

## Projections of Polynomial Hulls

H. ALEXANDER

*Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104*

*Communicated by John Wermer*

Received February 15, 1972

The following theorem is discussed. Let  $X$  be a compact subset of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whose polynomially convex hull,  $\hat{X}$ , contains the origin, then the sum of the areas of the  $n$  coordinate projections of  $\hat{X}$  is bounded below by  $\pi$ . This applies, in particular, when  $\hat{X}$  is a one-dimensional analytic subvariety  $V$  containing the origin, and in this case generalizes the fact that the “area” of  $V$  is at least  $\pi$ ; in fact, the area of  $V$  is the sum of the areas of the  $n$  coordinate projections when these areas are counted with multiplicity. A convex analog of the theorem is obtained. Hartog’s theorem that separate analyticity implies analyticity, usually proved with the use of subharmonic functions (Hartog’s lemma), will be derived as a consequence of the theorem, the proof of which is based upon the elements of uniform algebras.

### 1.

Let  $B$  denote the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$ ;  $\partial B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| = 1\}$  where  $\|z\| = \|(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)^{1/2}$ . For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z_j(S)$  will be the  $j$ th coordinate projection of  $S$ ;  $\lambda$  will be planar Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Our main result is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $\partial B$  and suppose that  $\hat{X}$ , the polynomially convex hull of  $X$ , contains the origin. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(z_j(\hat{X})) \geq \pi.$$

The constant  $\pi$  is best possible and is attained when  $\hat{X}$  is a complex line. In [2] Theorem 1 was obtained for the case when  $\hat{X}$  is an analytic subvariety of  $B$ . For a 1-variety  $V$  through 0 in  $B$ , this generalizes the fact that the area of  $V$  is at least  $\pi$ ; in fact, the area of  $V$  is just the sum of the areas of the  $n$  coordinate projections, when these

areas are counted with multiplicity. In general,  $\hat{X}$  need not contain any subvarieties, and, moreover, by an example of Stolzenberg ([6], cf. [8]), the sets  $z_j(\hat{X})$  need not have interior. Stolzenberg's hull is a limit of one-dimensional varieties, and it is an open question whether every hull is such. If this were so, Theorem 1 would follow from the special case of a variety.

As an application we shall indicate a proof of a classical theorem of Hartog's (on the analyticity of a function analytic in each variable) which avoids the use of subharmonic functions. Other applications can be found in [2]. We shall be using the elements of uniform algebras, with its standard terminology and notation as found in the books of Gamelin [4] and Stout [7]; in particular, for  $X$  compact in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $P(X)$  and  $R(X)$  will denote the uniform closure in  $C(X)$  of the polynomials and the rational functions analytic on a neighborhood of  $X$ , respectively.

## 2.

We shall need a quantitative version of the Hartog–Rosenthal theorem. If  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space,  $x \in E$ ,  $A \subseteq E$ , then define  $\text{dist}(x, A) = \inf\{\|x - a\| : a \in A\}$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be compact. Then considering  $\bar{z}$  as a function in  $C(K)$  and  $R(K)$  as a subset of  $C(K)$ , we have*

$$\text{dist}(\bar{z}, R(K)) \leq (\lambda(K)/\pi)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be a  $C^\infty$  function with compact support in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\psi(z) = \bar{z}$  on a neighborhood of  $K$ . By the generalized Cauchy integral formula

$$\psi(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \frac{du dv}{\zeta - z}; \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta = u + iv.$$

Restricting attention to points in  $K$  and using  $(\partial \psi / \partial \bar{\zeta}) \equiv 1$  on  $K$  we get

$$\bar{z} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_K \frac{du dv}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \frac{du dv}{\zeta - z}.$$

The second integral on the right represents a function in  $R(K)$ , and, therefore,

$$\text{dist}(\bar{z}, R(K)) \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_K \frac{du dv}{\zeta - z} \right\|_K. \quad (2.1)$$

By an elegant computation, Ahlfors and Beurling [1, pp. 106–107] have found that the right side of (2.1) is dominated by  $(\lambda(K)/\pi)^{1/2}$ .  
 Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we can approximate  $\bar{z}$  on  $z_j(\hat{X})$  to within  $(\lambda(z_j(\hat{X})) + \epsilon)/\pi)^{1/2}$  by a rational function  $r_j$  with poles off  $z_j(\hat{X})$ . Define  $f_j(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = r_j(z_j)$ . Then  $f_j$  is analytic on a neighborhood of  $\hat{X}$  and, hence, is in  $P(\hat{X})$  by the Oka–Weil theorem. Also,

$$\|\bar{z}_j - f_j\|_{\hat{X}} \leq ((\lambda(z_j(\hat{X})) + \epsilon)/\pi)^{1/2}. \tag{2.2}$$

Set  $f = \sum_1^n z_j f_j \in P(\hat{X})$ . Since  $0 \in \hat{X}$ , evaluation at 0 is a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi$  on  $P(\hat{X})$ . As  $\varphi(z_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , it follows that  $\varphi(f) = 0$ , and, hence,  $f$  is not invertible in the Banach algebra  $P(\hat{X})$ . Consider for points  $z$  in  $X$  the expression

$$\sum_1^n z_j (\bar{z}_j - f_j). \tag{2.3}$$

Because  $\sum |z_j|^2 = 1$  on  $X$ , the expression of (2.3) equals  $1 - f$  on  $X$ . Estimating (2.3) by Schwarz’s inequality and applying (2.2) gives

$$\|1 - f\|_X \leq \left( \left( \sum_1^n \lambda(z_j(\hat{X})) + n\epsilon \right) / \pi \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Now as  $f$  is not invertible in  $P(\hat{X})$ ,  $1 \leq \|1 - f\|_{\hat{X}} = \|1 - f\|_X$ . Hence, the right side of (2.4) is  $\geq 1$ . Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  gives the desired result.  
 Q.E.D.

*Remark 1.* The conclusion can be slightly improved to read

$$\sum_1^n \lambda(z_j(\hat{X} \cap B)) \geq \pi. \tag{2.5}$$

In fact, if  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $X_r = \hat{X} \cap \{z : \|z\| = r\}$ . By Rossi’s local maximum modulus principle,  $\hat{X}_r = \hat{X} \cap \{z : \|z\| \leq r\}$ . Hence, by applying the theorem (with a scale change) to  $X_r$ , we get

$$\sum_1^n \lambda(z_j(\hat{X} \cap \{z : \|z\| \leq r\})) \geq \pi r^2.$$

Now letting  $r \nearrow 1$  gives (2.5).

*Remark 2.* For our application we need the following form of Theorem 1. Let  $V$  be an analytic subvariety of  $B$  which contains  $0$  as a nonisolated point. Then  $\sum \lambda(z_j(V)) \geq \pi$ . To see this, observe that we may assume that  $V$  extends to be analytic in a neighborhood of  $\bar{B}$ . In this case, take  $X = \bar{V} \cap \partial B$  and it follows that  $0 \in \hat{X}$  and  $\hat{X} \cap B = V$ . Now we apply Remark 1.

*Remark 3.* Theorems in several complex variables often have convexity analogs [3]; Shields suggested that this may be the case for Theorem 1 and indeed we have the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of the unit sphere  $S^{n-1} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|p\| = 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\text{Ch } X$ , the convex hull of  $X$ , contains  $0$ . Let  $l_j =$  the length of the interval  $x_j(\text{Ch } X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  (where  $x_j$  is the  $j$ th coordinate projection). Then*

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n l_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq 2. \quad (2.6)$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is directly analogous to that of Theorem 1 and begins with a real analog of Lemma 2.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $J$  be a finite interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  of length  $l$ . Then there is a real constant  $c$  such that*

$$\|x - c\|_J \leq \frac{1}{2}l.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $c$  to be the midpoint of  $J$ .

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $J_j$  be  $x_j(\text{Ch } X)$  and  $c_j$  the corresponding constant from Lemma 4. Note  $\|x_j - c_j\|_{\text{Ch } X} \leq \frac{1}{2}l_j$ . Let  $f(x) = 1 - \sum_1^n c_j x_j$ . Since  $f$  is an affine function and  $0 \in \text{Ch } X$ , it follows that  $1 = |f(0)| \leq \|f\|_X$ . For  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum x_j^2 = 1$  and so  $f(x) = \sum x_j(x_j - c_j)$ . Hence,

$$|f(x)| \leq \left( \sum x_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum (x_j - c_j)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum \frac{1}{4} l_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for  $x \in X$ . That is  $1 \leq \|f\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum l_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$ .

Q.E.D.

*Remark.* Examination of the proof shows that equality holds in (2.6) if and only if there is  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in S^{n-1}$  such that  $X$  is a subset of  $\{(\epsilon_1 a_1, \epsilon_2 a_2, \dots, \epsilon_n a_n) : \epsilon_j = \pm 1\}$ .

3.

Our proof of Hartog's theorem will depend upon the following proposition. The open unit disc,  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  will be denoted by  $U$ ; its  $n$ -fold product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the unit polydisc, by  $U^n$ ;  $\{rz : z \in U\}$  by  $rU$ ; and the  $j$ th coordinate projection in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $z_j$ . Hence, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $z_j^{-1}(\alpha) = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \zeta_j = \alpha\}$ .

PROPOSITION 5. *Let  $\{V_k\}$  be a family of analytic subvarieties of  $U^n$  without isolated points. Let  $0 < r < 1$  be such that  $V_k \cap (U^{n-1} \times (rU)) = \emptyset$  for all  $k$ . Suppose that for  $\alpha \in U$  and  $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ , the family  $\{V_k \cap z_s^{-1}(\alpha)\}$  of subsets of  $U^n$  is locally finite. Then  $\{V_k\}$  is locally finite.*

Remark. A special case of this result was obtained by Nishino [5].

Proof. By shrinking the polydisc we may assume, for every  $\alpha \in U$  and  $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ , that  $V_k \cap z_s^{-1}(\alpha)$  is empty for large enough  $k$ . We argue by contradiction and assume that there is  $x_0 \in U^n$  and points  $x_k \in V_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , converging to  $x_0$ . Let  $L_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be a biholomorphism of  $U^n$  which takes  $x_k$  to 0 and which is of the form  $L_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (L_k^1(z_1), L_k^2(z_2), \dots, L_k^n(z_n))$  where  $L_k^s$  is the linear fractional transformation given by  $L_k^s(z) = (z - x_k^s)/(1 - \bar{x}_k^s z)$  where  $x_k = (x_k^1, x_k^2, \dots, x_k^n)$ . Let  $W_k = L_k(V_k)$ , an analytic subvariety of  $U^n$  containing 0. Therefore, as  $B \subseteq U^n$ , we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda(z_j(W_k)) \geq \pi, \tag{3.1}$$

for each  $k$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , the sets  $\{z_j(V_k)\} \subseteq U$  eventually omit every point of  $U$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\lambda(z_j(W_k)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(z_n(W_k)) \geq \pi. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, as  $L_k \rightarrow L_0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U^n$  and as  $L_0^n(rU)$  is a neighborhood of  $-x_0^n \in U$ , it follows (after possibly omitting a finite number of  $V_k$ 's) that there is a nonempty open subset  $\Omega$  of  $U$  which contains  $-x_0^n$  and is such that  $L_k^n(rU) \supseteq \Omega$  for all  $k$ . Therefore,  $z_n(W_k) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  for all  $k$ . This implies that  $\lambda(z_n(W_k)) \leq \pi - \lambda(\Omega)$ , in contradiction to (3.2). Q.E.D.

HARTOG'S THEOREM. *A complex valued function  $f$  which is defined on an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and which is analytic in each variable separately, is analytic.*

*Remark.* We recall the usual reductions: First, by induction, we may assume the theorem for functions of  $n - 1$  variables. We note that it is enough to show that  $f$  is locally bounded; for this implies continuity by a simple 1-variable Cauchy integral argument and continuity implies analyticity by expanding the kernel in the iterated Cauchy integral. Next observe that, as analyticity is a local property, it suffices to show that  $f$  is locally bounded in a polydisc  $\Delta$  such that  $\bar{\Delta} \subseteq \Omega$ . Without loss of generality we may take  $\Delta$  to be  $U^n$ . Setting  $M(z_n) = \sup\{|f(z', z_n)|: (z', z_n) \in U^{n-1} \times U\}$  for  $z_n \in U$  and applying the Baire category argument, it follows that  $M(z_n)$  is uniformly bounded on some nonempty open subset of  $\{z_n: |z_n| < 1\}$ . By making a change of variable in  $z_n$ , we may assume that there exists  $r$  with  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $A > 0$  such that  $|f(z', z_n)| < A$  if  $z' \in U^{n-1}$  and  $|z_n| \leq 2r$ . It follows that  $f$  is analytic on  $Q = U^{n-1} \times (2rU)$ . For fixed  $z' \in U^{n-1}$ ,  $z \rightarrow f(z', z)$  is analytic on  $U$  and so there is a Taylor series,

$$f(z', z_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z') z_n^j.$$

As  $f$  is analytic on  $Q$ , the  $a_k$ 's are analytic on  $U^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* In order to show that  $f$  is locally bounded on  $U^n$  we argue by contradiction; i.e., we suppose that there is  $x_0 \in U^n$  and  $\{x_k\} \subseteq U^n$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow x_0$  and  $f(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $f_N(z', z_n) = \sum_0^N a_j(z') z_n^j$ . The  $f_N$  are analytic on  $U^n$  and converge pointwise to  $f$  there. As  $f(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$ , there are  $N_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $c_k = f_{N_k}(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $V_k = \{z \in U^n: f_{N_k}(z) - c_k = 0\}$ , a subvariety of  $U^n$ . Since the  $f_N$ 's are uniformly bounded on  $U^{n-1} \times (rU)$  and since  $c_k \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $V_k \cap (U^{n-1} \times (rU))$  is empty for large  $k$  and by passing to a subsequence it is no loss of generality to assume that these sets are empty for all  $k$ . For fixed  $\alpha \in U$ ,  $z' \rightarrow f(\alpha, z')$  is, by induction, analytic on  $U^{n-1}$ . It follows that  $\{f_N(\alpha, z')\}$  is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $U^{n-1}$  and, consequently, that  $\{V_k \cap z_1^{-1}(\alpha)\}$  is locally finite. In the same way, for  $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ ,  $\{V_k \cap z_s^{-1}(\alpha)\}$  is locally finite. By Proposition 5,  $\{V_k\}$  is locally finite. But  $x_k \in V_k$  and  $x_k \rightarrow x_0 \in U^n$ , a contradiction. Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. AHLFORS AND A. BEURLING, Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets, *Acta Math.* 83 (1950), 101-129.

2. H. ALEXANDER, B. A. TAYLOR, AND J. ULLMAN, Areas of projections of analytic sets, *Inventiones Math.* **16** (1972), 335–341.
3. H. BREMERMANN, Complex convexity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1956), 17–51.
4. T. GAMELIN, “Uniform Algebras,” Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969.
5. T. NISHINO, Sur une propriété des familles de fonctions analytiques de deux variables complexes, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **4-2** (1965), 255–282.
6. G. STOLZENBERG, A hull with no analytic structure, *J. Math. Mech.* **12** (1963), 103–112.
7. E. L. STOUT, “The Theory of Uniform Algebras,” Bogden and Quigley, Belmont, CA, 1971.
8. J. WERMER, On an example of Stolzenberg, in “Symposium on Several Complex Variables,” Park City, Utah, 1970, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 184, pp. 79–84, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.