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INTRODUCTION

The study of the convergence and the evaluation of improper integrals has received much attention. In this paper, we shall consider conditions under which the convergence of a particular class of improper integrals can be guaranteed. In particular, the integrand is not specified in closed form but is evaluated in terms of the unique solution to an associated differential equation. The improper integral can be written as

\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} g(\phi(t, x_0, t_0), t) \, dt, \]  

where \( g(x, t) \) is a specified scalar function of the \( n \)-vector \( x \) and the time \( t \). The vector function \( \phi(t, x_0, t_0) \) represents the solution to the vector differential equation

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} = x - f(x, t) \]  

with the initial condition

\[ x(t_0) = x_0. \]  

\( f(x, t) \) is a function with values in the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) which is defined on some set \( S \times I = \{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid \| x \| < r, t > 0 \} \). It is assumed that
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$f(x, t)$ is sufficiently smooth on $S \times I$ such that for any $x_0 \in S$ and any $t_0 \in I$ there exists for all $t \geq t_0$ a unique solution in $S$. The possibility of a finite escape time in $(\theta, \infty)$ is excluded. Also $x = 0$ is the trivial solution of (2) so that $f(0, t) = 0$ on $I$. It is assumed that the scalar-valued function $g$ in (1) is continuous on $S \times I$ and $g(0, t) = 0$ on $I$.

As a motivation to this study, it has been conjectured that a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (1) for an arbitrary $x_0 \in S$ and $t_0 \in I$ is that (2) be asymptotically stable in $S \times I$. That this is not a sufficient condition for the convergence of (1) is readily observed by considering the following example. Let (1) and (2) be given by

\[ f(x, t) = -x^3, \quad x(0) = x_0, \]

where $\phi(t)$ is the solution to (5). The trivial solution of (5) is uniformly asymptotically stable in the large; however, the integral (4) does not converge for any nonzero $x_0$.

Our goal in this work is to obtain sufficient conditions for the convergence of (1) under rather weak conditions. Several results guaranteeing this convergence are presented and proved. The relations of the theorems to the stability properties of (2) are discussed. Finally we consider the use of (1) as a measure of the performance of (2).

### Results on Convergence

In what follows, the main results of the paper are presented.

**Theorem.** Let $g(x, t)$ be nonnegative on $S \times I$ and let $\phi(t, x_0, t_0) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $x_0 \in S$ and any $t_0 \in I$. Assume that $V(x, t)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function which is locally Lipschitzian on $S \times I$ and such that for any $x \in S$, $V(x, t)$ is continuous on $I$. It is further assumed that $V(0, t) = 0$ on $I$ and that $V(t, x) \to 0$ as $\|x\| \to 0$ uniformly on $I$. If there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that

\[ \hat{V}(x, t) + \rho g(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S \times I, \]

then (1) converges for any $x_0 \in S$ and any $t_0 \in I$.  

\[ \hat{V}(x, t) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} (1/h)(V(t + h, x + hf(x, t)) - V(x, t)) \]
PROOF. $\dot{V}(x, t) + \rho g(x, t) \leq 0$ on $S \times I$. Let $x = \phi(t, x_0, t_0)$ for some $x_0 \in S$ and $t_0 \in I$. Then for $t \geq t_0$,

$$\dot{V}(\phi(t), t) + \rho g(\phi(t), t) \leq 0.$$ 

Integrating over the interval $[t_0, T]$ for $T > t_0$, we obtain

$$V(\phi(T), T) - V(x_0, t_0) + \rho \int_{t_0}^{T} g(\phi(t), t) \, dt \leq 0.$$

By the hypothesis $\phi(T) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$. But since $V(x, t)$ is continuous and $V(x, t) \to 0$ as $\|x\| \to 0$ uniformly on $I$,

$$V(\phi(T), T) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty.$$

In other words, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a sufficiently large $T'$, depending on $\epsilon$, $x_0$, and $t_0$, such that for $T > T'$

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} g(\phi(t), t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{\rho} V(x_0, t_0) - \frac{\epsilon}{\rho}.$$

Thus for any $T \geq t_0$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} g(\phi(t), t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{\rho} V(x_0, t_0).$$

Since $g(x, t)$ is nonnegative on $S \times I$, $\int_{t_0}^{T} g(\phi(t), t) \, dt$ is obviously monotonic with $T$. Thus we assert that

$$\int_{t_0}^{T} g(\phi(t), t) \, dt$$

converges as $T \to \infty$. Q.E.D.

From the Theorem, we can obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. Let $g(x, t)$ be positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^n \times I$. Assume $V(x, t)$ is a positive definite real-valued function satisfying the hypothesis stated in the theorem. If there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\dot{V}(x, t) + \rho g(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \times I \quad (7)$$

then (1) converges for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $t_0 \in I$.

---

1 A function $V(x, t)$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^n \times I$ if given any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\mu(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $V(x, t) > \mu$ for $\|x\| > \epsilon$ and $t \in I$. 

2 $V(x, t)$ is positive definite if $\exists \theta > 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists \theta > 0 \forall t \in I$ such that $V(x, t) > 0$.
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PROOF. Consider $V(x, t)$ as a Liapunov function. From (7), $\dot{V}(x, t)$ is obviously negative definite, so that $x = 0$ is uniformly asymptotically stable in the large and $\phi(t, x_0, t_0) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ [1]. Thus the conditions of the theorem are satisfied with $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. Q.E.D.

If $f$ and $g$ are not explicit functions of time, then (1) and (2) are given by

$$\int_0^\infty g(\phi(t)) \, dt.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

and

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where, without loss of generality, we have taken $t_0 = 0$. As before, $\phi(t)$ denotes the unique solution of (9). For this case the following corollary is obtained.

COROLLARY 2. Let $g(x)$ be nonnegative. Let $V(x)$ be a positive definite scalar-valued function with continuous first partial derivatives and $V(0) = 0$. Define $\Omega_\ell$ as the region where $V(x) < \ell$, and assume that $\Omega_\ell$ is bounded. If there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\dot{V}(x) + \rho g(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and the only invariant set [3] contained in $\Omega_\ell \cap \{x \mid \dot{V}(x) + \rho g(x) = 0\}$ is the trivial solution $x = 0$, then the integral in (8) converges for any $x_0 \in \Omega_\ell$.

PROOF. Consider $V(x)$ as a Liapunov function. From (10) and the hypothesis, it follows, using a result of LaSalle [3], that $x = 0$ is asymptotically stable in $\Omega_\ell$ and $\phi(t, x_0, t_0) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, the conditions of the Theorem are satisfied with $S = \Omega_\ell$. Q.E.D.

Although Corollaries 1 and 2 are less general than the Theorem, they are more useful in applications since one does not have to check that $\phi(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

The previous results are typical of the conditions which can be used to guarantee the convergence of (1). Undoubtedly some of the assumptions can be changed to obtain various modifications.

DISCUSSION

We return to the conjecture that asymptotic stability of the differential equation (2) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the improper integral (1). Asymptotic stability is not a necessary condition for the convergence of (1) since asymptotic stability is not implied by the hypothesis
of the Theorem; only quasi-asymptotic stability [1] is implied. Referring to
the example, asymptotic stability is obviously not sufficient. Thus the con-
njecture is incorrect in both respects.

These results have implications in several areas. Not only have conditions
for the convergence of (1) been given, but an upper bound on the integral
has been established. This upper bound has been used by McClamroch and
Aggarwal [4] to deduce the sensitivity characteristics of (1) with respect to
certain types of functional changes in the differential equation (2).

These results also have application in asymptotic control theory. Here one
is interested in determining a control $u$ within some class $U$ such that a
performance measure given in the form of (1) is minimized and the differential
equation

$$\dot{x} = f(x, t, u)$$

(11)
is satisfied. Unless the convergence of (1) for some $u \in U$ can be guaranteed
the use of such a performance measure is not justified. This idea has been
considered previously by Bellman and Bucy [2] for the case where (11) is
linear.
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