Method, apparatus, and experimental results are presented from an investigation which is part of a major study of the low temperature compressibilities of pure gases

# COMPRESSIBILITIES FOR HELIUM AT TEMPERATURES FROM 70 TO $120^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ AND PRESSURES TO 690 atm 

J. A. SULLIVAN and R. E. SONNTAG $\dagger$

A programme has been undertaken at the University of Michigan to extend the low temperature compressibility measurements of pure gases to higher pressures and to investigate the $P-v-T$ behaviour of various gas mixtures. The work presented here is a portion of this undertaking. The $P-v-T$ behaviour in the argon-nitrogen system has been investigated, ${ }^{1}$ as has that for neon, ${ }^{2}$ and work is currently in progress on the extension of neon data to temperatures below $70^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

## The Burnett Method

The method used to collect the data in this work was originally proposed by Burnett ${ }^{3}$ in 1936. This technique makes it possible to obtain accurate compressibility data for pure gases and gas mixtures without having to determine the volume and mass of the test gas at each experimental point. The method has been used successfully in recent years by numerous investigators ${ }^{4-14}$ over wide ranges of temperature and pressure.

In using this method two volumes are placed in an accurately controlled temperature environment and the larger volume is charged with the test gas to some initial pressure $P_{0}$. With the smaller volume evacuated each time, the gas is expanded in a series of steps to a low pressure. The information generated by this procedure is thus a series of pressures

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{j-1}, P_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

all measured for a single isotherm. An analysis of the method shows that the compressibility for each pressure point can be computed from the expression

$$
Z_{j}=\frac{P_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{j} N_{i}}{P_{0} / Z_{0}}
$$

where the $N_{i}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=N_{0} C_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $N_{0}$ is called 'the equipment constant' and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{I}}+V_{\mathrm{II}}}{V_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{I}$ is the volume of the larger cell and $V_{\text {II }}$ the volume of the smaller cell.

The $C_{i}$ are correction terms for expansion of the cell volumes due to pressurization. The equipment constant is evaluated by extrapolating a plot of $P_{j_{-1}} / P_{j}$ versus $P_{j}$ to zero pressure, and the fill constant $\left(P_{0} / Z_{0}\right)$ is evaluated by extrapolating a plot of

$$
P_{j} \prod_{i=1}^{j} N_{i} \text { versus } P_{j}
$$

to zero pressure.
For this research, where small volumes of the test gas were not at the test temperature (dead-space volumes), the expressions presented above must be modified as described in references 11 and 12. In addition, the values of $N_{0}$ and $P_{0} / Z_{0}$ can be adjusted by consideration of the non-linear behaviour of the experimental points on a plot of $v_{j}\left(Z_{j}-1\right)$ versus $1 / v_{j}$ as described in reference (5) or (15).

This experiment was designed and data collected with the intention of using the data reduction procedure of reference (5). In doing so, it was found that the results for helium in this range are extremely sensitive to the parameter $P_{0} / Z_{0}$, in addition to $N_{0}$. This led to the development of the double-optimization technique described in reference (15), which was then used to reduce the helium data. The experimental data-point coverage was not the optimum required for this new analytical technique; this has introduced some scatter into the second virial coefficient results, but has only a small effect on the values of compressibilities, the results of principal interest in this investigation.

[^0]
## Experimental Apparatus

The Burnett cells used in this work were heavy-walled cylinders, machined end-to-end in a 3 in . o.d. $\times 12.375 \mathrm{in}$. bar of 347 stainless steel. The cell diameters were 1.5 in., with the volume of the larger cell $211.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and that of the smaller cell $41 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. The resulting value of $N_{0}$ is about $1 \cdot 194$ for the temperature range of this investigation. The cell block was clad with 0.25 in . copper to aid in the attainment of temperature uniformity.

The primary temperature measurement was made with a Leeds and Northrup Type 8164 platinum resistance thermometer completely inserted in a hole drilled into the cell material between the two chambers. The resistance was read on a type G-2 Mueller bridge. A copperconstantan difference thermocouple referenced to the thermometer was located inside each cell, and others were placed on the outside of the cell block to detect any possible temperature gradients. The e.m.f. values were read on a Leeds and Northrup Wenner potentiometer. Thus it was possible to detect cell gradients of the order of $\pm 0.005 \mathrm{degK}$. The absolute temperatures reported in this work are considered constant and accurate to $\pm 0.015 \mathrm{degK}$.
The Burnett cells were suspended on 0.040 in . diameter wires in the lower portion of a cryostat insulated with multilayer insulation as indicated in Figure 1. An automatically controlled resistance heater made from 28 gauge Chromel-A wire was located around the cells and an additional heater was placed in the upper portion of the cryostat. The cryostat was filled with liquid nitrogen for temperatures from 70 to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, and with liquid argon for the $120^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ isotherm. The temperature was maintained constant by controlling the vapour pressure of the bath fluid. The bath was stirred by means of the magnetic stirring arrangement indicated in Figure 1. With this arrangement the bath temperature could be maintained within $\pm 0.005 \mathrm{degK}$ of the desired temperature for periods exceeding 30 min with only minor adjustments of the controls.
The Burnett cells were connected to an inlet valve, expansion valve, and exhaust valve that were located outside the cryostat. In addition, a differential pressure indicator and the capillary tubing used to connect it to the inlet line of the large cell were also located outside the cryostat. The small volumes in the connecting lines, valves, and DPI constituted 'dead-space volumes' which had to be taken into account in analysing the data. The temperatures of the dead space volumes were measured with copper-constantan thermocouples, two of which were located on the lines leading from the valves to the cells. Two additional thermocouples were used to measure the temperature of the DPI and the valves. The temperatures of the dead-space volumes were recorded for each test point and averaged to obtain the dead-space temperature used in the data reduction. The total deadspace volume in this apparatus was about $0.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.

The pressure was measured with a Ruska Type $2400 \cdot 1$ dead-weight gauge, with the oil side of the dead-weight gauge separated from the test gas by the Ruska Type $2416 \cdot 1$ differential pressure indicator. From an error analysis of the overall pressure measurement system, the absolute accuracy of the pressure measurement is considered to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P=1.26 \times 10^{-4} P+0.004 \quad\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Schematic drawing of the cryostat

## Experimental Procedure

After evacuating the smaller cell to $20-30 \mu \mathrm{Hg}$ and expanding the test gas from $V_{\mathrm{I}}$ to $V_{\mathrm{II}}$, the temperature of the cells was frequently checked, and the vapour pressure controls and heater controls were manipulated so as to force the cells to remain at the desired temperature during the time required to take a point ( $20-30$ min after expansion). The difference thermocouples were also monitored and when the difference thermocouples in the cells had indicated readings less than $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ for 5 min the expansion valve was closed and the final null of the DPI was carried out. The cell temperature reading and the temperatures of the dead-space volumes were then recorded. Following this, the barometer reading was recorded and the gas expanded from the smaller cell and evacuation initiated. The room temperature, oil temperature, and exact weight used on the dead-weight gauge were then recorded. The average total time for one data point was about 70 min .

## Experimental Results

## Compressibilities

The isotherms for helium were investigated using high purity helium supplied by the U.S. Bureau of Mines helium plant at Amarillo, Texas. The purity of the helium conformed to the specifications listed in Table 1. The experimentally determined values of the compressibilities for helium are listed in Table 2 along with the computed values of the specific volumes. The number of experimental points for each isotherm is composed of the points for a complete run from 690 atm down to about 17 atm and the points for a partial run ( $6-8$ points) at high pressures. The compressibilities for the data points of a partial run were obtained by fitting the reduced data for the full run to a fifth or sixth order polynomial in pressure and computing the compressibility factor for the last measured pressure of the partial run. By making the assumption that $N_{0}$ is the same for the partial run as for

TABLE 1. HELIUM PURITY

| Contaminant | Amount (p.p.m.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Hydrogen | $<0.4$ |
| Methane | 0.0 |
| Water | $<0.5$ |
| Neon | $<14.0$ |
| Nitrogen | $<4.0$ |
| Oxygen | $<0.8$ |
| Argon | Trace |
| Carbon dioxide | $<0.1$ |

the full run, the value of the fill constant for the partial run can be determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0} / Z_{0}=\frac{P_{l}}{Z_{l}} \prod_{i=1}^{\prime} N_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing $P_{0} / Z_{0}$ and $N_{0}$ for the partial run permits the computation of the compressibilities for the run.
Table 3 is a comparison of the experimental compressibilities for helium with published values for selected values of pressure. The values of compressibility listed from the work of Holborn and Otto ${ }^{16-18}$ were obtained by interpolating between their isotherms. The values published by Mann ${ }^{19}$ were calculated from the Strobridge equation of state, based on existing data in the temperature range $3-300^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. The values of compressibility listed for this work were obtained by fitting each isotherm to the Berlin expansion and computing the values of compressibility for the even pressures listed in Table 3.

It is seen that in the range $0-100 \mathrm{~atm}$ the compressibilities from this work agree with the results of Holborn and Otto to within 0.3 per cent, while the agreement with the calculated results of Mann is somewhat better than 0.15 per cent.

## Second Virial Coefficients

Second virial coefficients obtained from the experimental compressibility data at the four isotherms are shown in Figure 2, and compared with those of previous investigators. Although these values exhibit some scatter, the overall agreement with previous work, especially the recent values of White, is satisfactory. As


Figure 2. Second virial coefficients

TABLE 2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

| $T(K)$ | $P(a t m)$ | $Z=P v / R T$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ | $P(a t m)$ | $Z=P_{v} / R T$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ | $P(a t m)$ | $Z=P v / R T$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | 684.8179 | $2 \cdot 38703$ | 5.00180 | 80 | 212.1853 | 1.37949 | 10.6620 | 100 | $78 \cdot 6122$ | 1.11376 | 29.0434 |
|  | 575.2362 | $2 \cdot 17780$ | 5.43269 |  | 192.5948 | 1.34369 | 11.4417 |  | 64.5923 | 1.09325 | 34.6964 |
|  | 477.0025 | 1.98494 | 5.97132 |  | 166.9379 | 1.29679 | 12.7394 |  | 53.2492 | 1.07670 | 41.4500 |
|  | 408.8116 | 1.84789 | 6.48630 |  | $152 \cdot 3615$ | 1.27013 | 13.6712 |  | 44.0156 | 1.06324 | 49.5186 |
|  | 346.0660 | 1.71950 | 7.12996 |  | 133.0322 | 1.23480 | 15.2221 |  | 36.4623 | 1.05223 | 59.1580 |
|  | 301.4177 | 1.62691 | 7.74528 |  | 121.9451 | 1.21469 | 16.3356 |  | 30.2599 | 1.04324 | 70.6741 |
|  | 259.2827 | 1.53844 | 8.51432 |  | 107.0731 | 1.18756 | 18.1890 |  | $25 \cdot 1502$ | 10.3587 | 84.4323 |
|  | 228.6351 | 1.47372 | 9.24944 |  | 86.8495 | 1.15102 | 21.7345 |  | 20.9281 | 1.02978 | $100 \cdot 869$ |
|  | 199.1316 | 1.41104 | 10.1682 |  | 70.8718 | 1.12237 | 25.9715 |  | 17.4326 | 1.02477 | $120 \cdot 506$ |
|  | 177.2556 | $1 \cdot 36451$ | 11.0463 |  | 58.1439 | 1.09975 | 31.0348 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 155.8527 | $1 \cdot 31895$ | $12 \cdot 1438$ |  | 47.8305 | 1.08162 | 37.0854 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 139.7372 | 1.28472 | $13 \cdot 1928$ |  | 39.4923 | 1.06718 | 44.3159 | 120 | 692.8584 | 1.81597 | 6.44750 |
|  | 123.7492 | 1.25079 | 14.5038 |  | 32.6789 | 1.05524 | 52.9565 |  | 518.3546 | 1.62214 | 7.69817 |
|  | 111.5619 | 1.22502 | 15.7569 |  | 27.0986 | 1.04566 | 63.2819 |  | 396.7062 | 1.48243 | 9.19245 |
|  | 99.3449 | 1.19934 | 17.3229 |  | 22.5058 | 1.03777 | 75.6209 |  | 309.0784 | 1.37927 | 10.9776 |
|  | 89.9440 | 1.17961 | 18.8196 |  | 18.7183 | 1.03142 | $90 \cdot 3659$ |  | 244.2293 | 1.30160 | $13 \cdot 1101$ |
|  | 80.4433 | 1.15988 | 20.6902 |  |  |  |  |  | $195 \cdot 1435$ | 1.24208 | $15 \cdot 6575$ |
|  | 65.5593 | 1.12903 | 24.7123 |  |  |  |  |  | 157.3013 | 1.19579 | $18 \cdot 7003$ |
|  | 53.7108 | 1.10481 | 29.5167 | 100 | 685.9706 | 1.96335 | 5.86729 |  | 127.6941 | 1.15939 | 22.3350 |
|  | $44 \cdot 1820$ | 1.08549 | $35 \cdot 2552$ |  | 596.0191 | 1.84474 | 6.34483 |  | 104.2411 | 1.13043 | 26.67652 |
|  | 36.4628 | 1.07001 | 42.1097 |  | 503.0904 | 1.71951 | 7.00653 |  | 99.1653 | 1.12411 | 27.8852 |
|  | 30.1718 | 1.05755 | 50.2972 |  | 442.7845 | 1.63667 | 7.57728 |  | 85.4788 | $1 \cdot 10716$ | 31.8624 |
|  | 25.0185 | 1.04743 | 60.0767 |  | 379.2859 | 1.54826 | $8 \cdot 36801$ |  | 81.3971 | $1 \cdot 10206$ | $33 \cdot 3059$ |
|  | 20.7789 | 1.03908 | 71.7578 |  | 337.2588 | 1.48891 | 9.05005 |  | 70.3492 | 1.08834 | 38.0568 |
|  | 17.2845 | 1.03240 | 85.7105 |  | 292.2335 | 1.42483 | 9.99488 |  | 67.0455 | 1.08422 | 39.7808 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 261.9459 | 1.38129 | 10.8098 |  | 58.0692 | 1.07302 | $45 \cdot 4557$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 228.9879 | 1.33360 | 11.9387 |  | 55.3808 | 1.06970 | 47.5147 |
| 80 | 693.3695 | $2 \cdot 21331$ | 5.23494 |  | 206.5452 | 1.30100 | 12.9125 |  | 45.8487 | 1.05776 | 56.7526 |
|  | 601-2871 | 2.05939 | $5 \cdot 61682$ |  | 181.8324 | 1.26498 | 14.2612 |  | 38.0308 | 1.04798 | 67.7868 |
|  | $492 \cdot 1884$ | 1.87656 | 6.25266 |  | $164 \cdot 8037$ | 1.24004 | 15.4246 |  | 31.5946 | 1.03990 | 80.9666 |
|  | 433.8434 | 1.77490 | 6.70927 |  | 145.8905 | 1.21241 | 17.0360 |  | 26.2807 | 1.03319 | 96.7094 |
|  | $362 \cdot 1074$ | 1.64925 | 7.46938 |  | 132.7315 | $1 \cdot 19305$ | 18.4259 |  | 21.8844 | 1.02764 | 115.513 |
|  | $323 \cdot 3245$ | 1.58022 | 8.01520 |  | 118.0002 | 1.17145 | 20.3510 |  | 18.2393 | 1.02301 | 137.974 |
|  | 274.2133 | 1.49211 | 8.92375 |  | 107.6887 | 1.15632 | 22.0117 |  | 15.2130 | 1.01918 | 164.802 |
|  | 247.1254 | 1.44302 | 9.57613 |  | 96.0702 | 1.13935 | 24.3116 |  | $12 \cdot 6974$ | 1.01605 | 196.847 |

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF SELECTED VALUES OF COMPRESSIBILITY WITH PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED VALUES

| T( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 70 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P(\mathrm{~atm})$ | 20 | 50 | 100 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| This work | 1.03788 | 1.09700 | 1.20060 | 1.03440 | 1.08472 | 1.17445 | 1.02841 | 1.07198 |  |  |  |  |
| Holborn and Otto ${ }^{18-18}$ | 1.03520 | 1.09383 | $1 \cdot 19690$ | 1.03199 | 1.08423 | 1.17654 | 1.02682 | 1.06938 | $1 \cdot 14460$ | 1.02294 | 1.05906 | 1.12182 |
| Mann ${ }^{19}$ (Compilation) | 1.03653 | 1.09619 | 1.20002 | 1.03381 | 1.08771 | 1.18051 | 1.02938 | 1.07489 | $1 \cdot 15172$ | 1.02579 | 1.06506 | 1-13092 |

was discussed earlier, the experimental data were collected prior to, and in fact necessitated, the development of the modified data reduction technique. ${ }^{15}$ Thus it was not possible to run a data-point coverage to permit optimum use of the analytical reduction procedure. The scatter seen in Figure 2 is the result of small uncertainties in the lower-pressure compressibilities-well within the limits of accuracy to be discussed in the final section of this paper.

## Fit of the Experimental Data to the Berlin Expansion

Each isotherm of helium was least-squares fitted to the Berlin expansion of compressibility in terms of a polynomial in pressure. The coefficients which were obtained for each isotherm are listed in Table 4 along with the standard deviation of the experimental values of compressibility from those computed from the polynomial. For all of the isotherms the fit is well within the expected error in the compressibilities. The coefficients listed in Table 4 were used to compute values of the compressibility and specific volume of helium for even values of pressure. The results of these computations are presented in Table 5.

## Estimate of Errors

For the $j$ th expansion, the compressibility factor $Z_{j}$ is given by equation (2). It is possible to represent the error in this value as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta Z_{j}}{Z_{j}}=\frac{\Delta P_{j}}{P_{j}}+E_{N o, P o / Z o}+E_{T}+E_{E} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes error in pressure measurement, the interrelated errors associated with the data reduction constants $N_{0}$ and $P_{0} / Z_{0}$, error in temperature measurement, and that due to incomplete evacuation of the small cell between expansions.

A set of typical values for these errors at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ indicates the estimated accuracy of the experimental results. The first term in equation (7) is approximately $1.26 \times 10^{-4}$ in the range of this investigation, and the last term, $E_{E}$, is $0.04 \times 10^{-4}$. Since the remaining two terms are strongly pressure dependent, values will be considered at about $20,100,300$, and 600 atm . The error $E_{N o, P_{0} / Z_{0}}$ can be expressed analytically, but is not conveniently separated due to the interrelation between errors in $N_{0}$ and $P_{0} / Z_{0}$. From the data reduction procedure, ${ }^{12,15}$ it is estimated that $N_{0}$ is accurate to $\pm 0.00005$ and $P_{0} / Z_{0}$ to $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{~atm}$. By a series of perturbations, the maximum value of $E_{N o, P o / Z o}$ is found to be $4 \cdot 1,7.6,9.7,10.6 \times 10^{-4}$ at about 20, 100, 300, and 600 atm , respectively. The remaining term, $E_{T}$, depends on

$$
\frac{\left(\partial Z_{j} / \partial T\right)_{P_{j}}}{Z_{j}}
$$

and is found from the results to be $0.05,0.2,0 \cdot 7,1 \cdot 1 \times 10^{-4}$ at these same pressures.
Consequently, the maximum errors in compressibility at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ are estimated to be 0.055 per cent at 20 atm , 0.091 per cent at $100 \mathrm{~atm}, 0.117$ per cent at 300 atm , 0.13 per cent at 600 atm . This accuracy is felt to be quite acceptable for compressibility data and subsequent computation of thermodynamic properties.
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TABLE 4. BERLIN COEFFICIENTS
$Z \doteq A^{\prime}+B^{\prime} P+C^{\prime} P^{2}+D^{\prime} P^{\mathbf{s}}+E^{\prime} P^{6}+F^{\prime} P^{s}+G^{\prime} P^{0}$ (Pressure in atmospheres)

| Temperature $\left(^{\circ} K\right)$ | Standard deviation | $A^{\prime}$ | $B^{\prime} \times 10^{3}$ | $C^{\prime} \times 10^{8}$ | $D^{\prime} \times 10^{9}$ | $E^{\prime} \times 10^{12}$ | $F^{\prime} \times 10^{15}$ | $G^{\prime} \times 10^{18}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | $0.265 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.00061 | 1.80897 | 2.96340 | -13.2222 | 30.1403 | -35.1756 | 16.2242 |
| 80 | $0.601 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.00360 | 1.46855 | 3.96361 | -20.0961 | 50.4692 | -62.0908 |  |
| 100 | $0.396 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.99954 | 1.43890 | 0.234588 | -0.726644 | 0.216244 | 0.818254 | -0.6834837 |
| 120 | $0.316 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.00001 | 1.26602 | -0.161639 | 0.240920 | -0.512839 | 0.340978 |  |

TABLE 5. VALUES OF COMPRESSIBILITY FOR EVEN VALUES OF PRESSURE ( $v$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{g}$ )

| $P($ atm $)$ |  | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $120^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $P($ atm $)$ |  | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ | $120^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $v$ | 146.22155 | 167.05729 | 207.85583 | 249.10711 | 350 | $v$ | 7.08152 | 7.62285 | 8.82666 | 10.03474 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.01899 | 1.01866 | 1.01395 | 1.01265 |  | $Z$ | 1.72724 | 1.62686 | 1.50702 | 1.42774 |
| 20 | $v$ | 74.46615 | 84.81940 | $105 \cdot 40945$ | 126.10496 | 360 | $v$ | 6.96705 | 7.49226 | 8.66184 | 9.83647 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.03788 | 1.03440 | 1.02841 | 1.02526 |  | $Z$ | 1.74787 | 1.64468 | 1.52114 | 1.43951 |
| 30 | $v$ | 50.56913 | 57.43824 | 71.26328 | 85.10180 | 370 | $v$ | 6.85861 | 7.36869 | 8.50574 | 9.64872 |
|  | 2 | 1.05722 | 1.05072 | 1.04290 | 1.03785 |  | $Z$ | 1.76846 | 1.66248 | 1.53521 | 1.45126 |
| 40 | $v$ | 38.63447 | 43.76747 | 54.19194 | 64.59847 | 380 | $v$ | 6.75572 | 7.25157 | 8.35766 | 9.47068 |
|  | 2 | 1.07694 | 1.06752 | 1.05743 | 1.05040 |  | $z$ | 1.78901 | 1.68028 | 1.54926 | $1 \cdot 46298$ |
| 50 | $v$ | 31.48312 | 35.57814 | 43.95036 | 52.29512 | 390 | $v$ | 6.65794 | 7.14038 | 8.21700 | 9.30158 |
|  | 2 | 1.09700 | 1.08472 | 1.07198 | 1.06293 |  | $Z$ | 1.80951 | 1.69805 | 1.56327 | 1.47467 |
| 60 | $v$ | 26.72221 | 30.12752 | 37.12354 | 44.09180 | 400 | $v$ | 6.56488 | 7.03466 | 8.08320 | 9.14076 |
|  | 7 | 1.11733 | 1.10225 | 1.08657 | 1.07543 |  | $Z$ | 1.82997 | 1.71581 | 1.57724 | 1.48633 |
| 70 | $v$ | 23.32633 | 26.24037 | 32.24788 | 38.23138 | 410 | $v$ | 6.47619 | 6.93399 | 7.95575 | 8.98761 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.13789 | 1.12004 | $1 \cdot 10117$ | 1.08791 |  | $Z$ | 1.85038 | 1.73353 | 1.59118 | 1.49797 |
| 80 | $v$ | 20.78287 | 23.32922 | 28.59160 | 33.83529 | 420 | $v$ | 6.39153 | 6.83798 | 7.83422 | 8.84157 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.15865 | 1.13803 | 1.11579 | $1 \cdot 10036$ |  | $Z$ | 1.87073 | 1.75123 | 1.60509 | 1.50957 |
| 90 | $v$ | 18.80710 | 21.06784 | 25.74815 | 30.41545 | 430 | $v$ | 6.31063 | 6.74628 | 7.71817 | 8.70216 |
|  | 7 | 1.17956 | 1.15619 | 1.13043 | 1.11278 |  | Z | 1.89103 | 1.76888 | 1.61897 | 1.52114 |
| 100 | $v$ | 17.22827 | 19.26060 | 23.47362 | 27.67899 | 440 | $v$ | 6.23320 | 6.65857 | 7.60725 | 8.56892 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.20060 | 1.17445 | 1.14508 | $1 \cdot 12519$ |  | $z$ | 1.91126 | 1.78648 | 1.63281 | 1.53268 |
| 110 | $v$ | 15.93775 | 17.78311 | 21.61278 | 25.43955 | 450 | $v$ | 6.15901 | 6.57455 | 7.50112 | 8-44144 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.22173 | 1.19279 | 1.15973 | 1.13756 |  | $Z$ | 1.93144 | 1.80403 | 1.64662 | 1.54420 |
| 120 | $v$ | 14.86316 | 16.55251 | 20.06214 | 23.57287 | 460 | $v$ | 6.08783 | 6.49396 | 7.39946 | 8.31935 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.24294 | 1.21118 | 1.17439 | 1.14992 |  | 2 | 1.95154 | 1.82151 | 1.66040 | 1.55568 |
| 130 | $v$ | 13.95446 | 15.51152 | 18.75008 | 21.99295 | 470 | $v$ | 6.01946 | 6.41654 | 7.30198 | 8.20229 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.26419 | 1.22960 | 1.18905 | 1.16225 |  | 2 | 1.97157 | 1.83892 | 1.67415 | 1.56714 |
| 140 | $v$ | 13.17589 | 14.61926 | 17.62542 | 20.63833 | 480 | $v$ | 5.95372 | 6.34207 | 7.20844 | 8 -08996 . |
|  | $Z$ | 1.28548 | 1.24801 | 1.20371 | 1.17456 |  | $Z$ | 1.99153 | 1.85625 | 1.68786 | 1.57856 |
| 150 | $v$ | 12.50130 | 13.84580 | 16.65064 | 19.46397 | 490 | $v$ | 5.89043 | 6.27035 | 7.11858 | 7.98207 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.30678 | 1.26641 | 1.21836 | 1.18685 |  | 2 | 2.01141 | 1.87350 | 1.70155 | 1.58996 |
| 160 | $v$ | 11.91107 | 13.16874 | 15.79760 | 18.43606 | 500 | $v$ | 5.82943 | 6.20119 | 7.03220 | 7.87835 |
|  | 2 | 1.32809 | ¢. 28478 | 1.23301 | 1.19912 |  | $Z$ | 2.03120 | 1.89065 | 1.71521 | 1.60132 |
| 170 | $v$ | 11.39021 | 12.57099 | 15.04479 | 17.52876 | 510 | $v$ | 5.77060 | 6.13443 | 6.94909 | 7.77856 |
|  | Z | 1.34939 | 1.30312 | 1.24764 | 1.21136 |  | $Z$ | 2.05092 | 1.90770 | 1.72883 | 1.61266 |
| 180 | $v$ | 10.92711 | 12.03926 | 14.37548 | 16.72197 | 520 | $v$ | 5.71379 | 6.06990 | 6.86905 | 7.68247 |
|  | 2 | 1.37068 | 1.32141 | 1.26226 | 1.22358 |  | Z | 2.07054 | 1.92464 | 1.74243 | 1.62397 |
| 190 | $v$ | 10.51258 | 11.56309 | 13.77644 | 15.99981 | 530 | $v$ | 5.65889 | 6.00749 | 6.79192 | 7.58988 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.39194 | 1.33965 | 1.27687 | 1.23578 |  | $Z$ | 2.09009 | 1.94148 | 1.75600 | 1.63525 |
| 200 | $v$ | 10.13931 | 11.13415 | 13.23713 | 15.34960 | 540 | $v$ | 5.60580 | 5.94706 | 6.71754 | 7.50060 |
|  | 2 | 1.41317 | 1.35785 | 1.29145 | 1.24796 |  | $Z$ | 2.10954 | 1.95822 | 1.76953 | 1.64651 |
| 210 | $v$ | 9.80136 | 10.74568 | 12.74899 | 14.76104 | 550 | $v$ | 5.55442 | 5.88853 | 6.64575 | 7.41445 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.43438 | 1.37600 | 1.30602 | 1.26012 |  | $Z$ | 2.12891 | 1.97485 | 1.78304 | 1.65774 |
| 220 | $v$ | 9.49391 | 10.39218 | $12 \cdot 30501$ | '14.22574 | 560 | $v$ | 5.50466 | 5.83179 | 6.57641 | 7.33126 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.45554 | 1.39410 | 1.32057 | 1.27225 |  | 2 | $2 \cdot 14821$ | 1.99138 | 1.79652 | 1.66894 |
| 230 | $v$ | 9.21296 | 10.06910 | 11.89943 | 13.73674 | 570 | $v$ | 5.45647 | 5.77678 | 6.50940 | 7.25088 |
|  | 2 | 1.47667 | 1.41216 | 1.33509 | 1.28436 |  | $Z$ | 2.16742 | 2.00783 | 1.80997 | 1.68012 |
| 240 | $v$ | 8.95520 | 9.77267 | 11.52743 | 13.28825 | 580 | $v$ | $5 \cdot 40977$ | 5.72344 | 6.44459 | 7.17318 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.49776 | 1.43018 | 1.34958 | 1.29644 |  | $z$ | 2.18657 | 2.02419 | 1.82338 | 1.69127 |
| 250 | $v$ | 8.71783 | 9.49971 | 11.18497 | 12.87540 | 590 | $v$ | $5 \cdot 36450$ | 5.67174 | 6.38187 | 7.09801 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.51882 | 1.44816 | 1.36405 | 1.30850 |  | Z | $2 \cdot 20566$ | 2.04048 | 1.83677 | 1.70240 |
| 260 | $v$ | 8.49851 | 9.24755 | 10.86863 | 12.49409 | 600 | $v$ | $5 \cdot 32063$ | 5.62164 | 6.32112 | 7.02527 |
|  | 2 | 1.53983 | 1.46611 | 1.37849 | 1.32054 |  | $Z$ | 2.22470 | 2.05674 | 1.85012 | 1.71352 |
| 270 | $v$ | 8.29522 | 9.01391 | 10.57550 | $12 \cdot 14079$ | 610 | $v$ | 5.27811 | 5.57313 | 6.26226 | 6.95483 |
|  | $z$ | 1.56081 | 1.48403 | 1.39290 | 1.33255 |  | Z | $2 \cdot 24370$ | 2.07298 | 1.86344 | 1.72461 |
| 280 | $v$ | 8.10625 | 8.79681 | 10.30309 | 11.81252 | 620 | $v$ | $5 \cdot 23693$ | 5.52622 | 6.20518 | 6.88659 |
|  | $z$ | 1.58174 | 1.50193 | 1.40728 | 1.34454 |  | $Z$ | 2.26269 | 2.08922 | 1.87673 | 1.73568 |
| 290 | $v$ | 7.93013 | 8.59459 | 10.04923 | 11.50667 | 630 | $v$ | 5.19706 | 5.48093 | 6.14979 | 6.82045 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.60264 | 1.51981 | 1.42163 | 1.35651 |  | $Z$ | 2.28168 | 2.10552 | 1.88997 | 1.74674 |
| 300 | $v$ | 7.76557 | 8.40576 | 9.81209 | 11.22101 | 640 | $v$ | 5.15850 | 5.43729 | 6.09601 | 6.75632 |
|  | $Z$ | 1.62350 | 1.53767 | 1.43594 | 1.36844 |  | $Z$ | 2.30070 | 2.12191 | 1.90318 | 1.75778 |
| 310 | $v$ | 7.61145 | 8.22906 | 9.59002 | 10.95356 | 650 | $v$ | 5.12124 | 5.39536 | 6.04375 | 6.69411 |
|  | 2 | 1.64432 | 1.55553 | 1.45023 | 1.38036 |  | $Z$ | 2.31977 | 2.13845 | 1.91635 | 1.76881 |
| 320 | $v$ | 7.46680 | 8.06335 | $9 \cdot 38162$ | 10.70263 | 660 | $v$ | 5.08529 | 5.35520 | 5.99294 | 6.63375 |
|  | 2 | 1.66511 | 1.57337 | 1.46448 | 1.39224 |  | $Z$ | 2.33893 | 2.15519 | 1.92947 | 1.77982 |
| 330 | $v$ | 7.33076 | 7.90765 | 9.18563 | 10.46671 | 670 | $v$ | 5.05068 | 5.31691 | 5.94351 | 6.57515 |
|  | 7 | 1.68585 | 1.59121 | 1.47869 | 1.40410 |  | $Z$ | 2.35821 | 2.17220 | 1.94255 | 1.79083 |
| 340 | $v$ | 7.20255 | 7.76108 | 9.00097 | 10.24447 | 680 | $v$ | 5.01743 | 5-28057 | 5.89538 | 6.51826 |
|  | 2 | 1.70656 | 1.60904 | 1.49288 | 1.41593 |  | $Z$ | 2.37765 | $\mathbf{2} \cdot 18955$ | 1.95558 | 1.80183 |


[^0]:    $\dagger$ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. Received 8 August 1966. J.A.S. is now at Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, U.S.A.

