THE MEDIEVAL DUTCH FRICATIVES
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The problem with which this paper is concerned is the phonetic nature of the sounds represented by the graphs u, f, j, and s in the Old Low Franconian (Old Dutch) Psalms and Glosses.

Compared to the written evidence for Old High German, Old Saxon, Old English, and Gothic, the Old Low Franconian corpus is extremely small. We have only a poor sixteenth-century copy of an inaccurate tenth-century translation of twenty-one psalms (the Diez Psalms), an inaccurate printed version of another psalm (Psalm xviii), and a list of some eight hundred OLF words with their Latin equivalents (the Lipsius Glosses).1) Cowan (1959) has shown that the dialect represented in these documents is Limburgic, i.e. Southeast Netherlandic.

Inspection of cognates indicates that the OLF sound or sounds symbolized by the graphs u and f reflect the PGmc. voiceless and voiced labial fricatives */f/ and */b/ (see fig. 1). OLF spellings with both u and f occur initially before a vowel, often in variant representation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGmc.</th>
<th>Initially before vowel</th>
<th>Medially</th>
<th>Initially before consonant</th>
<th>Finally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Fig. 1.

1) The OLF corpus is accessible in the editions of Heyne (1877), Van Helten (1902), Cowan (1957), and Kyes (1969). Cowan's edition does not contain the Glosses. The MS containing the twenty-one OLF Psalms is in the Deutsche Staatsbibliothek in Berlin, and bears the designation Ms Diez C. Quart. 90. The Printed version of Ps. xviii was published by Abraham van der Myle in 1612. The Lipsius Glosses are in the library of the University of Leiden, and are designated Lips. 53. 16 fol.
sentations of the same word, reflecting PGmc. */f/, as in *ueste, *feste 'strength', and *uan, *fan 'from'. The reflex of PGmc. */f/ also appears initially before the consonants l and r; here, however, it is spelled only f, as in *fluode 'river', *frithe 'peace' (but never *uluode or *urithe).

Medially between vowels, and between a liquid and a vowel, the spelling u predominates, suggesting merger of PGmc. */f/ and */b/ in a single OLF phoneme. PGmc. */f/ is reflected in houon 'courts' and in reue 'womb' dat. sg.; PGmc. */b/ appears in geuon 'give', liues 'life' gen. sg., seluon 'selves', and in erui 'inheritance'. There are but three instances of spellings other than u for medial reflexes of PGmc. */f/ and */b/; they are habeda 'had' and abirthiu 'thereafter' (< */b/), and touferes 'magician' gen. sg. (< */f/).

In final position the PGmc. labial fricatives are reflected by the OLF graph f. We see PGmc. */f/ in hof 'court' and reif 'womb', and PGmc. */b/ in gaf 'gave' and liif 'life'.

PGmc. */s/ emerges in the OLF corpus in two graphic representations, f and s (see fig. 2). The latter is the more common of the two,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGmc.</th>
<th>Non-finally</th>
<th>Finally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLF</td>
<td>f, s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2.

while f is restricted to the first half of the Diez MS, where it occurs only in nonfinal position: *fal 'shall', *iff 'is', but *uuas 'was'. In other words, where the symbol f occurs, it is in complementary distribution with s.

What, if anything, do these orthographic distributions reveal about the phonetic features of the sounds spelled u, f, f, and s in Old Low Franconian? Our answer to this question clearly depends on how we view the relationship between orthography and phonology.

---

2) The graph u also occurs in variation with uu, reflecting PGmc. */w/, as in *ueri 'word', *uerd 'value'. It may also represent a vowel, as in *hulpa 'help', *dnoon 'doves'. The present discussion is concerned only with those u's that reflect PGmc. */f/ or */b/.
A typical pre-structuralist view assumed the existence of a one-to-one correspondence between graphic symbol and phonetic feature, or between letter and sound, as if medieval scribes practiced a kind of close phonetic transcription. This position is implied in Borgeld's statement: 'De naast de regelmatige schrijfwijze f nu en dan voorkomende spelling v (u) [for the initial pre-vocalic reflex of PGmc. */f/] wijst op stemhebbende labiodentale uit-spraak' (1899: 41). Van Helten was even more precise in his phonetic interpretation: 'Neben normalem, im wortanlaut bez. im an-laut des zweiten compositionsteils stehenden f steht vor vocal aus-nahmsweise auch u . . . Das fehlen von solchem u vor l und r lässt auf einen vocal mit geringerer geräuschstärke und schwächerer heranzung gesprochenen fricativlaut schliessen' (1902 II: 144). We find the same assumption underlying Cowan's analysis, but he reaches a slightly different conclusion: 'Le phonème /f/, comme le montrer-t les graphies, avait deux aUophones, une sourde et une sonore; c.à-d. qu'il n'y a jamais d'opposition entre [f] et [v] en aucune position, et que, par conséquent, ces variantes n'étaient pas des phonèmes indépendants. Les variantes ne sont pas, cependant, 'libres'; du moins pas dans toutes les positions. En position initiale prévocalique c'est bien le cas, comme le montrent les exemples, uerthe = eferth /'ferθe/, uan = fan /fan/, uilo = filo en filohardo /'filo/, etc.' (1961: 21).

Borgeld and van Helten drew phonetic conclusions from the orthographic data, but without consideration of the phonemic structure. Cowan, while claiming to operate within a structuralist-phonemic framework, assumes a one-to-one relationship between letter and sound (allophone), i.e. u represents [v] in uerthe and f represents [f] in eferth; he does not regard it as unlikely that scribes should have given graphic shape to sub-phonemic features.

Hoenigswald writes: 'An ideally consistent alphabetic script will employ one grapheme per phoneme; there should be no overlapping between graphs belonging to different phonemes . . . ' (1960: 5). The attainment of this ideal is not in evidence in any of the extant Germanic documents; indeed, it is but remotely approached in the OLF corpus. To assume such a relationship to exist in the documents under discussion here would soon lead us to reject the notion that linguistic development is regular, and would open the door to a variety of helter-skelter sound changes.
It is of little advantage to seek such a relationship between graphemes and systematic phonemes, as has been suggested by King: 'In the absence of strong nonnative orthographic influence, scribes devise symbols for the underlying systematic phonemic segments of the language' (1969: 208--9). As a matter of unfortunate fact there usually is a strong nonnative influence in the orthographies of medieval Germanic documents; furthermore, such an assumption fails to take into account the enormous amount of orthographic variation that appears in these texts.

For Old Low Franconian we cannot assume a one-to-one correspondence between letters and sounds, or between graphemes and phonemes, or even between written symbols and systematic phonemic segments. The distribution of the graphs u and j allows us to conclude only that they do not correlate with a contrast on the phonemic level. We cannot posit a contrastive relationship between the sounds spelled u and j; indeed, on the basis of the evidence available in the OLF texts themselves, we cannot even assume the existence of more than a single allophone of the phoneme spelled u or j. On the other hand, however, the u ~ j spellings do correlate with contrasts represented by other symbols, as is revealed by near-minimal pairs such as fortha 'fear': portun 'gate', juot 'foot': buoke 'book', fluode 'river': bluod 'blood', uan ~ fan 'from': man 'man'.

Similarly, no contrast is revealed by the spellings f and s, yet these contrast with other representations: sia ~ sia 'she': thia 'those', uwestelno 'orphans': uwestethon 'pasture', fuoia 'sweet': fuot 'foot', uweste ~ uwsan 'be': heunon 'lift', fie ~ sie 'they': hie 'he'.

As an alternative to the clearly inadequate assumptions discussed above, let us assume simply that a consistently applied graphic distinction implies (but does not necessarily prove) a phonemic contrast among the sounds represented, and that the absence of a consistent graphic distinction implies (but, again, does not necessarily prove) the absence of contrast. On the basis of the distribution of the graphs explained above, this assumption leads us to posit two fricative phonemes for Old Low Franconian: a single labial fricative, which we may symbolize [v/], and a single dental fricative, which we may symbolize /z/. The choice of symbols is, of course, arbitrary; we have discovered nothing about the voiced-ness or voiceless-ness of these phonemes, nor are we even justified in calling them labial and
dentiai. For these matters we must examine the evidence offered by later stages of the language.

The situation in New Dutch is relatively complex. To state it briefly: among the inventory of phonemes of New Dutch there are two pairs of contrasting fricatives: voiceless /t/ vs. voiced (or 'half-voiced') /v/, and voiceless /s/ vs. voiced /z/. The voiced fricatives /v/ and /z/ do not occur in final position, but all four occur — and thus contrast — initially and medially: fier 'proud': vier 'four', saai 'tedious': zaai 'sow' imper. (Cohen et al. 1961: 34).

The obstruents of New Dutch are involved in phonologically conditioned morphophonemic alternations extending over morpheme boundaries and word boundaries. The principles, as stated by Shetter (1968: 13), are as follows (I use Shetter's quasi-phonemic spelling):

1. If a voiced stop comes to stand next to another obstruent, then the resulting sequence will be voiced, as in uit + breiden → uidbreiden 'extend', op + duizenden → ob duizenden 'on thousands', ijs + breker → ijzbreker 'icebreaker'.

2. Otherwise the sequence will be voiceless, as in af + zetten → afsetten 'take off', het is + veel → het is feel 'it is much', op + vouwen → opfouwen 'fold up'.

The historical conditions for the development of /v/ and /z/, as opposed to /f/ and /s/, are transparent up to a point. ND /f/ and /v/ reflect a partial coalescence of PGmc. */f/ and */b/ (see fig. 3). Initially, PGmc. */f/ and the OLF fricative spelled u (before vowels) and f (before vowels and consonants) appear in New Dutch as /v/; PGmc. */b/ became a stop in this position, so does not enter into the discussion here. Examples of ND initial /v/ < OLF /v/ (spelled u, f)
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< PGmc. */f/ are: ND voet 'foot' < OLF ëóot; vloed 'river' < ëûode; vrede 'peace' < shrike; veste 'fort' < ueste, estes 'strength'. Medially PGmc. */f/ and */b/ merged in what is normally spelled ë in Old Low Franconian, and are reflected in New Dutch by the phoneme /v/: ND geven 'give' < OLF geuan; halve 'half' < -haluon in oster-haluon 'east side'; hoven 'hens' < houon 'courts'. In final position PGmc. */f/ and */b/ merged in the OLF sound spelled f, and are reflected in New Dutch by /f/: ND gaf 'gave' < OLF gaf; hof 'garden' < hof 'court'.

ND /z/ and /s/ reflect PGmc. */s/, and correspond to what is spelled f or s in Old Low Franconian (see fig. 4). The voiced reflex /z/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initially before vowel or semivowel</th>
<th>Medially</th>
<th>Initially before consonant</th>
<th>Finally</th>
<th>Gemination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGmc. /s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/ss/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLF /z/ (f, s)</td>
<td>/z/</td>
<td>(f, s)</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(f, ss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND /z/</td>
<td>/z/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
<td>/s/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.

appears initially before vowels, initially before the semivowel /w/, medially between vowels, and medially between a liquid and a vowel: ND zelf 'self' < OLF se‘èon; zwaard 'sword' < /sient; duizend 'thousand' < thusint; onze 'our' < unfa, unsa. The voiceless reflex /s/ appears initially before /m n p t/, finally, and in gemination. ND slaap 'sleep' < OLF slap; smeer 'grease' < ëmëe; sneeuw 'snow' < sneëe; spreken 'speak' < ëpreck, sprekon; sterk 'strong' < /starca; stark; was 'was' < unas; gewisse 'indeed' < genuifsso, genuisso.

In apparent contradiction to the above patterns of distribution, however, some ND words have voiceless /f/ and /s/ initially or medially, where we would expect voiced /v/ and /z/. These words can be divided into three groups:

(1) Those whose initial voiced fricative had been devoiced through assimilation to a preceding stop which has since been lost, such as samen 'together' < *tsamen < *tezamen, and sesstig 'sixty' < *tsestig < *ant-sex-tig (cf. OS ant-ahtoda 'eighty'); see Franck (1910: 194) and Schönfeld (1959: 57) for details and additional examples.
(2) Those of foreign origin, e.g. *sint* 'saint' from French *saint*, *feit* 'fact' from Fr. *feit*, etc.

(3) Those whose initial voiceless fricatives have not been satisfactorily explained. Schönfeld (1959: 57) suggests the possibility of 'psychic intensity' to account for some of these, e.g. the /f/ in *flaw* 'weak' and *flets* 'pallid', and the /s/ in *sijpelen* 'ooze'.

Were it not for these three sets of words, the ND voiceless and voiced fricatives that reflect PGmc. */f/ and */b/, and those that reflect PGmc. */s/, would be in perfect complementary distribution. The Sandhi variations among the obstruents of New Dutch would then simply be instances of conditioned allophonic alternation.

As early as the twelfth century there emerged a tendency to standardize the spellings of these fricatives. The tendency was to spell the initial reflex of PGmc. */f/ and */b/ – that is, those fricatives that are represented in Old Low Franconian by the graphs *u* and */ – with the symbol *v*, and to spell their final reflex with */; Middle Dutch has the forms *verte* 'strength', *vrede* 'peace', *vleesch* 'flesh', *grave* 'grave', *sterven* 'die', but *lijf* 'life', *erf* 'inheritance'. In Middle Dutch the symbol */ was employed in initial position only to render certain loan words, most of which were coming into Dutch from French (Kern 1891: 64, and Van Loey 1949: 85), such as *faute* 'fault', *familie* 'family', *feeste* 'feast', *flute* 'flute', *fraude* 'fraud', *fonteine* 'fountain'. In medial position */ph* often occurs, as in *prophete* 'prophet'.

The MD reflexes of PGmc. */s/ reveal a similar development. Spellings with */ initially and medially are rare in early Middle Dutch, but become increasingly frequent in the thirteenth century (Franck 1910: 75; Kern 1891: 78; Van Loey 1948: 97; and Schönfeld 1959: 78). As these */-spellings became more and more frequent, however, loan words from French with initial [s] came to be rendered with */ or */c*, as in *ceder* 'cedar', *citeit* 'city', *saerge* 'serge', *sacrament* 'sacrament', *saint* 'saint', *sikkele* 'sickle'.

It appears, then, that there was a growing tendency in Middle Dutch to employ the graph */ to contrast with */v/, and to use */s* and */c* in contrast to */z*. If we are correct in assuming that a consistently applied graphic distinction implies a phonemic distinction, then we may conclude that this orthographic development, too, was correlated with the development of a phonemic contrast. This phonemic contrast, in turn, implies something about the phonetic nature of
the sounds prior to the introduction of the French loans with initial and medial [f] and [s]. The new contrast between voiced /v z/ and voiceless /f s/ was preceded by a stage in which there were only two phonemes – we have called them /v/ and /z/ – each of which had a voiced allophone and a voiceless allophone (see figs. 5 and 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initially before vowel</th>
<th>Medially</th>
<th>Initially before consonant</th>
<th>Finally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGmc.</td>
<td>[f]</td>
<td>[f] /b/</td>
<td>[f]</td>
<td>[f] /b/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLF</td>
<td>[v] = [v] (u, f)</td>
<td>[v] /f/</td>
<td>[v] = [f] (f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initially before vowel or semivowel</th>
<th>Medially</th>
<th>Initially before consonant</th>
<th>Finally</th>
<th>Gemination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGmc.</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>/ss/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLF</td>
<td>[z] = [z] (f, s)</td>
<td>[z] = [z] (f, s)</td>
<td>[z] = [s] (f, s)</td>
<td>[z] = [s] (s)</td>
<td>[zz] = [ss] (ff, ss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD:Fr.</td>
<td>[z] /s/ (z) (s, c)</td>
<td>[z] /s/</td>
<td>[z] /s/ (z) (s, c)</td>
<td>[s]</td>
<td>/ss/ (ss)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6.

For OLF /v/, the voiced allophone [v] occurred initially before vowels, initially before l and r, medially between vowels, and between a liquid and a vowel. The voiceless allophone [f] occurred finally. There are no examples of an OLF reflex of PGmc. geminate */ff/.

For OLF /z/, the voiced allophone [z] occurred initially before vowels, initially before /w/, medially between vowels, and between a liquid or nasal and a vowel. The voiceless allophone occurred finally, initially before consonants, and in gemination.

Phonemicization of these allophones was precipitated by the introduction of French loan words containing voiceless fricatives in po-
sitions where only voiced fricatives occurred in native Dutch words. This, then, is an instance of phonemic split through borrowing. What was borrowed was not a new set of phonemes, however, but rather a pattern of distribution that differed from the native Dutch pattern.

The phonetic nature of the sounds spelled u, j, and s in Old Franconian may be a matter of relatively minor importance. The problem serves as an illustration of how the representations of later stages of a language may cast some light upon earlier stages, particularly when the most direct evidence for those earlier stages — the written documents — is so small, so ambiguous, or so erroneous as to permit only a very tentative analysis.
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