Treatment of Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer Using Antimicrotubule Agents Docetaxel and Estramustine in Combination: An Experimental Study
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BACKGROUND. Estramustine in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents has demonstrated synergy in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Docetaxel has demonstrated antineoplastic activity in a variety of chemotherapeutic-unresponsive tumors. We evaluated the effects of estramustine and docetaxel in preclinical models of prostate cancer.

METHODS. Cell viability of PC-3 and MAT-LyLu (MLL) cells were assessed 48 hr after drug treatment. For in vivo studies, each flank of five animals in six groups was injected with 1 × 10⁶ MLL cells: control, estramustine, docetaxel (low- and high-dose), and low- and high-dose docetaxel with estramustine. Animals were treated on days 4 and 11, and sacrificed on day 14.

RESULTS. The IC₅₀ value for docetaxel was 2 nM in the PC-3 cells and 40 nM in the MLL cells. The addition of 100 nM of estramustine did not alter the IC 5₀ value for PC-3 cells. In the MLL cells, however, the IC₅₀ value was lowered to 15 nM. In vivo, low-dose docetaxel with estramustine demonstrated antineoplastic activity similar to that of high-dose docetaxel alone, suggesting additive activity between the drugs.

CONCLUSIONS. These results demonstrate that when used in combination, docetaxel and estramustine can be more effective at lower dosages than when the individual drugs are used alone. Prostate 44:275–278, 2000. © 2000 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the most common malignancy diagnosed in men, and the second leading cause of death in American males [1]. There are limited treatment options, and prognosis continues to be dismal in metastatic hormone-refractory prostate disease. In newly diagnosed metastatic disease, hormone therapy controls symptoms in 80–90% of patients. The median duration of response is approximately 2–3 years. Chemotherapy is the next option; however, the response induced by chemotherapy is generally limited and of short duration, with an overall objective response of 8.7% for single agents and approximately 50% for newer regimens. Cancer patients are often debilitated or immunocompromised and, therefore, chemotherapy is not always feasible or well-tolerated [2].

Treatment of metastatic disease is considered palliative, since no chemotherapeutic regimen has shown a survival benefit in a randomized clinical trial. Due to these limitations, new agents and strategies clearly needed.

Estramustine phosphate (Emcyt, Estracty), a nonnitrogen mustard carbamate, binds to microtubule-associated proteins, inhibits assembly, and disrupts...
microtubule organization in vitro [3]. It is this cellular mechanism, and not the hormonal effect associated with the estrogen moiety or alkylating activity due to nitrogen mustard, that is responsible for the cytotoxic effects of estramustine in hormone-refractory prostate cancer [3]. Estramustine has also been shown to reduce the level of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) [4].

Docetaxel (Taxotere), a member of the taxane family, binds to tubulin, promoting microtubule assembly and microtubule bundling [5,6]. Like other members of the taxane family, docetaxel stabilizes spindle microtubules, impairing mitosis and blocking progression through the cell cycle [5]. It demonstrates significantly longer cellular affinity and uptake, and slower cellular efflux than paclitaxel, which prolongs the duration of cell drug exposure [7]. Docetaxel is also approximately twice as efficient as paclitaxel in stabilizing microtubules [8].

Estramustine in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents has demonstrated synergy in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. Docetaxel has demonstrated antineoplastic activity in a variety of previously chemotherapeutic-unresponsive tumors. We here evaluated the in vitro and in vivo effects of estramustine and docetaxel in preclinical models of prostate cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drugs and Reagents

Estramustine phosphate (estradiol-3-N-bis-[2-chloroethyl]-carbamate-17-beta-dihydrogen disodium phosphate) was purchased from Hoffmann-LaRoche (Nutley, NJ). Docetaxel (Taxotere) was purchased from Rhone-Poulenc Rorer (Collegeville, PA) as a prepared sterile stock solution of 10 mg/ml.

Cell Culture

The prostate adenocarcinoma cell line PC-3 (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) and the metastatic MAT-LyLu (MLL) subline of the Dunning R-3327 rat prostate adenocarcinoma line were grown and maintained at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 medium (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) containing 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (penicillin G, 10,000 U/ml; streptomycin sulfate, 10,000 μg/ml; and amphotericin B, 25 μg/ml) (Life Technologies) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies). Stock drug was added to the cell culture medium to reach the reported concentrations.

Cell Growth and In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

Cell adhesion was used as a marker of cell viability. At hr 0, 2 × 10⁵ PC-3 and MLL cells, per T25 flask, were plated in triplicate. At hr 48, increasing doses of docetaxel alone, as well as in combination with +100 nM estramustine, were added to the flasks. After an additional 48 hr of incubation, the cells were lysed and the nuclei counted (Z1 Coulter Counter, Coulter, Hialeah, FL).

Animals

Male Copenhagen rats were obtained from Harlan Sprague-Dawley (Indianapolis, IN). Methoxyflurane (Pittman-Moore, Washington Crossing, NJ) was used as inhalation anesthetic for injections and surgical procedures.

In Vivo Animal Tumor Model

Animals, 5 per group, were injected with 1 × 10⁶ MLL cells subcutaneously into each flank on day 0. There were 5 rats per treatment and control group, respectively.

Treatment groups consisted of the following: 1) control, 2) estramustine (7 mg/kg), 3) low-dose docetaxel (7 mg/kg), 4) high-dose docetaxel (11.6 mg/kg), 5) low-dose docetaxel and estramustine, and 6) high-dose docetaxel and estramustine.

On day 0, animals were injected with 1 × 10⁶ MLL cells in each flank. On days 4 and 11, animals were given intraperitoneal injections of the drugs. On day 14, animals were sacrificed, the tumors were harvested, and the tumor weights were noted. Paired Student’s t-test was used to compare control and treatment groups.
RESULTS

In Vivo Cytotoxicity Experiments

The human prostate cancer cell line PC-3 and the Dunning rat R2237 metastatic anaplastic to lymph node, lung (MLL) subline were tested for sensitivity to docetaxel and estramustine in combination. The IC50 for docetaxel was approximately 2 nM for PC-3 cells (Fig. 1). The addition of 100 nM of estramustine did not significantly alter the IC50 for any docetaxel dose. In MLL cells, the IC50 was approximately 40 nM (Fig. 2). The addition of 100 nM of estramustine lowered the IC50 of docetaxel to approximately 15 nM.

In Vivo Animal Model

Copenhagen rats were injected with 1 × 10⁶ MLL cells into each flank on day 0. Rats were treated intra-peritoneally on days 4 and 11, and then sacrificed on day 14. There was a significant decrease (>50%) in tumor weight in mice treated with high dose docetaxel (Fig. 3). This was even more prominent in both low-dose docetaxel and high-dose docetaxel in combination with estramustine (100 nM). Clinically, decreased clearance of docetaxel was associated with estramustine combinations. However, clearance data were not obtained in this study.

DISCUSSION

The evaluation of new agents and combination therapies in metastatic prostate cancer has led to the realization of increased response rates due to synergism involving different mechanisms of action of various chemotherapy drugs on a related pathway (e.g., DNA synthesis, antimetabolites, cell adhesion). Reduction in the required dosages of individual drugs and potentially decreased toxic side effects is another advantage of using these combination therapies.

Estramustine has been shown to bind to microtubule-associated proteins and disrupt microtubule organization in vitro [9,10]. Estramustine is currently indicated in the palliative treatment of patients with metastatic and/or progressive prostate cancer, with a response rate of 5–17% as a single agent [11]. Its toxicities include fluid overload and thrombophlebitis.

Docetaxel, a semisynthetic taxoid, interferes with the microtubular network essential for mitotic and interphase cellular functions [12,13]. It binds to free tubulin and promotes the assembly of stable microtubules that cannot disassemble [12]. As a single agent, it has been shown to be effective in chemoresistant breast cancer [14]. Bone marrow suppression is a major dose-limiting toxicity.

Combining agents that are active against a particular tumor but do not have overlapping toxicities has been a basic rule in clinical oncology. In patients with prostate cancer, this has not been the case. Preclinical studies demonstrated that a single-agent estramustine (noneffective as a single agent) and docetaxel (noneffective as a single agent) demonstrated synergistic activity, and the combination of the two agents was clinically much more effective than when either agent was given alone [15].

A phase I trial evaluated Emcyt and Taxotere [16]. The regimen consisted of Emcyt 280 mg PO TID days 1–5, Taxotere 40, 60, or 70 mg/m², and Decadron 20 mg 6 + 12 hr, and 15 min prior to Taxotere on day 2. The cycle was repeated every 21 days. The overall response rate was 62%, as defined by a PSA decrease of at least 50%. Of the responders, 69% failed steroids and 54% failed Emcyt. Forty-three percent of patients with measurable disease had a partial response. The
toxicities included fluid retention, granulocytopenia, and hepatotoxicity.

Another phase I/II trial by Kreis in 1999 [15] again involved Emcyt and Taxotere. The regimen consisted of Emcyt 140 mg/10 kg body weight PO QD, Taxotere 40, 60, or 80 mg/m², and Decadron 8 mg BID × 5 doses. The cycle was repeated every 21 days. Nine patients in total were enrolled. Four patients had at least a 50% decrease in PSA. The toxicities included esophageal dyspepsia, nausea, and diarrhea.

Our results demonstrate that docetaxel and estramustine have a significant cytotoxic effect in the PC-3 and MAT-LyLu prostatic cell lines. We demonstrated enhanced cytotoxic effects when these drugs were used in combination, both in vitro and in vivo, with MLL cells. These results are similar to those reported by other authors using combinations of these agents.

CONCLUSIONS

In vitro, docetaxel has significant cytotoxic activity. This effect was also demonstrated in vivo in this study. The addition of estramustine did not enhance this effect in vitro in the PC-3 cell line, but was significant in MLL cells. In vivo studies demonstrated a significant decrease in tumor weight of mice treated with either low- or high-dose docetaxel in combination with estramustine.
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