fabrication has practical application for patients suffering from cancer, traumatic injury, or gunshot wounds of the mandible.

Since the advent of the shell casting technique in dentistry, it is possible to cast chrome-cobalt alloys in a very short time. The shell casting technique (Dootz et al., 1967, July 1966, and November 1966), provides a means for casting a partial denture framework within one hour from the completion of the wax pattern to the casting of the alloy. The speed at which these alloys may be fabricated suggested the technique could be abbreviated further and used for the casting of alloys for implants in patients suffering from cancer, traumatic injury, gunshot wounds or other accidents of the mandible. It was hoped this new casting technique would provide a means by which the casting, and related laboratory procedures could be accomplished during the first surgical appointment. In this manner a custom-fitted implant could be fabricated for the patient which would fit the mandible abutments more accurately than the standard shaped pre-fabricated surgical implant. The fabricating procedures would be performed during the initial surgery and therefore would eliminate the necessity of the patient undergoing a second surgical procedure for embedding the implant. Also, a custom-made implant that fits the bone abutment more precisely would add greater support, rigidity, and immobility to the implant. It was believed that an implant with attachments that fit the abutment with a high degree of accuracy would have a greater chance of success than one that did not fit the abutment and therefore lacked adequate support and rigidity.

CASE REPORT

The following is a case report in which a mandibular implant was fabricated for a patient suffering from the loss of the left half of his mandible from the symphysis posterior to the angle of the ramus as a result of a gunshot wound. A bone graft was performed at the time of the accident, however, the graft was unsuccessful and the decision was then made in favor of a metallic implant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to provide a custom-made immediate implant, yet minimize the fabrication time, simplify the laboratory procedures, and simplify the alignment of the implant within the wound, a method was developed that utilized a three-piece implant, Fig. 1. The main
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body of the implant, or space maintainer, indicated as A in Fig. 1, was fabricated prior to the operation. Five bars were made which differed in length by 2 mm, thus providing the surgeon with a selection. Each bar was designed with a \( \frac{1}{8} \) in. hole on each end. The holes will later receive \( \frac{1}{4} \) in. balls positioned on the abutment castings, indicated as B in Fig. 1. Additional holes were provided for growth of tissue through the bar. The ball on each abutment casting, and holes in the end of the space maintainer, and the hole in the washer assembly were united by an Allen bolt (Fig. 2). This assembly on each end of the space maintainer provided a double universal joint to aid in the alignment procedure. The balls were welded*, later in the procedure to both ends of the space maintainer and the washer and bolt assembly removed.

Table 1 lists the approximate time required for the various laboratory procedures. The total laboratory time required is about 3 hr. However, this does not imply that the patient, surgeon, and staff are helplessly waiting for the laboratory for 3 hr. In most instances, there is other surgery required on this type patient which can be performed at this time. There is a need, however, for complete cooperation of the laboratory personnel, and an appreciation for the service being performed by both the surgery staff and the laboratory personnel if this time schedule is to be duplicated. Preplanning is required and consideration given to the smallest detail by all personnel involved if there is to be no costly time delays.

The method was as follows. After the wound was properly exposed an impression was taken of each mandible abutment using dental compound. This was done by softening the impression compound, which is thermoplastic, in water at 133°F and then adapting it to the bone abutment using finger pressure. No impression tray was required as the impression site was small. Care should be taken, however, not to engage any undercuts present on the bone abutments since the impression compound is not elastic. This non-elastic property is of no consequence because the only surfaces of interest on the bone abutment are the buccal, inferior border, the end sectioned surface, and about \( \frac{1}{8} \) in. of the lingual surface. The compound impression was washed free of blood and then a model was poured using dental impression plaster. The impression plaster set in 2-3 min and was removed from the compound impression within 10 min. The plaster models were separated from the compound impression material by again softening the compound in warm water.

*Times are not estimated for surgical procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Approximate laboratory time schedule*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedure related to preparing site for implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking compound impressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouring and hardening of models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation of models from compound impressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of wax pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprueing, application of aerosol and water, paint-on-layer of refractory investment, and application of 5 shell layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout at 2000°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casting of vitallium and cooling period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finishing of 2 abutment castings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilizing implant and surgical alignment procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding of ball joint and related finished procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilizing implant and final surgical fixation of implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedure related to closure of implant site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Three piece implant before welding plus additional space maintainer bars of various length. A—space maintainer. B—abutment castings.

Fig. 2. Close-up of universal joint showing ball abutment casting, washer, Allen bolt and Allen wrench.

(facing p. 338)
Fig. 3. Wax abutment patterns sprued on phosphate refractory casting base.

Fig. 4. Five layers of shell casting investment applied to the wax abutment patterns.
Fig. 5. The implant, welded, finished, and ready for embedding.

Fig. 6. Radiograph of implant in position.
Wax patterns were prepared directly on the plaster models in the dental laboratory. Two flat bars, one on the buccal and another on the inferior border of the mandible were waxed in position. In addition, one short flat finger was waxed on the lingual surface to engage the lingual corner of the abutment which prevented the abutment casting from being displaced in a buccal direction. This design provided maximum support for the implant with minimum metal contacting the bone. Holes were drilled and countersunk in the wax pattern to receive the bone screws. The bone screws used on the buccal bar were long enough to engage both cortices of the bone while the screws for the inferior bar engaged only the inferior cortex. It was believed that having screws positioned 90° to each other in this manner provided more support with a minimum number of screws.

A 3⁄4 in. Lucite ball (acrylic) was attached by means of a short 10 gauge wax rod, to the approximate center of the wax pattern, and at an angle favorable to the position of the implant.

The wax patterns were carefully removed from the plaster models and sprued to a casting base preformed of phosphate refractory investment* (Fig. 3). The wax patterns were painted with a surface tension reducing agent† then a thin layer of the phosphate refractory investment‡ was painted onto the patterns to eliminate the possibility of trapping air bubbles during the investing procedure. The shell investment material is applied to this investment layer. A detailed description of the shell casting technic has been outlined by the authors (Dootz et al., 1967). Five layers of shell were applied within 3–5 min (Fig. 4). The shell mold was immediately placed into a preheated oven at 2000°F for 25 min and then a casting made using Vitallium Surgical Alloy‡. The abutment castings were sand-blasted, electrolytically stripped, and then finished and polished.

The two abutment castings were returned to surgery where they were sterilized and positioned on the bone abutments using only one bone screw on each abutment. The purpose of the single screw was to hold the abutment castings in position while the surgeon selected the proper length space maintainer and made the necessary alignment by virtue of the ball joint assembly. After the alignment was assured and the ball joint locked in position, the entire implant was removed and returned to the laboratory for welding of the ball joint. The welding was done under an argon atmosphere and no welding rod was used. The welding was accomplished by fusing the Vitallium alloy (ball and space maintainer) only in the area of the ball joint. In this manner the three pieces that made up the implant were united as one piece minimizing the chance of electrolytic reaction in the area of the weld which could take place in the presence of dissimilar metals. The area of the weld was finished and polished (Fig. 5) then returned to surgery for sterilization.

Upon the final positioning of the implant all the bone screws were placed and the wound made ready for closure. Figure 6 is a radiograph of the implant in position.

**DISCUSSION**

The concept of fabricating a custom-made implant for a patient while the patient is undergoing surgery is quite unique and certainly not possible if the customary castings procedures for chrome-cobalt alloys are employed. The three-piece design of the suggested implant allows for the prefabrication of many space maintainers of various lengths. The prefabrication eliminates the necessity

---

*Vitallium Bone Screws: Austenal Medical Division, 224 East 39th Street, New York 10016.
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‡Austenal Medical Division, 224 East 39th Street, N.Y. 10016, U.S.A.
of casting the space maintainer at the same
time as the abutment castings and thereby
keeps the laboratory procedures at a min-
umum. Also, the three-piece design provides
the surgeon with space maintainers of various
lengths (in this instance differing by 2 mm)
so a more accurate alignment of the bone
abutments can be achieved. After the opera-
tion another space maintainer can be cast to
replace the one used and the entire series is
available for the next operation. At the time
of surgery the only castings to be made are
the abutment castings.

The self-alignment procedure is quite
simple and is done in the wound to assure
perfect alignment. The self-alignment feature
simplifies the laboratory procedures as no
alignment is required which would complicate
the technic. The only precautions needed in
the laboratory are those pertaining to posi-
tioning of the Lucite ball, that is, the ball on
the abutment casting must be placed in a
position favorable to the position of the
implant.

The welding procedure is performed easily
without the necessity of employing a welding
rod or any additional metal which eliminates
the possibility of corrosion from different
metals at the site of welding. Also, the surgeon
has the freedom to position the space main-
tainer on the buccal, lingual, inferior, or
superior surface of the ball. With this much
freedom the surgeon can position the space
maintainer in any position favorable to align-
ment, and it also allows him to release tissue
that might otherwise be under tension because
of the position of the implant.

It was experienced during the development
of this procedure that a great appreciation
for the bio-mechanical and bio-material as-
pcts is required by the laboratory and surgical
staff. Not only do the correct materials
need to be selected for fabrication of the
implant, but the implant itself must be feasible
mechanically. The close working relations
required between the technical personnel
and the surgical staff only exemplifies the
need for an understanding of bio-engineering
principles by those individuals involved.

SUMMARY

The shell casting technic was used for
casting the abutment castings for a mandibular
implant while the patient was undergoing
surgery. The speed at which this procedure
was accomplished allows for the fabrication
and insertion of a custom made Vitallium
implant in one surgical appointment. The
three-piece design of the implant provides
the surgeon with a great deal of freedom to
extend, shorten, and align the metallic im-
plant. Also, the design of the abutment casting
is such that maximum support is obtained by
positioning the bone screws at 90° to each
other with minimum metal contacting the
bone.
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