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Formulas for the determination of the three mixing ratios in a triple cascade have been derived and expressed in terms of the directional correlation coefficients of $\gamma_1-\gamma_2$, $\gamma_2-\gamma_3$ and $\gamma_1-\gamma_3$ cascades. The proposed treatment does not employ the usual assumption of neglecting the small mixing in a particular gamma-ray transition.

In the last three decades, gamma-gamma directional correlations have proven to be a powerful tool in determining the spins and parities of nuclear energy levels as well as the multipolarities and mixing ratios of gamma-ray transitions. In the analysis of the correlation data, one usually begins with a double cascade of which one transition is almost pure, e.g. a quadrupole transition. By assuming one transition to be pure, the mixing ratio $\delta$ of the other can be determined. However, such an assumption is sometimes unnecessary.

Furthermore, the directional correlation coefficients $A_{kk}(\gamma_i,\gamma_j)$ of the cascade chosen according to the above criterion may not be the one that has been measured most accurately. Starting with such a cascade, we will propagate the large error to the mixing ratios in the subsequent cascades. For some nuclei, a triple cascade as shown in fig. 1 exists and the $\gamma_1-\gamma_2$, $\gamma_2-\gamma_3$, and $\gamma_1-\gamma_3$ (intermediate gamma-ray unobserved) directional correlations can all be measured with accuracy. In such a case, the mixing ratios of the three transitions can be calculated by using the directional correlation coefficients simultaneously. The assumption of any transition being pure is therefore eliminated.

We outline here the formulas used for the determination of the three mixing ratios $\delta_1$, $\delta_2$ and $\delta_3$. The theory of $\gamma-\gamma$ directional correlation has been presented in ref. 1 along with extensive references. There has been some confusion concerning the sign of $\delta$. Dzhelepov et al.2) suggested the adoption of a convention in which the sign of $\delta$ given by the formulas of Biedenharn and Rose3) is reversed if the transition is the second in the cascade and otherwise left unchanged. This is the convention adopted here. The signs of all the mixing ratios in this article have been adjusted so that they can be compared readily with values obtained by other authors. We will also employ the notation used in refs. 1 and 4.
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\begin{align*}
\gamma_1 (L_1, L_1 + 1) & \quad I_1 \\
\gamma_2 (L_2, L_2 + 1) & \quad I_2 \\
\gamma_3 (L_3, L_3 + 1) & \quad I_3
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 1. $\gamma$-ray transitions in a triple cascade.

We define $Q_v$ as the fraction of the $L_v + 1$ multipole in the $v$th (mixed) transition,

\[
Q_v = \frac{\delta_v^2}{1 + \delta_v^2}; \quad 0 \leq Q_v \leq 1. \tag{1}
\]

Or, one obtains

\[
\delta_v = \pm \left(\frac{Q_v}{1 - Q_v}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2}
\]

Our procedure begins with a trial value of $Q_2$ which results in two roots in $\delta_2$. For $\gamma_1-\gamma_2$ cascade, one has

\[
A_k(\gamma_2) = (1 + \delta_2)^{-1} \left[ F_k(L_2, L_2, I_b, I_a) - 2\delta_2 F_k(L_2, L_2 + 1, I_b, I_a) + \delta_2^2 F_k(L_2 + 1, L_2 + 1, I_b, I_a) \right], \tag{3}
\]
where \( F_k(L_1, L_1', I_1, I_1') \) are the \( F \)-coefficients as defined and tabulated by Ferentz and Rosenzweig\(^5\). Furthermore, one has

\[
A_k(\gamma_1) = A_{kk}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) / A_k(\gamma_2),
\]

where the normalized coefficients \( A_{kk}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \) can be determined from the least squares fit to the experimental data in the unperturbed angular correlation function \( W(\gamma_1, \gamma_2; \theta) \) of the form

\[
W(\gamma_1, \gamma_2; \theta) = 1 + A_{22}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) P_2(\cos \theta) + A_{44}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) P_4(\cos \theta).
\]

For \( \gamma_2-\gamma_3 \) cascade, one uses

\[
A_k'(\gamma_2) = (1 + \delta_2^2)^{-1} \left[ F_k(L_2, L_2, I_b, I_b) + 2 \delta_2 F_k(L_2, L_2 + 1, I_b, I_b) + \delta_2^2 F_k(L_2 + 1, L_2 + 1, I_b, I_b) \right].
\]

Furthermore, one has

\[
A_k(\gamma_3) = A_{kk}(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) / A_k'(\gamma_2).
\]

The mixing ratio \( \delta_3 \) is

\[
\delta_3 = [A_k(\gamma_3) - F_k(L_3 + 1, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2)]^{-1} \times \left\{ -F_k(L_3, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2) + [F_k^2(L_3, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2) - \left( A_k(\gamma_3) - F_k(L_3 + 1, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2) \right) \times \left( A_k(\gamma_3) - F_k(L_3, L_3, I_2, I_2) \right)] \right\}.
\]

We continue with

\[
A_k'(\gamma_3) = (1 + \delta_3^2)^{-1} \left[ F_k(L_3, L_3, I_2, I_2) + 2 \delta_3 F_k(L_3, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2) + \delta_3^2 F_k(L_3 + 1, L_3 + 1, I_2, I_2) \right].
\]

Then, we can calculate \( U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \) in the unperturbed 1–3 directional correlation function,

\[
U_{kk}(\gamma_2) = \frac{A_{kk}(\gamma_1, \gamma_3)}{A_k(\gamma_1) A_k'(\gamma_3)}.
\]

On the other hand, the mixing ratio \( \delta_2 \) is related to \( U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \) and the 6-j symbols by the following expression,

\[
\delta_2 = \pm \left\{ (-1)^{I_a + I_b} (2I_a + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (2I_b + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (-1)^{I_b} \times \left\{ I_a \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} - U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{kk}(\gamma_2) - (-1)^{I_a + I_b} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_a \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

where the symbol \( \delta_2 \) is used for \( \delta_2 \). Thus, our procedure begins with a trial value for \( \delta_2 \) and terminates when the value of \( \delta_2 \) calculated via eqs. (3) through (11) is equal to the input value of \( \delta_2 \). Finally, one obtains

\[
\delta_2 = \frac{\delta_3^2}{1 + \delta_3^2}.
\]

Our procedure in determining \( \delta_2 \) can be represented schematically by fig. 2. The superscript in \( \delta_2^{(+)} \) signifies that the positive root of \( \delta_2 \) in eq. (2) is used as \( \delta_2 \) in eq. (3), while the superscripts in \( \delta_3^{(+)} \) and \( \delta_3^{(-)} \) denote that the value of \( \delta_3 \) resulted from \( \delta_2^{(+)} \) and the choice of the negative sign in eq. (8). The symbol \( \delta_2^{(+) -} \) stands for the value of \( \delta_2 \) obtained from \( \delta_2^{(+) -} \) and \( \delta_3^{(+) -} \). For each of the four branches, one can plot the input value \( Q_2 \) against the output value \( Q_2^{(+) -} \) where \( i, j = \pm \). An intercept of the plot and the straight line \( Q_2 = Q_2^{(+) -} \) gives a solution for \( Q_2^{(+) -} \) which in turn determines a set of solutions for \( \delta_2^{(+) -} \) and \( \delta_3^{(+) -} \). One possible situation which occurs in the triple cascade of \( ^{175}\text{Lu} \) is shown in fig. 3. Once \( \delta_2 \) and \( \delta_3 \) are determined, \( \delta_3 \) can be

\[
\delta_3 = \frac{C \pm \sqrt{C^2 + D}}{E},
\]

where

\[
C = \frac{2}{\delta_2^2} \left\{ (-1)^{I_a + I_b} (2I_a + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (2I_b + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (-1)^{I_b} \times \left\{ I_a \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} - U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{kk}(\gamma_2) - (-1)^{I_a + I_b} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_a \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

\[
D = \frac{1}{\delta_2^2} \left\{ (-1)^{I_a + I_b} (2I_a + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (2I_b + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (-1)^{I_b} \times \left\{ I_a \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} - U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \right\} \left[ U_{kk}(\gamma_2) - (-1)^{I_a + I_b} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_a \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} \right],
\]

\[
E = \frac{1}{\delta_2^2} \left\{ (-1)^{I_a + I_b} (2I_a + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (2I_b + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} (-1)^{I_b} \times \left\{ I_a \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} - U_{kk}(\gamma_2) \right\} \left[ U_{kk}(\gamma_2) - (-1)^{I_a + I_b} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_a \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ U_{kk}(\gamma_2) - (-1)^{I_a + I_b} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_a \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} k \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
obtained by
\[ \delta_1 = \left[ A_k(\gamma_1) - F_k(L_1 + 1, L_1 + 1, I_a, I_1) \right]^{-1} \times \\
\times \left( F_k(L_1, L_1 + 1, I_a, I_1) + \frac{F_k(L_1, L_1 + 1, I_a, I_1)}{2} \right) \left( A_k(\gamma_1) - \\
- F_k(L_1, L_1 + 1, I_a, I_1) \right). \]

(13)

Since in directional correlation experiments, \( A_{22}(\gamma_i\gamma_i) \) are usually more accurately determined than \( A_{44}(\gamma_i\gamma_i) \), one should start with the former. The latter can be used to rule out some sets of false solutions.

Formulae for the evaluation of uncertainties associated with the mixing ratios \( \delta_1, \delta_2 \) and \( \delta_3 \), originating from the experimental errors in the directional correlation coefficients will be included in the report to the National Science Foundation.
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